Excretion of dissolved organic nitrogen by phytoplankton assessed by wet oxidation and $^{15}$N tracer procedures
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ABSTRACT: The release of DON in diatom (Phaeodactylum tricornutum) and Chlorophyceae (Dunaliella tertiolecta) batch cultures was examined by both the wet oxidation procedure and the newly improved $^{15}$N tracer technique for simultaneous isotope-ratio analysis of inorganic and organic forms of nitrogen. Cultures were conducted under different initial nitrate/phosphate ratios and under light and nutrient stressed conditions. Experimental results remained lower than previous and recent evaluations and showed that less than 10% of the nitrate uptake was released or excreted as DON. Rates of DON release were around 10.4 to 13.3 nmol $N$ l$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$. Taking into account potential stress-induced DON losses, results tend to confirm that these products were not artefactual and had resulted from phytoplankton excretion. These values of DON release enabled us to completely or nearly completely balance the nitrogen budgets in our experiments. However, since DON originating from phytoplankton constitutes an important resource for some organisms, processes other than direct active excretion (such as grazing, cell death or virus infections) must be the main ones leading to significant release of these biodegradable compounds and might explain the high levels of DON release sometimes observed during experimental studies or in the natural environment.

KEY WORDS: Phytoplankton excretion Dissolved organic nitrogen Wet oxidation $^{15}$N methodology

INTRODUCTION

A paradigm of modern oceanography is that the uptake of new nitrogen (new production) by phytoplankton is equal to the upward flux of nitrate into the surface waters, and that this flux is approximately balanced by the flux of particulate nitrogen (PN) out of surface waters over appropriate space and time scales (Eppley & Peterson 1979). However, during incubation of water samples, nitrogen budgets, expressed as the ratio of the decrease in dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) to the increase in the PN, are frequently unbalanced (Collos 1992, Collos et al. 1992). Generally, more DIN disappears from the medium than cellular nitrogen is produced in the particulate phase. One explanation proposed during the last decade for such discrepancies is the excretion of dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) (Chan & Campbell 1978, Laws 1984, Slawyk et al. 1990, Collos 1992, Collos et al. 1992, Bronk & Gilbert 1994). Because of the new implications arising from the inclusion of DON in the traditional paradigm, and also because easily assimilated excreted nitrogen compounds (Sharp 1977) have long been recognized as a nitrogen source of high quality for microheterotrophic organisms (Cole et al. 1982, Azam et al. 1983), this DON pool is increasingly considered in biochemical cycle studies (Hedges et al. 1993, Sharp 1993).

DON comprises a large fraction of the total nitrogen in the marine environment and is present in the whole water column at significant concentrations (generally 2 to 10 $\mu$M), even in oceanic waters where dissolved inorganic nitrogen species are undetectable (Sharp 1983). However, despite the large size of this pool and its potential importance as a nitrogen source, relatively little is known about it (Bronk et al. 1994). There is no doubt that some DON can be provided by phytoplank-
Release of dissolved organic matter during cell death and lysis, during feeding or grazing or even during virus-induced lysis is often evoked in the literature as a source of DON (e.g., Bronk & Gilbert 1993). However, it has been debated for many years whether phytoplankton can excrete DON during its metabolism (Sharp 1977, Aaronson 1978, Lancelot 1983, Obronskier & Herndl 1995). In fact, in the past there have been abundant citations of the excretion phenomenon but relatively few direct measurements of it (Sharp 1977). Furthermore, although Yentsch & Vaccaro had proposed excretion of nitrogen compounds as early as 1958, little work has been carried out on DON since then. Most available information on this pool has been derived from studies on individual components of DON, such as amino acids (Wheeler & Kirchman 1986, Fuhrman 1987, Antia et al. 1991, Jorgensen et al. 1993), urea (McCarthy et al. 1977, Turley 1985, 1986, Hansell & Goering 1989) and DNA (Paul et al. 1987), or extrapolated from studies of dissolved organic carbon flux (Gilbert & Bronk 1994).

Recent studies on DON indicate that significant excretion could take place during cellular cycles but it would be often masked by non-adapted sampling (Collos 1992). During the experiment conducted by Collos et al. (1992) on a unicellular culture of Synedra planctonica, the DON concentrations of the culture medium, after being entirely absorbed during a dark incubation period of 24 h, increased from undetectable values to 30 µM after 4 h of light (where the initial cell concentration was 4 x 10^5 cell ml^-1 and nitrate was 200 µM). Other investigations indicate that excreted or released DON could in fact represent up to 63-75% of nitrate or DIN uptake (Collos 1992, Collos et al. 1992) and 11 to 74% of the DIN (ammonia and nitrate) taken up by phytoplankton in oceanic, coastal and estuarine environments (Bronk et al. 1994). Thus, these high levels of released DON could be very important in oceanic nitrogen budgets. The DON excreted could effectively account for 90 to 100% of the missing nitrogen generally observed in the final nitrogen budget (Collos 1992) and fulfill the discrepancy generally observed between gross and net uptake rates (Bronk et al. 1994).

