Sponge/coral interactions in Caribbean reefs: analysis of overgrowth patterns in relation to species identity and cover
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ABSTRACT: Coral overgrowth by sponge species was studied on Caribbean reefs to determine whether it depends on coral cover and species composition. Overgrowth was quantified in belt transects at 4 localities on the reefs of Curacao (Netherlands Antilles) and compared to 5 localities sampled on Colombian reefs. Coral perimeter, coral cover, sponge cover, sponge abundance and species richness were measured at each locality. The species richness of aggressive sponges was not influenced by coral cover, whereas the species richness of non aggressive sponges decreased with increasing coral cover. At coral covers of >25%, the sponge community was characterised by more aggressive species. This is a clear example of the importance of spatial competition, indicating that only aggressive sponge species are able to survive on reefs with high coral cover. Below 25% coral cover, the increasing presence of aggressive sponge species resulted in an increasing number of overgrowth interactions. At higher coral cover this relation is distorted. The average coral cover was significantly higher on the reefs of Curacao than on the Colombian reefs. As a consequence, more sponge species were involved in overgrowth interactions on Curacao than on Colombian reefs. The importance of this overgrowth capacity is emphasised by the impact of sponge species composition. The occurrence of coral overgrowth was dependent on the sponge species composition rather than on the abundance of sponge species. The coral species composition did not influence the frequency of sponge/coral overgrowth interactions. I conclude that competition for space between sponges and corals is important on reefs with high coral cover as more aggressive sponge species and hence more overgrowth interactions occur. Successful overgrowth of corals by sponges depends on coral cover (irrespective of coral species) and sponge species composition.
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INTRODUCTION

Variations in species diversity, distribution and abundance on a coral reef are often attributed to processes occurring within the community such as competition and predation (e.g. Sammarco 1982, Connell 1983, Schoener 1983, Sih et al. 1985, Lewis 1986) and physical disturbances (review by Karlson & Hurd 1993). The importance of each of the processes remains controversial. In coral reef communities the significance of competition between the major bottom components has been discussed by various authors (Benayahu & Loya 1981, Bak et al. 1982, Bradbury & Young 1983, Suchanek et al. 1983, Sammarco et al. 1985, Lang & Chornesky 1990). Most of these studies tried to demonstrate the ecological importance of competition or to detect complex competitive networks in order to explain species diversity of the community (also Lang 1973, Russ 1982). These competitive networks are likely the result of evolution between interacting species: any species can evolve in response to...
direct interactions by changing its response to or its effect on another species (Menge 1995, Miller & Travis 1996). This results in a variety of competitive mechanisms displayed by species within the same group or between groups (Sullivan et al. 1983, Sammarco et al. 1985, Lang & Chornesky 1990). The competitive success of a species often depends on the defensive or offensive mechanism employed. Although a major part of the benthic biota on coral reefs consist of sponges, which play an important role in spatial competition (Suchanek et al. 1983), little is known about sponge/coral interactions.

Corals compete by means of a variety of direct and indirect mechanisms (reviewed by Lang & Chornesky 1990) or in the long term by redirection of growth (Romano 1990). Sponges lack specific competitive organs but often possess biologically active substances (Thompson 1985, Walker et al. 1985, Schulte et al. 1991) which can damage their neighbours (e.g. Sullivan et al. 1983, Targett 1988, Aerts & van Soest unpubl.) even in non contact situations (Porter & Targett 1988). Sponge species have been found to compete successfully with corals (Suchanek et al. 1983, Porter & Targett 1988, Vicente 1990, Rützler & Muzik 1993). Those studies mainly focused on 1 species and informed us about the effect of sponges on corals and identified winners and losers. On a Colombian reef, overgrowth activities of a variety of reef sponges have been quantified and explained by differences in the physical environment (Aerts & van Soest 1997). The impact of the physical environment is possibly equivalent as higher overgrowth frequencies of corals in polluted Pacific reef environments (Rützler & Muzik 1993) have not been found in the physically stressed Colombian Caribbean (Aerts & van Soest 1997). This contradictory result may be scale dependent or caused by differences in sponge species studied.

