An individual-based model of bay anchovy population dynamics in the mesohaline region of Chesapeake Bay
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ABSTRACT: Bay anchovy Anchoa mitichilli population dynamics in the mesohaline region of Chesapeake Bay are described and analyzed with an individual-based model. The model begins with spawning by individual females and simulates the daily growth and mortality of each female's progeny as they develop through the egg, yolk-sac larva, feeding larval, juvenile, and adult stages in a single, well-mixed compartment. The model runs for 50 yr. Eggs and yolk-sac larvae develop at rates dependent on temperature, and die at fixed daily rates. All feeding individuals (larvae, juveniles, and adults) consume zooplankton and grow according to defined bioenergetics relationships. Encounters, attacks and captures of prey, and the probability that feeding individuals will die are treated stochastically using Monte Carlo techniques. Net immigration of spawners into the model box each year is simulated in 2 ways: multiplier of survivors and as a constant number. Model predictions of stage-specific growth and survival rates, and diets were similar for multiplier and constant immigration simulations, and both were similar to observed values. Density-dependent growth of larvae and juveniles led to a negative relationship between mean length and number of recruits and to density-dependent survival of larvae and juveniles. Density-dependent growth was due to anchovy consumption reducing prey densities, and not due to temperature effects on numbers surviving and growth. First-year survivorship was lower in years of high egg production than in years of low egg production. Larval growth and survival rates were positively related to recruitment, but the reverse was true for juveniles. Years of high recruitment were not years of high first-feeder production, but rather were years of high larval survival. The model simulates a single spatial box with a forced net immigration of spawners and assumes density-independent spawning and mortality processes. The model quantifies the basic processes leading to recruitment of bay anchovy and sets the stage to explore potential compensatory responses of anchovy.
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INTRODUCTION

Understanding population dynamics of bay anchovy Anchoa mitichilli is important because this species is important in many estuarine food webs, may be impacted by anthropogenic stresses, and represents a common life history strategy. Bay anchovy is an opportunistic life history strategist (Winemiller & Rose 1992), exhibiting early maturation, batch spawning, rapid larval growth, and rapid population turnover. Bay anchovy is the most abundant fish in Chesapeake Bay, and perhaps along the South Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico Coasts of the USA (Houde & Zastrow 1991). In the Chesapeake Bay, bay anchovy is a major consumer of zooplankton and is a dominant forage item of commercially and recreationally important fish (Baird & Ulanowicz 1989, Houde & Zastrow 1991, Hartman &
Brandt 1995). Many anchovy reside in Chesapeake Bay throughout their lives, making them especially susceptible to anthropogenic stresses. Effects of power plant entrainment and impingement on bay anchovy have been the focus of much attention (Polgar et al. 1988, Summers 1989, Loos & Perry 1991). Understanding the dynamics of this abundant, short-lived species can provide information on factors controlling population dynamics of other similar, but less well-studied, fish.

Quantitative population modeling of bay anchovy in Chesapeake Bay is possible because there is considerable knowledge of all life stages based upon information from laboratory and field research (see Houde & Zastrow 1991). Ecological models which have included bay anchovy include: energy budgets of young-of-the-year (YOY) cohorts in Chesapeake Bay (Luo & Brandt 1993), power plant entrainment effects on YOY cohorts imbedded in a hydrodynamic model (Polgar et al. 1988), and anchovy biomass dynamics over a 3 yr period as part of a compartment model of the forage fish community in the Patuxent River (Summers 1989) and as 1 of 33 components in a steady-state energy flux analysis of Chesapeake Bay (Baird & Ulanowicz 1989).

In this paper, we present an individual-based model of the population dynamics of bay anchovy in a well-mixed compartment configured for the mesohaline region of Chesapeake Bay. Feeding, growth, mortality, and spawning are followed daily in 50 yr simulations. Model simulations are analyzed to identify effects of temperature and anchovy density on recruitment. Additional model analyses that explore potential compensatory responses are presented in Cowan et al. (1999, in this issue) and Wang et al. (1997).

**METHODS: MODEL DESCRIPTION**

**Model overview.** The model begins with spawning of individual females and simulates the growth and mortality of each female's progeny as they develop through the egg, yolk-sac larva, (feeding) larval, juvenile, and adult stages. Exogenous feeding begins with the larval stage (2 to 3 mm); juveniles are from 25 to 40 mm; adults are >40 mm. Individuals are followed as they grow, spawn, and die on a daily time step. Surviving female adults become spawners of next year's progeny. Two alternative assumptions for an annual migratory influx of anchovy into the mesohaline region from the southern portion of the Chesapeake Bay are represented: multiplier and constant immigration. Under multiplier immigration, the number of annual immigrants is a multiplier of the number of survivors in the mesohaline region each year. Under constant immigration, a fixed number of immigrants is added to the population each year. Individuals can live for more than 1 yr; however, due to high mortality, individuals rarely live to Age 4 in simulations. The model represents these daily dynamics in a single, well-mixed compartment (10 m × 20 m × 7.5 m deep). Model simulations are for 50 yr, with each 365-day year beginning on Calendar Day 135 (May 15), the beginning of the spawning season.

Eggs and yolk-sac larvae develop at rates dependent on temperature, and die at fixed daily rates. All feeding individuals (larvae, juveniles, and adults) consume zooplankton, and grow according to defined bioenergetics relationships. Prey encounters, attacks and captures, and mortality (probability of dying) of feeding individuals are treated stochastically and determined using Monte Carlo techniques.

For the egg and yolk-sac larva stages, each day's spawn of eggs (from pooled females) is followed through time; individuals are followed through larval, juvenile, and adult stages. The model tracks each individual's length (L, mm), dry weight (W, mg), age (d), life stage, day spawned, and day hatched.

Environmental conditions in the compartment are daily mean water temperature, fraction of the 24 h day that is daylight, and the daily densities of zooplankton prey. For this version of the model, environmental and biological conditions are based upon information from the mesohaline region of the Chesapeake Bay (Table 1). Environmental conditions which are daily mean water temperature, fraction of the 24 h day that is daylight, and the daily densities of zooplankton prey can provide information on factors controlling population dynamics of bay anchovy. Some processes in the model include the influences of anchovy size, environmental variables, or anchovy density. Individuals must attain 40 mm to become mature. Fecundity (eggs per batch) increases, while mortality rate and vulnerability to starvation decrease, with increasing anchovy size. Growth is represented with a bioenergetics equation in which maximum consumption and metabolism depend on fish weight and water temperature. Realized consumption is computed with a foraging algorithm, search volume and prey capture success, and therefore diet breadth, increase with anchovy size. Density-dependent growth can arise from bay anchovy consumption exceeding prey turnover rate and lowering prey densities. Slower growth can lead to density-dependent survival by prolonging the amount of time individuals spend in high-mortality, early life stages, and can lead to density-dependent reproduction by causing younger age of maturation and greater eggs per spawning batch.

**Daily temperature and daylight.** Daily temperatures \(T\) \(^\circ\)C for each 365 d year are generated by stochastically assigning values of coefficients to a regression equation fit to multiple years of observed temperatures. The regression equation is

