Effect of food supplementation on the growth of an intertidal scavenger
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ABSTRACT: A manipulative field experiment was done to examine the effect of additional carrion on the growth and reproduction of the intertidal scavenging snail Nassarius dorsatus Roding at Coorooman Creek, Central Queensland, Australia. Separate from the manipulation, samples were taken monthly for 3 yr to determine the pattern of growth of N. dorsatus. Carrion was supplemented by offering chopped fish to snails in 3 pools for 1 h every 2 to 4 d for 3 mo; snails in 3 control pools were not offered additional carrion. N. dorsatus appeared to recruit throughout the year, grow rapidly and die within 2 mo after settlement, which is an extremely short life cycle compared with nassariids from higher latitudes. There was no significant difference in the mean size of N. dorsatus between treatments before supplementation and those 5 wk after it had ceased. During supplementation, snails in the experimental treatment were significantly larger and marked juveniles grew significantly faster than those in the control. It is suggested that the scavenging fauna of intertidal sandflats and mudflats may have a considerable capacity to absorb carrion and that bioturbation caused by increased densities of burrowing scavengers may affect the structure of soft sediment communities.
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INTRODUCTION

Scavengers are species which feed either wholly or partly on the carcasses of other animals they have not killed themselves, and thus obtain a highly concentrated source of animal protein without the cost of acquiring or killing live prey (Heinrich 1988). Nevertheless, the availability of carrion may be temporarily and spatially unpredictable; a particular carcass is only available for a limited time before it is eaten or decays (Houston 1979) and a scavenger cannot control the conversion of living animals to carcasses (Andrewartha & Birch 1984). Consequently, although scavengers may experience times when carrion is abundant, they may also experience unpredictable episodes of shortage (Houston 1979). As nitrogen rich food is important for the growth and reproduction of many animals (White 1978, 1993) the supply of carrion may be an important constraint on growth and reproduction of both obligate and facultative scavengers.
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females of the mole crab *Hippa pacifica* increased significantly at a food supplemented site compared with an unmanipulated control.

We report on the population characteristics of the intertidal scavenger *Nassarius dorsatus* and the effects of supplementing carrion available to this species for 3 mo in the field.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Species and study site.** *Nassarius dorsatus* is common at the mid-tide level on sheltered intertidal mudflats in the Indo West Pacific (Short & Potter 1987) and abundant on a large (approximately 4 km²) mudflat at the mouth of Coorooman Creek, Central Queensland, Australia (23° 18' S, 150° 45' E).

*Nassarius dorsatus* emerges from the substratum as soon as the tide has receded but reburies before being covered by the rising tide (McKillup & McKillup 1994b) and does not emerge from the substrate while submerged during high tide, even if carrion is present (McKillup & McKillup unpubl.). The Coorooman Creek mudflat does not drain completely at low tide; shallow pools up to 4 cm deep remain which are separated from others by higher and firmer substratum. *N. dorsatus* are common within these pools, few are found in the firmer substratum; snails do not crawl out of pools at low tide (McKillup & McKillup 1994b) and marked individuals were only ever recovered from the pools within which they were placed (McKillup & McKillup unpubl.). Consequently it was possible to repeatedly sample snails from a particular pool and supplement carrion within discrete pools on the mudflat.

**Population characteristics of *Nassarius dorsatus*.** At least 50 and usually 100 *N. dorsatus* from the same 100 m² pool, 300 m west of the southern side of the mouth of Coorooman Creek (Fig. 1), were measured every month from January 1993 to December 1995. Snails were collected by searching the substratum by hand to a depth of 4 cm in randomly chosen 15 cm wide strips, their shell length measured to the nearest mm, classified as either juvenile or adult (the latter had a varix on the shell) and returned to their pool within 15 min.

**Experimental manipulation of food availability.** Six pools (each about 50 m²) at the same tidal height were chosen at random. All contained *Nassarius dorsatus* and were at least 30 m from a neighbouring pool (Fig 1). Three were assigned at random to a 'food supplemented' experimental treatment. Blue threadfin salmon *Eleutheronema tetradactylus* fillets (ca 200 g) chopped into 2 × 2 × 1 cm pieces (ca 60 per pool), each piece secured to the substratum by a 25 cm bamboo skewer, were placed in each pool for 1 h after which any remaining was removed. Food was supplemented every 2 to 4 d on 38 occasions from 22 August 1995 to 22 November 1995. Snails in 3 control pools were not given additional food.

On 21 August 1995, 1 day before supplemental feeding began, 50 snails from each replicate of both treatments were collected, classified as juvenile or adult, measured and returned to their pools within 15 min. This was subsequently done for 100 snails on 21 September, 19 October, 22 November and 5 wk after supplemental feeding had ceased (26 December 1995), except for 1 pool in which only 50 snails could be found on the last sampling date.