The outcome of competitive interactions between coral colonies is influenced by a variety of factors, such as distance between competing species and species identity (Lang & Chornesky 1990). Coral species vary enormously in their competitive capability (Lang 1973), and species identity is one of the factors determining the outcome of encounters between corals. In sponge/coral interactions a competitive dominance of sponges has been demonstrated in several studies (Russ 1982, Suchanek et al. 1983, Nandakumar et al. 1993). Most sponge species studied competed successfully with a variety of coral species. Besides differences in susceptibility, overgrowth frequency of coral species by sponges appear to depend on the frequency of encounter with aggressive sponge species (Aerts & van Soest 1997). Also, more coral cover results in more overgrowth interactions in one of the most aggressive sponge species (Aerts & van Soest 1997). To determine

the role of coral and sponge species composition and cover on the occurrence of overgrowth interactions, reefs with different coral and sponge distributions and cover need to be compared.

In the present study, I quantified coral overgrowth by sponges on the reefs of Curacao (a relatively undisturbed reef environment with high coral cover; Netherlands Antilles) and compared (and combined) the results with the occurrence of coral overgrowth found on the physically stressed continental Colombian reefs. The aim was to determine: (1) the relation between sedimentation stress and the frequency of coral overgrowth by sponges, (2) the relation between coral cover and the overgrowth frequency of corals by sponges, and (3) the relation between coral and sponge species composition and the frequency of coral overgrowth by sponges.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area.** The environmental conditions on the Caribbean reefs of Curacao and Santa Marta, NE Colombia (Fig. 1), are completely different. In Curacao, sea temperature ranges between 25 and 29°C and changes in salinity are negligible (van Duyl 1985). In Santa Marta, temperatures can drop to 21°C and salinity can rise to 37% due to upwelling of cold water (Zea 1987). Temperatures can increase to 30°C and the influence of freshwater can cause a decrease in salinity to 29% or less (Bula 1977, Müller 1979). There are high
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in which species richness is the number of non aggressive species; the asymptote is the minimum number of non aggressive species present with increasing coral cover; richness max is the number of non aggressive sponge species which theoretically can be reached in the sampled area; slope is the regression slope. The non linear iterative fitting method used the above function and the Simplex least-squares method (Wilkinson 1989). This regression model was also successfully used in a study describing regeneration processes in corals (Meesters et al. 1994). The other variables were analysed using linear regressions. Assumptions for the use of regression were checked by residual plots (Wilkinson 1989) and data were transformed when necessary. Differences in variable means among the stations of the 2 Caribbean reefs were tested by single classification ANOVA with log(10x) (coral cover, number of overgrowths m²) and log(x) (sedimentation) transformed data (Sokal & Rohlf 1981). When necessary multiple comparisons of means were performed using the Tukey-Kramer method (Sokal & Rohlf 1981).

RESULTS

Overgrowth, sponge species richness and coral cover

A total of 246 overgrowth interactions of corals by sponges were sampled in 780 m² at 26 stations on the reefs of Curacao and Colombia. This is an average of 0.32 overgrowth interactions m⁻², which means that in each 3 m² an interaction involving the overgrowth of a coral by a sponge occurs. Of the 128 sponge species involved in sponge/coral encounters 30 (= 23.4 %) were engaged in overgrowth interactions and considered as aggressive. The presence of aggressive sponge species (in % of the total number of sponge species) increased with increasing coral cover until a cover of approximately 25% was reached (Fig. 2A). This increase was caused by a decline in the number of non aggressive sponge species (Fig. 2B). Beyond 25% coral cover the species richness (in number) of non aggressive sponge species remained the same. The species richness of aggressive sponge species was independent of coral cover (Fig. 2C). The relatively increasing presence of aggressive sponge species influenced the correlation between coral cover and the occurrence of overgrowth interactions. Below 25% cover, the number of overgrowth interactions increased with increasing coral cover. When the coral cover exceeded 25%, no correlation was apparent between the number of overgrowth interactions and coral cover (Fig. 3). Because of the positive correlation between coral cover and
Overgrowth and species identity