\[
T = \beta_0 - \beta_1 \cos(0.0172 \text{ day}) - \beta_2 \sin(0.0172 \text{ day})
\]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description and sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water temperature (Eq.1)</td>
<td>Regression of temperature on day of year using 7 yr of 5 d wk⁻¹ temperatures measured near the mouth of the Patuxent River (H. Millsaps unpubl. data, Chesapeake Biological Lab, Solomons, MD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spawning start days</td>
<td>Anchovy spawn in Chesapeake Bay from May to September (Luo &amp; Musick 1991, Zastrow et al. 1991). By varying the start days, simulated egg production slowly increases at the beginning of the season</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of batch spawns</td>
<td>Average number of batches have been estimated as 50 to 55 (Luo &amp; Musick 1991, Zastrow et al. 1991). Varying the maximum number of batches allows for simulated egg production to slowly decrease near the end of the season as females reach their individual maximum bouts on different days. Assigned maximum number of batches was set to slightly higher values than observed average numbers because water temperatures in some years prevent the maximum number of batches from being realized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg development rate (Eq. 5)</td>
<td>Egg development rate, as a function of temperature, was fit using regression to laboratory data reported in Tucker (1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolk-sac development rate (Eq. 6)</td>
<td>Yolk-sac larval development rate as a function of temperature was determined by regression analysis of laboratory experiments on the number of days from hatching to eye pigmentation at various constant temperatures (Houde 1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg mortality</td>
<td>Reported anchovy egg mortality rates are: 86% d⁻¹ (Leak &amp; Houde 1987); 50% d⁻¹ (Houde 1987); 80% d⁻¹ (Dorsey 1993); 72 to 82% d⁻¹ (PSE&amp;G 1984); and 75 to 80% (Houde et al. 1994, Dorsey et al. 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolk-sac larval mortality</td>
<td>Yolk-sac larvae die at lower rates (about 0.01 to 0.02 h⁻¹ less) than eggs; mortality rate of yolk-sac larvae averaged 72% d⁻¹ during 12 d in July 1991 at locations of anchovy spawning in Chesapeake Bay (Dorsey 1993, Dorsey et al. 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length-weight relationship (Eq. 7)</td>
<td>Length-weight relationships were fit separately to laboratory data for early larvae (Houde &amp; Scheckter 1981) and to Chesapeake Bay field data for adults (Newberger 1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum consumption (Cₘₐₓ) (Eq. 11)</td>
<td>Cₘₐₓ, as a function of weight based on regression that combined laboratory consumption rates under high prey densities for &lt;12 mm larvae (Houde &amp; Scheckter 1981, 1983) and consumption rates for ~50 mm adults (Vazquez 1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimal and maximum temperature parameters for Cₘₐₓ [F(T)] in Eq. 11</td>
<td>Values of optimal and maximum temperatures are similar to those reported for bay anchovy (Houde &amp; Zastrow 1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilization efficiency (Eq. 12)</td>
<td>Evidence suggests that utilization efficiency increases with size or age during early larval development (Govoni et al. 1986). Utilization efficiencies of less than 36% have been estimated for early larvae of bay anchovy based upon laboratory-derived energy budgets (Houde &amp; Scheckter 1983, Tucker 1989). Utilization efficiencies of bay anchovy adults range from 27 to 81%, the lower values occurring at very high rations (40% body weight d⁻¹) (Vazquez 1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine metabolism (Eq. 14)</td>
<td>Routine metabolism as a function of weight was based on a regression that compared laboratory measurements of oxygen consumption for &lt;0.2 mg dry weight anchovy (Houde &amp; Scheckter 1983) and oxygen consumption values for anchovy adults (Vazquez 1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ adjustment (Eq. 16)</td>
<td>δ was specified to result in starvation after 5 d of no feeding to agree with laboratory starvation experiments. Complete mortality of unfed bay anchovy larvae occurred in the laboratory 3 to 5 d after hatching and 2.5 to 4 d after eye pigmentation at 24 to 32°C (Houde 1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) for zooplankton prey types (Fig. 3)</td>
<td>CDFs for tintinnid/rotifer and nauplii were estimated by non-linear fitting of empirical functions to monthly net samples (44 μm mesh) from 1985 to 1989 at 2 stations in the mesohaline Chesapeake Bay off Calvert Cliffs (S. Brownlee, The Academy of Natural Sciences, Benedict, MD, pers. comm.). CDFs for copepodite and adult copepods were estimated by non-linear fitting of empirical functions to monthly samples at stations off Calvert Cliffs from 1976 to 1980 based on 757 1 pump samples taken from the surface to 10 m depth (Olson 1987). Developing CDFs by season resulted in different mean densities for each season, but once normalized for the seasonal mean density, similar shaped CDFs for all seasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of acuity (α) (Eq. 20)</td>
<td>α as function of fish length was a regression fit to data by Breck &amp; Gitter (1983) from clear-water experiments on bluegills <em>Lepomis macrochirus</em> ranging in length from about 10 to 160 mm; such information is not available for bay anchovy. Reported reaction distances of a variety of species of fish larvae (&lt;20 mm) are approximately 0.33 to 1 body lengths (Blaxter 1986), compared to the predicted values (from Eqs. 19 &amp; 20) of 0.21 body lengths for a 3 mm anchovy larva eating tintinnids and rotifers and 0.45 to 1.8 body lengths for a 15 mm anchovy larva eating tintinnids and rotifers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Description and sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>anchovy larva eating nauplii and copepodes. A 50 mm adult searching for adult copepods has an RD of 160 mm (3.1 body lengths). Values of angle of acuity (a) predicted by Eq. (20) are similar to values measured for larvae and juveniles of other species (Gurna'a 1982, Hairston et al. 1982, Noakes &amp; Godin 1988, Wanzenbock &amp; Schiemer 1989)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity factor (Eq. 21)</td>
<td>The turbidity factor reduces RDs by multiplying by 0.8 to 0.4. Vinyard &amp; O'Brien (1976) reported RDs of bluegills that were 50 to 25% of those determined in clear water for turbidities similar to those observed in the Chesapeake Bay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capture probabilities (Eq. 22, Fig. 4)</td>
<td>Capture probabilities are based on functions fitted by eye to general patterns of capture success reported for marine fish larvae (Blaxter 1986), for bay anchovy larvae (Houde &amp; Schekter 1980, Tucker 1989), and from gut content and prey selectivity studies of bay anchovy in the Chesapeake Bay (see Houde &amp; Zastrow 1991, Klebasko 1991).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zooplankton equilibrium densities (Eqs. 17 &amp; 24, Fig. 6)</td>
<td>Equilibrium densities for zooplankton were determined from the same Chesapeake Bay field data (Olson 1987, S. Brownlee pers. comm.) used to estimate CDFs (Fig. 5). Equilibrium densities of tintinnids and rotifers are set to 10 times the mean observed density to account for net extrusion (Brownlee &amp; Jacobs 1987). Equilibrium densities as functions of day were non-linear fits of empirical functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zooplankton maximum turnover rates (Eq. 24, Table 2)</td>
<td>Specific maximum zooplankton turnover rates are similar to values observed in the field with zooplankton densities close to equilibrium densities. We multiply by 2 in Eq. (24) to obtain these rates at one-half of equilibrium densities. Durbin &amp; Durbin (1981) report turnover rates of about 0.1 to 0.5 d⁻¹ for Acartia hudsonica during the spring (5 to 15°C) and 0.7 to 1.1 d⁻¹ for Acartia tonsa during the summer (17 to 22°C) in Narragansett Bay. During the summer (average of 25.5°C) in the Patuxent River, Heinle (1966) estimated turnover rates for Acartia tonsa nauplii of 0.56 d⁻¹ and about 0.4 d⁻¹ for copepodes and adults. Regression equations based upon a review of marine copepod turnover rates and temperature give rates of 0.07 to 0.17 d⁻¹ at 12°C, 0.15 to 0.41 d⁻¹ at 20°C, and 0.24 to 0.75 d⁻¹ at 25.5°C (Huntley &amp; Lopez 1992).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight threshold for starvation</td>
<td>The weight thresholds of 0.65 for larvae and 0.5 for juveniles and adults are typical based upon results from a variety of fish species (Rogers &amp; Westin 1981, Shutei et al. 1989, Johnson &amp; Evans 1991, Letcher et al. 1996).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile and adult mortality rates</td>
<td>Assigned mortality rates of 0.032 d⁻¹ for juveniles and 0.005 to 0.0095 d⁻¹ for adults are similar to reported rates: 0.01 d⁻¹ for juvenile to Age 1 (Houde 1987); adult rates of 0.006 to 0.008 d⁻¹ based upon catch curve analysis and 0.003 to 0.004 d⁻¹ from life history models (Newberger 1989); adult rates of 0.003 to 0.006 d⁻¹ (PSE&amp;G 1984); and a juvenile rate of 0.035 d⁻¹ and adult rates of 0.004 to 0.007 d⁻¹ based upon catch-curve analysis of trawl and acoustic-adjusted data (Wang 1992).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where day = calendar day, and \( \beta_0, \beta_1, \) and \( \beta_2 \) are constants. Eq. (1) was fit to each of 7 years of data (Table 1) resulting in 7 estimates of \( \beta_0, \beta_1, \) and \( \beta_2 \). For each simulation year, a set of \( \beta_0, \beta_1, \) and \( \beta_2 \) values are generated from a multivariate normal distribution with variance/covariance based on the 7 estimates of the coefficients (Fig.1). Temperatures below 4°C are set to 4°C because bay anchovy are generally not found in waters with temperatures <4°C (Vougliotois et al. 1987).

