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Long-term changes in the diel vertical migration behaviour of *Calanus finmarchicus* in the North Sea are unrelated to fish predation
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Much effort is presently expended in attempting to understand more fully the dynamics of pelagic communities and the role of phytoplankton, zooplankton and fish. Long-term changes in communities may be a particularly enlightening way of determining the interactions which occur, and may be useful for predicting the consequences of environmental change. Recently, examinations of long-term changes of diel vertical migration behaviour of the calanoid copepod *Calanus finmarchicus* (copepodite stages C5 and C6) were completed by Hays (1995) and Hays et al. (1996) using data from over 3 decades, collected by the Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) survey in the North Sea. The results of their studies appeared to demonstrate that the diel vertical migration of this important zooplankton species was correlated with the biomass of the hermit *Clupea harengus*, a species for which a major component of their diet may be, late stage *C. finmarchicus* (Last 1989). While herring stocks declined from 1960 to the mid 1970s, and then increased until 1990, *C. finmarchicus* (C5 and C6) appeared to demonstrate a decrease in the time spent in surface waters from the 1960s to the mid 1970s, and an increase until the 1990s. It was suggested that this copepod avoided predation from herring by avoiding surface waters during the day in years when this visual predator was more abundant. Such a large scale, long-term pattern in behavioural change would have important implications for our understanding of fisheries and zooplankton behaviour and dynamics. We will show, however, that the results and conclusions are based on an unsuitable test, and are unfounded.

Previously the formula used to quantify diel vertical migratory activity by Hays (1995) and Hays et al. (1996) was of the form:

\[ \frac{N}{D_{\text{index}}} = \text{antilog} \left[ \text{mean} \log(n_{\text{night}} + 1) - \text{mean} \log(n_{\text{day}} + 1) \right] \]

where \( n_{\text{night}} \) and \( n_{\text{day}} \) are the number of individuals per sample for samples collected between midnight ± 6 h and midnight ± 6 h respectively. The results from this equation when compared against total herring stock demonstrated a regression with significant correlation. Unfortunately the method chosen by Hays (1995) and Hays et al. (1996) is flawed in 2 important ways. Firstly, using a constant definition of day and night which does not consider seasonality results in gross error. Many winter collected samples were defined by Hays as ‘day’ collected when they were sampled after sunset but before sunrise, conversely many summer collected samples were formerly defined as ‘night’ samples but were in fact collected during daylight hours. We find that in any one year up to 40% of individuals defined as being sampled at ‘night’ were collected during daylight hours, and up to 40% of the ‘day’ assigned ones were collected during the night-time. Given that upward migration activity generally occurs at or around sunset, and descent prior to sunrise (Huntley & Brooks 1982), it is important for this allocation to be accurate.

The second problem associated with the index derived by Hays (1995) and Hays et al. (1996) is its sensitivity to the absolute abundance of organisms. The same relative proportion of individuals collected at night to the day will give a greater \( \frac{N}{D_{\text{index}}} \) value if absolute abundance is greater. To give an example, when \( n_{\text{night}} = 20 \) and \( n_{\text{day}} = 10 \) an index value of 1.909 is derived, while when \( n_{\text{night}} = 2 \), and \( n_{\text{day}} = 1 \), the value...
We show that in previous analysis errors not correspond to some extent, as such there is some bias in the N/D index results. However, neither index provides a significant relationship against herring total biomass using our sunset-sunrise definition of day and night (p < 0.001). The N/D index results were more highly correlated to herring biomass (r² = 0.407; n = 37) than DVM (r² = 0.314; n = 37). Using the abundance dependent index resulted in greater correlation because Calanus abundance and herring biomass co-vary to some extent, as such there is some bias in the N/D index results. However, neither index provides a significant relationship against herring total biomass using our sunset-sunrise definition of day and night (p < 0.05 for N/D; p > 0.10 for DVM). Once again the DVM results are slightly less significant than the N/D results, using an abundance dependent index brings about an increase in the significance of the correlation.

Table 1. Results from linear regression and correlation analysis of diel migration index results versus herring total biomass in the North Sea, applying both indices and both definitions of day and night. Sunset-sunrise definition results in a reduction in the diel migration indices; both indices give lower values when day abundance increases with respect to those at night, and also lower values when migration is reversed (i.e. day abundance is greater than that at night). Corrections through much of the period after 1970 resulted in less change in the diel migration indices because although mis-definition of day and night was as common, abundance values were relatively lower, shifts between night and day allocation therefore resulted in less change in the diel migration index.

In our study we demonstrate that, contrary to previous suggestions of Hays (1995) and Hays et al. (1996), patterns in the changes in the diel migration of Calanus finmarchicus in the North Sea do not co-vary with the biomass of herring. We show that in previous analysis errors
Diel vertical migration by the congeneric copepod *Calanus pacificus* has previously been found to be modified by the availability of food (Dagg et al. 1997), with individuals staying in surface waters more in periods when food is less abundant (Huntley & Brooks 1982). Huntley & Brooks (1982) described how unusual daytime surface swarms of *Calanus* have been observed during periods when food may be in short supply, for example in temperate zones after the spring bloom (in the studies of Brook 1886, Bigelow 1926, Marshall & Orr 1927, Russell 1928). In these cases hunger apparently overrides the usual migration patterns. Huang et al. (1993) found that *Calanus sinicus* (C5 and C6) in the Inland Sea of Japan demonstrated an increasing amplitude of diel migration, i.e. the difference between day and night median depths, when food in surface waters increased relative to that at depth. Dagg (1985) found that well-fed *Neocalanus plumchrus* individuals undergo diel vertical migration more intensely than those which are food-limited. To explore possible interactions in the North Sea we have calculated the arithmetic mean annual phytoplankton colour measurements for the North Sea (as completed by CPR survey for the same area of the North Sea (54° to 60°N, 3°W to 10°E) as a proxy of food available to *Calanus finmarchicus*. A linear regression of DVM against the phytoplankton colour is insignificant, but only marginally so ($r^2 = 0.087; p = 0.077; n = 37$).

To some extent the lack of a significant relationship between diel migration and the abundance of the predator herring in our study may have resulted from large scales over which data has been averaged. In localised areas there is evidence that migration of marine copepods may be related to predation, for example diel vertical migration by *Calanus pacificus* was found to change in response to the abundance of visually orienting predators in a temperate fjord (Bollens & Frost 1989). We suggest that future analysis should explore migration processes at higher spatial and temporal resolutions. Such analysis may also prove fruitful with regard to the abundance or biomass of phytoplankton.
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