On the reefs of Curaçao 68 sponge species and 22 coral species were engaged in sponge/coral encounters. A higher proportion of sponge species was encountered in overgrowth interactions and hence considered aggressive compared to the Colombian reef (33.8% vs 15.8% of total number of sponge species respectively). There was a significant difference in number of overgrowth interactions m\(^{-2}\) between the reefs of Curaçao and Colombia (0.46 ± 0.26 vs 0.21 ± 0.15 overgrowth interactions m\(^{-2}\) respectively) (ANOVA p = 0.005). The higher number of overgrowth interactions and higher percentage of aggressive sponge species on Curaçao can be explained by the difference in average coral cover on both reefs. Although there is an overlap in coral cover among the stations of both reefs (range Curaçao 17.5 to 55.1%, range Colombia 7.0 to 42.1%), the average coral cover was higher on Curaçao than on Colombian reefs (31.6 ± 13.9% vs 18.4 ± 11.2%, ANOVA p = 0.008).

All sponge species, except those which were not or only sporadically observed (see Table 1), were found to overgrow corals more frequently on Curaçao than on Colombian reefs (Fig. 4). Between sponge species, a great difference in overgrowth ability can be seen. The most aggressive sponge species on both Caribbean reefs is Desmapsamnia anchorata. On the reefs of Curaçao 5 other sponge species (Aplysina fulva, A. lacunosa, Callyspongia fallax, Neofibularia noitangeri and Verongula rigida) displayed rather high overgrowth frequencies (≥30%).

More coral species were overgrown by sponges on Curaçao (17 species, which is 77.3% of total number of coral species) than on Colombian (12 species, i.e. 57.1% of total number of coral species) (Fig. 5). On the Curaçao:

\[ y = 54.0 - (54.0 \times 10^{-0.05}x), \quad R^2 = 0.49 \]

\[ y = 13.8 + (88.7 \times 10^{-0.05}x), \quad R^2 = 0.56 \]

\[ y = 17.7 - 0.07x, \quad R^2 = 0.07 \]

perimeter (\(R^2 = 0.79, p < 0.001\)), the abovementioned results were also found using coral perimeters. Abundance of aggressive sponge specimens and sedimentation load were not significantly correlated with the occurrence of overgrowth interactions (\(R^2 = 0.033, p = 0.371\) and \(R^2 = 0.017, p = 0.531\) respectively).

Fig. 2. Sponge species richness (in number of species m\(^{-2}\)) and coral cover for all sites and depths. (A) Relative presence of aggressive sponge species (in % of total number of sponge species). Estimated non linear regression line: \(y = 54.0 - (54.0 \times 10^{-0.05}x), \quad R^2 = 0.49\). (B) Number of non aggressive sponge species. Estimated non linear regression line: \(y = 13.8 + (88.7 \times 10^{-0.05}x), \quad R^2 = 0.56\). (C) Number of aggressive sponge species. Line fitted the linear model: \(y = 17.7 - 0.07x, \quad R^2 = 0.07\).

Fig. 3. Number of overgrowth interactions (m\(^{-2}\)) and mean coral cover (in %) for all sites and depths. The lines fitted the linear model: number of overgrowth interactions = \(a + b \times x\) with \(a = -0.36, \quad B = 0.03, \quad R^2 = 0.66, \quad p = 0.001\) for the stations with a coral cover below 25% and \(a = 0.37, \quad slope = 0.001, \quad R^2 = 0.006, \quad p = 0.818\) for all stations with a coral cover above 25%.
Fig. 4. Frequency of interaction categories in % of total number of encounters, for each sponge species on Curacao and Colombian reefs. (■) Overgrowth interactions, (□) peripheral contact interactions, (■) contact interactions, (□) non contact interactions and (□□) species were present on the reef but no encounters with corals were observed. For abbreviations of species names see Table 1.

