Cryptic dietary components of territorial damselfishes (Pomacentridae, Labroidei)
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ABSTRACT: The trophic status of 3 territorial damselfishes, Stegastes nigricans, Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon and Dischistodus perspicillatus, was examined by comparing the resources available in territory epilithic algal communities (EACs) with gut contents. Samples were divided into epilithic algae and associated material in 3 size fractions: 10–125 µm, 125–500 µm and >500 µm. Each fraction was examined to determine its composition (detritus, sediment, algae, invertebrate), dry mass, organic matter content and C:N ratio. The detritus-dominated 10–125 µm fractions were found to contain approximately half of the organic matter in territory samples (46 to 60%) and had C:N ratios similar to the algal fractions. In all 3 species the 10–125 µm fraction was ingested in proportion to its availability. In contrast, invertebrates, algae and sediment (>500 µm) were under-represented in the diet. It is suggested that the detritus-dominated 10–125 µm territory fraction is the primary source of organic material and nitrogen for all 3 species. Territoriality by damselfishes may be related to detrital production and accumulation rather than algal growth.
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INTRODUCTION

Territorial damselfishes (family Pomacentridae) are a conspicuous part of shallow-water coral reef fish communities. Their defence of algal-covered territories, particularly against roving herbivores, has been well documented (e.g. Robertson et al. 1976, Montgomery 1980). Territorial pomacentrids appear to feed almost exclusively on the epilithic algal community (EAC) within their territories. These algae are usually more abundant and nutritious than non-defended algae (Brawley & Adey 1977, Russ 1987). Furthermore, feeding observations, gut content analyses and assimilation studies have shown that algae are ingested and digested by these fishes (Lassuy 1980, 1984, Montgomery & Gerking 1980, Polunin 1988, Galetto & Bellwood 1994).

It is widely accepted that territorial pomacentrids are herbivores and that they actively 'farm' the algae within their territories (Horn 1989). 'Unsuitable' algae are selectively removed, whilst the more 'suitable' algae are actively defended (Lassuy 1980). The basis for this selection of algae has been explained by either its nutritional quality, productivity or ease of digestion by acid lysis (Montgomery 1980, Lobel 1981, Russ 1987). In these studies, the focus has been on the epilithic algae as the sole food source. Other components of the diet have been largely overlooked.

EACs contain more for consumers than just algae. On coral reefs, sediment, detritus and invertebrates are an integral part of epilithic algal communities (Hatcher 1983, Zeller 1988, Russ & St John 1989). In the present study this collection of material is referred to as the epilithic algal matrix (EAM), i.e. the EAC plus the non-living organic component (detritus), microbial component and inorganic material (sediment). Fish which feed upon these EAMs usually ingest a combination of resources (Lobel 1980, Kuo & Shao 1991). It is, therefore, difficult to determine which components are targeted and which are nutritionally significant. Detritus, in particular, is a potentially important food resource in marine EAMs.

*E-mail: shaun.wilson@jcu.edu.au

© Inter-Research 1997
Resale of full article not permitted
Detritus sensu stricto has been described as non-living organic matter in various stages of decomposition (Gerking 1994), although a broader definition is used herein (defined in ‘Sample analyses’ section). Detritus s.s. can be subdivided into amorphous and morphic material. Amorphous material is usually very fine (0.5 to 250 µm), translucent, lacking discrete structure and of a high nutritional quality (Calow 1975, Bowen 1984, Alber & Valiela 1994). In comparison, morphous detritus can be recognised as fragments of the organisms from which they originated. Morphous detritus is generally composed of large particles (250 to 2500 µm), high in structural carbohydrates and of relatively low nutritional value (Bowen 1984, D’Avanzo et al. 1991). Detritus has been reported to be a significant food source for many fish species, with evidence of selection for the finer detrital particles (Odum 1970, Bowen 1979, 1983, Mundahl & Wissing 1987).

