Foraging by mobile predators on a rocky shore: underwater TV observations of movements of blennies *Lipophrys pholis* and crabs *Carcinus maenas*
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ABSTRACT: The hypothesis was proposed that shore fishes and crabs, predators other than gastropods, may be primarily responsible for depletion of barnacle populations in the vicinity of crevices through thigmotactic behaviour during foraging. To test this hypothesis, movements of mobile predators on barnacle-dominated rock in the lower intertidal zone were observed using a fixed underwater television camera. An area of 0.2 m² was monitored continuously for 14 d on a vertically oriented but relatively protected shore on the west coast of Scotland. Infrared lights during nighttime high tides lit the area. Stereo photography was used to determine the topography of the area. The principal species seen by day was the blenny *Lipophrys pholis* (L.) while the shore crab *Carcinus maenas* (L.) was the most frequent species at night. Scorpion fish *Taurulus bubalis* (Euphrasen), dogwhelks *Nucella lapillus* (L.) and a single North American mink *Mustela vison* Schreber were also seen. Movements of crabs, and especially blennies, were concentrated around small-scale concavities in the rock surface of less than 10 cm dimensions, supporting the primary hypothesis. Times spent by *Lipophrys pholis* and *Carcinus maenas* in 0.001 m² (10 cm²) areas within the video frame varied by 3 and 2 orders of magnitude, respectively. These 2 species are known to be active predators of barnacles *Semibalanus balanoides* and showed behaviour consistent with attacks on barnacles as prey. Observed movements and apparent spatial concentration of attacks, especially around crevices, may be a cause of patchiness in barnacle populations at this scale.
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INTRODUCTION

Predation has been long recognised as a major process structuring rocky shore communities (Fischer-Piette 1935, Connell 1961). Keystone predator species may prevent primary competitors among the sessile species from dominating the community in some areas (Paine 1966). Elsewhere predators may either determine lower limits of sedentary animals (Connell 1970) or simply reduce their overall abundance (Fairweather et al. 1984).

Analyses of spatial variation in rocky shore communities on a range of scales have shown that 2 peaks occur in the spectra of variation: at scales of several metres or less and at larger scales of several kilometres or more (Archambault & Bourget 1996, Underwood & Chapman 1996). Small-scale variation may be entirely generated by biological self-organisation, such as that produced by natural aggregations of limpet grazers on patches of fucoid macroalgae (Hartnoll & Hawkins 1985, Johnson et al. 1997, Burrows & Hawkins 1998), or the attraction of settling larvae to their conspecifics (Crisp & Meadows 1962). The directly damaging effects of extreme wave action or wave-borne objects (Paine & Levin 1981, Shanks & Wright 1986) may physically generate it. The topography of the substratum itself generates a range of patchily distributed microhabitats that affect the growth and survival of inter-
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tidal organisms and thence community structure (e.g. Wethey 1984).

Behavioural responses of predators to the topography of the substratum (Kostlyev 1996) may be a major source of spatial variation in rocky shore communities. Mobile predators retreat to refuges such as crevices in the rock during harsh conditions of desiccation or wave action (Emlen 1966, Burrows & Hughes 1989). Haloes of bare space or reduced population density may appear around refuges used by predatory gastropods (Seed 1969, Fairweather 1988, Hughes & Burrows 1993), while large prey of sizes preferred by predators (Dunkin & Hughes 1984) may also be reduced in number around such crevices (Johnson et al. 1998). The reduction in barnacle populations around crevices usually has been assumed to be caused by the activity of predatory gastropods such as dogwhelks Nucella lapillus (Hughes & Burrows 1993, Johnson et al. 1998). Yet blennies Lipophrys pholis are known also to feed extensively on barnacle cirri (Qasim 1957), show thigmotactic movements (to increase the quantity of contact stimulation) and learn routes in rock pools and laboratory aquaria (Almada et al. 1983, Dodd 1998). To a lesser extent shore crabs Carcinus maenas are also known to take barnacles (Elner 1981) and show thigmotactic behaviour in laboratory tanks. To test this hypothesis, intertidal predators (including blennies L. pholis and shore crabs C. maenas) were observed directly on a rocky shore using a video camera both in and out of water in a 0.2 m² area over many diel and tidal cycles. Small-scale spatial variation in habitat selection by predators was quantified in relation to surface topography to test for the predicted positive relationship between frequency of visits and surface indentations. The presence of such relationships suggests the further hypothesis that topographically determined patterns of predation give rise to much of the small-scale patchiness in prey populations on rocky shores.