Fig. 5. Frequency of each interaction category in % of total number of encounters for each coral species on Curacao and Colombian reefs. (■) Overgrowth interactions, (□) peripheral contact interactions, (■) contact interactions, (□) non contact interactions and (□□) species were present on the reef but no encounters with corals were observed. AAGA: Agaricia agaricites; CNAT: Colpophyllia natans; DLAB: Diploria labyrinthiformis; DSTR: Diploria strigosa; DSTO: Dichocoenia stokesi; EFAS: Eusmillia fastigiata; MANN: Montastrea annularis; MCAV: Montastrea cavernosa; MDEC: Madracis decactis; MMEA: A4eandrina meandntes; MMIR: Madracis mirabilis; Mspec: Millepora spp.; PAST: Porites astreoides; PPOR: Porites porites; SMIC: Stephanocoenia michelini and SSID: Siderea siderastrea.
Table 1 Presence and the total number of interactions for each sponge species for the reefs of Curaçao and Colombia. n: total number of sponge specimens; Enc.: total number of encounters (all categories) found in the sampling area. Enc. ind. \(^{-1}\) : the number of encounters per sponge specimen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Species abbreviation</th>
<th>Curaçao</th>
<th>Colombia</th>
<th>Both reefs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Enc.</td>
<td>Enc.</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aplysina archeri</td>
<td>AARC</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aplysina cauliformis</td>
<td>ACAU</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agelas clathrodes</td>
<td>ACLA</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agelas conifera</td>
<td>ACON</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aplysina fistularis</td>
<td>AFIS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aplysina fulva</td>
<td>AFUL</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aplysina lacunosa</td>
<td>ALAC</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthosigmella varians</td>
<td>AVAR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calliphyrsa armigerata</td>
<td>CARM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calliphyrsa fallax</td>
<td>CFAL</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondrilla nucula</td>
<td>CNUC</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callysispongia vaginalis</td>
<td>CVAG</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmapsamma anchorata</td>
<td>DANC</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysidea etheria</td>
<td>DETH</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectyoplasia ferox</td>
<td>EFER</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halisarca caerulea</td>
<td>HICA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyrtios proteinu</td>
<td>HPRO</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ircinia campana</td>
<td>ICAM</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ircinia felix</td>
<td>IFEL</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ircinia strobilina</td>
<td>ISTR</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monanchora arbuscula</td>
<td>MARB</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycale laevis</td>
<td>MLAE</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niphates erecta</td>
<td>NERE</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neobolbularia nolitangere</td>
<td>NNOL</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plakortis angulospiculatis</td>
<td>PANG</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoceratina crassa</td>
<td>PCRA</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raphidophlus venosus</td>
<td>RVEN</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scopalina ruetzleri</td>
<td>SRUE</td>
<td>1799</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verongula rigida</td>
<td>VRIG</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xestospongia muta</td>
<td>XMUT</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>± 0.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because most sponge species show a depth related distribution pattern (Alcolado 1994, Aerts et al. unpubl.), the importance of sponge species composition to the overgrowth frequency was assessed by cal-
Calculating depth related differences of overgrowth. In these calculations relative frequencies were used because these percentages were corrected for sponge and coral cover. Depth related differences were found with data from both reefs and from each reef separately (ANOVA p < 0.05; Table 2). The higher overgrowth frequency at 5 m depth can be explained by the fact that the most aggressive sponge species on both reefs, Desmapsamma anchorata, occurs mainly at this depth (Aerts & van Soest 1997, Aerts et al. unpubl., Fig. 6).

**DISCUSSION**

**Overgrowth and coral cover**

Inherent to stress of coral reef communities is the basic concept that stress puts them at an energetic disadvantage which interferes with the normal function of the system and affects the community structure of coral assemblages (Cortés & Risk 1984, Tomascik & Sander 1987, Edmonds 1989). Decline in the energy budget of corals affects their competitive ability (Aerts unpubl.). In environments with increased nutrient levels, coral competition with macro algae and sponges may limit coral growth by overgrowth of corals and hence reduce coral diversity and cover (Johannes et al. 1983, Rützler & Muzik 1993, Fraser & Currie 1996).