In this paper we reassess the trophic status of 3 territorial pomacentrids by examining the patterns of utilization of territorial resources, paying particular attention to algal and detrital components. All 3 species, Stegastes nigricans, Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon and Dischistodus perspicillatus, have been classified as herbivores which farm the algal resources within their territories (Lassuy 1980, Lobel 1980, Horn 1989, Randall et al. 1990, Choat 1991). In the present study, the sediment composition and the quality and quantity of resources available in territory EAMs was compared with ingested material. This identified the most nutritious dietary components and the extent of selection for dietary components, organic material and sediment particle sizes. It provides a first quantitative assessment of the relative importance of detritus, invertebrates and epilithic algae in the diet of territorial pomacentrids on coral reefs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Behavioural observations and sample collections. This study was based on reefs around Lizard Island (14°42’S, 145°30’E), in the northern section of the Great Barrier Reef. The study site extended along the lagoonal margin of the reef between Palmyro Is. and South Is. Here, Stegastes nigricans and Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon defend territories amongst the open branches of Acropora spp. (A. nobilis, A. grandis and A. formosa) in 0.5 to 3 m of water, whilst Dischistodus perspicillatus defend territories over areas of adjacent open sand at 3 to 6 m depth.

The feeding behaviour of the 3 study species, Stegastes nigricans, Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon and Dischistodus perspicillatus, was recorded on slates whilst using SCUBA. During 5 min observation periods the location of each bite (water column, sediment substratum, EAM) and the total number of bites were recorded. A total of 10 observations were undertaken on each species over a 10 d period (in March 1995), 5 in the morning (10:00 to 12:00 h) and 5 in the afternoon (14:00 to 17:00 h). Each fish was only observed once. The data were transformed [log(x + 1)] to improve normality and homoscedasticity of the data prior to analyses using a 2-way ANOVA.

Seven individuals of each species were collected along with samples of the EAM in their territory. Fish were collected in the afternoon (14:00 to 16:00 h) using nets or spears and kept on ice during transport to the lab. To ensure that all material in the EAM was retained in Stegastes nigricans and Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon territory samples, plastic click-seal bags were placed over the algal-covered coral branches before the branches were carefully broken with a chisel. Approximately 800 g of EAM-covered coral was removed from each territory. The bags were sealed and the entire contents returned to the lab for processing. The algae inside Dischistodus perspicillatus territories grows on and in the top few mm of the sediment substratum, forming an algal-sediment mat. Portions of the mat were gently lifted from the substratum (approx. 400 g total) and placed in plastic click-seal bags which were sealed and returned to the lab for processing. Samples were taken from areas adjacent to D. perspicillatus feeding marks and were of a comparable depth to the feeding marks.

**Sample processing.** For each individual fish the territory samples were divided into 2 portions. A complete, undisturbed EAM sample was collected by cutting off the algae from coral branches from the first portion using scissors. This material was briefly washed on a 10 µm filter with distilled water then frozen. The second portion was used to examine separate territory fractions. In this portion the algal-covered coral branches were brushed with a soft toothbrush and irrigated for approximately 2 min to remove epiphytic microalgae, detritus, sediment and invertebrates. This washed material along with the contents of the collection bag were then washed through 500, 125 and 10 µm sieves using fibrated sea water. The material in each sieve was briefly washed in distilled water to remove salt, placed in a plastic bag and frozen. The epilithic algae, which were now washed clean, were clipped off the coral branches using scissors, washed with distilled water and frozen. In the brushed algal fraction and in all of the sieved fractions, any invertebrates visible under a dissecting microscope were removed. In Dischistodus perspicillatus territory samples, there was no algal fraction (almost all organic material passed through the 500 µm sieve).
The fish were measured (mm standard length), weighed and dissected. The alimentary canal was removed intact, placed in a plastic bag and frozen. The material from the anterior half of the intestine was later removed for analyses.

**Sample analyses.**

**Visual analysis:** Each of the territory samples (whole EAM, washed epilithic algae, and 3 sieved fractions) and a portion of the gut contents were examined visually using a dissecting microscope. Each sample was spread over a Petri dish, covering a 15 x 15 cm grid placed underneath. For each of 50 randomly marked grid quadrats the dominant item (by area) was recorded, along with any other material present in the quadrat. These data were condensed into 4 categories: detritus, algae, sediment and invertebrates. The term detritus is used in the broad sense, i.e. to describe the organic material with no visible structure (≤10 x 30 magnification). In all samples this consisted primarily of amorphous, opaque, flocculent material. As live material could not be excluded, this material is not detritus sensu stricto (i.e. non-living organic material) as it may have contained microscopic algae, fungi and/or bacteria. Values for each category are expressed as the percent of quadrats in which that category was dominant or present. The data were analysed using Vanderploeg & Scavia's relativised electivity index (Vanderploeg & Scavia 1979).