Long-term observations of movements allow the measurement of temporal patterns of behaviour over tidal, diel and longer-term time scales (Burrows et al. 1994). The second major aim of the study was to elucidate these patterns in the most common species of large mobile predators.

METHODS

Study site. The study site was a westerly facing rocky shore less than 0.5 km from the Dunstaffnage Marine Laboratory on the west coast of Scotland (Fig. 1). Although directly open to the prevailing southwestern wind, the site is in the relatively protected waters of the Firth of Lorn, sheltered from oceanic swell by the islands of the Inner and Outer Hebrides. An area of approximately 0.5 by 0.4 m was selected for video surveillance on a vertical rock wall beside a cobble-filled cove. The square was between mean low water neaps (1.8 m) and mid-tide level (2.4 m above Chart Datum) in a broad zone dominated by the barnacle Semibalanus balanoides extending from just above mean low water springs (0.7 m) to mean high water springs (4.0 m) (Fig. 1). A deep crevice in close proximity provided likely shelter for larger mobile predators, while the vertical surface ensured a short, direct path for subtidal predators during periods of inundation.

Underwater television observations. An underwater television camera (UWTV) (OE1390, Simrad Osprey Ltd) was mounted on a trapezoidal frame measuring 1.15 m along the base and 1.05 m from base to apex (Fig. 1). The frame was balanced on its side on a
horizontal ledge, secured in position with sandbags filled with large cobbles, and oriented such that the camera pointed horizontally at the vertical rock surface. The scene was lit at night when the tide was in by two 300 W underwater lights (Osprey OE1132) fitted with infrared filters. A combined cable connected the camera and lights to a control unit (Osprey camera controller OE1230A) in a nearby building that housed pumps supplying seawater to the laboratory. Time-lapse video recordings were made at 5.56 frames s⁻¹ (Panasonic AG6024) after adding a time code signal (IMP Electronics time code generator V9000A). This gave 24 h of recording on 2 h 40 min of videotape.

Video recordings were made continuously for 14 d from low water at 12:20 h BST on 20 August until low water at 12:30 h BST on 3 September 1997.

**Measurement and analysis of patterns of movement.** Movements of large mobile epibenthic predators were recorded by digitising their location at the beginning and end of every move and at the end of each straight-line section of the trajectory while moving, for the whole period that they were visible. Co-ordinates were recorded using a computer program that logged the location of a pointer on the screen overlaying the TV image. The time (to the nearest 0.01 s) at each location was logged by the same program via a time code reader linked to the computer (VMR V9, IMP Electronics Ltd).

For 7 out of 14 d of recordings, the movements of every epibenthic animal passing the camera were quantified in detail. Three species were regularly seen: 2 fishes, the blenny *Lipophrys pholis* (Linnaeus 1758) and the sea scorpion *Taurulus bubalis* (Euphrasen 1786), and 1 crustacean, the shore crab *Carcinus maenas*, Linnaeus. Other species seen but not recorded included the two-spot goby *Gobiusculus flavescens* (Fabricius 1779) swimming in small shoals away from the rock surface during daylight hours, and a single North American mink *Mustela vison* (Schreber) handling dogwhelks *Nucella lapillus* (L.) at low water one afternoon. Mink are well established in Argyll following escapes from fur farms (Craik 1997). Dogwhelks and limpets *Patella vulgata* (L.) were also seen but their movements were not recorded for this study. Shore crabs and blennies were by far the most abundant species. Most subsequent analyses were of the movements of these 2 species.

