Evidence for Niche Differences in Sympatric Populations of *Cerastoderma edule* and *C. lamarcki*

V. Brock

Institute of Ecology and Genetics, University of Aarhus, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

ABSTRACT: Yearly growth rates of a single year class of co-existing cockles *Cerastoderma* (*Cardium*) *edule* (L.) and *C. lamarcki* (Reeve) show interspecific differences. Differences in habitat conditions influence growth rates of the two species differently at the two Danish fjord localities compared. At the Aggersborg locality, the two species grow at similar rates, while habitat conditions at the Langerak locality cause faster growth of *C. edule*, but slower growth of *C. lamarcki*. Habitat niche dimensions of the two species are thus demonstrated to be different.

**INTRODUCTION**

Growth rates of cockles have been demonstrated to vary according to different factors such as temperature, salinity and food availability (Thamdrup, 1935; Kreger, 1940; Smidt, 1951; Kristensen, 1957; Eisma, 1965; Riva, 1976; for pertinent reviews consult 'Marine Ecology', Volume I: Kinne 1970, 1971, 1972). A comparison between growth rates of the two species *Cerastoderma edule* and *C. lamarcki* therefore requires that environmental conditions be identical for both species; this is the case where they occur in sympatry. Such comparison may reveal whether both cockles are equally adapted to the habitat concerned.

Identical growth rates of the two species may result from complementary gains due to different environmental factors and thus do not necessarily reflect identical niches. In contrast, differential growth rates in similar habitats constitute positive evidence for different niches.

It has previously been demonstrated that allopatric *Cerastoderma lamarcki*, (named *Cardium glaucum*) from a variety of different European localities grow markedly slower than allopatric *C. edule* (Brock, 1979a). Measurements of cockles from the collections of the Zoological Museum, Copenhagen – derived from Northern European and some Mediterranean localities – confirm this, although *C. glaucum* grow relatively fast at some other Mediterranean localities (Høpner Petersen, personal communication). However, a comparison between different year classes of sympatric *C. edule* and *C. lamarcki* from different localities seemed to suggest similar growth rates (Brock 1979a); this is also in accordance with the growth of the two species in sympatry found in the Kysing Fjord (Muus, 1967). In the present paper a more detailed analysis of the growth of single year classes at successive ages is described for sympatric populations.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

1975-year-class cockles were sampled at about 1.5 m depth from two localities in the Limfjord, Aggersborg and Langerak (Denmark), in spring 1977, in spring, summer and autumn 1978 and in spring 1979 (Fig. 1). Both localities are constantly submerged and tidal influence is negligible. (Hylleberg et al., 1978). Random samplings showed the densities to be low: at Aggersborg < 1m⁻², at Langerak: 10–50 m⁻². Individuals were located by their siphon openings at the bottom surface and sampled by means of a sieve with a long handle or by hand while diving.

Cockles were identified by means of electrophoretic patterns (MdH) (Brock, 1978) as *Cerastoderma lamarcki* in line with recent immunoelectrophoretical studies of *Cardium* systematics (Brock, in prep.). Age determination was based on winter rings (Orton, 1926), supported by polished shell sections (Jones et al., 1978). The age determination is illustrated in Figure 2.
Dry weight analysis was carried out as described by Hylleberg et al. (1978). The length of the shell enclosed by a winter ring was measured with sliding calipers to the nearest 0.5 mm, and the length of the shell to the nearest 0.1 mm.

Bertalanffy's (1957) model of growth: \( L_t = L_\infty (1 - e^{-K(t-t_0)}) \) was used to describe the growth rates of each individual. Based on the \( K \) and \( L_\infty \) values calculated for each year class of four year old cockles, the expected lengths of each age for both species at the two localities were calculated; \( t_0 \) was chosen to fit the growth curve to mean lengths observed in the first growth season.

RESULTS

Few cockles reached the age of four growth seasons, especially so in the Aggersborg locality. Figures 3 and 4 show the observed mean lengths related to Bertalanffy’s model of growth (1957); for details see Table 1. Figures 3 and 4 illustrate observed mean lengths (symbols) and growth curves according to Bertalanffy’s growth model, based on mean lengths.

As evident from Table 1 and Figures 3 and 4, Cerastoderma edule grows faster at Langerak than at Aggersborg from the 1st to the 4th growth season, whereas C. lamarcki grows slower at Langerak than at Aggersborg within the same period. The \( L_\infty \) values obtained by the growth model applied to the observed mean values (Table 1) suggest that C. edule may become larger at Langerak than at Aggersborg. The growth of the two species is quite similar at Aggersborg, but different at Langerak.

