Effects of changes in seagrass shoot density and leaf height on abundances and distribution patterns of juveniles of three gobiid fishes in a *Zostera marina* bed
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**ABSTRACT** The effects of changes in the structural complexity of a seagrass *Zostera marina* habitat on the densities of juveniles of 3 gobiid fishes, *Pterogobius zonoleucus*, *Chaenogobius heptacanthus* and *Chasmichthys gulosus*, were investigated by field experimentation at Moroiso and Aburatsubo Bays, Miura Peninsula, Japan. Following seagrass manipulation, involving reduction of leaf heights and shoot densities as well as complete removal of seagrass, juvenile densities of all 3 gobiids were found to be higher in those quadrats with sparser or shorter seagrass, the abundance in the seagrass-cleared quadrat always being greater than that in the control. In the quadrat with the lowest shoot density, juveniles resided among the shoots, and were evenly distributed over a horizontal plane. In the quadrat with the shortest seagrass, juveniles appeared above the canopy, and were positioned as in the seagrass-cleared quadrat, i.e. near the surrounding walls of untreated seagrass. By contrast, in the experimental patches lacking surrounding walls of unmanipulated seagrass, no juveniles appeared. The results indicated that water column-distributed juveniles of the 3 gobiid species prefer sparser seagrass and open areas close to the wall of untreated seagrass.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Seagrass beds support large numbers of fish species and individuals, and provide nursery habitats for juveniles of many species, as compared to adjacent unvegetated areas which have different fish assemblages, usually characterized by fewer species and fewer individuals (e.g. Kikuchi 1974, Adams 1976, Weinstein & Heck 1979, Beckley 1983, Pollard 1984, Bell & Pollard 1989, Sogard 1992, Connoly 1994a, Edgar & Shaw 1995). In many studies, greater fish abundances in denser seagrass has been documented (e.g. Adams 1976, Orth & Heck 1980, Leber 1985, Bell & Westoby 1986a,b,c, Worthington et al. 1992), habitat complexity, structured by seagrass, is considered one of the major factors responsible for such differences in habitat use. Greater habitat complexity provides shelter from predation, increased microhabitat availability and more diverse and abundant prey, thereby strongly influencing mortality and growth rates of individual fish (Crowder & Cooper 1982, Gilinsky 1984, Diehl 1993, Diehl & Eklöv 1995) and, ultimately, population size.

Direct examination of the effects of structural complexity within seagrass beds on fish diversity and abundance is most easily made by manipulation of the natural seagrass. To date, several field experiments in natural seagrass beds have been conducted and have provided in different explanations for the different responses of fishes to altered structural complexity. After manipulating seagrass densities along with predator exclusion cages, Bell & Westoby (1986c)
found greater numbers of small fish in denser seagrass, regardless of predator absence, due to fish preference for a more complex habitat. Connolly (1994b) showed, on the other hand, that removal of the seagrass canopy had no affect on densities of non-pelagic small fishes. Similarly, Horinouchi et al. (1999) found that alterations in seagrass shoot density and leaf height had no affect on small benthic goby density.

The gobiid fishes *Pterogobius zonoleucus*, *Chaenogobius heptacanthus* and *Chasmichthys gulosus* are common species widely distributed along the Japanese coast. The former 2 species occupy the water column throughout their lives, whereas the latter occupies the water column early in life, but later becomes benthic (Akihito 1988). Whereas *P. zonoleucus* commonly utilizes *Zostera* beds as one of its habitats throughout its life, the other 2 species reside in *Zostera* beds only during their juvenile phase and seldom appear in these beds thereafter. At the present study sites during May and June, many juveniles of the 3 gobies occur in the water column, often forming mixed groups of 2 or 3 species. These juveniles are planktivorous, with translucent bodies up to about 30 mm in total length. After June, most of these fishes leave the *Zostera* habitats.

In the present study, we tested the predictions of previous studies concerning patterns of variation in abundances of small fish in relation to habitat complexity. Specifically, the purpose of the present study was to determine the effects of alterations in structural complexity (shoot density and leaf height of seagrass) on abundances and distribution patterns of juveniles of the above 3 gobiid fishes in a naturally occurring *Zostera* bed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study site.** The study was carried out within the adjoining bays of Moroiso and Aburatsubo (35° 09' N, 139° 37' E) on the southwestern side of Miura Peninsula, Kanagawa Prefecture, Japan, in May and June 1996 (Fig. 1). A monospecific *Zostera marina* bed (ca 2 ha) within Moroiso Bay and 5 isolated monospecific *Z. marina* patches (ca 30 m² in minimum, 50 m² in maximum and 35 m² in median) within Aburatsubo Bay were chosen for the experiments.