On 21 September 1995, 20 juvenile snails between 10.0 and 10.9 mm long from each pool were marked by attaching a 1 mm³ ball of quick setting black epoxy putty to the spire of their shell and immediately returned to their pool. It was intended to remeasure these marked snails during the monthly sampling described above, but after finding growth was more rapid than expected (see 'Results') a second group of 20 juvenile snails between 10.0 and 10.9 mm long from each pool were marked as described previously, but with grey epoxy putty, on 19 October 1995. Every time food was subsequently supplemented all pools were searched for marked snails, which were classified as juvenile or adult and measured to the nearest mm.

**RESULTS**

**Population characteristics of *Nassarius dorsatus***

Fig. 2 shows histograms for the size of *Nassarius dorsatus* from the 100 m² pool on the mudflat each month from January 1993 to December 1995. A wide range of
sizes, including individuals of shell length less than 10 mm, was present in each sample and there was no obvious seasonal pattern of growth. The only time a cohort appeared to grow over several successive months was from February 1994 to May 1994. Adult snails never comprised more than 7% of a sample.

Experimental manipulation of food availability

The mean size of *Nassarius dorsatus* for each replicate of both treatments before, during and after supplementation of food in the experimental treatment is shown in Fig. 3. These data were analysed as a 3-factor ANOVA of treatment and time (the latter was treated as a fixed factor because treatment effects were expected to be associated with time in this experiment), with pools as a random factor nested within each treatment. The effects of treatment ($F_{1,4} = 16.80, p < 0.001$) and time ($F_{4,16} = 8.63, p < 0.001$), together with the interaction between these ($F_{4,16} = 3.12, p < 0.05$) were significant; there were also significant differences amongst pools nested within each treatment ($F_{16,262} = 36.86, p < 0.001$) and a significant interaction between time and pools within each treatment ($F_{16,262} = 204.51, p < 0.001$).

These effects are evident in Fig. 3. Considering the expectation that there would be no difference between treatments before, but a significant difference during, supplementation, the data for each month were then analysed as 5 separate 2-factor ANOVAs with treatment as a fixed factor and pools as a random factor nested within each treatment (Table 1). There was no difference in the size of snails between treatments before and those 5 wk after supplementation, but during supplementation snails from the experimental treatment were significantly larger than those from the control. The $F$-ratio for the nested factor (pools) increased during the experiment and was significant from October onwards. There was no significant difference in the proportion of adult snails between the treatment and control samples on any sampling date (Table 2).

Data for the mean sizes of the second group of marked snails in each treatment are in Fig. 4 and were combined within treatments for each day because few snails were recaptured. The number of marked snails recovered diminished with time (from a maximum of...
DISCUSSION

Data for the monthly sampling of *Nassarius dorsatus* at Coorooman Creek, together with results of the feeding experiment, suggest this species recruits frequently and is surprisingly short lived. A range of sizes was present in each monthly sample and there was no evidence of annual recruitment or growth of a particular cohort for more than a few months. Marked 10 mm long *N. dorsatus* grew by up to 8 mm during the next 10 d, after which the rate of growth decreased and snails died within a month even if they did not reach sexual maturity. Assuming the growth of snails smaller

---

**Fig. 3.** *Nassarius dorsatus*. Mean size in each of 3 replicates of experimental and control treatments before (August), during (September to November) and after (December) food was supplemented. Filled symbols: food supplemented pools; open symbols: control pools. Solid horizontal line shows period of food supplementation. Bars show the standard error of each mean.

**Fig. 4.** *Nassarius dorsatus*. Growth of marked snails in the experimental and control treatment. Data have been combined within each treatment. Filled symbols: food supplemented experimental treatment; open symbols: control. Bars show the standard error of each mean.

---

**Table 1.** Results of separate 2-way ANOVAs with 1 nested factor in the shell length data for each month before (August), during (September to November) and after (December) food was supplemented on the mudflat. The pool treatment sum of squares has been used as the denominator to obtain the F-ratio for the treatment comparison. ns: p > 0.05

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August (before supplementation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>94.10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>94.10</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pools(Treatment)</td>
<td>107.41</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.85</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>5509.92</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>17.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>2511.22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2511.22</td>
<td>74.75</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pools(Treatment)</td>
<td>134.38</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.59</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>11262.24</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>18.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>6619.76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6619.76</td>
<td>20.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pools(Treatment)</td>
<td>1301.94</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>325.49</td>
<td>22.87</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>8452.62</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>14.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>5236.47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5236.47</td>
<td>12.24</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pools(Treatment)</td>
<td>1711.27</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>427.82</td>
<td>37.41</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>6792.36</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>11.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December (after supplementation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>482.43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>482.43</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pools(Treatment)</td>
<td>2734.94</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>683.74</td>
<td>49.17</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>7567.04</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>13.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Numbers of adult and juvenile snails in each treatment before (August), during (September to November) and after (December) food was supplemented. Fisher exact probabilities are for 2 x 2 contingency table comparisons of the proportion of adults between treatments for each month.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Experimental</th>
<th>Fisher exact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