Bay anchovy is a visual feeder and much of its feeding takes place during daylight hours (Vazquez 1989, Klebasko 1991). The fraction (DL) of the 24 h day considered to be daylight (Dalton 1987) is:

\[
DL = 0.51 + 0.11 \cos [0.0172 (\text{day} - 173)]
\]  

Spawning and immigration by females. Spawning females include those individuals born in the mesohaline model box plus a net immigration of individuals
from elsewhere in Chesapeake Bay. Incorporating net immigration of spawners was required to achieve long-term population stability (persistence) with realistic early-life-stage growth and survival rates. The actual number of spawners in the mesohaline region of the Chesapeake Bay varies each year somewhat independently of the number of survivors from the previous year because bay anchovy migrate to the southern portion of the Bay (as well to coastal waters) in the winter, with some returning to the mesohaline mid-Bay to spawn the next year (Wang & Houde 1995). Net immigration into the mesohaline model box each year is represented in 2 ways: as a multiplier of the number of spawners hatched in the model box or as a constant number entering. All added spawners were assumed to be 55 mm Age 1 individuals and are added in a 1:1 sex ratio. Multiplier immigration represents a situation in which conditions for anchovy vary similarly over the entire Chesapeake Bay. High numbers of survivors in the mesohaline model box would imply good conditions Bay-wide and thus a high number of immigrants the next year. Constant immigration assumes that survivorship in the mesohaline region is not related to survivorship in other regions of the Chesapeake Bay; the simplest assumption for constant immigration is a fixed number of annual immigrants. Multiplier and constant immigration levels were determined by calibration to result in a stable (persistent) population over time (see 'Results').

At the beginning of each spawning season (Day 135), each female >40 mm is assigned a start day and maximum number of batch spawns for the upcoming season. Start days are randomly assigned to females from a triangular distribution with minimum = Day 135, mode = Day 150, and maximum = Day 160. Maximum number of batches are generated from a triangular distribution with minimum = 55, mode = 60, and maximum = 65 (Table 1).

Females are evaluated each day during the spawning season to determine if they spawn. Fractional progress towards spawning a batch of eggs ($S_p$) is computed for each female on each day based upon the fraction of her assigned maximum number of batches that has been completed ($BT$):

$$S_p = \begin{cases} 0.25 & \text{if } BT \leq 0.06 \\ 18.75BT - 0.875 & \text{if } 0.06 < BT \leq 0.01 \\ 1 & \text{if } 0.1 < BT \leq 0.83 \\ -18.75BT + 16.56 & \text{if } 0.83 < BT \leq 0.87 \\ 0.25 & \text{if } BT > 0.87 \end{cases}$$

(3)

where $BT =$ number of batches completed divided by the maximum number of batches. On the day that the cumulative sum of $S_p$ for a female ($\Sigma S_p$) exceeds 1, she spawns, her cumulative progress towards egg release is reset to 0, and the process begins again. Eq. (3) results in females spawning at an interval of about 4 d at the beginning and end of spawning season (0.25 is added to cumulative sum daily), with a peak interval of every day during the middle of the spawning season (1.0 is added to the cumulative sum daily). This frequency of spawning is similar to that observed for bay anchovy in the Chesapeake Bay (Luo & Musick 1991). No progress towards spawning is allowed if simulated temperatures fall below 20°C and spawning is not permitted before Day 135 (May 15) or after Day 244 (September 1) (Luo & Musick 1991, Zastrow et al. 1991).

The number of eggs released per batch ($N_e$) is related to female weight ($W_i$, mg dry wt) (Zastrow et al. 1991):

$$N_e = 304.8 + 2.02 W_i$$

(4)

Females are allowed to feed, grow, and die during the spawning season. Daily fluctuations in female weight affect the number of eggs produced per spawning batch because weight on the day of egg release is used to determine fecundity.

**Eggs and yolk-sac larvae: development and mortality.** Each day’s spawn of eggs is followed as a cohort through the egg and yolk-sac larval stages. Time to hatching of eggs and the development of yolk-sac larvae into feeding larvae depend upon temperature. Day of hatching and day of first feeding are determined by accumulating daily fractional development rates (Table 1) until the cumulative development exceeds 1.0. Daily fractional development towards hatching ($DV_e$) and first feeding ($DV_f$) are computed each day as:

$$DV_e = \frac{1}{8.38 \exp^{-0.085T}}$$

(5)

$$DV_f = \frac{1}{7.98 - 0.452T + 0.0075T^2}$$

(6)

where $T =$ daily water temperature.

The number of eggs and yolk-sac larvae in each day-cohort is reduced daily by the fraction dying. Daily mortality is assumed to be 80% d⁻¹ for eggs and 71% d⁻¹ for yolk-sac larvae (Table 1). Because eggs and yolk-sac larvae develop rapidly (<1 to 2 d), we adjust the fraction dying for fractional days of stage duration.

**Larvae, juveniles, and adults: initial numbers, sizes, and development.** At first feeding, all larvae are assigned the same initial weight and length (0.009 mg dry wt, Tucker 1989; which corresponds to 2.8 mm TL). The dry weight (mg) of each larva, which varies day by day based upon simulated growth rate, is converted into length (L, mm) daily (Table 1):

$$L = \begin{cases} 51.2W^{0.594} & \text{if } W \leq 0.015 \text{ mg (4.3 mm)} \\ 12.4W^{0.254} & \text{if } W > 0.015 \text{ mg} \end{cases}$$

(7)
Weight is partially uncoupled from length: length can only increase or remain unchanged. On days that individuals lose weight, their lengths are not changed. Following a weight loss, length is increased only after the individual has recovered to the weight predicted by the length-weight relationship. Larvae metamorphose into juveniles at 25 mm; juveniles become adults at 40 mm (Houde & Zastrow 1991).

**Larvae, juveniles, and adults: growth.** Daily growth in dry weight (mg) of an individual beginning with first feeding is represented with a difference form (1 d time step) of a bioenergetics equation:

\[ W_t = W_{t+1} + p C_{\text{max}} A - R_{\text{tot}} \]  

where \( p \) = proportion of \( C_{\text{max}} \) realized; \( C_{\text{max}} \) = maximum dry-weight consumption rate (mg d\(^{-1}\)); \( A \) = utilization efficiency; and \( R_{\text{tot}} \) = total dry-weight metabolic rate (mg d\(^{-1}\)).

The proportion of \( C_{\text{max}} \) realized by an individual on a given day is:

\[ p = \frac{C_r}{C_{\text{max}}} \]  

\[ C_r = \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i P W_i \]  

where \( C_r \) = realized dry biomass (mg) of prey consumed; \( B_i \) = number of prey type \( i \) eaten; and \( P W_i \) = dry weight (mg) per individual of prey type \( i \). When computing \( C_r \), the summation over prey types \( (i = 1 \text{ to } n \text{ in Eq. 10}) \) is performed in order of decreasing preference of prey types based on a prey selection algorithm. The prey selection algorithm and the computation of the number of each prey type consumed \( (B_i) \) are described in detail in the section ‘Computation of \( p \).’

**Maximum consumption:** Maximum consumption \( (C_{\text{max}}) \) depends upon an individual’s weight \( (W) \) and temperature \( (T) \) (Table 1):

\[ C_{\text{max}} = \begin{cases} 47.37 W^{1.722} F(T) & \text{for } W < 0.022 \text{ mg (= } 4.7 \text{ mm)} \\ 1.1019 W^{0.722} F(T) & \text{for } W \geq 0.022 \end{cases} \]  

where \( F(T) \) = temperature adjustment to \( C_{\text{max}} \) and \( T_o \), \( T_m \), and \( \Theta \) = parameters of \( F(T) \). Because bay anchovies may consume prey in amounts that exceed energetic \( C_{\text{max}} \) under very high prey densities, we allowed individuals to eat up to twice \( C_{\text{max}} \), while simultaneously decreasing utilization efficiency (as detailed below). The value of twice \( C_{\text{max}} \) was a reasonable guess, based on laboratory observations of consumption rates under very high prey densities (Houde & Schekter 1980, 1981, Vazquez 1989).

The temperature-dependence of \( C_{\text{max}} \) \( [F(T) \text{ in Eq. 11}] \) is initially a slowly rising function that reaches 1.0 at an optimal temperature, then rapidly drops to zero at a maximum temperature (Hewett & Johnson 1987).

Three parameters are required to specify this function: the optimal temperature \( T_o \) (28°C), \( \Theta \) (2.2) that mimics a \( Q_{10} \) relationship for temperatures below optimal, and the maximum temperature \( T_m \) (37°C) (Table 1).

