Growth rates of diatoms from coastal Antarctic waters estimated by in situ dialysis incubation

Maria Paola Mura*, Susana Agustí

Centro de Estudios Avanzados de Blanes, CSIC, Cami de Santa Bárbara s/n, E-17300 Blanes, Girona, Spain

ABSTRACT: Growth rates of phytoplankton (cell diameter <200 μm) were examined using dialysis bags incubated in situ at 3 coastal sites around the Antarctic Peninsula during the austral summer of 1994. The phytoplankton community, which was similar for all 3 sites, was dominated by diatoms of the genera *Thalassiosira* sp., *Nitzschia* sp., and *Chaetoceros* sp. The picophytoplankton community (cell diameter <5 μm) did not increase inside the dialysis bags, however, the diatom populations grew at high growth rates (mean ± SE = 0.39 ± 0.18 d⁻¹). The growth rates of diatoms measured in the natural community were, however, close to 0 (mean ± SE = -0.05 ± 0.22 d⁻¹), indicative of a close balance between growth and losses (population loss rate: mean ± SE = 0.45 ± 0.43 d⁻¹). The highest observed growth rates closely approached the maximal predicted growth rates from the cell size of the diatoms for the in situ temperature of 1.5°C. These results indicate that coastal Antarctic phytoplankton can grow at or near, the maximal rates at the low in situ temperatures. The observation that loss rates are similar to population growth rates helps explain the low biomass of coastal Antarctic phytoplankton relative to the high nutrient availability in these waters.
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INTRODUCTION

Growth rates of Antarctic phytoplankton are reported to be low (Holm-Hansen et al. 1977, El-Sayed & Taguchi 1981, Sommer 1989, Figueiras et al. 1994). Possible causes for these low growth rates are the low light levels (Hasle 1969, Holm-Hansen et al. 1977, Saksihaug & Holm-Hansen 1984) and the low ambient temperature (Neory & Holm-Hansen 1982, Jacques 1983, Tilzer & Dubinsky 1987, Sommer 1989) characteristic of these waters. The general tendency for a decline in maximum algal growth rate with decreasing temperature (Eppley 1972, Goldman & Carpenter 1974) supports the hypothesis that temperature could be responsible for the low growth rate of Antarctic phytoplankton. It may be, therefore, that the low growth rates of Antarctic phytoplankton are close to the maximal growth rates possible at the low temperatures of Antarctic waters.

The question of how close the growth rates realised in Antarctic waters are to maximal phytoplankton growth rates is as yet unresolved. One of the reasons for this is the diversity of techniques used to assess growth rates, most of which involve incubations of samples enclosed in bottles. The manipulation of Antarctic phytoplankton samples may disturb the community, leading to an underestimation of growth rates, since small changes in temperature during manipulation may induce substantial mortality (e.g. S. Agustí unpubl. results). Determination of the highest growth rates of Antarctic phytoplankton and how often they are realised requires, therefore, the application of techniques that minimize the sources of disturbance.

The incubation of natural phytoplankton communities enclosed within dialysis bags suspended in situ has been identified as one of the most reliable approaches to estimate the in situ growth rates of marine phytoplankton (Furnas 1990). The adequacy of dialysis bag experiments is based on the fact that they allow the maintenance of chemical contact between the population enclosed and the surrounding medium, and also...
that they are relatively unspecified, thereby allowing estimation of growth rates for a wide range of taxa (Furnas 1990). The major weaknesses of the estimation of algal growth rates using incubation in dialysis bags are the relatively long time required relative to other techniques (e.g. tracer incorporation) and the possibility that grazers are included in the bags even after screening. The problem of enclosing grazers within dialysis bags is somewhat less in Antarctic waters where the main grazers are often large (i.e. salps and krill).

We report here, based on incubations of natural communities enclosed within dialysis bags suspended in situ, the variability of gross and net growth rates of Antarctic phytoplankton communities during austral summer at 3 coastal locations off the Antarctic Peninsula. We examined population growth rates (inside the bags) and net population change (in the ambient water) for the main groups of the phytoplankton community, as well as the total community in these waters. The results obtained allowed (1) the determination of the highest growth rates (achieved inside the dialysis bags) for Antarctic phytoplankton growing at low ambient temperature and irradiance, and (2) the comparison between the size-dependence of the highest growth rate observed and those predicted by general allometric models (Banse 1982, Sommer 1989).