Several measures of behaviour were derived from the sequences, after correction of the co-ordinates for distance from the camera and rotation relative to the vertical (see next section). The measures included the total duration of time visible, total distance moved, average speed, number of stationary pauses and the proportion of time spent paused. Directions of movement were calculated relative to the vertical, and changes in direction were recorded as the difference in angles of current and previous moves. The degree of convolution of the trajectory was measured as the ratio of the total distance moved to the straight-line distance from the point of entry to the point of exit from the field of view.

The frequency of occurrence and behaviour of *Carcinus maenas*, *Lipophrys pholis* and *Taurulus bubalis* were analysed in several ways. At the level of individual moves, distributions of distances travelled, time spent paused, and directions of movement were described and compared among species. Frequency of occurrence and measures of behaviour at the level of whole sequences were compared for each species among different dates, hours of the day (00:00 to 23:00 h) and time in hours relative to the nearest high water (6 h before to 6 h after high water). Non-parametric analysis of variance based on the ranks of the data was used to test the significance of observed patterns. Finally, the spatial distribution of behaviour was examined by plots of the measured trajectories and comparison of frequency of occurrence and measures of behaviour among different regions in the original camera view.

**Topography of study area: depth, rotation and scaling of measured co-ordinates.** The location of the camera frame was chosen for the best compromise of stability and a good field of view. The resulting image was rotated to the left of the vertical, with the furthest parts of the image (bottom right, Fig. 2a,b) approximately 1.2 m from the camera and the closest parts 0.6 m away (top left). Estimation of distances travelled in contact with the surface from the projected image in the TV picture therefore would be biased by these differences in distance from the camera, so correction for these effects was necessary.

Topography of the surface was quantified using measurements from a stereo pair of photographs taken with the left image at the location of the TV camera (Fig. 2c). Distance from the plane (z_p, cm) and co-ordinates along the plane of the cameras (x_p, y_p, cm) were calculated using the method of van Rooij & Videler (1996) such that:

\[ z_p = \frac{Z_F \cdot X_C}{X_L - X_R} \]  
\[ X_p = \frac{X_L \cdot Z_p}{Z_F} \]  
\[ y_p = \frac{Y_L \cdot Z_p}{Z_F} \]

where \( Z_F \) was the distance from the camera lens to the film plane (38 mm), \( X_C \) was the separation distance of the 2 camera lenses (22.5 cm), while \( (X_L, Y_L) \) and \( (X_R, Y_R) \) were the co-ordinates of the same feature in left-hand and right-hand images, respectively.

Registration of the left-hand stereo image and the TV image was achieved by digitizing co-ordinates of
Fig. 2. Topography of the study area. Video images show the area covered by the tide with (a) a single Carcinus maenas at night (arrowed) and (b) a Lipophrys pholis by day (arrowed). (c) Paired co-ordinates of points in left-hand and right-hand images of a stereo pair. (d) Traces of the water surface in video images at 10 min intervals on a rising tide with calculated water heights (m above Chart Datum). (e) Water surface traces and image limits after correction for distance from the camera (see ‘Methods’ for details), and (f) rotation of co-ordinates to the vertical. (g) Contour plot of the estimated distance of the rock surface from the camera (z co-ordinate in cm) with the location, and (h) residuals from a fitted smooth response surface (as cm above or below) as a measure of small-scale topography.
the same features in each image. Co-ordinates on the left-hand stereo image were nearly perfectly registered to those on the TV image by rotation around a centre of rotation (to the right by 0.47 radians around \(x = 168, y = 470\), and re-scaling to match the 640–480 pixel dimensions of the TV image.

This procedure produced measures of the distance from the camera of a large number of points within the view of the TV camera (Fig. 2c,g). To estimate the distance from the camera of any object in the camera view, the relationship between measured distance \((z_p)\) and position within the image \((x_{TV}, y_{TV})\) was determined by stepwise polynomial regression. Parameters from the best-fit model were used to predict distances from the camera for all digitised positions of predators. These predicted distances \((\hat{z}_p)\) were then used in turn to predict co-ordinates along the plane of the cameras \((\hat{x}_p, \hat{y}_p, \text{cm})\) using Eqs. (2) & (3). Residuals of measured distances from those predicted by the fitted regression \((z_p - \hat{z}_p, \text{Fig. 2f})\) gave the small-scale topographical structure of the rock surface.