Improvement of analytical techniques and the awareness of possible procedural artefacts allow a reduction in uncertainties about results. In this way, the simultaneous application of the chemical wet oxidation (Pujo-Pey & Raimbault 1994) and the new 15N tracer technique (Slawyk & Raimbault 1995) procedures allowed us, in a very simple manner, to follow the DIN uptake rate and the subsequent release of DON (and DO15N) during the phytoplanktonic growth (measured by changes in the particulate nitrogen concentrations) of unicellular algae (Phaeodactylum tricornutum and Dunaliella tertiolecta). Experiments were conducted during light and dark periods and under different nutrient conditions (different nitrate/phosphate ratio: NO3/PO4). We wanted to test the effect, on the DON excretion, of NO3/PO4 greater than the conventional Redfield ratio of 16 (Redfield 1958), reflecting conditions of phosphate limitation classically found in the Mediterranean Sea (Berland et al. 1980). As nitrate is generally considered to be the limiting factor of the phytoplanktonic production (Thomas 1966, Ryther & Dunstan 1971, Epplery et al. 1973, Goldman et al. 1979, Howarth & Cole 1983, Cidispoti 1989), we also wanted to study DON excretion in media with NO3/PO4 lower than 16 (conditions of nitrate limitation). In the same way as Collos et al. (1992) we maintained our cultures in the dark for 24 h to investigate effects of prolonged darkness on DON evolution. We also carried out a perturbation experiment by using a substantial NO3 enrichment (NO3/PO4 > 300) in the culture medium to see if algae were able to excrete DON absorbed as DIN. Furthermore, we evaluated potential 'artefactual' release of DON during filtration by minimising, as much as possible, the eventual cell breakages to obtain a filtered sample where the DON concentrations were compared with those contained in samples classically filtered.

Here we aim to examine and quantify DON production from phytoplankton cultures by applying the new analytical techniques in experiments taking into account potential stress-induced DON losses.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental procedure.** To investigate DON excretion by phytoplankton, we followed the growth of 2 algal species in axenic batch cultures under different nitrate/phosphate (NO3/PO4) ratio conditions. During the algal growth, monitored by cell density and concentrations of particulate nitrogen (PN) and phosphorus (PP), nutrient uptake and dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) evolution were measured. To compare nitrogen budgets obtained with chemical measurements, one of the cultures (culture 15-N) was carried out with labelled nitrate (15NO3). This allowed us to follow the transfer of the 15N isotope from the mineral (NO3) to the other nitrogen fractions (especially in DON). Then, changes in DON concentrations were investigated when these cultures were maintained in darkness (darkness period), and submitted to severe nutrient disequilibrium (perturbation experiment). Finally, as DON concentrations were generally determined in filtered samples, an experiment was carried out to evaluate possible DON release due to mechanical cellular stresses.
Phytoplankton cultures. Stock cultures of the diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* (Bohlin 1897) and the chlorophycean *Dunaliella tertiolecta* (Butcher 1952) were used. The *P. tricornutum* clone was originally isolated from the Gulf of Lions (Mediterranean Sea) by B. Berland and came from the culture collection of the 'Centre d'Océanologie de Marseille' (France). The *D. tertiolecta* stock originated from the clone PLY83 of the Plymouth Marine Laboratory (UK) culture collection. Stocks were maintained in f/2 medium (Guillard & Ryther 1962) and submitted to antibiotic treatments and subcultured regularly (sterile conditions) so that they remained axenic. To make the experimental batch phytoplankton cultures, each stock species was then subcultured into new media of 5 or 6 l volume, prepared with natural nutrient-poor surface seawater collected from the Mediterranean Sea (oligotrophic area) and filtered through 0.2 μm Sartorius filters. These new media were an amended version of f/2 medium (with defined concentrations of trace metals and vitamins) with different NO₃/PO₄ ratios. These axenic cultures were continuously stirred and were maintained in Pyrex flasks at 17°C on 12:12 h light-dark cycles (irradiance: 135 to 150 μE m⁻² s⁻¹). Sampling was performed throughout by slowly siphoning aliquots through a Teflon tube placed in the cultures.

Initially, one culture (5 l) for each species was made (with nitrate-limiting conditions and an initial NO₃/PO₄ ratio lower than 16). The culture of *Dunaliella tertiolecta* is referred to as 'culture 1' and the *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* as 'culture 15-N' (according to its ¹⁵N-NO₃ enrichment, see below). Then, in order to test different nutrient conditions, 5 cultures (6 l) were prepared for each species with initial NO₃/PO₄ ratios close to 2, 4.5, 9, 13 and 20 and are referred to respectively as A, B, C, D and E. Initial NO₃ and PO₄ concentrations for all these cultures are shown in Table 1.

