Structure and grazing of the microzooplankton communities of the Subtropical Convergence and a warm-core eddy in the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean
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ABSTRACT: The structure and grazing dynamics of microzooplankton were investigated at 15 stations during the SAAMES III cruise to the region of the Subtropical Convergence (STC) and across a warm-core eddy in subantarctic waters during austral winter (June/July) 1993. Microzooplankton abundance co-varied with the combined concentration of the nano- and picophytoplankton size fractions. Nanoflagellates dominated numerically at all stations while the >20 μm fraction was generally dominated by ciliates (oligotrichs and tintinnids). Mixotrophs comprised between 0 and 5% of total chlorophyll concentration. Production in the region showed a weak seasonal trend with the exception of stations in the vicinity of the STC. Instantaneous growth and grazing coefficients exhibited clear spatial trends, with the highest rates recorded at the edge of the eddy and in the region of the STC. Instantaneous grazing rates at stations at the edge of the eddy and at the STC varied from 0.347 to 0.701 d⁻¹, equivalent to a loss of 30 to 51% of the initial standing stock and between 56 and 69% of the potential primary production. In the warm-core eddy, subantarctic and Agulhas waters, instantaneous grazing rates ranged from 0.281 to 0.433 d⁻¹. This is equivalent to a loss of 24 to 35% of the initial standing stock and between 59 and 83% of the potential primary production. Size selectivity experiments suggest that microzooplankton preferentially graze on the pico- and nanophytoplankton size fractions. The results of this study show that the bulk of photosynthetically fixed carbon is channelled into the microbial loop during austral winter. This implies that the carbon pump is relatively inefficient during winter and that atmospheric CO₂ drawdown via sinking of organic matter into deep water may be very limited in this area.
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INTRODUCTION

The Subtropical Convergence (STC) is one of the major frontal systems of the Southern Ocean and constitutes its northern boundary (Deacon 1992, Lutjeharms & Valentine 1988). Production in the region of the front shows no seasonal trends, with periods of elevated production alternating with periods of lower production throughout the year (Comiso et al. 1993). According to Dower & Lucas (1993), the STC may represent an important biogenic sink for atmospheric CO₂ and may account for between 0.5 and 0.8% of the total global ocean production.

The interaction of the Agulhas Retroflection Current (ARC) with the northern boundary of the STC in the oceanic region south of Africa results in a high variability in currents and the formation and shedding of warm-core eddies (Lutjeharms & Valentine 1968, Duncombe Rae 1991). These eddies subsequently move southwards across the STC, contributing to meridional heat flux into the Southern Ocean and to the transfer of salt between the south Indian Ocean and the south Atlantic (Lutjeharms & Gordon 1987, Duncombe Rae 1991). Although the effects of these eddies on the
physico-chemical parameters of the region have been the subject of several investigations (Lutjeharms & Gordon 1987, Lutjeharms & Valentine 1988, Duncombe Rae 1991), their effects on the biological processes have largely been neglected in the past.

Studies on the effects of eddies on biological processes elsewhere, and particularly in the Gulf Stream and East Australian Current, have demonstrated that eddies may result in localised areas of increased phytoplankton productivity (Tranter et al. 1980, Angel & Fasham 1983, Smith & Baker 1985, Franks et al. 1986) and may be important in the transportation of biological populations between different water masses (Angel & Fasham 1983). Possible ecological consequences of eddy shedding from the ARC may be the transportation of warm water species across the STC, which is regarded as an important biogeographical barrier (Deacon 1982). Also, indirectly these eddies may result in changes in heat and CO₂ flux which could influence the productivity of the region. A recent study by Dower & Lucas (1993) found enhanced productivity rates at the edge of a warm-core eddy shed from the ARC, in generally low productive subantarctic waters.