METHODS

The experiments were conducted between February 4 and 24, 1994, during the BENTART-94 cruise around Antarctic waters off Livingston Island (Sur and Falsa Bays) and Decepcion Island (Foster Port) on board the Spanish RV 'BIO Hespérides'. Three permanent stations between 100 and 200 m from the coastline were established and marked with moorings and buoys at each of the 3 coastal sites studied (depth 7 to 12 m), all of which had very steep bathymetries. Three growth experiments were successfully conducted in Sur Bay (62°39'80" S, 60°24' W) and Falsa Bay (62°43'90" S, 60°20'50" W), and one in Foster Port (62°49'15" S, 60°39'18.5" W). All of the experiments initiated were successfully recovered, except for Foster Port, where only 1 of the 3 experiments deployed could be recovered, the other 2 being lost due to strong currents. The experiments involved the examination of apparent growth rates of phytoplankton enclosed within dialysis bags, and suspended in situ at about 1.5 m below the surface. Dialysis cultures consisted of surface (5 m) seawater, sampled at each station using Niskin bottles operated by a rosette sampling system and filtered through a 150 μm mesh to exclude macrozooplankters. Four to six replicate dialysis bags, depending on the duration of the experiment, were prepared for each experiment. The bags, with a volume of 500 ml, were built with dialysis membranes with a molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) of 6000 to 8000. This MWCO allowed diffusion of molecules smaller than proteins, which equilibrate rapidly with ambient sea water (<4 h, Mura et al. 1996). Dialysis bags were hydrated by soaking them in deionized water for 2 h prior to use. Once filled, they were carefully tied to a frame and suspended at 1.5 m depth in the water, until collected. The dialysis bags were incubated at shallower depths than that of sample collection (1.5 m instead 5 m) to compensate for shading by the frame and the membranes themselves, which reduced incident photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) by 26%. Duplicated dialysis bags were retrieved >2 d later, this being the minimum time estimated in preliminary trials for a significant growth to occur. The duration of the experiments ranged from 3 d, the time needed to observe a reliable growth response, to 15 d, the time interval between visits to the farthest station. New experiments were deployed after each collection (Table 1). Changes in phytoplankton abundance in parallel samples of ambient subsurface waters collected during the experiments were used as controls and were assumed to represent the net rates of population change (Toth 1980, Furnas 1982, 1990, Mura et al. 1996). The incubations were conducted within sheltered bays where currents are much reduced relative to open-sea currents as indicated by long-term (>5 yr) current meter moorings. Hence the communities sampled at the beginning and end of each individual experiment (3 to 9 d) were not significantly influenced by replacement of water masses.

We measured vertical profiles of temperature and salinity using a Mark V CTD, and fluorescence and spectral irradiance (whenever the stations were visited during the day) using a Li-Cor spectroradiometer. These profiles were obtained daily at Sur Bay and every 2 and 3 d at Falsa Bay and Foster Port, respectively, at a deeper (100 m) site adjacent to the moorings. Subsurface water samples were collected for dissolved inorganic nutrients, chlorophyll a (chl a) concentrations, and phytoplankton biovolume. A variable water volume (50 to 500 ml, depending on phytoplankton biomass) was filtered through Whatman GF/F filters for fluorometric analysis of chl a concentration (Parsons et al. 1984). The filtered material was homogenised, refrigerated at 15°C in 90% acetone, extracted for 6 h in the dark, and fluorescence measured using a Turner Designs fluorometer calibrated with pure chl a (Sigma Co.) (Holm-Hansen & Riemann 1978). An additional sample (100 to 250 ml) was preserved with glutaraldehyde (final concentration of 1.5%) for microscopic examination of phytoplankton. These samples were filtered at low pressure onto black
Nuclepore filters (0.8 μm nominal pore size), and then stained with 1 ml of DAPI [4',6-diamdino-2-phenilindole], a DNA-specific stain, solution (10 μg ml⁻¹) for 5 to 10 min without vacuum (Martinussen & Thingstad 1991). Filters were then washed twice with filtered sea water before they were mounted on a glass slide over a drop of Zeiss immersion oil, and stored frozen until microscopical examination at the laboratory.