Parameters studied. Concentrations of dissolved inorganic nutrients, DON and biomass (as cell number, particulate nitrogen and phosphorus) were followed over the course of 4 to 7 d. Samples were collected once or twice per day for culture 1 and culture 15-N, and at the beginning (*T₀*), after 3 d and at the end of the experiment for cultures A, B, C, D and E. Individual samples were taken and analysed for each of the parameters under investigation. Duplicate samples were occasionally removed to ensure reproducibility of the sampling/analyses. To verify that media remained axenic, some culture samples were observed by image-analysed epifluorescence microscopy (Van Wambeke 1988).

**Biomass:** Cell density was determined by optical microscopy and particulate nitrogen (PN) and phosphorus (PP) concentrations were determined simultaneously according to the wet oxidation procedure of Pujo-Pay & Raimbault (1994). The particulate matter was collected by filtration (under low vacuum, <50 mm Hg) of a culture sample onto a pre-combusted (4 h, 450°C) GF/F glass fibre filter (25 mm diam.).

**Nutrient uptake:** Nitrate+nitrite (NO₃) and phosphate (PO₄) uptakes were estimated by measuring these nutrient concentrations with an automated colorimetric technique on a Technicon Auto-Analyzer (Tréguer & Le Corre 1975). Nitrate uptake was evaluated with the isotopic (¹⁵N) method in culture 15-N. This culture prepared using 100% ¹⁵N-labelled nitrate (39.4 μM of ¹⁵N-NO₃ and with NO₃/PO₄ = 9; Table 1). At the end of the exponential growth phase, a sample of 10 ml was filtered onto a GF/F filter and the ¹⁵N atom enrichment in the particulate nitrogen pool (resulting from the ¹⁵N-NO₃ uptake) was determined using a mass spectrometer.

Concentrations of ammonia (NH₄) were believed to be negligible in the culture media because of the oligotrophic origin of the seawater used. However, to confirm this assertion, measurements were made (according to Koroleff 1969) at the beginning, middle and end of each experiment.

**Dissolved organic nitrogen:** Generally, DON concentrations (±0.1 μM) were determined from filtered samples. However, in culture 15-N, DON concentrations were determined from both (1) filtered and (2) unfiltered samples to compare both types of evaluations.

Table 1. Initial (*T₀*) nitrate+nitrite (NO₃) and phosphate (PO₄) concentrations and NO₃/PO₄ ratios in the different studied cultures: Culture 1 of *Dunaliella tertiolecta*, culture 15-N of *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* and cultures of both species with different nutrient conditions referred to as A, B, C, D and E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>NO₃ (μM)</th>
<th>PO₄ (μM)</th>
<th>NO₃/PO₄</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Culture 1</td>
<td><em>Dunaliella tertiolecta</em></td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture 15-N</td>
<td><em>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</em></td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td><em>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</em></td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td><em>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</em></td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td><em>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</em></td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td><em>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</em></td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td><em>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</em></td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td><em>Dunaliella tertiolecta</em></td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td><em>Dunaliella tertiolecta</em></td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td><em>Dunaliella tertiolecta</em></td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td><em>Dunaliella tertiolecta</em></td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td><em>Dunaliella tertiolecta</em></td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(1) DON was obtained from oxidation of filtered samples (FN) (used for the PN determination) corrected for their nitrate+nitrite (NO₃) concentrations. DON = FN - NO₃.

(2) DON was obtained from oxidation of total samples (TN) corrected for their particulate nitrogen (PN) and nitrate+nitrite (NO₃) concentrations. DON = TN - PN - NO₃.

Samples (20 ml of FN and TN) were directly collected in Teflon bottles. The procedure used for particulate nitrogen was used for DON determination (full details given in Pujo-Pay & Raimbault 1994, Pujo-Pay 1995). Samples were oxidized with 2.5 ml of reagent (30 min, 120°C) and nitrate+nitrite determinations were performed on a Technicon Auto-Analyzer.

Nitrogen budget obtained from isotopic measurements: In culture 15-N containing the labelled nitrate, the procedure recently described by Slawyk & Raimbault (1995) was used and allowed simultaneous isotope-ratio analysis of inorganic (DIN = NO₃ + NO₂ + NH₄) and organic (DON) forms of nitrogen extracted from seawater samples. Thus we could determine if labelled nitrogen entered the DON pool (i.e. whether labelled nitrate could be excreted/released as labelled DON). At the end of the incubation period (160 h), 300 ml of the culture medium was filtered (<50 μm, Hg) through a Whatman GF/F filter. The filtrate was used to determine firstly the ¹⁵N enrichment in the DIN and secondly that of the DON (Slawyk & Raimbault 1995).