Several field studies have demonstrated the importance of microzooplankton in the marine environment (see reviews of Porter et al. 1985, Garrison 1991, Pierce & Turner 1992). In the Southern Ocean, a recent study by Froneman & Perissinotto (in press) suggests that the impact of microzooplankton on phytoplankton stock may be determined by the contribution of smaller fractions (nano- and picophytoplankton) to total chlorophyll concentration. Indeed, it is well documented that in coastal environments where the phytoplankton are dominated by pico- and nanoplanckton, protozooplankton are often the most significant herbivores (Capriulo & Carpenter 1980, Burkill et al. 1987). This implies that the impact of microzooplankton on the carbon flux of the Southern Ocean may shift seasonally, since the contribution of the net phytoplankton to total chlorophyll decreases during austral winter, when the nano- and picophytoplankton dominate chlorophyll concentration (Garrison et al. 1993). This may have important implications for the CO₂ flux, as a food web dominated by microzooplankton grazing is characterised by little sedimentation of particulate organic carbon (POC) out of the zone of regeneration where it is recycled by the microbial loop (Michaels & Silver 1988, Longhurst & Harrison 1989, Longhurst 1991). Consequently, the transfer of atmospheric CO₂ into deep waters may be reduced. In order to have a better understanding of the Southern Ocean system as a potential biogenic sink for atmospheric CO₂, it is therefore essential that possible seasonal effects be investigated.

The community structure and grazing impact of microzooplankton in the region of the STC and in a warm-core eddy shed from the ARC were investigated during austral winter (June/July) 1993. Grazing rates were determined at 15 stations.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Microzooplankton grazing experiments were conducted during the third cruise of the South African Antarctic Marine Ecosystem Study (SAAMES III) aboard the MV ‘S. A. Agulhas’ in mid-austral winter (June/July) 1993 (Fig. 1). Grazing experiments were carried out using water from the surface layer (5 m), by employing the sequential dilution technique (Landry & Hassett 1982).

Water samples were obtained using a submersible pump (Flyght-kyokuto model L 40-25S), operated at a flow rate of ~15 l min⁻¹ and supplied to 25 l polyethylene containers through PVC piping. The water was then passed through a 200 μm mesh to separate the microzooplankton fraction. Particle-free water was obtained by passing surface water through a 0.2 μm Milli-Q system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). Dilution series in 2 l acid-washed polyethylene bottles of...
Fronemann & Perlssinotto: Microzooplankton grazing

where $P_t$ and $P_0$ are chlorophyll concentrations at time $t$ and 0, and $k$ and $g$ are instantaneous coefficients of algal growth and grazing, respectively. The coefficients were determined by least-squares regression analysis (95% confidence levels) employing the Statgraphics Version 5.0 computer package (Statistical Graphics Corporation USA 1989). Both $k$ and $g$ were used to calculate the grazing loss of potential daily production while only $g$ was used to estimate daily loss of initial standing stock (Landry & Hassett 1982).

To normalise the chlorophyll values, all data were transformed using the factor log($x + 1$) (Legendre & Legendre 1983). Also, grazing data expressed in % were normalised by the arcsin transformation (Sokal & Rohlf 1969). Partial correlation analysis was then performed on the grazing data to identify possible relationships between grazing rates, temperature and concentrations of chlorophyll size fractions (Sokal & Rohlf 1969). The computer package Statgraphics Version 5.0 was again used for this analysis.

RESULTS

Chlorophyll distribution

Chlorophyll concentrations measured along the transect exhibited a clear spatial pattern. Highest concentrations (>0.5 µg l$^{-1}$) were recorded at stations at the periphery of the eddy (Stns 6 and 12) and in the region of the STC (Stns 8 to 11) (Fig. 2). An exception was provided by Stn 1, located at the periphery of the eddy, where the lowest chlorophyll concentration (0.16 µg l$^{-1}$) along the entire cruise track was recorded. Stations in the warm-core eddy (Stns 2, 3, 5 and 15), in subantarctic (Stns 4 and 14) and Agulhas waters (Stns 7 and 13) were characterised by chlorophyll concentrations <0.5 µg l$^{-1}$. The nano- and picophytoplankton size fractions dominated chlorophyll biomass at all stations (Fig. 2). The picophytoplankton in particular was the dominant component at all but 4 stations (Stns 1, 2, 9 and 10), where the nanophytoplankton dominated chlorophyll concentration. The contribution of the net-phytoplankton fraction to total chlorophyll concentration was <10% at all stations with the exception of Stn 8 where it contributed ~20% of the total.