**Utilization efficiency:** Utilization efficiency \( (A) \), the fraction of consumption available for growth and metabolism, varies as a function of bay anchovy weight and consumption.

\[ A = \begin{cases} \min(0.7 W^{0.15}, 0.7) & \text{if } \frac{C_r}{C_{\text{max}}} < 0.83 \\ \min(0.7 W^{0.15}, 0.7) & \text{if } \frac{C_r}{C_{\text{max}}} > 2.0 \end{cases} \]  

When consumption is at \( C_{\text{max}} \), \( A \) increases with weight from 0.35 for first feeders to 0.7 for fish greater than 1 mg (12.4 mm). This value of \( A \) is also increased under low consumption (<0.83 of \( C_{\text{max}} \)) and reduced under high consumption (>0.83 of \( C_{\text{max}} \)) (Table 1).

**Metabolism:** Metabolic dry-weight losses \( (R_{\text{tot}}, \text{mg d}^{-1}) \) are determined as a routine component \( (R_r) \), dependent upon larval weight and temperature, and an active component, represented as a multiplier of routine metabolism for the period of feeding:

\[ R_{\text{tot}} = (R_r + (ACT - 1) R_r FF) \delta \]  

where \( ACT \) = activity multiplier of routine metabolism; \( FF \) = fraction of day in which metabolism is active; and \( \delta \) = adjustment to metabolism under conditions of less-than-maintenance consumption. Routine metabolism is specified for a 24 h day; Eq. (13) is formulated so that routine metabolism applies only for the non-feeding period and active metabolism (which already includes routine metabolism) applies for the feeding period. The activity multiplier \( (ACT) \) is assumed to be 2.0 for all life stages and all individuals are assumed to be actively feeding during daylight hours \( (FF = DL) \).

Routine metabolism \( (R_r) \) is determined as a function of larval weight and a \( Q_{10} \) temperature relationship (Table 1):

\[ R_r = \begin{cases} 0.146 W^{0.037} G(T) & \text{if } W < 2.65 \text{ mg (16 mm)} \\ 0.205 W^{0.053} G(T) & \text{if } W \geq 2.65 \text{ mg} \end{cases} \]  

\[ G(T) = e^{\frac{[\Theta (T - T_o)]}{10}} \]  

where \( G(T) \) = temperature adjustment to \( R_r \); \( T_c \) = temperature at which routine metabolism equals \( R_r \) (27°C); and \( Q_{10} = \text{parameter controlling rate at which } R_r \text{ changes as a function of temperature (set to 2.2).} \)

Adjustments for weight loss when \( C_r \) is less than maintenance are needed to produce realistic rates of weight loss. The adjustment for larvae (in Eq. 13) is based upon data from starvation experiments, whereas the adjustment for juveniles and adults prohibits weight loss in excess of 2% BW d\(^{-1}\). \( \delta \) operates when
values of \( p \) are between 0 and \( \rho_{\text{mc}} \), the value of \( p \) that results in maintenance consumption and zero growth \( [\rho_{\text{mc}} = R_{\text{tot}}/(C_{\text{max}} A)] \). \( \delta \) is computed as:

\[
\delta = \begin{cases} 
   1 & \text{if } W_{i+1} \geq W_i \\
   \frac{5R_{\text{tot}} - 0.35W_i}{5R_{\text{tot}} \rho_{\text{mc}}} p + \frac{0.35W_i}{5R_{\text{tot}}} & \text{if } W_{i+1} < W_i
\end{cases}
\] (16)

\( \delta \) reduces larval weight loss, such that when \( p = 0 \), 35% of body weight is lost after 5 days; we assume that bay anchovy larvae will die from starvation if they lose 35% of their current weight (Table 1). We further assume a linear decrease in metabolic rate between maintenance consumption (\( \rho_{\text{mc}} \)) and zero consumption (\( p = 0 \)).

**Computation of \( p \):** The value of \( p \) is based upon random encounters of feeding individuals with multiple zooplankton prey types. The overall logic for computing the fraction of maximum consumption realized by an individual each day (\( p \)) is shown in Fig. 2. Determination of \( p \) involves realized consumption (\( C_i \)), which is the sum of the number of each prey type eaten (\( B_i \)) multiplied by prey weight. Number eaten (\( B_i \)) is generated for each prey type \( i \) from a binomial distribution and depends on the number of encounters (\( E_i \)), the fraction of those that are encountered which are attacked (\( P_i \)), and the probability of capture (\( \text{CAP}_i \)). The summation over prey types of \( B_i \) in Eq. (10) depends on prey selection by the fish.

---

\[ C_r = \frac{\sum B_i \cdot PW_i}{E_i} \]

\[ C_{\text{max}} = \text{maximum consumption rate (mg d}^{-1}) \]

\[ \text{CAP}_i = \text{probability of capture of prey type } i \]

\[ P_i = \text{probability of attack} \]

\[ E_i = \text{random deviate from a cumulative distribution function dependent on the mean encounter rate } (E_i) \]

\[ E_i = S_i \cdot PD_i \]

\[ S_i = \text{volume searched for prey type } i \]

\[ PD_i = \text{number searched per unit area} \]

\[ \text{CAP}_i = \text{assigned to each prey type as an increasing function of fish length} \]

\[ \rho_{\text{mc}} = R_{\text{tot}}/C_{\text{max}} \]

---

Fig. 2. Logic for computation of the fraction of maximum consumption (\( p \)) realized by a feeding individual on each day. All weights are dry weights.
Four zooplankton prey types are represented: tintinnids and rotifers, nauplii, copepodites, and adult copepods, which account for most prey items in diets of bay anchovy (Houde & Zastrow 1991, Klebasko 1991). Each zooplankton type is defined by a mean dry weight ($PW_i$, mg) and length ($PL_i$, mm) per individual, maximum turnover rate ($d^{-1}$) (Table 2), and equilibrium density ($PD_i$, no. 1$^{-1}$).

The number of each zooplankton prey type encountered by an individual anchovy ($E_i$) is determined stochastically and depends upon mean encounter rate ($E_i^M$), the product of search volume ($S$, liters) and prey density ($PD$, no. 1$^{-1}$):

$$E_i^M = S PD_i$$

The realized number of encounters of each prey type ($E_i$) is generated as a random deviate from a cumulative distribution function (CDF) to simulate prey patchiness (Fig. 3). Encounters are generated by selecting a random number between 0 and 1, and multiplying the mean encounter rate of the zooplankton type ($E_i^M$) by the corresponding multiplier on the ordinate of the CDF (Table 1).

Table 2. Weights, lengths, and maximum turnover rates of zooplankton prey types used in model simulations. The length and weight of the tintinnid/rotifer prey type are set to values intermediate for tintinnids (Verity 1987) and rotifers (Dumont et al. 1975). Lengths and weights of nauplii, copepodites, and adult copepods are based upon *Acetoria tonsa* in the Patuxent River (Heinle 1966).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prey type</th>
<th>Dry weight (mg)</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
<th>Maximum turnover rate ($d^{-1}$) at one-half equilibrium densities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tintinnids and rotifers</td>
<td>0.00016</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauplii</td>
<td>0.00152</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepodites</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepod adults</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Search volume ($S_i$) for an individual anchovy feeding on zooplankton type $i$ is computed as one-half the volume of a cylinder with radius equal to reactive distance, and height equal to distance swum during daylight hours:

$$S_i = \frac{n}{2} (RD_i F_{RD})^2 \cdot 3600 \text{ s day}^{-1}$$

$$10^{-6} 1 \text{ mm}^3$$

where $F_{RD}$ = a decimal fraction that reduces reactive distance due to turbidity; $\alpha$ = fish swimming speed (1 body length s$^{-1}$); and $FF$ = fraction of the day spent feeding (assumed to be daylight hours, $FF = DL$). The one-half cylinder volume assumption accounts for a clupeoid not perceiving prey below the horizontal axis of the body (Rosenthal & Hempel 1970).

Reactive distance ($RD_i$) is based on angle of acuity of the feeding individual ($\alpha$, degrees) and the height (length, $PL_i$) of the prey:

$$RD_i = \frac{PL_i}{2 \tan(\alpha/2)}$$

$\alpha$ decreases with increasing length of the fish (Breck & Gitter 1983):

$$\alpha = 0.0167 e^{0.14 - 2.4 \log_{10}(L) + 0.229 \log_{10}(L)}$$

Thus, larger fish have larger $RD$s for a given size prey; and for a given fish, larger (longer) prey are seen at a farther distance than smaller (shorter) prey (Table 1).