After these experiments were completed, the entire set of cultures A, B, C, D and E was used successively in 2 additional experiments, one designed to examine DON production in exponentially growing cells in the dark, and the other designed to examine DON production in starved cells after a nutrient pulse (perturbation experiment). For the latter experiment, the previous culture 1 of Dunaliella tertiolecta and another culture of Phaeodactylum tricornutum referred to as ‘new culture’ (that had initially been grown simultaneously with the culture 15-N). For this new culture, the time course of some parameters (NO₃, TN, PN, DON) in the culture before this perturbation experiment is shown in Fig 2a.

At the end of the exponential phytoplanktonic growth phase (when NO₃ concentrations were approximately zero), a single addition of nitrate was made to the culture media. Cultures were enriched with nitrate to provide the concentrations shown in Table 2 (NO₃/PO₄ ratio higher than 300). NO₃ uptake was monitored during the light phase at approximately 60 to 120 min intervals until exhaustion of nitrate from the medium. DON concentrations were determined just after NO₃ enrichment (Tₒ) for all the cultures, and, after nitrate exhaustion for culture 15-N (at Tₓh and Tₓo) and the new culture (at Tₓh and Tₓo), and at various times (≤3 h) in cultures A, B, C, D and E.

Release of DON during filtration. Finally, another experiment was conducted to verify that the measured DON production was not an experimental artifact resulting from filtration. In this experiment, 51 cultures (2 cultures prepared with f/2 medium) were inoculated with the initial stock cultures of each algal species. Each culture was incubated under the conditions described above and DON production monitored by sampling using 2 different approaches. The first approach was the same technique used in the other experiments (i.e. a subaliquot was removed and filtered to remove phytoplankton cells, DON was the organic nitrogen which passed through the filters). In Table 2. Nitrate+nitrite (NO₃) concentrations and NO₃/PO₄ ratios measured immediately after the nitrate enrichment of the cultures (culture 1, culture 15-N and cultures A, B, C, D and E) at the end of their exponential growth, during the perturbation experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</th>
<th>Dunaliella tertiolecta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO₃ (μM)</td>
<td>NO₃/PO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New culture</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture 1</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>1053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the second approach, filtration was avoided through samples being collected from the inside of a 'sampling bag' placed into the culture. The sampling bag was made of Nuclepore polycarbonate membrane (1 μm pore size) which permitted only the culture medium to enter the bag. This provided a passive means of obtaining cell-free material in which the DON could not have been produced by cell breakage during filtration of the sample. DON concentrations resulting from the 2 sampling techniques were then compared. Samples from inside the Nuclepore bag were regularly checked by microscopy to verify the absence of algal cells.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the algal growth

Similar results were obtained for both species in all the cultures (culture 1, culture 15-N, and cultures A, B, C, D, and E). Observations of culture samples by epifluorescence microscopy did not reveal the presence of any heterotrophic organisms (ciliates or bacteria) and cultures remained axenic during the experiment. Time courses of the studied parameters in cultures are shown in Fig. 1 for Phaeodactylum tricornutum and Dunaliella tertiolecta. The complete data set is pre-
Table 3. Differences of DON concentrations (ΔDON) between the beginning (T₀) and the end (T₁₂₅h for Phaeodactylum tricornutum and T₁₁₃h for Dunaliella tertiolecta species) of the incubation of cultures A, B, C, D and E with different initial NO₃/PO₄ ratios (initial ratios of 2, 4.5, 9, 13 and 20 respectively)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</th>
<th>Dunaliella tertiolecta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T₀</td>
<td>T₁₂₅h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>7.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>8.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td>7.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>7.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>9.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Quantities of labelled nitrogen (µM ^15N) in the particulate (PN), dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN = nitrate + nitrite) and dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) pool at the end of the incubation of culture 15-N (Phaeodactylum tricornutum) enriched with ^15N-NO₃

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pool</th>
<th>µM ^15N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PN</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIN</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DON</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

determined simultaneously with DON, remained below 0.05 µM in all cultures. DON concentrations obtained from filtered (DON = FN - NO₃) and nonfiltered (DON = TN - PN - NO₃) samples were very close (Fig. 1c). They showed some fluctuations (<5 µM) during the experiments and finally, between the beginning and the end of the incubation, there was an increase of DON concentrations of around 1.67 µM (between T₀ and T₁₂₅h) in culture 15-N, and 0.46 µM (between T₀ and T₁₁₃h) in culture 1. In cultures A, B, C, D and E, variations of DON concentrations between the beginning (T₀) and the end of the incubations (T₁₂₅h for Phaeodactylum tricornutum and T₁₁₃h for Dunaliella tertiolecta) remained between 0.04 µM and 2.1 µM for the 2 algal species (Table 3). The different initial NO₃/PO₄ ratios (of 2, 4.5, 9, 13 and 20 respectively) did not have a significant influence on the phytoplanktonic DON release.