**Manipulation of habitat complexity.** Eight 5 m × 5 m quadrats, separated from each other by at least 5 m, were established within 20 m of the inshore edge of the bed in Moroiso Bay at depths between 1.5 and 2.0 m at low tide. The experimental design involved 7 seagrass treatments, each restricted to a single quadrat: seagrass shoot densities were reduced to (1) one quarter, (2) one half and (3) three quarters of the density in the control quadrat; leaves were shortened to (4) one quarter, (5) one half and (6) three quarters of the leaf height in the control quadrat. In treatment (7) all of the seagrass in the bed in Moroiso Bay was removed. The final quadrat (8) was established as the control.

In the leaf height-manipulations, distal ends of leaves were clipped with shears. In the seagrass shoot density or seagrass-cleared manipulations, entire shoots along with rhizomes were removed.

Leaf height was expressed as the mean length of 5 leaves chosen randomly within each quadrat. Five transects were laid in each quadrat using a scaled tape and ruler. All shoots within each transect were counted, shoot density being expressed as the mean number of shoots per 0.1 m² (n = 5). Prior to seagrass manipulations, no differences in leaf height or shoot density were apparent among the quadrats (1-way ANOVA, $F_{5,32} = 0.6$, $p > 0.7$ for leaf heights; $F_{5,32} = 0.2$, $p > 0.9$ for shoot densities). After seagrass manipulations, leaf heights and shoot densities were checked weekly and, where necessary, manipulated so as to maintain the initial condition of each treatment. Such manipulations were conducted very carefully in order not to disturb fishes.

Bordering the 3 leaf height-manipulated quadrats and the seagrass-cleared quadrat were walls of untreated leaves. To evaluate the effects of such walls, 5 isolated *Zostera marina* patches, separated from each other by at least 30 m, were selected in Aburatsubo Bay at depths between 1.5 and 2.0 m at low tide. The same leaf height treatments as in the quadrats in Moroiso Bay, each restricted to a single patch, were conducted over the whole of each patch. The final patch was established as a control. Prior to seagrass manipulations in patches, no differences in leaf height or shoot density of seagrass were apparent among these patches and the Moroiso Bay control quadrat (1-way ANOVA, $F_{5,24} = 0.3$, $p > 0.9$ for leaf heights; $F_{5,24} = 0.47$, $p > 0.7$ for shoot densities).

**Visual estimate of fish density.** In each experimental quadrat in the *Zostera* bed in Moroiso Bay, 25 blocks
1 m square were established using a scaled tape. Thirteen blocks, excluding the 4 corners of the quadrat, were selected systematically. Of the former, 8 blocks (hereafter termed marginal blocks) adjoined unmanipulated seagrass surrounding the quadrat, unlike the remaining 5 (hereafter termed central blocks). Using SCUBA, all juveniles of the 3 gobid species within each block were counted and their positions relative to the seagrass recorded visually between 10:00 and 14:00 h on 8 May and on 12 and 26 June 1996. In the control quadrat, the mean seagrass density on 8 May and 12 and 26 June was 17.6 (±1.5 SD), 13.8 (±2.2 SD) and 13.6 (±1.0 SD) shoots per 0.1 m² (n = 5), respectively, and the mean leaf height 124 (±23.8 SD) cm, 116 (±8.3 SD) cm and 114.4 (±10.4 SD) cm (n = 5), respectively. Juveniles often occurred in mixed-species groups. When a large number of individuals occurred in a group, their number was approximated by initially estimating the volume of water containing 10 individuals, and by then converting it into the estimated total volume of water containing the group. Surveys were made at least 4 d after any manipulations of seagrass. Within each of the 5 experimental patches in Aburatsubo Bay, 13 blocks (1 m × 1 m) were established in the same way as those in Moroiso Bay. A visual survey was conducted on 28 June. Prior to seagrass manipulations, the fish densities were visually surveyed, revealing no differences in fish abundance among the quadrats (Kruskal-Wallis test, p > 0.8 for each fish species) and among the patches (Kruskal-Wallis test, p > 0.8 for each fish species).

**Statistical analysis.** Since fish were not observed in all quadrats, the assumption of homogeneity of variances for parametric analysis could not be met. Therefore, the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis analysis was employed to test if juvenile densities differed among the quadrats. If the difference in fish densities among the quadrats was significant (p < 0.05), the density was compared between all possible pairs of quadrats using the Tukey's Q-test. Statistical analyses of the juvenile responses to the alterations in structural complexity of the patches were not conducted because no fish occurred in the seagrass height-manipulated and seagrass-cleared patches (see 'Results').