**Organic content:** The proportion of organic material in territory fractions was determined by bleaching. A portion of each territory fraction and of the gut contents was placed into a glass vial, freeze-dried and weighed. The vials were filled with bleach (10% sodium hypochlorite), to at least twice the original sample volume. After 3 to 4 d the solution was decanted and replaced with fresh bleach. This was left for a further 3 to 4 d, then rinsed 3 times with bleach and 5 times with filtered tap water, allowing 1 h for material to settle between rinses. The sediment which remained after bleaching was freeze-dried and weighed.

Normally, the difference in weights before and after bleaching is taken to represent the amount of organic matter present. However, as bleach breaks down organic material a layer of unknown composition forms on top of the sediment. These washings were retained during each rinse in preweighed filter paper. A sub-sample of the washings was then dissolved in concentrated nitric acid, made up to volume with double-distilled water and analysed for calcium content using a Varian SpectraAA-10 atomic absorption spectrophotometer. The calcium concentration was used to calculate how much calcium carbonate was lost from each sample. These values were then used to adjust the estimated percentage organic matter. The total amount of organic material present in each territory fraction was calculated by multiplying the proportion of organic matter in each fraction by the proportion of total dry weight in that fraction. Standard errors (SE) were calculated using the equation:

\[
SE_{a,b}^2 = (Mean_a^2 \times SE_b^2) + (Mean_b^2 \times SE_a^2)
\]

(after Bellwood 1995) where \(a = %\) of organic matter in the fraction and \(b = \%\) of total territory sample dry weight in the fraction. Because of non-independence, territory fractions for each species were compared using a Kruskal-Wallis single factor ANOVA by ranks, with significant differences examined using a Tukey-type multiple comparison test (Zar 1984).

A complete sample of the gut contents and an intact territory sample from the corresponding fish were also analysed to determine the total organic content (method as for fractions above). Total (%) organic matter in the territory and gut samples of each species were compared using a 2-way ANOVA on arctsin transformed data (Zar 1984), followed by a Tukey test.

**Carbon-nitrogen analysis:** Samples were placed in acid-washed glass vials from which inorganic carbon in the form of CaCO\(_3\) was removed by adding excess hydrochloric acid. Each sample was then freeze-dried and homogenized using a glass mortar and pestle. Duplicate subsamples (1 to 10 mg) of each homogeneous sample were weighed into clean tin capsules and analysed for carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen content using a Carlo-Erba CHN analyser. Samples were reanalysed if duplicate values differed by >10%. Mean C:N ratios (based on weight) for each fraction were compared using a Kruskal-Wallis single factor ANOVA by ranks for each species (Zar 1984). Analyses were restricted to the algal, 10–125 and 125–500 mm fractions.

To investigate the influence of large invertebrates on CN values, 2 complete portions of territory (with all components intact) were examined (n = 7 for each species). In one, all invertebrates visible at x30 magnification were removed and in the other they remained undisturbed. C:N ratios were compared to examine the contribution of nitrogen from invertebrates. Data were analysed using a 2-way ANOVA, testing for the effect of invertebrates and fish species on C:N ratios. Data were log(x+1) transformed to improve normality and homoscedasticity prior to analysis using ANOVA.

**Particle size distributions:** Sediment which remained after bleaching the gut and territory samples was passed through a sieve series (500, 250, 125 and 63 μm). Sediment in bleach washings (described above) was added to the <63 μm category. Particle size selectivity was examined by comparing the sediment distributions in paired gut and territory samples. This was tested using Vanderploeg & Scavia's (1979) relativised electivity index. Values were calculated for each fish separately (7 fish per species).
RESULTS

Feeding observations

In all 3 damselfish species, feeding was generally confined to the areas defended. *Stegastes nigricans* and *Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon* fed almost exclusively on the epilithic algal matrix which covers the branching *Acropora* spp. skeletons in their territories (Table 1). In *S. nigricans*, each bite removed a small clump of algae and associated material. *H. plagiometopon*, however, rarely removed any epilithic algae. Instead these fish appeared to mouth the algae on the upper side of coral branches, drawing off epiphytic material. Occasionally, algae were dislodged and spat out.