The rotation of the TV image relative to the vertical plane was measured by observation of changing water levels over a 90 min period on a rising tide in calm weather between 07:30 and 09:00 h on 25 August 1997. Water levels were digitized from TV images every 10 min. A common slope relating water elevation (recalculated \(y_p\)) to \(x\) co-ordinates for these water levels \((\hat{x}_p, \text{cm})\) was obtained from linear regression as \(-0.732\), equivalent to a rotation of 0.632 radians (Fig. 2f) to the right of the vertical axis. All calculated co-ordinates of locations \((\hat{x}_p, \hat{y}_p)\) were therefore rotated by \(-0.632\) about the origin at the top left-hand corner of the image (Fig. 2e,f).

As a check on the scale, observed water levels were compared to those predicted at 10 min intervals for the nearest standard port (Oban, <4 km distant) using a tidal prediction program (POLTIPS for Windows v1.0, Proudman Oceanographic Laboratory 1996). Predicted and observed values were very highly correlated \((R^2 = 0.985)\) and showed that original estimates of planar \(x\) and \(y\) co-ordinates were 1.62 times greater than their true values (Fig. 2f). This error may have been due to an unreliable estimate of the focal length of the TV camera, \(Z_p\), relative to the size of the image.

### RESULTS

#### Observed behaviour

Sequences of movement of crabs, blennies and scorpion fish were characterised by the appearance of the animal, a series of moves across the rock surface, sometimes punctuated by stationary pauses, followed by the exit of the animal from the field of view (Fig. 3). Apart from gross movements, some types of behaviour could be observed while the animals were visible. *Carcinus maenas* spent much time apparently feeding, picking over the rock surface with the chelae, although the food items manipulated could rarely be seen. In one instance, a single *C. maenas* removed 15 dogwhelks *Nucella lapillus* from an aggregation on the rock surface between 02:15 and 02:48 h on 28 August 1997 manipulating each one before dropping it. The success of these attacks could not be determined. *Lipophrys pholis* showed sequences of moves that were possibly attacks on barnacles. The fishes initially fixated on an area and oriented towards it; this was followed by a rapid dart towards the region. This sequence of events was often immediately followed by a twisting of the body, perhaps associated with biting off barnacle cirri. Restricted visibility during periods of wave action, uneven illumination during nighttime tides and the small size of the animals in the image (Fig. 2a,b) all prevented adequate measurement of these behavioural acts.

The locations of the animals could, however, always be seen. Sequences were divided into straight-line segments ('moves', Table 1) by the observer. Moves of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Median no. of moves</th>
<th>Median duration</th>
<th>Median distance travelled</th>
<th>Median time paused</th>
<th>Median speed</th>
<th>Median convection of path</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shore crab <em>Carcinus maenas</em></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>81.3 s</td>
<td>48.0 cm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.54 cm s⁻¹</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blenny <em>Lipophrys pholis</em></td>
<td>203</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>27.2 s</td>
<td>47.7 cm</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.36 cm s⁻¹</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scorpion fish <em>Taurulus bubalis</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>59.8 s</td>
<td>40.9 cm</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.42 cm s⁻¹</td>
<td>1.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kruskal-Wallis chi-square</td>
<td>df = 2</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>37.58</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>25.06</td>
<td>71.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.472</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3. Observed tracks of (a, b) *Lipophrys pholis* (n = 203 sequences), (c, d) *Carcinus maenas* (n = 193) and (e, f) *Taurulus bubalis* (n = 12) as (a, c, e) originally measured and (b, d, f) after scaling and rotation. Circles show locations of pauses