Community structure

Microzooplankton abundance generally co-varied with the combined concentrations of the nano- and picophytoplankton (<20 µm) size-fractions (Fig. 3). Indeed, ~35% of the variance associated with micro-
Algal growth coefficients ($k$) ranged from 0.548 to 1.317 d$^{-1}$ at the edge of the warm-core eddy and from 1.214 to 1.476 d$^{-1}$ at the STC (Table 2). These values are equivalent to chlorophyll doubling rates between 0.791 and 1.900 d$^{-1}$ at the edge of the eddy, and between 1.751 and 2.129 d$^{-1}$ at the STC. Within the warm-core eddy, algal growth coefficients ranged from 0.513 to 0.707 d$^{-1}$ while within subantarctic waters they were lower, between 0.454 and 0.555 d$^{-1}$ (Table 2). At stations in the Agulhas waters, the algal growth coefficients ranged from 0.471 to 0.581 d$^{-1}$. This represents a chlorophyll doubling rate of 0.740 to 0.994 d$^{-1}$ in the core of the eddy, from 0.654 to 0.800 d$^{-1}$ in the subantarctic waters and from 0.708 to 0.838 d$^{-1}$ in the Agulhas waters (Table 2).

Grazing coefficients of microzooplankton ($g$) were highest at stations at the periphery of the warm-core eddy (range: 0.347 to 0.716 d$^{-1}$) and in the region of the STC (range: 0.531 to 0.716 d$^{-1}$). This level of instantaneous grazing activity by microzooplankton represents a loss of between 29.38 and 51.12% of the initial standing stock at the edge of the warm-core eddy and at the STC, respectively (Table 2). The equivalent daily loss of potential production due to grazing in these regions was between 56.25 and 69.83% (Table 2). Instantaneous grazing rates of microzooplankton ranged from 0.281 to 0.433 d$^{-1}$ in the warm-core eddy, between 0.351 and 0.393 d$^{-1}$ in subantarctic waters and from 0.297 to 0.331 d$^{-1}$ in the Agulhas waters (Table 2). This level of grazing is equivalent to a loss of...
Table 1. Abundance of heterotrophic protozooplankton at grazing stations during the SAAMES Ill cruise (June/July) 1993. Results expressed as ind. l⁻¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stations</th>
<th>Aloricate ciliates</th>
<th>Tintinnids</th>
<th>Dinoflagellates</th>
<th>Nanophytoplankton</th>
<th>Mixotrophs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The exceptions presented were at stations in the vicinity of the STC where winter growth rates were in the same range as summer growth rates (Froneman & Perissinotto in press). This result is consistent with the findings of Comiso et al. (1993) which demonstrated that production at the front shows no seasonal trends. Our estimates of algal growth in the low productive areas compare well with a similar study conducted in the Bransfield Strait (Taylor & Haberstroh 1988). The high algal growth coefficients recorded at the STC and edge of the eddy are among the highest published values in the Southern Ocean. Elevated production in the region of the STC and at the edge of warm-core eddies are well documented and are thought to be the result of a favourable light environment conferred by increased water column stability (El-Sayed 1984, Comiso et al. 1993, Dower & Lucas 1993). The spatial differences in production rates and chlorophyll concentrations are reflected in the amount of initial standing stock and potential production removed daily by microzooplankton (Table 2).