In contrast to *Stegastes nigricans* and *Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon*, *Dischistodus perspicillatus* took almost all of its bites from the algal mat growing on and within the top few mm of the open sediment within its territory. Occasionally resident *D. perspicillatus* took bites from epilithic algal-covered rocks within or adjacent to their territories (Table 1).

In all 3 species, bite rates were higher in the afternoon than in the morning (Fig. 1, $F_{1,25} = 88.89$, $p < 0.001$), with the same relative increase in feeding rates (i.e. no time of day $\times$ species interaction; $F_{2,24} = 0.01$, $p = 0.994$). However, there was a significant difference between species ($F_{2,24} = 13.5$, $p < 0.001$), with *Stegastes nigricans* taking fewer bites than either of the other 2 species (Tukey test: *S. nigricans* $< *Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon* $= *Dischistodus perspicillatus*).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Epilithic algae</th>
<th>Sediment</th>
<th>Water column</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Stegastes nigricans</em></td>
<td>99.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon</em></td>
<td>97.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.7 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dischistodus perspicillatus</em></td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>96.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Feeding locations of 3 territorial damselfishes (mean % bites per location ± SE; $n = 10$ for each species)

Fig. 2. Composition of territory fractions in 3 damselfish species based on visual analyses. Values represent the mean percent of quadrats in which each of the categories was the dominant component (50 quadrats per sample; SE based on 7 fish per species)

Territory analysis

Visual examination

In the 10–125 $\mu$m territory fraction, detritus was the dominant item in almost 100% of the quadrats from *Stegastes nigricans* and *Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon* territories (Fig. 2). In *Dischistodus perspicillatus* approximately 85% of quadrats were dominated by sediment. However, in all 3 species, the bulk of the organic material in the 10–125 $\mu$m fraction was in the form of detritus.

The 2 coarse territory fractions (125–500 $\mu$m and >500 $\mu$m) from *Dischistodus perspicillatus* territories were predominantly dominated by CaCO$_3$ sediment, whilst *Stegastes nigricans* and *Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon* quadrats were dominated by either algae and/or detritus. The
algal fraction contained only small amounts of detritus in both S. nigricans and H. plagiometopon territories.

Organic content

Of the territory fractions, algae appeared to have the greatest percentage of organic matter, closely followed by the 10–125 μm fraction (Table 2). In Stegastes nigricans and Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon territories, these 2 fractions were not found to be significantly different. In Dischistodus perspicillatus territories the fine fraction had a higher proportion of organic matter than the 2 coarse fractions.

From visual inspection of territories in the field, it appeared that epilithic algae were the dominant resource. However, if examined in terms of dry weight, epilithic algae represented on average only 18.8% of the total dry weight of the EAM in Stegastes nigricans territories. In Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon territories the value was 11.1%. In contrast, the 10–125 μm fraction formed over 50% of the dry weight in both S. nigricans and H. plagiometopon territories (Table 3). If these values are considered in relation to the percent organic matter in each fraction, the results are striking. Although the algal fraction contained a high percentage of organic matter, in terms of its contribution to the total amount of organic material in the territory it represented only a relatively small component. For example, epilithic algae provided an average of 24% of the total organic material in Stegastes nigricans territories, compared to 60% for the 10–125 μm fraction.

Table 2. Organic matter content of each territory fraction for 3 damselfish species (mean % of fraction dry weight ± SE; n = 7 per species). Lines under values indicate fractions within a species which were not found to be significantly different (p < 0.05; Tukey test)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>10–125 μm</th>
<th>125–500 μm</th>
<th>&gt;500 μm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stegastes nigricans</td>
<td>66.1 ± 3.1</td>
<td>45.9 ± 5.2</td>
<td>29.8 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon</td>
<td>55.5 ± 4.1</td>
<td>33.7 ± 4.4</td>
<td>26.6 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dischistodus perspicillatus</td>
<td>27.3 ± 6.1</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Epilithic algal matrix (EAM) composition in the territories of 3 damselfish species (mean % of total territory EAM dry weight ± SE; n = 7 per species)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>10–125 μm</th>
<th>125–500 μm</th>
<th>&gt;500 μm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stegastes nigricans</td>
<td>18.8 ± 4.6</td>
<td>60.6 ± 3.5</td>
<td>15.2 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon</td>
<td>11.1 ± 2.8</td>
<td>54.6 ± 7.4</td>
<td>17.5 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dischistodus perspicillatus</td>
<td>9.4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>40.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>50.2 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carbon:nitrogen ratios