A similar distance between 1 and 10 cm were recorded for all 3 species (Fig. 4a). Average duration of moves by crabs and scorpion fish (3.2 s and 3.0 s) were longer than those of blennies (1.0 s, Fig. 5). Duration of pauses followed a similar pattern, with crabs and scorpion fishes having longer stationary pauses on average (34 and 39 s) than blennies (7 s average). Moves of blennies averaged a speed of 3.4 cm s\(^{-1}\), and those of scorpion fish 2.8 cm s\(^{-1}\), while crabs reached only a quarter of the average speed of blennies at 0.8 cm s\(^{-1}\). Summary statistics for whole sequences showed similar differences among the 3 species to individual
moves (Table 1). While the distance covered, the number of moves and the convolution of the path taken were similar, Lipophrys pholis traversed the screen in less than half the time and at more than twice the speed of Carcinus maenas and Taurulus bubalis. Although the median proportion of time paused was zero for C. maenas, crabs spent a long time paused in many sequences giving a mean proportion paused of 0.19.

Despite the significance of the differences between the species, each showed considerable variation among sequences. Duration, distance travelled, and speed, for example, varied over several orders of magnitude in each species (Fig. 6). The various measures of movement were strongly inter-correlated within each species (Table 2). For Lipophrys pholis and Carcinus maenas the number of moves, total duration and distance travelled, the proportion of time stationary and the convolution of the sequence were all positively correlated with each other. Average speed was negatively correlated with all the other measures. Sequences therefore varied from long convoluted tracks with many pauses at low speed to short direct tracks with few pauses at high speed.

**Temporal patterns in behaviour**

Frequency of occurrence and measures of behaviour of whole sequences were compared for each species among different dates, hours of the day (00:00 to 23:00 h) and time in hours relative to the nearest high water (6 h before to 6 h after high water). On the 7 d of continuous observation shore crabs were 2 to 4 times as frequent at night as by day (Fig. 7), while blennies were almost never seen.

| Table 2 | Correlations among behavioural measures for sequences of movements of blennies Lipophrys pholis (n = 203) above the diagonal, and shore crabs Carcinus maenas (n = 199) below the diagonal. Values shown are Kendall's rank correlation coefficients. All correlations were significant at p < 0.0001 (with a single exception shown in parentheses). |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| No. of moves | Convolution | Distance | Duration | Speed | Pn time paused |
| No. of moves | - | +0.28 | +0.57 | +0.44 | -0.23 | +0.27 |
| Convolution | +0.33 | - | +0.21 | +0.27 | -0.25 | (0.04) |
| Distance | +0.64 | +0.39 | - | +0.44 | -0.18 | +0.15 |
| Duration | +0.46 | +0.34 | +0.49 | - | -0.74 | +0.38 |
| Speed | -0.21 | -0.20 | -0.19 | -0.70 | - | -0.36 |
| Pn time paused | +0.34 | +0.29 | +0.24 | +0.39 | -0.34 | - |
Table 3. Comparison of measures of sequences and frequency of observation among dates, times of day and time relative to high water (Time high water). Data shown are probabilities of Kruskall Wallis $H$-statistics from analyses of variance of ranked data (Zar 1984). Probabilities greater than 0.05 are not shown (ns) during the hours of darkness (21:00 to 04:00 h). Differences in frequency between night and day were highly significant in both species (Table 3). More crabs and fewer blennies were seen between midnight and midday than between midday and midnight. Crabs and blennies were never observed during periods of emersion (from 6 h before to 6 h after high-water), but were seen in similar numbers throughout the period of immersion (Fig. 7).