Isotopic analyses and nitrogen budgets

Concentrations of labelled nitrogen in DIN, and particulate and dissolved organic fractions at the end of the incubation of the culture 15-N enriched with ^15N-NO₃ are presented in Table 4. Results show that inorganic nitrogen initially added in the culture (39.34 µM of ^15N-NO₃) was incorporated in the particulate and dissolved organic fractions that were both ^15N-enriched. 'Chemical' (NO₃ and organic nitrogen determination by wet oxidation) and 'isotopic' budgets were determined between the beginning (T₀) and the end of the incubation (T₁₂₅h) (Table 5).

The chemical budget showed that 90.6% of the NO₃ which disappeared from the medium was recovered in the particulate nitrogen fraction while 4.2% was recovered in the DON pool. However there was an apparent loss of total nitrogen (TN) of around 2 µM (~5%). The ^15N isotopic budget was well balanced and 37.8 µM of the initial ^15N (equivalent to 96.3% of the labelled NO₃ uptake) was incorporated into the particulate nitrogen (PN) fraction while 1.53 µM (equivalent to 3.9% of the labelled NO₃ uptake) was incorporated into the DON pool.
Table 5. Nitrogen budgets (µM) determined by wet oxidation (chemical) and isotopic (\(^{15}\)N) techniques. \(\Delta X\) is the difference between initial \((T_0)\) and final \((T_{24h})\) concentration of the element \(X\) in the culture. \(\%X\) is the percentage of this quantity \(X\) related to the inorganic substrate uptake \((\Delta NO_3, \text{ where } NO_3 = \text{nitrate+nitrite})\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>(^{15})N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta NO_3)</td>
<td>39.34</td>
<td>39.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta PN)</td>
<td>90.6%</td>
<td>90.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta DON)</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta TN)</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Concentrations of DON before \((T_0)\) and after \((T_{24h})\) the 24 h darkness incubation period of cultures A, B, C, D and E of *Phaeodactylum tricornutum* and *Dunaliella tertiolecta*. At \(T_0\), cultures were in early exponential growth phase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture</th>
<th><em>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</em></th>
<th><em>Dunaliella tertiolecta</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(T_0) (T_{24h})</td>
<td>(T_0) (T_{24h})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>6.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>8.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incubation of cultures in darkness

After the re-incubation of the cultures A, B, C, D and E (in active growth phase) had been prolonged for 24 h in darkness, chemical measurements did not show any more variation of DON concentrations than in the investigation of DON changes during 'classical' growth (under 12:12 h light:dark cycles). Comparisons between DON concentrations before and after this 24 h darkness period showed variations remaining between 0.04 and 2 µM DON (Table 6). In any case, there was neither a decrease of DON concentrations that allowed DON concentrations to reach 0 µM in the culture, as observed in the experiment of Collos et al. (1992), nor an excretion after this dark period, when cultures were illuminated again during the perturbation experiment (see below in Fig. 2, the initial DON concentrations in the cultures used for the perturbation experiment).

Perturbation experiment

In another part of our experimental strategy, DON was followed in cultures submitted to severe nutrient disequilibrium. Enrichment of NO\(_3\) was made after the exponential growth, during the stationary phase, at \(T_{14h}\) of the algal growth shown in Fig. 1 (first part of the experiment) for culture 1 of *Dunaliella tertiolecta*, at \(T_{210h}\) of the algal growth shown in Fig. 2a for the new culture of *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*, and after the darkness period described above for cultures A, B, C, D and E. When we added this high quantity of nitrate (15 to 40 µM) to the cultures, nitrate uptake occurred rapidly and concentrations became undetectable around 4 to 8 h after the enrichment (Fig. 2). This perturbation did not induce a significant increase of DON concentrations during the course of the experiment in any the cultures of the 2 phytoplanktonic species.

Artificial release of DON during filtration

We investigated possible DON losses through potential stress during the classical filtration of the culture samples with the help of what we referred to as a 'sampling-bag'. However, there were no significant differences between concentrations of DON determined from samples taken inside the bag (DON1) and samples filtered onto GF/F filters (DON2) as previously described (Table 7).