Nitrates, phosphates, and silicates concentrations were estimated using an autoanalyzer following standard procedures (Whitleadge et al. 1981). The CTD profiles were used to characterise the water masses and to calculate the thickness of the upper mixed layer (UPM) as an index of the stability of surface water column. The thickness of the UPM was taken as the shallowest depth at which water density (σ) differs from surface values by more than 0.05 kg m⁻³ (Mitchell & Holm-Hansen 1991, Mura et al. 1995). The UPM depth calculated in this way indicated the extent of the neutral stable layer that wind-driven turbulence should mix (Mann & Lazier 1991).

Epifluorescence microscopy, which allows discrimination of autotrophic (i.e. containing chl a) and heterotrophic cells, was used to identify, enumerate, and measure phytoplankton cells. The phytoplankton cells collected on the filters were examined using a Zeiss AXIOPLAN microscope equipped with an epifluorescence unit provided with an UV filter set (Zeiss filter 487701). The filters were examined at 400 and 1000× magnifications to count cells larger (40 to 50 fields) and smaller (30 fields) than 5 μm, respectively (i.e. minimum 60 cells counted per taxon, average 200). Cells >5 μm were classified to genera. The average cell volume for each phytoplankton group identified in each sample was computed, by approximation to the nearest simple geometric shape, from the dimensions (at 1000×) of ca 20 measured cells. Conversion to cellular carbon was performed according to Strathmann’s (1967) non-linear equations for vacuolate diatoms and non-vacuolated algae. The biovolume (μm³ ml⁻¹) of the different phytoplankton groups in each sample was calculated as the product of the cell density (cells ml⁻¹) and average cell volume (μm³ cell⁻¹). Error (as the coefficient of variation) in cell densities among replicate bags was between 16 and 31%, depending on the taxon.

Phytoplankton growth rates (μ, d⁻¹) were calculated from changes in cell density (D) with time (t, d) for each group considered using the equation

\[ μ = \frac{\ln[D_t] - \ln[D_0]}{t} \]

The error among replicate bags determined the detection limit of growth rate inside the dialysis bags to be between 0.07 and 0.11 d⁻¹ and between 0.03 and 0.05 d⁻¹ depending on the taxon and whether the bags were incubated for 4 or 8 d, respectively. The detection limits for community growth rate based on chl a and total biovolume were 0.02 and 0.07 d⁻¹, respectively. Rates calculated from the changes in phytoplankton concentrations between the periods of bags collection in ambient waters and inside the dialysis bags (2 replicates each) were used to represent the net population change (μ_{nobs}), and the population change inside the dialysis bags (μ_{obs}), respectively (Toth 1980, Furnas 1982, 1990). Accordingly, loss rates (λ_{obs}) were calculated as the difference between growth rates and the net rates of population change.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Surface water temperature and salinity varied slightly during the period of the study (Table 1). The thickness of the mixed layer varied considerably within and among stations during the study, showing average values of 14.5 ± 2.7 m (mean ± SE) in Sur Bay, 13.7 ± 6.5 m in Falsa Bay, and 50.0 ± 4.8 m in Foster Port. Fluorescence increased from the surface to reach a maximum at 12 to 20 m, and showed considerable vertical structure at all stations, with the fluorescence profiles being more homogeneous in Foster Port. The persistence of vertical heterogeneity in phytoplankton abundance during the study indicated that the surface waters were not being mixed down to the depth of potential mixing inferred from density profiles. The photic layer (>1% of surface irradiance) was 30 m deep, but underwater surface irradiance was generally low (typically <112 μE PAR m⁻² s⁻¹) due to dense cloud cover over the study period. Occasional short (1 to 2 h) periods of clear sky led to high incident irradiances (>2500 μE PAR m⁻² s⁻¹). The threshold between limiting and saturating irradiance for photosynthesis of subsurface (5 m) phytoplankton remained at about 50 μE PAR m⁻² s⁻¹ (M. P. Satta pers. comm.), similar to values reported elsewhere for Antarctic phytoplankton (Tilzer et al. 1986, Rivkin et al. 1989). Hence, incident irradiance was generally sufficient to saturate photosynthesis of subsurface phytoplankton. The concentration of inorganic nutrients in the mixed layer remained high during the study period [phosphate = 1.29 ± 0.05 μmol l⁻¹ (range 0.11 to 3.16), nitrate = 26.98 ± 0.62 μmol l⁻¹ (range 5.19 to 46.61), and silicate = 47.15 ± 0.99 μmol l⁻¹ (range 14.18 to 63.04)]. These conditions were maintained throughout the experiments, since no significant change in water mass properties (Table 1) was observed during the daily time series established and the ambient phytoplankton community remained stable, further indicating the absence of water mass replacement within the study sites.
Table 1. Biomass, temperature (T), salinity (S), spectral irradiance, and thickness of the upper mixed layer (UPM) in February 1994 at Sur Bay, Falsa Bay, and Foster Port, Antarctica. –: not measured