To evaluate horizontal and vertical distribution patterns of juveniles within each quadrat, fish densities were compared between marginal and central blocks, and between the water column within the seagrass canopy and that from the canopy top to the surface, using the Mann-Whitney test. Tied-rank adjustments were conducted in these analyses.

**RESULTS**

**Juvenile abundance in the experimental quadrats**

A greater abundance of juveniles of the 3 gobies occurred in the quadrats with sparser or shorter seagrass, although such was not always statistically significant (Fig. 2). Examination of the 3 species pooled showed a significant effect of *Zostera* density on fish abundance among the quadrats.
abundance (Kruskal-Wallis test, Fig. 2a). On 12 June, total gobiid density in the quadrat with one quarter control shoot density (25% cover) was significantly higher than that in the control quadrat (Tukey's Q-test, Fig. 2a). On 26 June, total gobiid density in the quadrat with one quarter control shoot density was significantly higher than that in the control quadrat and that with 3 quarters control shoot density (75% cover) (Tukey's Q-test, Fig. 2a). For individual species, increasing densities in quadrats with sparser seagrass were apparent, although significant only for *Chasmichthys gulosus* on 12 June and *Pterogobius zonoleucus* on 12 and 26 June (Kruskal-Wallis test, *C. gulosus* p < 0.05; *P. zonoleucus*, on 12 June, p < 0.05, and on 26 June, p < 0.01, respectively). The density of *C. gulosus* in the quadrat with one quarter control shoot density was significantly higher than that in the control quadrat (Tukey's Q-test, Fig. 2a). For *P. zonoleucus* on 12 June, increasing densities in quadrats with sparser seagrass were apparent, although the Tukey's Q-test did not detect the difference in fish density between any pair of quadrats. On 26 June, the density of *P. zonoleucus* in the quadrat with one quarter control shoot density was significantly higher than those in the control or 3 quarters control shoot density quadrats (Tukey's Q-test, Fig. 2a).

More juveniles of the 3 gobiids appeared in the quadrats with shorter seagrass, although the differences in pooled species densities among leaf height-manipulated quadrats were not statistically significant (Kruskal-Wallis test, Fig. 2b). On 12 June, the difference in pooled species densities among leaf height-manipulated quadrats was marginally significant (Kruskal-Wallis test, p = 0.06), although the Tukey's Q-test did not detect the difference in fish abundance between any pair of quadrats (Fig. 2b). For individual species, juvenile densities seemed to increase in the quadrats with shorter seagrass but were not statistically significant.

The pooled species abundance in the seagrass-cleared quadrat (0% cover) always appeared to be greater than that in the control quadrat, although the difference in density between these quadrats was statistically significant only on 26 June (Mann-Whitney test, p < 0.01, Fig. 3). Densities of each species, how-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of individuals</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Shoot density manipulation</th>
<th>Quadrats</th>
<th>Seagrass height manipulation</th>
<th>Seagrass-cleared</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25% off</td>
<td>50% off</td>
<td>75% off</td>
<td>25% off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solitary</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–40</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. *Pterogobius zonoleucus, Chaenogobius heptacanthus* and *Chasmichthys gulosus*. Frequency distribution of group size (number of individuals per group) in each experimental quadrat established in the *Zostera marina* bed at Moroiso Bay during the 3 d census.
ever, did not differ significantly between the 2 quadrats. The group size (i.e. the number of individuals per group) tended to increase in quadrats with sparser or shorter seagrass (Table 1). In untreated seagrass, juveniles often occurred individually or in small groups of no more than 10 members. In the quadrat with one quarter control density, groups of 11 to 20 juveniles occurred most often, compared with large groups of 50 or more in one quarter control leaf height and seagrass-cleared quadrats.

**Juvenile abundance in the experimental patches**

Several individuals of *Pterogobius zonoleucus* appeared in the control patch, but no fishes occurred in experimental patches manipulated for seagrass height or entirely cleared of seagrass (Fig. 4).

**Distributions of juveniles in the experimental quadrats**

Analysis of the distribution patterns of juveniles in the quadrats with one quarter control shoot density or leaf height, and in the seagrass-cleared quadrat, resulted in the following:

![Fig. 4. Mean numbers of gobiid juveniles m⁻² (Pterogobius zonoleucus only present) within patches manipulated for seagrass height. Bars indicate standard deviation](image)

**Horizontal distribution**

In the quadrat with one quarter control shoot density, juvenile abundances of individual or pooled species did not differ between the marginal and central blocks (Mann-Whitney test, all 𝑝 > 0.05) (Fig. 5a). In the quadrat with one quarter control leaf height, however, almost all of the juveniles occurred in the marginal...
Vertical distribution

In the quadrat with one quarter control shoot density, all of the juveniles appeared among the seagrass shoots (Fig. 6a). By contrast, almost all of the individuals appeared above the canopy of shortened seagrass in the quadrat with one quarter control leaf height (Fig. 6b). Several juvenile Chaenogobius heptacanthus appeared among seagrass shoots on 12 June, but the density was significantly lower than that in the water column above the canopy (Mann-Whitney test, p = 0.05).