Reactive distances predicted by Eqs. (19) & (20) are reduced proportionally in the model by the turbidity factor $F_{RD}$ because turbidity has an increasing effect with increasing $RD$ (Vinyard & O'Brien 1976, Chesney 1989):

$$F_{RD} = \begin{cases} 0.8 - 0.025RD & \text{if } RD < 15 \text{ mm} \\ 0.4 & \text{if } RD \geq 15 \text{ mm} \end{cases}$$

Fig. 3. Cumulative distribution functions (CDF) of tintinnids and rotifers, nauplii, copepodites and adult copepods relating the cumulative fraction of field samples with prey concentrations (expressed as a multiplier of the mean) less than some value. Encounters of anchovy with prey are generated by selecting a random number between 0 and 1 and multiplying the mean encounter rate by the corresponding multiplier on the ordinate of the CDF (Table 1).
Thus, RDs are reduced by 80\% (for a RD of 1 mm) to 40\% (for RDs greater than 15 mm) of the values predicted by Eqs. (19) & (20) (Table 1). The increasing effect of turbidity results in larger RDs being reduced by greater absolute amounts, although by lower percentages. Several authors have reported that moderate turbidity levels can have little effect on, or even increase, larval feeding because of enhanced visual contrast of prey items (Boehlert & Morgan 1985; see Chesney 1989). Most studies demonstrating reduced feeding under moderate to high turbidity conditions involve post-larval fish.

The proportion of prey encountered that are subsequently ingested is represented by the product of probabilities of capture and attack, and a prey selection algorithm. Capture probabilities are specified for each zooplankton type in relation to anchovy length:

\[
CAP_i = \begin{cases} 
0.114L + 0.057 & \text{for tintinnids and rotifers} \\
0.115L + 0.23 & \text{for nauplii} \\
0.115L + 0.46 & \text{for copepodes} \\
0.140L + 1.95 & \text{for adult copepods}
\end{cases}
\]  

Capture probabilities given by Eq. (22) increase until they are truncated at 0.9 (Fig. 4, Table 1). Differences among capture probabilities are greatest for small larvae.

Bay anchovy do not attack every prey item encountered. The realized number of encounters \((E_i)\) is multiplied by an attack probability to obtain the realized number of prey type encountered and attacked. To permit great flexibility in its functional form, we represent the probability of attack as a piecewise linear function of anchovy length (Fig. 5). Probabilities of attack were determined by calibration (trial and error simulation) to get realistic bay anchovy growth rates.

Fish tend to consume ‘more preferred’ prey when it is available and switch to ‘less preferred’ prey types when more preferred types are rare. We represent this preference in the model using general results from foraging theory (Krebs 1978, Hughes 1980, Hart 1986). To determine which prey types will be eaten by an individual anchovy on a given day, the prey are first ranked in descending order of preference based upon expected energy gain \((PW_i \times CAP_i)\). Prey are then added to the diet (the summation over \(n\) prey types in Eq. 10) in decreasing order of preference. Prey types are included in the diet until the consumption rate index with the added prey type \(i\) (\(Q_i, mg s^{-1}\)) decreases, compared to the index with prey types 1 through \(i-1\) (\(Q_{i-1}\)); the index is

\[
Q_i = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{i} PW_k B_k T_D}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{i} B_k T_D}
\]  

where \(B_k\) = number of prey type \(k\) eaten; \(PW_k\) = dry weight (mg) per individual of prey type \(k\); and \(T_D\) = the inverse of the approximate number of seconds during daylight hours \((2.3 \times 10^{-5})\). Individuals are assumed to consume all successfully captured individuals of each prey type, starting from the most preferred, until all eligible prey types have been consumed or until consumption exceeds twice maximum consumption.

**Temporal dynamics of prey:** Densities of each zooplankton prey type \(i\) \((PD_{i,t}, no. 1^{-1})\) are updated daily based upon a logistic equation with a loss term for the number of prey consumed by bay anchovy \((EAT_{i,t})\):

\[
PD_{i,t+1} = PD_{i,t} + 2.0PD_{i,t}\left(1 - \frac{PD_{i,t}}{PD_{i,t}^*}\right) PT_i - EAT_{i,t}
\]  

where \(PD_{i,t}^*\) = equilibrium density or the maximum density (no. 1^{-1}) allowed in simulations; \(PT_i\) = maximum
turnover rate (d$^{-1}$), and $EAT_{ij}$ = total consumption of prey type $j$ (no. l$^{-1}$) by anchovy. The multiplier 2 in Eq. (24) results in the specified maximum turnover rates being realized when prey densities are at one-half their equilibrium densities. Without the multiplier of 2, maximum turnover rates ($PT_i$) are realized when prey densities approach zero.

Equilibrium densities for tintinnids and rotifers are assumed to be constant during the period when larvae are present, and related to day of the year for nauplii, copepodites, and adult copepods (Fig. 6, Table 1). Functions relating equilibrium densities to day of year were fit to the upper reported densities to account for predator effects on prey densities. Reported zooplankton densities already reflect the consumption rate of zooplankton by predators. In lieu of detailed information about the zooplankton consumption rates of the total predator community, we crudely adjust for predator effects by fitting the functions to the upper reported values. We assume that the total predator effect roughly reduces nauplii, copepodites, and adult copepod densities by about one-half, as fitted equilibrium densities are about twice the average densities. Maximum turnover rates ($PT_i$) are assumed constant for each zooplankton prey type (Tables 1 & 2). $EAT_{ij}$ is computed as:

$$EAT_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{B_{ij} \cdot n_j}{VOL \cdot 10^3}$$

where $B_{ij}$ = total no. of prey type $i$ eaten by the $j^{th}$ individual; $N$ = no. of model individuals; $n_j$ = number of population individuals represented by the $j^{th}$ model individual (see 'Methods: Numerical considerations'); and $VOL$ = volume (m$^3$) of the spatial compartment.

**Larvae, juveniles, and adults: mortality.** Mortality rates are dependent upon weight and length or lifestage. Weight-dependent mortality is interpreted as starvation. Mortality dependent upon length or lifestage is estimated from field data and therefore primarily represents predation and/or emigration losses. Individuals die in the simulations if their weight declines below a minimum weight ($W_{min}$) defined as a fraction ($K_{min}$) of their weight based upon their length ($W_L$):

$$W_{min} = K_{min} W_L$$

where $W_L$ = predicted weight from length (Eq. 7). The fraction of expected weight that induces starvation ($K_{min}$) is 0.65 for larvae (35% weight loss) and 0.5 for juveniles and adults (Table 1).

Length-based mortality for larvae ($Z$, d$^{-1}$) is derived by combining a relationship between mortality rate (mm$^{-1}$) and length (Loos & Perry 1991) with an assumed 0.7 mm d$^{-1}$ growth rate (Fig. 7):

$$Z = \left( \frac{2.8}{L^{1.377}} \right) e^{-0.00005L}$$

Mortality is fixed at $Z = 0.032$ d$^{-1}$ for juveniles, $Z = 0.0095$ d$^{-1}$ for YOY adults, and $Z = 0.005$ d$^{-1}$ for Age 1 and older adults (Table 1). Probability of dying ($1 - e^{-Z}$) is evaluated daily based upon each individual’s length and age or lifestage. If a generated random number from a uniform distribution between 0 and 1 is less than the probability of dying, the individual dies.
**Initial conditions.** Model simulations are initiated with 250 females of 54 mm length (327 mg dry wt). All 250 individuals die by Year 3 of the simulation; by Year 4 all spawners are model-generated individuals. At the beginning of each year of the 50 yr simulations, a new set of 365 d of daily temperatures is generated and the initial densities of each zooplankton group are reset to equilibrium densities.

**Numerical considerations.** Two numerical procedures are used to increase execution speed, reduce the computer memory requirements, and ensure accuracy of the model under high mortality rate conditions. First, a constant number of 3000 model individuals (with each representing some number of identical population individuals) is simulated using a resampling algorithm (Rose et al. 1993). The resampling algorithm consists of replacing the attributes of model individuals that die during one day with the attributes of randomly selected surviving individuals and adjusting the population value of the donor individual to reflect mortality. Second, on May 15 of each year, the number of surviving model individuals are rescaled to keep the number of population individuals represented from becoming too small. The number of YOY, Age 1, and Age 2+ model individuals are reset to the actual number of population individuals and their population worth set to 1. The attributes of the new model individuals are determined by randomly sampling from the model individuals. Model predictions with and without these numerical procedures are indistinguishable.

**METHODS: DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF SIMULATIONS**

Two 50 yr baseline simulations were performed corresponding to multiplier and constant immigration assumptions. Results from Years 4 to 50 of each simulation were analyzed; the first 3 years were not included to eliminate effects of initial conditions. Recruitment was defined as the number of YOY anchovy that became adults (>40 mm) by May 15.