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Expt no.</th>
<th>Date (1994)</th>
<th>Biomass (chl a mg m⁻³)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>S (%)</th>
<th>Irradiance (µE PAR m⁻² s⁻¹)</th>
<th>UPM (m) (n = 14, avg ± est.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sur Bay</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Feb 7</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>33.89</td>
<td>607.60</td>
<td>14.5 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feb 11</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>33.80</td>
<td>348.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feb 14</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>33.94</td>
<td>571.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Feb 14</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>33.94</td>
<td>571.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feb 17</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>33.83</td>
<td>751.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feb 24</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Feb 18</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>33.92</td>
<td>728.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feb 24</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falsa Bay</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Feb 11</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>33.76</td>
<td>348.55</td>
<td>13.77 ± 6.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feb 13</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>33.89</td>
<td>187.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feb 15</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>33.87</td>
<td>777.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Feb 15</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>33.87</td>
<td>777.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feb 19</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>33.78</td>
<td>742.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Feb 17</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>33.86</td>
<td>781.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feb 21</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>33.99</td>
<td>916.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster Port</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Feb 6</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>33.92</td>
<td>687.00</td>
<td>50 ± 4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Feb 21</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>33.93</td>
<td>916.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phytoplankton biomass in the surface waters sampled was relatively low (0.9 to 2.8 mg chl a m⁻³, Table 1), despite the observation of a moderate phytoplankton bloom in 2 of the sites: 2.8 and 3.76 mg chl a m⁻³ in Falsa Bay and Foster Port, respectively (S. Agusti unpubl). The phytoplankton communities growing in these coastal sites were dominated by large diatoms that represented 70% of phytoplankton biovolume. Biomass was dominated by *Thalassiosira* sp., which was present in 2 distinct size forms in the phytoplankton communities of Sur Bay and Falsa Bay (mean cell volumes of 3761 µm³ and 70764 µm³). The larger *Thalassiosira* sp. cells contributed 69 and 52.5% of the total phytoplankton biovolume of Sur and Falsa Bay, respectively, compared to 7 and 7.35% for the small *Thalassiosira* sp. cells, respectively. The phytoplankton community at Foster Port was mixed with similar contributions of *Chaetoceros* sp., *Nitzschia* sp., and small *Thalassiosira* sp. to biovolume.