DISCUSSION

In the experimental Zostera bed in Moroiso Bay, juvenile densities of Pterogobius zonoleucus, Chaenogobius heptacanthus and Chasmichthys gulosus were higher in the quadrats with sparser seagrass, and the group size was larger than that in the control quadrat. Juveniles resided among the shoots, and were evenly distributed over a horizontal plane. These results suggested a habitat preference for sparser seagrass by juveniles of all 3 gobiid species.

Juveniles appeared more frequently in the quadrats with shorter seagrass, usually forming large mixed-species groups in the open area above the canopy of shortened seagrass, adjacent to the walls of unmanipulated seagrass surrounding the quadrat. Similarly, in the seagrass-cleared quadrat, large groups were positioned near the surrounding walls of untreated seagrass. However, no juveniles occurred in the seagrass-manipulated patches in Aburatsubo Bay, which lacked surrounding walls of untreated seagrass, suggesting that juvenile preference was for an open space adjacent to seagrass, rather than for shortened seagrass. Such a wall-like structure also occurs at outer edges of Zostera beds or adjacent to the sand patches within a seagrass bed (identical to the seagrass-cleared quadrat). Compared with those inside a Zostera bed, juvenile gobies in such microhabitats are significantly more abundant, often forming large groups (Horinouchi 1997). These results contradict views that habitats with greater structural complexity support a greater abundance of fishes.

Several possible explanations for such a habitat use can be offered. Predation sometimes plays an important role in the structuring of a fish community (e.g. Hixon & Beets 1993, Carr & Hixon 1995, Beets 1997) and can influence both fish behaviors and activity patterns (e.g. Hobson 1973, Savino & Stein 1989). However, the densities of carnivorous fish which preyed on the juveniles were relatively low in the study area; therefore, predation pressure may not be the important factor determining the juvenile densities in the seagrass habitats. Hydrodynamics sometimes plays an important role in determining the distribution patterns of small fish. Breitburg et al. (1995), for example, found that larvae of Gobiosoma bosc actively selected a low-flow microhabitat associated with the downcurrent sides of structures. In the present study, the greater abundance in open areas close to walls of Zostera leaves may indicate their preference for low-flow microhabitats, owing to possible wave attenuation at such sites. Nevertheless, because flow velocities are reduced considerably inside a seagrass bed compared to the outside (Ackerman 1986), the fewer juveniles observed within beds as compared to the outer edge (Horinouchi 1997) suggests that additional important factors determine this behavior.
Oscillation of *Zostera* leaves in time with water motion may explain habitat preference of juveniles. At the present study sites, flapping or undulating movements of seagrass blades were regularly observed, sometimes with high amplitudes. Because such movements may result in substantial physical disturbance to small fish in the water column among the seagrass shoots, the juveniles may prefer sparser seagrass or the outer edge of the seagrass bed.

Alternatively, goby juveniles may characteristically form groups and position themselves near a structure, accounting for the abundance patterns observed in the present study. Dense seagrass may prevent juveniles from forming larger groups, because of the lack of space among the seagrass shoots. The sparser seagrass, with greater among-shoot space, may enable juveniles to form larger groups, resulting in their greater abundance. Similarly, greater abundances in the seagrass-height-manipulated and seagrass-cleared quadrats may be explained by the abundant space created above the shortened seagrass or the seagrass-removed substratum, respectively, which allow juveniles to form larger groups.

In addition to facilitating group formation, the characteristic positioning of juveniles close to a significant habitat structure may account for their distribution patterns. In the sparser seagrass, larger groups of juveniles could position themselves close to each *Zostera* shoot, because of the sufficient among-shoot space, thereby resulting in no apparent difference in juvenile densities between the marginal and central blocks in the shoot density-manipulated quadrats. In the seagrass-height-manipulated and seagrass-cleared quadrats, however, the only structures available for large groups of juveniles were the surrounding walls of unmanipulated *Zostera* leaves which resulted from the above manipulations. This could have resulted in the greater abundance of juveniles in open spaces close to a seagrass wall, in addition to the greater juvenile abundance at the outer edge of the *Zostera* bed.

The distribution patterns of these water-column juveniles of the 3 gobid fish documented here seems to have resulted from 1 or a combination of the above-mentioned mechanisms. Further research is needed to evaluate the relative importance of these and other mechanisms including food availability (Levin 1994, Levin et al. 1997).
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