For the baseline simulations, the magnitude of net immigration into the box necessary for population stability over time was determined. The multiplier of spawners or the constant number added was progressively increased until simulated total population numbers remained stable (persistent without any obvious trend) for the 50 years.

For both the multiplier and constant immigration simulations, within-year and multi-year model predictions were analyzed and, when possible, compared to observed values to check model realism. The data sources for many of the observed values are described in Table 3. Within-year dynamics were examined in 2 ways. We report life-stage-specific durations, mortality rates, growth rates, and numbers entering, and diets, averaged over the 47 years, for the multiplier immigration simulation. Life stage durations and rates and diets based on the constant immigration baseline simulation were practically identical. Values of duration, survival, and growth are shown for the YOY juvenile and adult stages combined; the YOY juvenile stage, as defined in this paper, was <20 d in duration. We also examine within-year dynamics (anchovy densities and zooplankton dynamics) using 10 consecutive years (19 to 28) that illustrated the range of dynamics exhibited by baseline simulations. Years 19 to 28 include the lowest (Year 26) and highest (Year 21) recruitment for the multiplier immigration simulation, and the lowest (Year 26) and fourth highest (Year 25) recruitment for the constant immigration simulation. Whenever possible, within-year model predictions were compared to observed values.

Multi-year dynamics of the baseline simulations were examined for population variation over time, and relationships among growth, survival, recruitment, egg production, temperature, and anchovy density. Relationships were determined using linear regression of annual average values of all variables. Analyses involving growth and survival rates were performed separately for the larval, YOY juvenile, and YOY adult life stages. Growth and survival rates were regressed on recruitment and the numbers entering the life stage; survival rate was also regressed on growth rate. It is possible that growth could appear to be density-dependent due to temperature effects. Warmer temperatures could lead to both higher numbers entering and faster growth, without any influence of anchovy consumption on zooplankton densities. To address this possibility, we first computed Pearson correlation coefficients between monthly average temperatures and
80.5% of adult anchovies in 1990 and 85.9% in 1991 approaching Age 1 comprised (i.e. of Chesapeake Bay, Age O+ individuals Wang (1992) reported that in Spring samples taken in the Maryland portion Population age Age 0+ comprise consumption during July and August was estimated to be 30-39°C BW d-1. Juvenile anchovy consumption during June, and 17.0-18.0% BW d-1 in May and 2.0% BW d-1 in March, April, and December. 7.0% BW d-1 in May and June, and 17.0-18.0% BW d-1 in July and August. Juvenile anchovy consumption during July and August was estimated to be 30-39% BW d-1. (Houde 1996) reported mortality rates ranging from 0.26 to 0.44 d-1 for small larvae during June and July in Chesapeake Bay. Larval mortality rates of 0.18 d-1 (Houde 1987) and 0.084 d-1 (PSE&G 1984), calculated based upon catch-curve analysis, have been reported. Reported growth rates from hatch to about 20 d post hatch (10 to 15 mm) vary between 0.45 and 0.62 d-1 based upon in situ Chesapeake Bay enclosure experiments (Cowen & Houde 1990) and otolith-age analysis of larvae from Biscayne Bay, FL (Leak & Houde 1987) and Great South Bay, NY (Castro & Cowen 1991). A recent study in Chesapeake Bay suggested early larval growth rates of 0.5 to 0.75 mm d-1; rates were lower in June than in July (Rilling 1996) reported mortality rates ranging from 0.26 to 0.48 d-1 for first feeding to 12 mm (Castro & Cowen 1991). Rilling (1996) reported mortality rates ranging from 0.26 to 0.48 d-1 for first feeding to 12 mm (Castro & Cowen 1991). Rilling (1996) reported mortality rates ranging from 0.26 to 0.48 d-1 for first feeding to 12 mm (Castro & Cowen 1991). Rilling (1996) reported mortality rates ranging from 0.26 to 0.48 d-1 for first feeding to 12 mm (Castro & Cowen 1991). Rilling (1996) reported mortality rates ranging from 0.26 to 0.48 d-1 for first feeding to 12 mm (Castro & Cowen 1991). Rilling (1996) reported mortality rates ranging from 0.26 to 0.48 d-1 for first feeding to 12 mm (Castro & Cowen 1991). Rilling (1996) reported mortality rates ranging from 0.26 to 0.48 d-1 for first feeding to 12 mm (Castro & Cowen 1991).
growth rates for each life stage. We then regressed growth rates on the numbers entering and the most significant monthly temperature. We report the R value for the simple regression model involving numbers entering only, and the partial R value attributed to the numbers entering variable for the regression that included numbers entering and monthly temperature.

Regression analysis was also used to quantify density-dependent egg production and first-year survival. We analyzed the multiplier immigration simulation using regression to determine relationships among annual values of adult bay anchovy mean lengths, spawner number, total egg production, and eggs produced per spawner. We also compare first-year survival between years of high and low egg production.

All reported correlation coefficients are Pearson correlation based on linear regression, which assumes a linear relationship between the response and explanatory variable. Results were confirmed by repeating the analyses using Spearman rank correlation, which measures the strength of monotonic, not necessarily linear, relationships.

### Table 4. *Anchoa mitichilli* Stage-specific durations, mortality rates, growth rates, and numbers entering, averaged over the 47 years of the multiplier immigration baseline simulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Duration (d)</th>
<th>Mortality (d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Survival (%)</th>
<th>No. entering (mm d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Growth (mm d⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Egg</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>56734</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolk-sac larva</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>14361</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larva</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3082</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early (&lt;10 mm)</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3082</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late (&gt;10 mm)</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOY juvenile and adult</td>
<td>264.5</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### RESULTS

#### Baseline simulation

**Net immigration**

Population extinction in the modeled box was predicted when simulated life-stage-specific mortality and growth rates agreed with observed values. Invoking net immigration by adding 0.7 times the number of model spawners each year (420 to 906, mean of 622) or adding 622 spawners every year resulted in a stable population over time (Fig. 8). On average, spawners hatched in the mesohaline model box comprised 56% of the total spawners each year.

**Within year dynamics**

Model-predicted densities by life stage were reasonable. Ranges of peak densities for Years 19 to 28 were 161 to 221 eggs m⁻³, 69 to 104 larvae m⁻³, and 4.5 to 8.4 juveniles and adults m⁻³ for multiplier immigration, and 180 to 233 eggs m⁻³, 78 to 100 larvae m⁻³, and 5.6 to 8.1 juveniles and adults m⁻³ for constant immigration (Fig. 9). Reported peak densities in the mesohaline Chesapeake Bay are 100 to 450 eggs m⁻³ and ~40 to 80 larvae m⁻³ (Table 3). Model predictions of total adults (mostly new recruits) on October 15 (Day 288) were 3.8 to 5.5 m⁻³ for both simulations, compared to reported August to October densities of 1 to 5 m⁻³ (Table 3). Mortality and growth rates were highest in the early life stages (Table 4). Eggs
Fig. 9. *Anchoa mitichilli*. Simulated densities of bay anchovy by life stage (a, eggs; b, larvae; c, juveniles and adults) for 10 consecutive years (Years 19 to 28) in the multiplier and constant immigration baseline simulations. Ju = July, S = September, N = November, J = January, M = March.

and yolk-sac larvae had very short durations and high mortality rates. Survival was lowest in the larval stage (0.7%) due to a moderate daily mortality rate coupled with a relatively long ~30 d stage duration. More than 99.9% of the eggs died before reaching the YOY juvenile stage. Growth rates were high for both early and late surviving larvae. Bay anchovy grew from eggs to 23 mm in ~35 d, and then from 25 to ~50 mm over the remaining 265 d of their first year.

Simulated larval mortality rates were similar to rates observed in the field. The mortality rates of the life stages other than larvae were set at values based upon field observations. Predicted larval mortality rates resulted from the combination of growth rates and the length-dependent mortality function (Fig. 7). Three mortality rates involving larvae were compared to reported field-based rates (Table 3). For both multiplier and constant immigration simulations, predicted mortality for: (1) egg through 10 mm larvae averaged 0.48 d⁻¹ (compared to observed rates of 0.5 to 0.75 d⁻¹), (2) first feeding to 10 mm larvae averaged 0.31 d⁻¹ (compared to ~0.35 d⁻¹), and (3) the entire larval stage averaged 0.15 d⁻¹ (compared to 0.08 to 0.18 d⁻¹).