Phytoplankton biomass increased greatly inside the dialysis bags, reaching chl a concentrations of 5.9 and 4 mg chl a m⁻³ (equivalent to biovolumes of 10.7 and 6.4 × 10⁶ µm³ m⁻³, respectively) at Sur Bay and Falsa Bay, respectively, and 74.8 mg chl a m⁻³ (biovolume = 324 × 10⁶ µm³ m⁻³) at Foster Port (Fig. 1), where dialysis bags were incubated twice as long as in the other experiments (Table 1). The increase of chl a inside the dialysis bags, which represents the community growth rate, showed differences among experiments (Fig. 2). The increase in phytoplankton biomass inside the dialysis bags allowed calculation of community growth rates, which varied using either chl a or community biovolume as the basis of the calculations (correlation coefficient between chl a and community biovolume = 0.83, p < 0.0001). The calculated community growth rates reached moderately high values and showed considerable variation within and among stations (Table 2).

Microphytoplanktonic communities (20 µm < cell diameter < 200 µm) grew in response to confinement inside the dialysis cultures, while the picophytoplankton community (cell diameter < 5 µm) did not increase and frequently decreased. Potential grazers for diatoms in the area were represented by large species.

Table 2. Growth rates, expressed as chlorophyll a and biovolume increments, of the sampled Antarctic phytoplankton community during the different dialysis experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Expt no.</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Growth rate [d⁻¹] Chl a</th>
<th>Biovolume [µg L⁻¹]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sur Bay</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falsa Bay</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster Port</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 1. Distribution of chlorophyll a concentration and phytoplankton biovolume, both in the natural community and in the dialysis bags, for the 3 Antarctic coastal sites studied. Plot made by pooling all of the values measured in the ambient waters, and all of the intermediate and final values measured inside the dialysis bags. Boxes and bars encompass 50 and 95% of the data, respectively, and the central line represents the median. Asterisk and empty circle in ambient biovolume plot represents outlier and extreme value, respectively.
rates were consistently high for the phytoplankton community, so that positive in situ rates of population change, when present, were quite low at Falsa Bay (Table 3). Population growth rates at Foster Port were highest for small *Thalassiosira* sp., which also experienced the highest population loss rates (Table 3). In situ rates of population change were very close to 0, indicative of a close coupling between growth and loss processes in the phytoplankton community at Foster Port (Table 3).

The general tendency for in situ rates of population change in the ambient population to be very low or negative (mean ± SE = -0.05 ± 0.2 d⁻¹) despite the significant growth rates (0.39 ± 0.2 d⁻¹) observed inside the bags suggests that the coastal Antarctic microphytoplankton assemblages experience substantial loss rates (45 ± 0.4 d⁻¹) (Table 3). These results support previous contentions that losses are important controlling factors for Antarctic phytoplankton (Frost 1987, 1991, Banse 1990). Although losses by sinking and advection were not quantified, grazing by krill and salps in these bays, examined in concurrent experiments aboard (B. Vidondo unpubl.) were considerable, and probably made an important contribution to phytoplankton losses.