The ratios of organic carbon to nitrogen in all Stegastes nigricans and Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon territory fractions were between 5:1 and 10:1, suggesting that both the algal and detrital material were of a high quality. The mean ratios of each fraction (Table 4) were not found to be
significantly different (Kruskal-Wallis tests) in *S. nigricans* (*H*² = 0.83, *p* > 0.5) or *H. plagiometopon* (*H*² = 3.59, *p* > 0.1). Fractions from *Dischistodus perspicillatus* territories had higher C:N ratios than the other 2 species (Table 4). Variation in C:N ratios was also greater in *D. perspicillatus* samples, however, this variation may be attributed to the low percentage of organic material in these fractions.

Within the territories of each species, macro-invertebrates accounted for only 1 to 2% of the total dry weight (Table 5). The territories of *Stegastes nigricans* had the greatest relative weight of invertebrates and *Dischistodus perspicillatus* the least, although the means were not found to differ significantly between species (*F*₂,₁₁ = 1.02, *p* = 0.39). Macro-invertebrates also appear to contribute little to the overall nitrogen content of territories (Table 5). Analyses of the C:N ratios in territory samples with and without invertebrates revealed no significant difference between C:N ratios (*F*₁,₁₀ = 0.10, *p* = 0.76), nor was there a species × invertebrate interaction (*F*₂,₁₀ = 0.30, *p* = 0.74). However, there was a difference between the 3 fish species in terms of the territory C:N ratios (*F*₂,₁₀ = 10.69, *p* < 0.001), with a Tukey test revealing a higher C:N ratio in *D. perspicillatus* territories. The organic material from *S. nigricans* and *H. plagiometopon* territories therefore, appears to have been of a higher quality than that from *D. perspicillatus* territories.

### Table 4. C:N ratios of epilithic algal matrix fractions from the territories of 3 damselfish species (mean ± SE; *n* = 7 per species)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>10-125 μm</th>
<th>125-500 μm</th>
<th>Algae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Stegastes nigricans</em></td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon</em></td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dischistodus perspicillatus</em></td>
<td>16.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>12.4 ± 3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Influence of macro-invertebrates on C:N ratios of fish territory samples (mean ± SE; inverts = invertebrates visible at 30x magnification; *n* = 5 per species for % dry weight, *n* = 7 for C:N ratios)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>% of territory dry weight</th>
<th>C:N ratios in territory with inverts</th>
<th>C:N ratios in territory without inverts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Stegastes nigricans</em></td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon</em></td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dischistodus perspicillatus</em></td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>13.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>17.0 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Selectivity by fish

Detritus, algae and invertebrates

Visual analyses of gut contents and territory samples revealed the extent of selectivity for dietary components in terms of the proportion of quadrats in which the components were the dominant item (Fig. 4). In *Stegastes nigricans*, the relative proportions of the major dietary components in the gut contents were comparable to their occurrence in territory samples. However, in *Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon* the discrepancy between gut contents and territory samples was marked, with algae being strongly under-represented; the gut contents were almost exclusively detritus. *Dischistodus perspicillatus* gut samples were dominated by sediment, although there was an increased representation of both algae and detritus. In all 3 species, invertebrates made up an extremely small proportion of the ingested material. In *S. nigricans* and *H. plagiometopon* these invertebrates included polychaetes, crustaceans and foraminiferans. In *D. perspicillatus* foraminiferans were the only invertebrates recorded.
Resource availability and ingestion patterns (Fig. 4) were compared using electivity indices (Fig. 5). In Stegastes nigricans the indices suggest that feeding was random with respect to detritus and algae, whilst sediment and invertebrates were under-represented (Fig. 5). Indices for Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon also display a negative value for the invertebrate category; however, the most striking feature is the strong under-representation of algae. H. plagiometopon appears to have avoided ingesting algae. In Dischistodus perspicillatus algae and sediment also appear to be under-represented.