The highest algal growth coefficients during the study were recorded in the areas of higher production, at the STC and at the edge of the warm-core eddy while the lowest coefficients were associated with the regions of lower production, in the subantarctic and Agulhas waters and in the core of the eddy (Table 2). Growth rates in winter were generally lower than summer growth rates, suggesting a seasonal influence on production (Froneman & Perissinotto in press). The result is consistent with the size-fractionated primary production study (determined by measuring $^{14}$C uptake) conducted simultaneously with the microzooplankton grazing studies (R. K. Laubscher unpubl.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>station</th>
<th>netphytoplankton</th>
<th>nanophytoplankton</th>
<th>picophytoplankton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. Results of size selectivity grazing studies. Results are expressed as percentage increase or decrease of initial concentrations.
of the eddy (Table 2). The impact of microzooplankton grazing on potential algal production is largely determined by the growth phase of the algae (Banse 1991). Under favourable conditions, algal productivity exceeds microzooplankton grazing rates (i.e. $k > g$), resulting in the accumulation of phytoplankton biomass in the absence of larger grazers (Banse 1991). Phytoplankton production was highest at the edge of the warm-core eddy and in the region of the STC (Table 2). The impact of microzooplankton on potential algal production in these regions should be lower when compared to regions of lower production (subantarctic and Agulhas waters and in the warm-core eddy). Indeed, the mean potential primary production removed from the STC and the edge of the eddy was $-65\%$, compared to $-73\%$ in areas of lower productivity. These results compare favourably with microzooplankton grazing studies conducted in subarctic waters during a bloom, where 80 to 100% of the potential algal production was grazed when $-80\%$ of the chlorophyll passed through a 10 μm mesh (Verity et al. 1993).

The concentrations of the nano- and picophytoplankton size fractions decreased during the size selectivity grazing study (Fig. 4). An indication of the growth status of phytoplankton can be derived from the photosynthetic capacity (PC) value. Preliminary results of size-fractionated production studies conducted during the cruise indicate that chlorophyll-normalised production rates (PC) were highest in the picophytoplankton and nanophytoplankton size fractions (R. K. Laubscher unpubl.). The decreases in concentration of the nano- and picophytoplankton fractions suggest, therefore, that microzooplankton are preferentially grazing on particles <20 μm. Indeed, during this study, the relationship between grazing impact and <20 μm chlorophyll fraction was significant ($p < 0.05$). This result is consistent with the community composition of the microzooplankton assemblages which were numerically dominated by nanoheterotrophs throughout the study (Table 1). Also, changes in the concentrations of the nano- and picophytoplankton were not significantly different, suggesting that microzooplankton are able to feed efficiently on all particles <20 μm. It is well documented that nanoheterotrophs and ciliates consume particles <20 μm (Burkill et al. 1987, Rasoulzadegan et al. 1988, Verity & Vernet 1993).

Densities of protozooplankton were <1000 ind. l$^{-1}$ throughout the study (Table 1). Despite high food availability, densities were nearly an order of magnitude lower than during a similar study undertaken in austral summer (Froneman & Perissinotto in press). Generally, in the region of the STC chlorophyll concentration shows no seasonal trends (Comiso et al. 1993). However, although absolute phytoplankton biomass does not vary seasonally, there is evidence suggesting that the composition of the phytoplankton changes from a community dominated by netphytoplankton in summer, to a community dominated by the nano- and picophytoplankton in winter (Hatton & Fukuchi 1989, Laubscher et al. 1993). A recent study by Pakhomov et al. (1994) found high species richness and biomass in the macrozooplankton community in the region of the STC during winter, despite the absence of the netphytoplankton on which they normally feed. The low microzooplankton densities recorded during this survey may, therefore, be due to predation by larger zooplankton which may switch from herbivory to carnivory in the absence of their preferred food. A similar situation occurs in the Weddell and Scotia Seas, where zooplankton feed on microzooplankton during austral winter, when nanoautotrophs dominate chlorophyll concentrations (Garrison et al. 1993).

The mixotrophic component was the most poorly represented in the microzooplankton assemblage, exhibiting densities ranging from 0 to 14 ind. l$^{-1}$ (Table 1). These low densities may be due to predation or to light limitation associated with seasonality. It is unlikely, therefore, that they contribute significantly to the primary production in the region. Assuming a chlorophyll a content of 21 to 94 pg per mixotrophic ciliate (Garrison et al. 1993), the mixotrophs would have accounted for 0 to 5% of total chlorophyll a con-

![Fig. 5. Relationship between % initial standing stock removed and (A) protozooplankton abundance and (B) <20 μm chlorophyll fraction during the SAAMES III cruise in late austral winter (June/July) 1993](image-url)
centration. These estimates compare well with the results of Garrison et al. (1993), who found that mixotrophs comprise between 1 and 6% of the total chlorophyll of the ice edge zone of the Weddell and Scotia Seas during austral winter. The low densities of the mixotrophic component suggest that they may not play a significant role in community functioning with respect to carbon flux in winter.