DISCUSSION

Algae in these experiments used inorganic substrates and followed the time-lag between DIN uptake (and assimilation) and algal division (Fig. 1) as has been previously observed by Kofoid (1903). It is a classical phenomenon, both during culture experiments and in the natural marine environment (Collos 1986, Duarte 1990). Similarly, batch culture models show that algal assimilation of the substrate ended well before the cessation of growth (Grenney et al. 1973, Droop 1974, Nyholm 1977, Nyholm & Lyngby 1988). The decrease in the total fractions (TN, TP) which was sometimes observed at the end of the incubation period could have resulted from cell adsorption onto the wall of the incubation flask which was visible...
Despite constant stirring of the culture, losses of particulate nitrogen and phosphorus, as well as a decrease in the total fraction, have also been observed during more complex cultures (Andersen et al. 1986) and are often associated with organism adsorption to the bottle wall or due to non-homogeneous sampling (Goldman et al. 1985).

The N/P ratio of 5 to 7.5 (0.02 to 0.03 pmol PN cell\(^{-1}\) and 0.004 pmol PP cell\(^{-1}\) for Phaeodactylum tricornutum and 0.03 pmol PN cell\(^{-1}\) and 0.03 pmol PP cell\(^{-1}\) for Dunaliella tertiolecta) in the particulate material has generally been found in cultures to be less than the accepted value for the composition of seawater of 15 or 16:1 (Parsons et al. 1961, Corner & Davies 1971). Under N limitation, this N/P ratio is typically lower, due to the decreased N/cell (Harrison et al. 1977). In chemostat cultures, this decrease can be as high as 3-fold, from 15 to 5 (Harrison et al. 1976, Perry 1976). This low N/P ratio could also be explained by the capacity of algal cells to accumulate some phosphate into their cytoplasm in excess of their immediate needs (Bonin 1988).

At the end of the cell growth of the culture enriched with labelled nitrate (culture 15-N), nitrogen budgets could be established both from measurements obtained with the wet chemical oxidation method and the isotopic technique, which helps to support the results,
especially those concerning the mean level of the phytoplanktonic DON release. Although the isotopic method is certainly more precise, both nitrogen budgets were very close and show that the quantity of DON released remains low, approximately 4% of the NO₃ uptake. In this culture, the rate of DON release during light/dark incubations was around 10.4 to 13.3 nmol N 1⁻¹ h⁻¹ (filtered and nonfiltered samples) between the beginning and the end of the experiment. These concentrations of DON excretion enabled us to completely or nearly completely balance the nitrogen budgets in our experiments. Since the cultures remained axenic during the entire experiments, we can be certain that DON produced by the phytoplankton was not immediately taken up by bacteria, as in the case of the natural environment (Naiewajko & Schindler 1976, Jensen 1983). Furthermore, since no heterotroph was present, these released compounds must have been produced by the phytoplankton via excretion, death and lysis or virus-induced lysis (Suttle et al. 1990, Brattak et al. 1992). For example, we observed an increase in DON concentrations of up to 15 μM in a culture of Dunaliella tertiolecta after we left the culture in senescent conditions for 15 d (data not shown). Sharp (1977) and Wood et al. (1992) have also observed that a larger percentage of phytoplanktonic production was converted to dissolved organic matter by senescent cells. These observations are in agreement with studies of the relationship between phytoplankton and bacteria indicating that, generally, the flux (through dissolved organic matter) of primary producers towards bacteria is weak (P. Conan, C. M. Turley, E. Stutt, M. Pujo-Pay & F. Van Wambeke unpubl.). These authors have shown an increase in this flux in relation with a drastic decrease of the assimilation number (Parsons et al. 1984) which characterizes the physiological state of the autotrophic population. A decrease may occur during post bloom situations (cell degradation, high rate of grazing, etc.) or because of high cell concentrations during experiments. Nevertheless, looking at the shape of the growth curves, we can say that during the time of the present experiments algal populations were in an active growth phase, and that cellular senescence was a minor contribution to DON release in the culture media.

Concerning active excretion, it has been debated for many years whether phytoplankton can excrete DON during its metabolism (Sharp 1977, Aaronsn 1978, Lancelot 1983, Obernosterer & Herndl 1995). The controversy concerns methodological issues and artefacts (Sharp 1977, 1984, Fujihrman & Bell 1985) such as the release of DON by cell breakage during filtration (Arthur & Rigler 1967, Herbland 1974, Goldman & Dennett 1985) or other experimental shocks (light, temperature, salinity, mechanical shocks, etc.). It has been effectively reported that stressed algae may use this excretion as a mechanism for maintaining metabolic integrity in a changing environment (Fogg et al. 1965, Fogg 1977, 1983, Wood & Van Valen 1990). However, if mechanical cell breakage during filtration was taking place, it was negligible in the results presented here, since a culture test, conducted with measurements using a 'sampling-bag' did not reveal different DON values compared with those of samples filtered onto GF/F. This observation is important for interpretations of both PN and DON evolution. If filtration can potentially induce an 'artificial' release of DON, this also results in an underestimation of the particulate fraction (PN) determined by the filtration of samples. The level of DON production observed in these growing cultures appears to represent a real process and not an experimental artefact generated by filtration. Furthermore, even when cells were physiologically stressed, neither Phaeodactylum tricornutum nor Dunaliella tertiolecta excreted more DON. No significant 'overflow' reaction of the phytoplankton (Fogg 1983) was observed here due to nutrient deficiency, as has sometimes been reported from laboratory cultures (Myklestad 1977, Watanabe 1980). Here we assume that only NO₃ was used as a nitrogen substrate and thus contributed to DON release. In all the cultures we studied, release/excretion of DON remained at less than 6% of the DIN uptake.