Changes in behaviour among dates and times were seen. *Carcinus maenas* moved more rapidly on the first 4 days of observation than on the next 3 (Fig. 8a), and more slowly over a longer period during daylight hours than at night (Fig. 8a,c, Table 3, significant Date effect and Night vs Day contrasts). Lipophrys pholis moved more slowly and spent longer in view during the morning than in the afternoon and evening (Fig. 8a,c). *L. pholis* also tended to move along more convoluted paths before rather than after the time of high water (Fig. 8b). Although the comparison of means was not significant at the 0.05 level,
the proportion of time spent paused by blennies was correlated with the hour of the tidal cycle (Kendall's \( \tau_b = -0.157, n = 203, p = 0.003 \)). Blennies spent a greater proportion of time stationary on the flood tide (0.4 to 0.5) than on the late ebb tide (0.1 to 0.2) (Fig. 8b). Both species showed similar changes in convolution of the track among dates (Fig. 8f), with the exception of \( L. \) pholis on 2 September 1997 (based on only 7 sequences). Most convoluted paths were seen on neap tides.

### Spatial patterns in behaviour

Plots of all the trajectories of the 3 species reveal striking patterns of the use of different areas in the field of view of the camera (Fig. 3). Movements of \( L. \) pholis were concentrated in the regions of surface indentations to the right-hand side of the visible area (compare Fig. 3b and Fig. 2c, h). Fishes followed the lines of these concavities as pathways through the field of view, pausing frequently while...
moving along the paths. Convex surfaces below left of these concavities were visited much less often. Movements of *Carcinus maenas* were less concentrated than those of *L. pholis* but were still more frequent in concave than in convex regions.

Spatial patterns of behaviour were analysed by imposition of a square grid of 16 cells vertically by 23 cells horizontally on the field of view as translated into centimetre co-ordinates. Each grid cell measured 3.16 cm along the side enclosing an area of 10 cm². Cells including any area outside of the field of view of the camera were excluded from further analysis, yielding a total of 184 cells for the whole area (0.184 m²).

The number of moves, the number of separate visits, the total time observed, average distance travelled and proportion of time spent paused were calculated for each cell. The average duration of each visit was also calculated. Gridded data were used to produce contour plots for counts of visits by *Lipophrys pholis* and *Carcinus maenas* (Fig. 9). The regions of high frequencies of visits by *L. pholis* showed a branched structure corresponding to the layout of concave areas. *C. maenas* were seen more frequently in some areas where *L. pholis* were common although the frequented area lacked the branches of that species. The spatial structure of the pattern of visits can also be shown by isotropic variograms (Fig. 10, Rossi et al. 1992). These plots show the variance among all pairs of cells separated by specified distances ('semivariance'). For counts of *L. pholis* the semivariance approached the average variance for cells separated by 7.8 cm, while for *C. maenas* this condition was not reached until cells were separated by 13 to 15 cm. This difference suggests that spatial pattern of counts is more fine-grained in *L. pholis*.

While the average number of visits per 10 cm² was similar for both species at 9.9 for *Lipophrys pholis* and 10.8 for *Carcinus maenas*, counts of the former were much more variable (variance, \( s^2 = 64.9 \)) than the latter (\( s^2 = 34.0 \)). Counts of both species had variance to mean ratios far greater than 1, indicating highly clumped or contagious distributions. A larger proportion of area was visited infrequently by *L. pholis* than by *C. maenas* (Fig. 11). 80% of all the time that speci-

---

**Fig. 9.** Contour plots of numbers of visits by (a) *Lipophrys pholis* and (b) *Carcinus maenas* to 10 cm² areas within the video frame. Visits were counted as the number of entries into each cell over the entire period of observation (7 d).

**Fig. 10.** Semi-variograms of numbers of visits to 10 cm² areas for *Lipophrys pholis* and *Carcinus maenas* (from Fig. 10) and residuals from the fitted response surface for the whole rock surface (small-scale topography: Fig. 2h). Variance was calculated for all pairs of data separated by the distance on the x-axis. The plot shows the variance for each separation distance scaled to the whole variance for the area.