Selection of organic matter

The percentage of organic matter in the gut and territory of Stegastes nigricans were both approximately 53%, compared to 35% in Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon (Table 6). In marked contrast, Dischistodus perspicillatus territory samples contained only 3.9% organic matter, yet gut contents contained 13% organic matter (Table 6). Statistical analysis revealed no significant location (gut or territory) effect ($F_{1,36} = 1.32, p > 0.5$) nor any species × location interaction ($F_{2,36} = 2.45, p > 0.2$). However, there was a species difference ($F_{2,36} = 62.26, p < 0.001$), with all 3 species differing in the organic content of the guts and territories (Tukey test $p < 0.05$; D. perspicillatus < H. plagiometopon < S. nigricans).

Sediment particle size selection

In terms of dry weight, most sediment particles ingested by Stegastes nigricans were <63 μm (Fig. 6). The size distribution of sediment particles in Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon guts was similar to S. nigricans, with the <63 μm fraction contributing most to the total sediment mass. Sediment in the territories of both species had a similar size distribution to those in the guts, with the exception of a greater proportion of particles >500 μm in H. plagiometopon territories. The particle size distribution in Dischistodus perspicillatus territories and guts was completely different to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle size μm</th>
<th>Stegastes nigricans</th>
<th>Gut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;63</td>
<td>53.5 ± 6.5</td>
<td>53.1 ± 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63-125</td>
<td>36.8 ± 3.4</td>
<td>34.7 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125-250</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>13.0 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Percentage of organic matter in whole territory samples and gut contents of 3 damselfish species (mean ± SE; n = 7 per species)
the other 2 species. Most of the weight in these samples was provided by large particles (>125 μm). The contribution of fine material to the territory and gut samples was relatively small (Fig. 6).

Electivity indices suggest that *Stegastes nigricans* and *Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon* did not select the fine particles from their territory, although they may have avoided ingesting larger particles (Fig. 7). This was most evident in *H. plagiometopon*, which appeared to be feeding randomly on all particles less than 500 μm and avoiding anything larger. *Dischistodus perspicillatus* also displayed little selectivity, with only a weakly positive mean electivity index for particles <63 μm and a weakly negative value for particles >500 μm.

**DISCUSSION**

The 3 damselfish species examined in this study represent some of the most conspicuous herbivorous fish species on the Great Barrier Reef. All 3 species actively defend territories containing a rich EAC on which they feed. It is widely suggested that it is the epilithic algae which are the focus of this type of territorial activity (Branch et al. 1992). Herbivorous fishes are vigorously excluded, undesirable algae are ‘weeded out’ and high standing stocks of algae are maintained (Robertson et al. 1976, Lassuy 1980, Russ 1987). Algae within territories may be highly productive and easily digested (Montgomery & Gerking 1980), with territory EACs having a relatively high nitrogen content (Russ 1987). A large proportion of this productivity of territory EACs is consumed by the resident damselfish (Politun & Klumpp 1989).

However, our data suggest that for all 3 study species, the epilithic algae were only a minor component of the diet and that the bulk of the organic matter and nitrogen was derived from other sources. Of these, detritus may have been a viable alternative to algae as the main nutritional resource. It appears that these 3 species may be predominantly detritivores, rather than herbivores.

**Feeding behaviour**

The observed feeding behaviour was consistent with previous observations on territorial pomacentrids, i.e. with most feeding within the territories (Lassuy 1980, Lobe 1980, Montgomery 1980, Politun 1988) and higher feeding rates in the afternoon (Robertson 1984). Increased feeding in the afternoon has been recorded in a number of other herbivorous reef fish species (e.g. Politun & KLumpp 1989, Bellwood 1995). It has been suggested that there is a direct relationship between feeding intensity and the concentration of photosynthate in the algae (Taborsky & Limberger 1980, Politun & KLumpp 1989, Zoufal & Taborsky 1991).

It is interesting to note that increased afternoon feeding rates have also been recorded in *Ctenochaetus striatus*, a territorial detritivorous acanthurid (Choat & Bellwood 1985, Politun & KLumpp 1989, Purcell & Bellwood 1993), and in scarids, which consume the complete EAC (Bruggemann et al. 1994, Bellwood 1995).

Why presumed herbivores and detritivores have the same feeding pattern is unclear. Given that these patterns may not be related solely to changes in the epilithic algal component, the role of microalgae, detritus, microbes and other epiphytic material in this system needs to be investigated. It is noteworthy that studies of EAC quality throughout the day did not distinguish epilithic algae from other material and in algal quality analyses only macroinvertebrates and large epiphytes were removed (Zoufal & Taborsky 1991). Furthermore, in Caribbean damselfishes, at least, diatoms appear to be a major constituent of damselfish territories (Robertson 1984). The role of detritus s.s., microbial populations and microalgae clearly needs to be determined.