Compared with Curaçao, the Colombian reef can be characterised as stressed. Because of its higher sediment load, it has lower coral species diversity, less live coral cover and less abundance of growth forms (see review by Rogers 1990, Zea 1994). Despite these differences, the stations sampled within these 2 reefs show an overlap in variables such as coral cover, sedimentation and species composition. We found, on a regional scale (this study), no direct relation between sediment stress for corals and frequency of overgrowth by sponges. The total occurrence of coral overgrowth by sponges on the reef of Curaçao was found to be a good 2 times higher than on the relatively stressed Colombian reef.

In this study I found that competitively weak sponge species disappear first on reefs with high coral cover and limited space. The sponge community was characterised by having more aggressive species at coral covers >25%. Apparently, only competitively dominant sponge species are able to maintain space in coral reef environments with high mean coral cover, because they are better equipped to defend their position on the reef (Aerts & van Soest unpubl.).

The aggressive sponge species richness influences the occurrence of overgrowth. The number of overgrowth interactions was positively correlated with coral covers <25%. Above 25% coral cover the portion of aggressive sponge species remained constant, and also the correlation between coral cover and overgrowth was distorted. The abundance of aggressive sponge specimens did not influence the number of overgrowth interactions. Only the sponge species composition was important (discussed below). I conclude that the higher number of overgrowth interactions and higher portion of aggressive sponge species on the reef of Curaçao are caused by higher average coral cover.

**Overgrowth and species composition**

Up to this point we have focused on the overall occurrence of overgrowth on both reefs without looking at the level of the interacting species. Comparison of overgrowth frequency for each sponge species separately between the Curaçao and Colombian reefs revealed again higher overgrowth frequencies for the reefs of Curaçao. Explanations for this could be a difference in sponge functioning due to environmental conditions, a difference in coral species composition or a difference in coral cover. Polluted and stressed reef environments exhibit higher sponge biomass (Wilkinson & Cheshire 1990) as is clearly demonstrated by the higher sponge cover on the physically stressed Colombian reef. Interaction experiments with 2 specific sponge species showed obvious differences in the reaction of the sponges to the proximity of corals (Aerts et al. unpubl.). These species specific reactions to competing neighbours is also shown by differences in competitive ability between sponge species. The depth related differences in overgrowth clearly show the importance of sponge species distribution to the occurrence of overgrowth interactions.
On both reefs a distinct hierarchy in susceptibility of corals to sponge overgrowth, as observed in coral/coral interactions (Lang 1973, Bak et al. 1982, Logan 1984), was not found. This is partly due to the fact that chance of encounters with aggressive sponge species determined differences in susceptibility of corals (Aerts & van Soest 1997). On the Curacao reef, the susceptibility to sponge overgrowth of the ramose corals Madracis mirabilis and Porites porites and corals of the genus Millepora formed a striking contrast with the other coral species. Except for Millepora spp., these species were not common on the Colombian reef (Aerts & van Soest 1997). Apart from a low competitive ability of these ramose corals (Lang 1973), the high susceptibility to sponge overgrowth very likely results from a large circumference relative to the colony surface area. A relatively high circumference:surface ratio means a higher probability of interaction (Van Veghel et al. 1996) and an increasing susceptibility to partial mortality (Hughes 1996, Meesters et al. 1997). In our case the difference in overgrowth frequency by individual sponge species on both reefs was not caused by the coral species composition. The overgrowth frequency remained higher on the reefs of Curacao when only the coral species shared between the 2 reef communities were taken into account.

On the reefs of Curacao, more encounters with corals occurred per sponge individual than on the Colombian reef. Most organisms try to reduce the impact of competition by growing along margins which are not in close proximity to potential competitors (Lang & Chornesky 1590, Romano 1990). A higher coral cover increases chance of encounters between sponges and corals and enhances the overgrowth frequency of aggressive sponge species. On a community level, this study demonstrated that the extent to which coral overgrowth occurs depends on the competitive ability of sponges and coral cover. I conclude that spatial competition between sponges and corals is important on reefs with high coral cover. More aggressive sponge species and hence more overgrowth interactions occur on well developed reefs. Successful overgrowth of corals by sponges depends on coral cover (irrespective of coral species) and sponge species composition.
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