**Fig. 11.** Frequency distributions of (a) the number of visits to 10 cm² areas and (b) the total time spent in each area by *Lipophrys pholis* (grey bars) and *Carcinus maenas* (open bars).
Table 4. Correlations among behavioural measures in 10 cm² regions for blennies *Lipophrys pholis* (n = 182) above the diagonal, and shore crabs *Carcinus maenas* (n = 184) below the diagonal. Values shown are Kendall’s rank correlation coefficients. All correlations shown were significant at p < 0.0001

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. of visits</th>
<th>No. of moves</th>
<th>Total duration</th>
<th>Duration per visit</th>
<th>Average move duration</th>
<th>Pn time paused</th>
<th>Average distance moved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of visits</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+0.84</td>
<td>+0.57</td>
<td>+0.34</td>
<td>+0.19</td>
<td>+0.27</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of moves</td>
<td>+0.85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+0.61</td>
<td>+0.40</td>
<td>+0.24</td>
<td>+0.36</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total duration</td>
<td>+0.49</td>
<td>+0.52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+0.78</td>
<td>+0.55</td>
<td>+0.37</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration per visit</td>
<td>+0.18</td>
<td>+0.23</td>
<td>+0.70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+0.68</td>
<td>+0.39</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average move duration</td>
<td>+0.08</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>+0.41</td>
<td>+0.55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+0.36</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pn time paused</td>
<td>+0.24</td>
<td>+0.29</td>
<td>+0.42</td>
<td>+0.43</td>
<td>+0.24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average distance moved</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most measures of behaviour were positively correlated with the number of visits to each grid cell (Table 4). While several of these measures were necessarily inter-linked (number of visits, total duration of visits, average duration), others revealed differences in behaviour among regions. Only average distance travelled was not correlated with any other measure. Magnitude and sign of correlations were generally similar in the 2 species. Fishes and crabs spent more time per visit, a greater proportion of time paused and had more prolonged moves (and were therefore slower) in those cells visited more frequently.

The intensity of activity of predators other than *Nucella lapillus* was striking. Up to 4 visits by crabs *Carcinus maenas* and up to 6 visits by blennies *Lipophrys pholis* were seen per hour on average. The frequency of visits reflects both activity and abundance of the predators. Single animals may revisit the area several times a day. For the potential prey, the risk of mortality must increase with the frequency of predator visits.

Movements of the 2 species were strongly aligned with surface topography, in line with the primary hypothesis that thigmotaxis of benthic predators would result in concentration of foraging around crevices. Blennies were active only during hours of daylight, like many other species of fishes in intertidal areas (blenniids, Wirtz 1978; labrids, Edwards et al. 1982). The fishes followed regular pathways along the lines of surface depressions (also seen in *Coryphoblennius galerita* L., Almada et al. 1983). *Lipophrys pholis*, among other blenny species, has a well-developed homing ability (Gibson 1967a). Mature males defend territories around their nests (Almada et al. 1992, Gonçalves & Almada 1998) and spend most of their time in the immediate vicinity of the nest. Most of the blennies in this study were smaller juveniles and did not show overtly agonistic or territorial behaviour (Gibson 1968). Despite this, it is likely that the main tracks represent learned pathways around areas known to individual fishes. Fishes introduced into novel areas show exploratory behaviour before developing stereotypical routes between topographical features (Almada et al. 1983). The species is even able to learn routes through mazes to the location of food, a process enhanced by the presence of artificial visual cues (Dodd 1998).

Concentration of movements of *Lipophrys pholis* along concavities and avoidance of highly convex regions suggests that the species may be susceptible to shear during wave action. Well-developed pectoral fins allow the blenny to hold on to the rock and prevent...
dislodgement (Gibson 1982) and thigmotactic swimming may further reduce such risk. Apart from the cost of physical damage (Nursall 1977), displaced animals may be at greater risk and be less able to best exploit areas for which they have no spatial memory (Hughes et al. 1992).