13 per treatment to 6 or fewer in each from Day 17 onwards); those in the experimental treatment grew significantly faster than those in the control (ANCOVA preliminary analysis for parallelism on log transformed data: interaction $F_{1,120} = 28.63$, p < 0.001). In November, 12 marked shells with a varix (4 live and 8 dead) were found in the experimental treatment, but none with a varix were found in the control. Only dead marked snails were found beyond 25 d after marking (13 November 1995).
than 10 mm in the field is also rapid, *N. dorsatus* appears to live for only about 2 mo after settlement, during which it grows to a maximum shell length of about 27 mm. This growth pattern has also been observed for 3 mm long *N. dorsatus* collected from Coorooman Creek and reared in the laboratory from September to November at room temperature (26 to 34°C) with ad libitum food (McKillup & McKillup unpubl.) We can find no other reports of the growth rates of tropical nassariids, but *Nassarius pauperatus* from 35°S reproduces annually and becomes sexually mature after growing up to 19 mm in the first 15 mo after settlement (McKillup 1979) while *N. reticulatus* from 58°N also reproduces annually, lives for up to 15 yr and becomes sexually mature after growing approximately 15 mm during the first 4 yr after settlement (Talimark 1980). Molluscan growth rates often vary with temperature and latitude (e.g. Ansell 1968, Beukema & Meehan 1985) and have been reported to be relatively rapid in the tropics (e.g. Ansell et al. 1972, although see Frank 1969).

A short life cycle and rapid growth that is limited by the availability of food can explain the significant size difference between snails in the control and experimental treatments of the feeding experiment only 1 mo after supplementation began, as well as the lack of a significant difference 5 wk after supplementation ceased. Thus, this experiment has shown that availability of carrion significantly affects the growth of *Nassarius dorsatus* at Coorooman Creek. Furthermore, some marked snails survived to sexual maturity in the experimental treatment, but no mature marked individuals were ever found in the control. Unmarked mature snails were found in both treatments, however, but the proportion in each was low and did not differ significantly between them, which may have been because carrion was only supplemented for 1 h every 2 to 4 d in the experimental pools. More frequent and prolonged supplementation may have resulted in an even greater rate of growth and survival to sexual maturity; in this context Fusaro (1978) found that the proportion of ovigerous females of the mole crab *Hippa pacifica* increased significantly at a site where food was supplemented daily.

Perhaps most importantly, the results suggest that most *Nassarius dorsatus* at Coorooman Creek did not obtain sufficient carrion to survive to maturity. There are at least 2 explanations for this: the experiment may have been done when food was, by chance, relatively scarce, or it may always be relatively scarce at Coorooman Creek. The second possibility appears more likely considering the feeding behaviour of *N. dorsatus* at this site on several different occasions (McKillup & McKillup 1994b). Food availability has also been found to vary amongst populations of *N. pauperatus*; at sites where the sandflat is wide and gently sloping, recruitment density is relatively high and food for adults is in short supply, while at sites where the sandflat is less extensive, recruitment density is relatively lower and more food is available for adults (McKillup 1979, McKillup & Butler 1979, 1983, McKillup et al. 1993). *N. pauperatus* has a planktotrophic larval stage so recruitment at a particular site does not necessarily rely upon reproduction at that site; some populations appear to be ‘sinks’ (where mortality may exceed natality) while others are ‘sources’ (where natality may exceed mortality) (Dias 1996). It is not known whether such differences occur among populations of *N. dorsatus*.

Since the feeding experiment was only done at 1 site, the results cannot necessarily be generalised to all populations of *Nassarius dorsatus*, nor to other species of intertidal scavengers. If, however, intertidal scavengers are commonly short of food, growth rates of individuals and the density of natural populations may increase in response to food supplementation. The capacity of the scavenging fauna of intertidal sandflats and mudflats to absorb additional carrion may be considerable, and supplementation of the food of intertidal scavengers may, in turn, affect the growth and survival of species which prey on them.

Finally, an increase in the amount of carrion available to burrowing scavengers may have additional effects on the structure of soft sediment communities because burrowing animals physically disturb the substratum (e.g. Woodin 1978, DeWitt & Levington 1985, Warwick et al. 1990). Since many marine habitats are becoming increasingly polluted with carrion from discarded by-catch (e.g. Wassenberg & Hill 1987, Britton & Morton 1994) such indirect effects of anthropogenic supplementation may already be occurring on many shores.
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