As diurnal changes in the quantity and quality of detritus and epiphytic material have not been evalu-
ated, the increase in afternoon feeding intensity by territorial pomacentrids should not be linked with epilithic algae alone. Indeed, at this point, a diurnal increase in the quality of detritus and epiphytic material appears to be as likely as an increase in epilithic algal quality.

**Analysis of food resources within territories**

Many studies have reported high standing stocks of algae within pomacentrid territories (e.g. Brawley & Aden 1977, Lassuy 1980, Montgomery 1980, Russ 1987). However, these studies are based on a comparison of algal biomass within and outside territories. They do not indicate the importance of algae relative to other resources within the EAM.

Quantitative assessment of organic matter in *Stegastes nigricans* and *Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon* territories revealed that the algal fraction accounted for less than 25% of the organic material. The illusion of a territory dominated by epilithic algae was created by the volume of the algae in situ. However, as most algae are over 80% water (Levring et al. 1969), the dry weight contribution to the EAM may be relatively small. In contrast, the fine (detrital) fraction comprised over 50% of the organic material in *S. nigricans* and *H. plagiometopon* territories, and may have represented the primary nutritional resource for these species.

It must be noted that we examined only standing stocks of algae and detritus. Whilst it is suggested that pomacentrid territory EACs are highly productive (Montgomery 1980, Klumpp et al. 1987, Russ 1987), the rates of microbial and detrital production/accumulation are poorly known. To assess the relative importance of algal productivity in territories it must be compared to detrital production/accumulation rates. The source of the detritus also needs to be determined.

Unfortunately the accumulation rate of detritus in pomacentrid territories has not been measured. However, Koop & Larkum (1987) estimated that detrital deposition at One Tree Reef, Australia, was between 1.5 and 4 g C m⁻² d⁻¹. In comparison, the rate of algal production in pomacentrid territories range from 1.2 to 2.6 g C m⁻² d⁻¹ (Russ 1987, Klumpp & Polunin 1989). Given an estimated daily carbon uptake of the territorial pomacentrid *Plectroglyphidodon lacrymalatus* of 1.43 g C m⁻² d⁻¹ (Polunin 1988), it appears that both detrital and algal production rates are of a comparable magnitude to that required to independently fulfil the carbon requirements of this species.

So far, we have considered only the quantity of organic material in territory fractions. What of the relative quality of algal versus detrital organic material? The size of the finest territory fraction (10-125 μm) and its visual appearance suggests that this material was dominated by amorphic detritus. It would, therefore, be expected to have been of a high nutritional value. The fine fraction of *Stegastes nigricans* and *Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon* territories had mean C:N values of 6.3:1 and 6.8:1 respectively (Table 4), which is comparable to previous estimates of amorphic detritus from macrophytes and algae (3:1 to 9:1; D'Avanzo et al. 1991, Alber & Valiela 1994).

Literature values for C:N ratios of algae show a great deal of variation between species (6.8:1 to 77.6:1; D'Avanzo & Valiela 1994). Many studies have reported high standing stocks of algae within pomacentrid territories (e.g. Brawley & Aden 1977, Lassuy 1980, Montgomery 1980, Russ 1987). However, these studies are based on a comparison of algal biomass within and outside territories. Literature values for C:N ratios of algae show a great deal of variation between species (6.8:1 to 77.6:1; D'Avanzo & Valiela 1994).

The relatively dense growths of filamentous algae in pomacentrid territories contain a greater number and biomass of cryptofauna than in EACs outside territories (e.g. Lobel 1980, Zeller 1988). It has been hypothesized that these invertebrates supplement the fish's diet (Lobel 1980).
In the present study, negative electivity indices (Fig 5) indicate that invertebrates were under-represented in the diet. This may not represent avoidance by the fish; the fish may simply be unable to locate or catch the invertebrates. However, the low number of invertebrates in the guts of all 3 species (Fig 4) and the fact that the presence of cryptofauna did not significantly affect the C:N ratio of the territories (Fig 5) suggest that invertebrates were unlikely to have provided a substantial source of organic nitrogen for the fish. These results are consistent with the findings of Newton (1994), who suggested that invertebrates represent less than 0.3% of the nitrogen available in *Stegastes nigricans* territories.