During this study, microzooplankton grazing in the region of the STC removed >50% of all potential production (Table 2). This suggests that the greater part of photosynthetically fixed carbon in the region is channelled into the microzooplankton fraction. As a result, carbon flux into deep water may be reduced in that little sedimentation of organic carbon occurs as the faecal pellets produced by the microzooplankton remain in suspension. In addition, the faecal pellets are readily decomposed by bacteria in the microbial loop (Michaels & Silver 1988). Bacteria in turn represent a large reservoir of carbon and nitrogen for bacterivores such as nanoheterotrophic flagellates (Andersen & Fenchel 1984, Gast 1985, Albright et al. 1987, McManus & Furchan 1988, Reid & Karl 1990, Kirchman et al. 1993). Consequently, POC flux below the zone of regeneration is reduced as the carbon is recycled within the microbial loop, resulting in the reduction of the biological drawdown of atmospheric CO₂ in the region (Longhurst & Harrison 1989, Longhurst 1991). The importance of the STC as a potential biogenic sink for atmospheric CO₂ as proposed by Dower & Lucas (1993) appears, therefore, to vary seasonally. It should also be noted that the carbon:chlorophyll ratio can vary widely, depending on phytoplankton species composition and nutrient conditions, and may affect substantially the POC flux to depth.

While the results presented here apply only to a small portion of the Southern Ocean, there is growing evidence suggesting that in a large variety of oceanic areas the largest portion of photosynthetically fixed carbon during austral winter is also channelled to the microzooplankton. The predominance of nanoautotrophs (the preferred food particle size of microzooplankton) in Antarctic waters during austral winter has been documented in various studies (Garrison et al. 1993, Kivi & Kuosa 1994). This suggests that heterotrophic protozooplankton may be the most important sink of winter phytoplankton production. In support of this, a recent study conducted in the Weddell and Scotia Seas during winter showed that microzooplankton grazing itself was sufficient to prevent biomass accumulation in the water dominated by nanoautotrophs (Garrison et al. 1993).

Shifts in the structure of the food web can alter the magnitude of particulate fluxes to the interior of the ocean (Roman et al. 1993). In the Southern Ocean, a seasonal shift in the contribution of the various size fractions to total chlorophyll concentration may dramatically alter the biological role of the system. During summer, only ~25% of the photosynthetically fixed carbon is consumed by the microzooplankton (Froneman & Perissinotto in press). This would suggest that the bulk of the production is processed by meso- and macrozooplankton. Large faecal pellets produced by these grazers, coupled with diei migrations, would result in a net downward flux of POC below the zone of regeneration (Longhurst & Harrison 1989, Longhurst 1991). Also, changes in sinking of POC are consistent with increases/decreases in macrozooplankton biomass (Roman et al. 1993). During summer, therefore, the model proposed by Huntley et al. (1991), which suggests that up to 80% of net production is channelled into the larger grazers, appears to apply, suggesting that the biological pump is efficient in the drawdown of atmospheric CO₂.

However, during winter, a shift in the size of the phytoplankton results in the bulk of the photosynthetically fixed carbon being channelled into the microzooplanktonic food chain (Garrison et al. 1993). This provides partial support for the proposed model of Moloney (1992) in which it is suggested that up to 60% of the production is processed by the microbial loop. The net result of this reduction in the POC flux leaving the euphotic zone is that atmospheric CO₂ drawdown by the biological pump in the Southern Ocean is reduced during winter. Oceanic features such as warm-core eddies may, however, introduce small mesoscale changes in carbon flux, through the enhancement of phytoplankton productivity usually observed at their edges (Dower & Lucas 1993).
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