Most predicted mortality was due to the length-based mortality relationship. A small amount (maximum of 1.7%) of larval starvation (weight-dependent mortality) occurred in each year of the simulations. Although some mortality of juveniles and adults was caused by starvation in most years of the 50 yr multiplier and constant immigration simulations, starvation only accounted for a small fraction (<0.5% on average) of total mortality.

Predicted growth rates of bay anchovy were realistic and similar to reported values for Chesapeake Bay. Simulated growth rates of early larvae (<10 mm) averaged 0.69 mm d⁻¹ (Table 4), with a range of 0.61 to 0.71 mm d⁻¹, compared to reported rates of ~0.5 to 0.75 mm d⁻¹ (Table 3). Predicted mean lengths on May 15 for the multiplier and constant immigration simulations ranged from 48 to 52 mm and 48 to 51 mm for Age 1 (compared to ~52 mm), from 66 to 73 mm and 65 to 70 mm for Age 2 (compared to ~66 mm), and from 70 to 79 mm and 72 to 79 mm for Age 3 and older (compared to ~80 mm) (Table 3).

Model-predicted diets for the multiplier immigration simulation showed anchovy progressively eating larger zooplankton as anchovy size increased (Fig. 10). Predicted diets for the constant immigration simulation

![Fig. 10. *Anchoa mitichilli*. Predicted diets of bay anchovy by 5 mm length intervals for all individuals in the multiplier immigration baseline simulation. Diet composition is computed as the fraction (by biomass) of each zooplankton group averaged over all individuals during the 47 years of simulation.](image-url)
Fig. 11. Equilibrium and simulated adult copepod densities over the year for 10 consecutive years (Years 19 to 28) of the multiplier and constant immigration baseline simulations. Ju = July, S = September, N = November, J = January, M = March.

(not shown) were nearly identical. Very small larvae (<5 mm) consumed mostly nauplii, tintinnids and rotifers; larvae between 5 and 10 mm added copepodes to their diet; larvae between 10 and 15 mm ate mostly copepodes, and >15 mm anchovy ate adult copepods. Predicted diets were expected to be qualitatively similar to reported diets of bay anchovy (Houde & Zastrow 1991) because probability of capture curves were partially constructed from reported diet information.

Of the 4 zooplankton groups, only adult copepods were significantly reduced below equilibrium densities by anchovy grazing (Fig. 11). Current field evidence suggests that bay anchovy may significantly impact their copepod prey during the summer and fall in the Chesapeake Bay and Biscayne Bay, Florida (Table 3). However, all of the field studies indicating significant anchovy impacts on zooplankton were based upon simple static calculations applied to temporal and spatial snapshots of data; their conclusions should be viewed with caution.

Model-predicted reductions in zooplankton rely on realistic simulation of anchovy densities, zooplankton densities, zooplankton turnover, and anchovy consumption rates. We believe that densities of anchovy and zooplankton, and zooplankton turnover rates, in the model are reasonable. Based upon reported consumption rates of anchovy, model-simulated consumption rates also were realistic. Surviving larvae consumed prey at near $C_{\text{max}}$ rates (i.e. p-values < 1). Average p-values for YOY juveniles were about 0.8 during the summer growing season; p-values averaged 0.25 to 0.4 for YOY juveniles overwinter, and for adults over most of the year. Simulated consumption rates of juveniles and adults combined ranged from <2.0% body wt (BW) d$^{-1}$ during the winter to a maximum of 30.0% BW d$^{-1}$ during the summer (Fig. 12). Field-derived consumption rates during the summer are <20.0% BW d$^{-1}$ for adults and 30 to 40% BW d$^{-1}$ for juveniles, and for adults during the winter are ~2.0% BW d$^{-1}$ (Table 3).

Fig. 12. *Anchoa mitchilli*. Predicted mean daily consumption rates (% BW d$^{-1}$) of bay anchovy (juveniles and adults combined) over the year for 10 consecutive years (Years 19 to 28) of the multiplier and constant immigration baseline simulations. Ju = July, S = September, N = November, J = January, M = March.
Table 5. Anchoa mitchilli. Correlation coefficients from linear regression of stage-specific growth or survival rates on recruitment, numbers entering the life stage, and monthly temperature for the 47 yr multiplier and constant immigration baseline simulations. July temperature was used for larvae and February temperature was used for YOY adults; NA denotes that none of the monthly temperatures were significantly correlated to growth rate for YOY juveniles. All R values are simple correlation coefficients, except for growth rate on the numbers entering and monthly temperature, for which the R value is the partial correlation coefficient showing the amount explained by numbers entering given monthly temperature is in the regression model. NS denotes $|R| < 0.28$, which corresponds to $p = 0.05$ for $n = 47$. All 4 of the partial R values shown are significant (t-test that regression coefficient equals zero, $p < 0.01$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Growth rate (mm d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Survival rate (fraction)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recruit No.</td>
<td>No. entering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>growth rate</td>
<td>given monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplier immigration</td>
<td>Larval</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YOY adult</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant immigration</td>
<td>Larval</td>
<td>+0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>YOY adult</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(manimum/minimum) for multiplier and constant immigration (Fig. 8). In agreement with observed data, the simulated anchovy population was dominated each year by Age 0+ individuals. On average, the percent of the spawners each year that were new recruits was 74% in both baseline simulations, which is reasonable but lower than reported values of 80 to 85% (Table 3).

Density-dependent larval and juvenile growth lead to density-dependent survival (Table 5). Growth and survival rates were positively correlated for all life stages, and both were negatively related to the number of individuals entering each life stage (i.e. density-dependent) for larvae and juveniles. Temperature effects on the numbers entering and on growth rates were not the cause of density-dependent growth. Growth rates were most highly correlated to July temperature for larvae ($R = -0.68$ for multiplier immigration and $R = -0.96$ for constant immigration), and to February temperature for YOY adults ($R = 0.90$ for both simulations). Warmer temperatures led to lower larval growth during the summer, and faster adult growth during the winter. None of the monthly temperatures were correlated to YOY juvenile growth rates. For both the multiplier and constant immigration simulations, the 'numbers entering' variable remained significant with the inclusion of July temperature for larvae and February temperature for YOY adults. The $R$ value actually increased with the inclusion of temperature for YOY adult growth in the multiplier immigration simulation. Similar results were obtained using Spear-

A slower growth rate caused longer stage duration and higher mortality. Years of high recruitment had high larval survival ($R = 0.61$ for multiplier and 0.80 for constant immigration simulations), which more than offset low juvenile survival ($R = -0.48$ for multiplier and $R = -0.36$ for constant immigration). Growth and survival rates of YOY adults were generally only weakly related to the number of individuals entering, and to recruitment.

Density-dependent growth also influenced annual egg production. In the multiplier immigration simulation, adult bay anchovy mean lengths were negatively related to spawner number ($R = -0.62$) and positively related to annual number of eggs produced per spawner ($R = 0.61$). For example, the year with the shortest adult mean lengths (72 mm) also had the highest number of spawners (1034) and lowest egg per spawner (61025). In contrast, the year with the longest adult mean lengths (79 mm) had the fourth fewest spawners (706) and the fourth highest eggs per spawner (73229). However, the increase in eggs per spawner due to longer lengths under low spawner abundances was not sufficient to offset the fewer spawners, and spawner number was positively related to total egg production ($R = 0.86$).

Recruitment was established by the end of the larval stage. High recruitment years did not correspond to years of high first-feeder production, $R$ values between number entering the larval stage and recruitment were near zero (0.03) for multiplier immigration and negative (-0.43) for constant immigration simulations. Recruitment was highly correlated to the number entering the juvenile stage ($R = 0.83$ for multiplier and 0.81 for constant immigration). Density-dependent juvenile growth rate lead to an inverse relationship between mean length and number of recruits ($R = -0.53$ for multiplier and -0.34 for constant immigration).

First-year survivorship was lower in years of high egg production compared to years of lower egg production. Fewer years of high egg to Age 1 survival were predicted for the 11 years of the multiplier immigration simulation when egg production was $> 6.1 \times 10^7$ compared to the 36 years when egg production was $< 6.1 \times 10^7$ (Fig. 13). Similar differences in egg to Age 1 survival between years of high and low egg production were obtained for the constant immigration simulation.
Lower survival in years of high egg production was mostly due to the lower survival in the juvenile life stage (R between egg production and juveniles survival was –0.73). Larval and YOY adult survivorships were similar between high and low egg production years (0.0067 and 0.107 vs 0.0073 and 0.109).