The highest growth rates observed for the diatom species dominating the microplankton community often exceeded published values for Antarctic phytoplankton (Holm-Hansen et al. 1977, El-Sayed & Taguchi 1981, Figueiras et al. 1994). Antarctic diatoms are well adapted to the environmental conditions and achieve relatively fast growth at this low temperature (Priddle et al. 1986, Spies 1987). We evaluated whether the highest population growth rates observed here approach the maximal growth rates possible by comparing the highest rates observed in our study with the maximal growth rates predicted at the in situ temperature from the cell size of the taxa. Banse (1982) described the size-dependence of maximal marine diatom growth rates ($\mu_{max}$, d⁻¹) at 20°C under favourable conditions using the equation $\mu_{max} = 3.02W^{0.13}$, where $W$ is cell carbon in pg. The validity of this relationship has been recently confirmed by Thang (1995). Maximal growth rates at other growth temperatures have been obtained by applying a $Q_{10}$ for growth of 1.88 (Eppley 1972) to the original equation of Banse (Sommer 1989). Using this procedure, we arrived at the equation, $\mu_{max} = 0.845W^{0.172}$ to predict maximal diatom growth at 1.5°C, the mean temperature of the Antarctic waters sampled in this study. This equation predicts maximal diatom growth rates at 1.5°C to be 30% of those at 20°C, both experiencing a similar decline with size. Laboratory experiments, however, have provided
Fig. 3. *Chaetoceros* sp., *Nitzschia* sp. and *Thalassiosira* sp. Temporal evolution of the cell density (±SE) of the dominant microphytoplankton groups present in the dialysis bags at the 3 Antarctic coastal sites studied. SE was calculated between replicate bags for the samples collected at time intervals ≥2 d. The SE at the initial time represents the counting error. Different line styles indicate different experiments.
Table 3. Chaetoceros sp., Nitzschia sp. and Thalassiosira sp. Growth rates ($\mu_{max}$), net rates of population change ($\mu_{net}$), and population loss rates ($\mu_{loss}$) of Antarctic microphytoplanktonic diatoms during dialysis experiments at 3 different stations under natural environmental conditions. $\mu_{loss}$ could not be calculated whenever $\mu_{max}$ was below the detection limit for growth. (No large Thalassiosira sp were present at Foster Port)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt no.</th>
<th>Sur Bay</th>
<th>Nitzschia sp.</th>
<th>Large Thal. sp.</th>
<th>Small Thal. sp.</th>
<th>Falsa Bay</th>
<th>Nitzschia sp.</th>
<th>Large Thal. sp.</th>
<th>Small Thal. sp.</th>
<th>Foster Port</th>
<th>Nitzschia sp.</th>
<th>Small Thal. sp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|           | Chaetoceros sp. | 1 | 0.76 | -0.29 | 1.05 | 1 | 0.78 | -0.21 | 0.99 | 2 | <0.10 | 0.45 | -
|           |            | 2 | 0.28 | -0.21 | 0.49 | 3 | <0.10 | -0.13 | -
|           | Nitzschia sp. | 1 | <0.07 | -0.32 | -
|           |            | 2 | 0.62 | 0.47 | 0.15 | 3 | <0.07 | -0.03 | -
|           | Large Thal. sp. | 1 | 0.55 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 2 | <0.05 | 0.12 | 0.57 | 2 | <0.07 | -0.08 | -
|           |            | 3 | 0.42 | 0.34 | 0.08 | 3 | <0.07 | -0.01 | -
|           | Small Thal. sp. | 1 | 0.62 | -0.24 | 0.93 | 2 | <0.10 | -0.24 | 0.88 | 3 | <0.10 | -0.38 | -
|           | Nitzschia sp. | 1 | <0.07 | 0.07 | -
|           |            | 2 | 0.5 | -0.09 | 0.59 | 3 | <0.07 | 0.01 | -
|           | Large Thal. sp. | 1 | 0.11 | 0.36 | 0.30 | 2 | <0.11 | -0.40 | -
|           |            | 3 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 3 | <0.11 | 0.16 | -
|           | Small Thal. sp. | 1 | <0.07 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 2 | <0.07 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 3 | <0.07 | 0.03 | -

Evidence of a weaker size-dependence of maximal growth rates for Antarctic phytoplankton (allometric exponent = -0.08, Sommer 1989) than predicted by the modified equation of Banse (1982). Our results, however, show a significant decline ($r = 0.84, p < 0.025$) in the highest growth rate reached by the coastal Antarctic phytoplankton populations studied with increasing cell size (Fig 4). The size-dependent decline in the highest growth rates measured for the different populations examined is remarkably close to that predicted for the maximal growth rate by the equation of Banse (1982) adapted to the in situ temperature of 1.5°C (Fig 4).

Our results, therefore, suggest that natural Antarctic diatoms assemblages often display growth rates as high as the maximal growth rates predicted from cell sizes at the low in situ temperature. Temperature, therefore, imposes an upper limit to the highest growth rates of natural Antarctic phytoplankton, which is 3-fold lower than that at 20°C. Because of the constancy of temperature in Antarctic waters, it cannot explain variations in the growth rate of phytoplankton communities. Our results provide evidence that the relatively high growth rates achievable by natural Antarctic phytoplankton communities can be counter-balanced by similarly high loss rates, resulting in a relatively uniform biomass. The low biomass, relative to resources, that Antarctic phytoplankton communities display is not, therefore, a consequence of sustained slow growth rates, but rather of a tendency towards an overall balance between growth and loss rates.
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