Changes in behaviour, as shown by speed of movement, the convolution of the path followed and the proportion of time stationary, may reflect changes in foraging behaviour. Lipophrys pholis adopted more meandering tracks and were stationary for more time during flood tide than ebb tide. The species was also slower-moving before noon. This type of behaviour may be associated with searching for, orienting towards and attacking barnacle prey since foraging animals often show a greater rate of turning in the presence of prey (Bell 1991). On the flood tide and at the beginning of the day L. pholis may be hungrier and thus stimulated to feed. Carcinus maenas did not show similar changes in behaviour, but were just less active and moving more slowly during hours of daylight. Crabs appeared to continually browse on the barnacles in the dark whereas blennies made a series of attacks on single barnacles involving visual orientation in the light. The scorpion fish Taurulus bubalis is thought to be a ‘sit-and-wait’ predator (Gibson 1967a), and the pattern of movements with frequent long pauses seen in this study is consistent with this type of foraging. Although L. pholis and C. maenas both have endogenous tidal rhythms of activity (Gibson 1967b, Naylor 1985) there were no obvious changes in activity during tide-in periods. Changes in movement patterns from day to day may have been related to the suitability of conditions for foraging. Both species moved over more convoluted tracks at neap tides when a greater proportion of the intertidal prey were continually covered and accessible to predators.

Greater activity of shore crabs at night has been seen on sedimentary shores (Burrows et al. 1994, Gibson et al. 1998). Intertidal migration is a strong component of behaviour in horizontally oriented shores (Hunter & Naylor 1993, Warman et al. 1993) but there was little evidence for directed movements up or down the vertical rock in this study. The distance to be travelled to retreat to below low water is very short for any intertidal predator on a near vertical shore. Most movements of crabs and blennies were horizontally oriented.

While their importance on tropical rocky shores is undisputed (Menge & Lubchenco 1981), highly mobile crab and fish predators have been considered to be relatively unimportant as agents structuring communities on temperate rocky shores (Bertness et al. 1981, Menge 1982). Early predator exclusion experiments showed enhanced survival inside cages (e.g. Connell 1961, 1970, Dayton 1971, Menge 1976), with effects often assumed to be due to the obvious and abundant slugish intertidal residents such as muricid gastropods and starfish. However, close-mesh exclusion cages also prevent access by fishes and crabs (Edwards et al. 1982), leaving the possible effects of the latter untested by the early studies. Later work with a range of cage mesh sizes did demonstrate effects of fishes and crus-tacea (Menge et al. 1986, Robles 1987, Robles & Robb 1993). Removals of single and multiple species have been successful in demonstrating unequivocal effects of specific predators (Fairweather et al. 1984), although impacts are often highly variable among different sites (Fairweather & Underwood 1991).

The study site was less wave-exposed than many other temperate North Atlantic shores. Predation has been found to be more important in sheltered areas (Menge & Sutherland 1976) and areas of reduced water flow (LeNavard et al. 1998), with mobile predators controlled by environmental stress (Menge 1978, Menge & Sutherland 1987, Burrows & Hughes 1989). Deployment of video cameras in a variety of conditions of wave exposure could directly test this hypothesis.

Like the early predator exclusion studies, localised impacts of predators around their refuges have similarly been assumed to be due to the predators found in these refuges at low tide, often muricid gastropods. While the low tide occupants of refuges may be responsible for much of the local depletion of prey, this study shows that movements of fishes and crabs are also concentrated in areas used as refuges by gastropods.

Barnacles form the major part of the diet of Lipophrys pholis (Quasim 1957, Milton 1983) and have been found to be part of the diet of Carcinus maenas (Elner 1981). Both species appeared to forage on the dense population of Semibalanus balanoides in the present study. On rocky shores C. maenas is better known as a predator of mussels (Kitching & Ebling 1967, Elner & Hughes 1978), and may have been foraging for very small littorinids in this study. L. pholis removes barnacle cirri by biting. This may not be fatal to the barnacles. S. balanoides can regenerate excised cirri within 3 wk of being attacked (M.T.B. pers. obs.) at an unknown cost in terms of lost opportunity for growth. Although single attacks on prey were not located in this study, areas of foraging form a subset of the total area where the predators were seen. Of the 2 species, L. pholis made movements that were more concentrated around crevices, has a diet comprised mainly of barnacles and is therefore the most likely to generate spatially localised depletion of prey. Small-scale surveys of the incidence of damaged or missing cirri in barnacles around crevices and direct observation of patterns of attacks would be very useful.
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