Our results are lower than those previously described (Newell et al. 1972) where the percentage of DIN uptake released as DON was in the range of 7 to 25% for laboratory cultures of Dunaliella tertiolecta.

Table 7: Comparison of DON concentrations between samples coming from inside a sampling bag placed into the culture (DON1) and samples coming from outside this sampling bag and filtered through GF/F filters (DON2). n: no. of observations; df1: degrees of freedom for the F-test (test of the equality of variances as n < 30); and df2: degrees of freedom for the t-test (equality of means, performed on samples with variances not statistically different). The values of both tests are given and the critical value of the test is indicated in brackets (v). Both tests are nonsignificant (α = 0.05), thus DON1 and DON2 are considered identical.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DON1</th>
<th>DON2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>9.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>df1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-test (v)</td>
<td>1.023 (2.918)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled variance</td>
<td>5.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>df2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-test (v)</td>
<td>0.278 (1.717)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability</td>
<td>0.392</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Furthermore, we did not find the high levels of DON release observed by Collos (1992) and Collos et al. (1992) which were up to 63% of DIN uptake. Neither did we obtain the depletion of DON concentrations around 0 μM observed in the culture medium after a darkness period reported by Collos et al. (1992). It is possible that the initial nitrate enrichment (around 200 μM) produced in their culture created a greater perturbation than we achieved in our cultures (between 15 and 40 μM). In addition, cell rupture during filtration may have occurred in these authors' cultures, where according to the initial cell concentrations (4 × 10^7 cells ml⁻¹) the biomass was far higher than in the cultures presented here (initial cell concentrations around 1000 or 5000 cells ml⁻¹).

Other studies have been carried out on nitrogen uptake by phytoplankton and subsequent release of DON during investigations using the isotopic ¹⁵N technique of Bronk & Glibert (1991). Estimations by Bronk & Glibert (1991) and Bronk et al. (1994) were also higher than our results, where an average of 23 to 41% of DIN taken up by phytoplankton was released as DON. However, their experimental strategy was quite different and experiments were conducted in non-axenic seawater incubations. Here, DON was released from phytoplankton or other cells which became labelled with ¹⁵N during the course of the incubation. Furthermore, contrary to previous thinking (Chan & Campbell 1978, Wheeler & Kirchman 1986), nitrate uptake by marine bacteria can be significant (Kirchman et al. 1991, 1992, 1994, Pujo-Pay 1995). As some bacteria can pass through 0.2 μm filters (Li 1990, Stockner et al. 1990), nitrate uptake could have resulted from ¹⁵N enrichment associated with these bacteria in the <0.2 μm filtrate thus resulting in an overestimate of DON release (Bronk & Glibert 1991). On the other hand, in the study by Bronk & Glibert (1991), higher DON release was found in an experiment conducted during the end of the spring bloom, when there was a rapid decline in the population of phytoplankton. These observations are consistent with our high level of DON release measured in a 'dying' culture of Dunalieila tertiolecta as indicated previously. Other investigations of DON release have been conducted by Gilbert & Bronk (1994) on cyanobacteria. In tropical and sub-tropical oceanic waters, even though an average rate of 50% of the N₂ fixed was released as DON during studies on Trichodesmium spp., compared with ambient seawater concentrations of DON, these releases are small (Gilbert & Bronk 1994). Furthermore, in these studies conducted on unfiltered seawater, impact of grazers could not have been estimated. Zooplankton can lead to significant dissolved organic matter (such as amino acids) production (Andersson et al. 1983, Nagata & Kirchman 1991) and it was likely that they contributed to measured DON release (Glibert & Bronk 1994).

Finally, levels of DON excretion found in our cultures (<10%) are close to those of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) found in the literature. The percentage of primary production excreted as DON by different phytoplanktonic cultures has been measured to be on average 13% (Baines & Paces 1991) or to comprise between 4 and 5% (Malinsky-Rushansky & Legrand 1996) or 1 to 15% (see Table 1 in Malinsky-Rushansky & Legrand 1996). It is notable that these percentages of DOC and our DON release are close to each other and are consistent with the hypothesis that algae maintain a relatively constant C/N ratio (Redfield 1958). Later variations in the C/N ratio in the dissolved organic matter (>100) might be attributed to the different turnover of these 2 elements (Thingstad 1993, Thingstad & Rassoulzadegan 1995).