**Territoriality and algal selectivity**

The ‘weeding’ or ‘gardening’ behaviour of many territorial pomacentrids suggests that they selectively modify the algal assemblages within their territories. The basis for this selection is assumed to be based on a preference for those algal taxa which are most productive and palatable (Lassuy 1980, Lobel 1980, Branch et al 1992). However, in the present study, *Hemiglyphidodon plagiometopon* was found to actively avoid ingesting algae (Fig 5). In addition, if territorial pomacentrids are obtaining the bulk of their nutritional requirements from detritus or other fine-fraction components, why would they remove certain algal species from their territories? These observations suggest that weeding may serve a purpose other than the removal of algae with low palatability or productivity.

It is possible that the high standing crop of algae within territories acts like a mop for trapping detritus. Furthermore many algal species produce mucus or slime (Clayton & King 1990) which could facilitate the accumulation of particles from the water column. Differences in the external morphology of algal species would also alter the surface area available for trapping detritus. The territoriality and weeding by damselfishes may be directed to maintaining an EAC selected for its detrital production and/or trapping properties rather than for the growth or nutritional properties of the algae per se.

Current literature on algae from pomacentrid territories concentrates on the quantity and quality of the algae as a food resource. The possibility that algae perform a function other than nutrition has not been investigated, as previous studies have assumed that these fish are fully herbivorous. Future study of the efficiency of various algae to accumulate detritus may provide valuable information on the trophic status of territorial pomacentrids.

**Particle size selection**

In all 3 pomacentrid species, invertebrates, sediment and/or algae were under-represented in gut contents. Detritus, however, was consumed in proportion to its availability. As the finest territory fraction contained the highest proportion of organic material (Fig 3), some particle size selection may be expected. Sediment particle size distributions in the guts were, therefore, examined for evidence of particle size selection. Overall, there was little evidence of such selection beyond an apparent avoidance of large particles, (>500 µm; Fig 7). Although particle size reduction due to pharyngeal processing is possible (cf. Bellwood 1996), avoidance of the large: >500 µm particles is also likely. Detrital particles in this size range are more likely to be morphic (Bowen 1984), and have a higher C:N ratio than the smaller particles (cf. Sinsabaugh & Linkins 1990). Analysis of the organic content of the fraction greater than 500 µm also revealed that large particles had a lower percentage of organic material. It would, therefore, be beneficial for fish to avoid this component of the territory if feeding on detritus. This was most evident in *Dischistodus perspicillatus*, where selection of fine particles and avoidance of large inorganic particles may be one of the methods used to increase the intake of organic material.

Previous studies on the mullet *Mugil cephalus* have demonstrated that these fish feed preferentially on high quality fine detrital particles, using the gill rakers and pharynx for selection (Odum 1970). However, the same species have been shown to feed preferentially on large particles when these are of a higher nutritional quality (Costantini & Rossi 1995). Similarly, Bowen (1979) found that *Tilapia mossambicus* fed preferentially in the shallow waters of fresh water lakes, where the detritus had a higher concentration of protein. The primary decision, therefore, of what to select may be based on quality rather than particle size per se (see Hughes 1980).

There is presently no evidence that territorial pomacentrids posses any morphological traits which would improve selection of fine particles. However, if the initial decision for food selection is based on quality rather than size, it is possible that fish are using vision and/or olfaction, with associated behavioural modifications, to select food rather than rely on sized-based criteria.

The ingestion of detritus and particulate matter has been identified as a major feeding mode of marine invertebrates in both temperate and tropical systems (Hughes 1980, Hammond 1983, Alongi 1989). Furthermore, detritus has been recognised as a significant dietary component in a number of freshwater fish (Bowen 1983, 1984, Gerking 1994). In marine fish, how-
ever, and on coral reefs in particular, detritivory or particulate feeding has been largely regarded as a feeding mode restricted to a few specialized taxa (cf. Odum 1970, Horn 1989, Purcell & Bellwood 1993). The importance of fine detritus-dominated material in the diet of 3 territorial pomacentrids points to the need for a critical re-appraisal of the trophic status of reef fishes.
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