**DISCUSSION**

Net immigration of bay anchovy spawners into the mesohaline model box was required to produce a stable population over time. We configured the model to generate growth and mortality rates similar to the best available estimates from field data, and then determined the magnitude of net immigration necessary for long-term population stability. We represented annual immigration of female spawners as either a multiplier of model spawners or as a constant number. There is evidence of winter migration of adult anchovy from the mesohaline region to the southern reaches of Chesapeake Bay. Adult anchovy density is low during the winter months in the mesohaline region, while anchovy abundances are relatively high during December in the southern reaches of the Bay (Wang & Houde 1995).

While invoking net immigration of bay anchovy into the mesohaline region is reasonable based on empirical information, the magnitude of immigration we determined by calibration is more questionable. The magnitudes of, and annual variability in, adult immigration to and emigration from the mesohaline region are not known. We required all individuals in the model to experience growth and mortality rates typical of the mesohaline region. If the mortality rates of some life stages are too high, or some of the individuals experience lower mortality rates than the field data average, less net immigration would be required to obtain population stability. Field data shows that some of the eggs and larvae are transported from the mesohaline region to the upper region of Chesapeake Bay (Rilling 1996) and to the subestuaries of the Chesapeake Bay (MacGregor & Houde 1996), where mortality can be lower than in the mesohaline region. Immigration roughly doubled the number of spawners each year. Thus, without immigration, egg to Age 1 survival would need to double from calibrated rates for the population be stable. There are a variety of combinations of some subset of YOY individuals experiencing lower mortality that could result in less net immigration of spawners being required. Because we do not know how many individuals in the mesohaline region would experience lower mortality rates, and what the lower rates would be, we opted to use net immigration as a source of individuals to permit population stability. As more empirical information about the differences in growth and survival rates among the various regions of the Chesapeake Bay and its subestuaries becomes available, we will modify the model appropriately.

Model behavior appeared to be realistic based upon the similarity between model predictions and available information. Model-generated densities, mortality rates, growth rates, diets, and consumption rates were similar to reported values. Most predicted mortality was unrelated to starvation and is assumed to be attributable to predation or other sources. Anchovy consumption reduced adult copepod densities, which is plausible, given that simulated anchovy densities, zooplankton densities, zooplankton turnover rates, and anchovy consumption rates were realistic. Model predictions were similar between multiplier and constant immigration simulations.

Density-dependent effects on growth and survival rates were predicted for both the multiplier and constant immigration simulations. Growth and survival rates of larvae and juveniles were positively correlated, and both were negatively related to the number entering each of the life stages. The negative relationships between growth rates and numbers entering were not due to temperature effects, as the number entering variable remained significant in multiple regression models that included monthly temperatures. Years of high recruitment were not necessarily years of high first-feeder abundances, but rather were years of high larval survival that offset density-dependent juvenile survival. Years of high egg production ultimately resulted in decreased overall first-year survival. Luo (1991) reported a significant Ricker spawner-recruit relationship for bay anchovy in lower...
Chesapeake Bay, also implying reduced recruitment (survival) in years of high egg production. An inverse relationship between mean length and number of recruits was predicted ($R = -0.53$ for multiplier immigration and $-0.34$ for constant immigration). However, model-generated differences in mean lengths of Age 0 anchovy in October had no effect on subsequent simulated overwinter mortality rates. The degree of size-dependence of adult anchovy mortality or overwintering mortality was not clear from the available empirical data.

Simulated anchovy population abundances exhibited relatively small interannual variation (1.5-fold) compared to observations. We accepted the model simulations because good, long-term estimates of annual bay anchovy abundances are not available. We could generate realistic variation by randomly varying any number of factors in the model (e.g. immigration, predation mortality), but we lack adequate information to assign, with any degree of confidence, the relative contributions of these factors to anchovy variation. Imposing additional, necessarily random, variation in factors affecting anchovy population variation would simply add noise to model analyses based on long-term means. Data to quantify interannual variation of bay anchovy abundances and to permit mesoscale (e.g. upper Bay, subestuaries) specification of spatial variation in prey densities, predation pressure, and bay anchovy abundances, growth rates, and survival rates presently are being collected. Additional model analyses designed to examine how temporal and spatial variation in different combinations of factors can lead to realistic variation in anchovy population abundances are warranted.

Two major features of the present version of the bay anchovy model are the simulation of a single spatial box with a forced net immigration of spawners each year and the static (density-independent) representation of spawning and mortality processes. A coarse, multi-box version of the bay anchovy model would permit explicit simulation of spawning migration, movement, and differential growth and survival among the sub-estuaries and the major regions of the Bay. However, immigration would still be an issue in a Bay-wide model, because bay anchovy also may migrate between the open ocean and the Chesapeake Bay. Representing spawning (number of batches, fecundity) and mortality rates of life stages as dynamic functions of anchovy densities would allow further exploration of bay anchovy population dynamics. But, explicit specification of the functional forms for the dependence of spawning and mortality on density is highly uncertain with existing data.

Cowan et al. (1999) and Wang et al. (1997) circumvented representing spawning and mortality rates as functions of anchovy density and used the 1-box model to investigate aspects of bay anchovy population dynamics and production. Cowan et al. (1999) demonstrated that: (1) density-dependent effects on prey alone had a limiting buffering effect against a 50% reduction in larval-stage survival, (2) the effects of losses of larvae on future production were orders of magnitude higher for larvae and juveniles compared to eggs and yolk-sac larvae, and (3) realistic, simultaneous changes in spawning intensity and post-larval mortality rates can offset a 50% decrease in larval-stage survival. Wang et al. (1997) used the anchovy model to show how delayed and bimodal spawning peaks affected long-term population dynamics that are moderated by density-dependent survival and growth.

We believe that individual-based models offer a promising approach for simulating the population and community dynamics of fish and other taxa (DeAngelis et al. 1994, Rose et al. 1996). Many processes in fish are size-based (Miller et al. 1988, Crowder et al. 1992, Sogard 1997), and individual differences in size and other attributes (e.g. timing of hatch) can have significant effects on subsequent growth, survival, and reproduction (Cowan et al. 1997, Tyler & Rose 1997, Van Winkle et al. 1997). Representing the effects of inter-individual variation can only be approximated in the more traditional stage or age-based population modeling approaches. Tracking individuals as the fundamental biological unit in individual-based models allows for easy representation of size-based processes and interactions, and straightforward scaling of relatively subtle, but important, differences in individual attributes to the population level.

Acknowledgements. Research sponsored by the Electric Power Research Institute under contract RP2932-2 (DOE No. ERD-87-672) with the U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract No. DE-AC05-96OR22464 with Lockheed Martin Energy Research Corp. This is publication No. 4780 of the Environmental Sciences Division, ORNL. E.D.H.'s participation was supported by NSF Grants OCE-92-03307 and OCE-95-21512. S.-B.W.'s participation was funded by a fellowship from the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) through the American Sportfishing Association EPRI Fellowship Program.

LITERATURE CITED


Luo J, Musick JA (1991) Reproductive biology of the bay anchovy in Chesapeake Bay. Trans Am Fish Soc 120:701-710


Newberger TA (1989) Relative abundance, age, growth and mortality of bay anchovy (Anchoa mitchilli) in the mid-Chesapeake Bay. MSc thesis, University of Maryland, College Park


PSE&G (Public Service Electric and Gas Company) (1984) Bay anchovy (Anchoa mitchilli): A synthesis of information of natural history, with respect to occurrence in the Delaware River and Estuary and involvement with the Salem Generating Station. 316 (b) Demonstration, Appendix XII, Bay anchovy, Vols 1 and 2. PSE&G, Newark, NJ

Rilling GC (1996) Regional and temporal variability in growth, mortality, and age structure of bay anchovy (Anchoa mitchilli) eggs and larvae in the Chesapeake Bay. MSc thesis, University of Maryland, College Park

Rogers BA, Westin DT (1981) Laboratory studies on effects of temperature and delayed initial feeding on development of striped bass larvae. Trans Am Fish Soc 110:100-110

Rose KA, Christensen SW, DeAngelis DL (1993) Individual-based modeling of populations with high mortality: a new method based on following a fixed number of model individuals. Ecol Model 68:273-293


Wang SB (1992) Abundance, relative biomass, production and energy storage of bay anchovy, Anchoa mitchilli, in the Chesapeake Bay. MSc thesis, University of Maryland, College Park


Submitted: September 22, 1997, Accepted: December 30, 1998

Proefs received from author(s): July 27, 1999