Active release of DON by phytoplankton by excretion exists and must be considered within the nitrogen budget. It was, however, relatively low (less than 10% of the NO₃ uptake), at least for Dunalieila tertiolecta and Phaeodactylum tricornutum species, and was not as high as some previous estimates. We have taken care to ensure that stress induced DON release is not overestimate of DON release (Bronk et al. 1994) or 1 to 15% (see Table 1 in Malinsky-Rushansky & Legrand 1996). It is notable that these percentages of DON release (Glibert 1991) during investigations using the isotopic ^N technique of Bronk & Glibert (1991). Estimations by Bronk & Glibert (1991) and Bronk et al. (1994) were also higher than our results, where an average of 23 to 41% of DIN taken up by phytoplankton was released as DON. However, their experimental strategy was quite different and experiments were conducted in non-axenic seawater incubations. Here, DON was released from phytoplankton or other cells which became labelled with ¹⁵N during the course of the incubation. Furthermore, contrary to previous thinking (Chan & Campbell 1978, Wheeler & Kirchman 1986), nitrate uptake by marine bacteria can be significant (Kirchman et al. 1991, 1992, 1994, Pujo-Pay 1995). As some bacteria can pass through 0.2 μm filters (Li 1990, Stockner et al. 1990), nitrate uptake could have resulted from ¹⁵N enrichment associated with these bacteria in the <0.2 μm filtrate thus resulting in an overestimate of DON release (Bronk & Glibert 1991). On the other hand, in the study by Bronk & Glibert (1991), higher DON release was found in an experiment conducted during the end of the spring bloom, when there was a rapid decline in the population of phytoplankton. These observations are consistent with our high level of DON release measured in a 'dying' culture of Dunalieila tertiolecta as indicated previously. Other investigations of DON release have been conducted by Gilbert & Bronk (1994) on cyanobacteria. In tropical and sub-tropical oceanic waters, even though an average rate of 50% of the N₂ fixed was released as DON during studies on Trichodesmium spp., compared with ambient seawater concentrations of DON, these releases are small (Gilbert & Bronk 1994). Furthermore, in these studies conducted on unfiltered seawater, impact of grazers could not have been estimated. Zooplankton can lead to significant dissolved organic matter (such as amino acids) production (Andersson et al. 1983, Nagata & Kirchman 1991) and it was likely that they contributed to measured DON release (Glibert & Bronk 1994).

Finally, levels of DON excretion found in our cultures (<10%) are close to those of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) found in the literature. The percentage of primary production excreted as DON by different phytoplanktonic cultures has been measured to be on average 13% (Baines & Paces 1991) or to comprise between 4 and 5% (Malinsky-Rushansky & Legrand 1996) or 1 to 15% (see Table 1 in Malinsky-Rushansky & Legrand 1996). It is notable that these percentages of DOC and our DON release are close to each other and are consistent with the hypothesis that algae maintain a relatively constant C/N ratio (Redfield 1958). Later variations in the C/N ratio in the dissolved organic matter (>100) might be attributed to the different turnover of these 2 elements (Thingstad 1993, Thingstad & Rassoulzadegan 1995).

Active release of DON by phytoplankton by excretion exists and must be considered within the nitrogen budget. It was, however, relatively low (less than 10% of the NO₃ uptake), at least for Dunalieila tertiolecta and Phaeodactylum tricornutum species, and was not as high as some previous estimates. We have taken care to ensure that stress induced DON release is not overestimated in these experiments. It therefore seems likely that if natural population of phytoplankton excrete DON at similar rates, the contribution from other parts of the plankton community (bacteria, zooplankton, etc.) to DON production (e.g. through protist and mesozooplankton grazing, phytoplanktonic cell death, or virus infections) might explain the high levels of DON release sometimes observed during experimental studies or in the natural environment. If, therefore, DON of phytoplankton origin constitutes a rapidly turned over and easily assimilable pool (Sharp 1977, Cole et al. 1982, Azam et al. 1983) which acts as an important resource for heterotrophic organisms and especially for bacteria (Cole et al. 1982, Azam et al. 1983, Azam et al. 1990), not only excreted compounds should be considered. An estimation of the turnover of the DON released through different ways could be very important in understanding the role of DON in biogeochemical cycles. This could help to clarify the biogeochemical cycles. This could help to clarify the legitimate hypotheses concerning the importance of DON, especially in oligotrophic areas where this pool comprises the most important fraction of the total nitrogen and where inorganic forms are deficient.
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