The relation between individual \( L_\infty \) to \( K \) values estimated from \( L_{t+1} - L_t = (L_\infty - L_t)(1 - e^{-K}) \) shows interspecific differences at both localities with respect to the four growth seasons.
The differences found are even more pronounced when dry weight is considered, since *Cerastoderma edule* is heavier than *C. lamarcki* of similar length (Fig. 9).

**DISCUSSION**

For cockles, the most meaningful measure of size is the weight of metabolizing soft tissues. However, since it is impossible to measure directly the weight of the cockle at earlier life stages, length was chosen instead. A linear relation (double logarithmic scale) between shell length and dry weight of soft tissue was found (Fig. 9) in accordance with the expected linear relation between the cube of length and of tissue volume. Shell length is therefore considered an acceptable measure for size and growth.

A comparison between length and age of the four year classes of both species from the two investigated localities yields results which are within the range of the values found by different authors (Boyden, 1972).

Interspecific differences of individual growth rates are documented by differences obtained for the relation between the two characteristic parameters from the growth equation, $L_{\infty}$ and $K$ (Figs 5, 6, 7 and 8). Interlocality differences are expressed by different individual relations for 4-year-old *Cerastoderma lamarcki* at the two localities studied (Figs 5, 6). Values for $L_{\infty}$ and $K$ were obtained under certain habitat conditions concerning space and time and hence should be interpreted in this context.
Fig. 5. *Cerastoderma edule* (○) and *C. lamarcki* (■). The ln $L_\infty$ to $e^{-k}$ relation for four-year-old cockles; 1975-year class at Langerak.

Fig. 6. *Cerastoderma edule* (○) and *C. lamarcki* (■). The ln $L_\infty$ to $e^{-k}$ relation for four-year-old cockles; 1975-year class at Aggersborg.

Fig. 7. *Cerastoderma edule* (○) and *C. lamarcki* (■). The ln $L_\infty$ to $e^{-k}$ relation for three-year-old cockles; 1975-year class at Langerak.

Fig. 8. *Cerastoderma edule* (○) and *C. lamarcki* (■). The ln $L_\infty$ to $e^{-k}$ relation for three-year-old cockles; 1975-year class at Aggersborg.

Fig. 9. *Cerastoderma edule* (○) and *C. lamarcki* (■). Relation between shell length and dry weight of soft tissue. Double logarithmic scale. Langerak populations, October 1978. O: *C. edule*, ln $y = 3.16 (\pm 0.07) \times \ln x - 2.12 (\pm 0.10)$, $N = 74$; C: *C. lamarcki*, ln $y \approx 3.07 (\pm 0.09) \times \ln x - 2.05 (\pm 0.11)$, $N = 90$.}

**Notes:**
- The diagrams show the relationship between $L_\infty$, the asymptotic length of the cockles, and the growth rate $k$.
- The data for both species are plotted separately to compare their growth patterns.
- The population growth rate is also calculated for each species, indicating the expected growth patterns over time.
Table 2. *Cerastoderma edule* and *C. lamarki*. Observed mean lengths (in mm) for three-year-old cockles. 1975 year class. For explanations see Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>( l_1 ) observed</th>
<th>( l_2 ) observed</th>
<th>( l_3 ) observed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Langerak</td>
<td><em>C. edule</em></td>
<td>13.6 ± 1.9 (45)</td>
<td>22.0 ± 2.0 (45)</td>
<td>26.4 ± 0.7 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langerak</td>
<td><em>C. lamarki</em></td>
<td>14.6 ± 1.8 (51)</td>
<td>21.4 ± 1.7 (51)</td>
<td>25.4 ± 0.9 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggersborg</td>
<td><em>C. edule</em></td>
<td>17.2 ± 1.0 (75)</td>
<td>23.7 ± 1.5 (75)</td>
<td>30.0 ± 2.5 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggersborg</td>
<td><em>C. lamarki</em></td>
<td>15.0 ± 2.1 (88)</td>
<td>23.8 ± 2.4 (101)</td>
<td>29.0 ± 1.4 (101)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applied to the observed mean values, the growth model used (Bertalanffy, 1957) gives a fairly good description of the growth rates of both species when using suitable \( t_0 \) values (Figs 3, 4). Yet the growth of each does not necessarily fit the model describing the population as a whole (Fig. 10).

Cockle growth during the first growth season is very fast considering the time for larval settlement in July (Boyden, 1971), leaving the young cockles a growth period of only a few months (Figs 3, 4). The mean values for \( l_1 \), \( l_2 \) and \( l_3 \) are smaller for *Cerastoderma edule* from Langerak sampled after the third growth period, than corresponding values for cockles sampled after the fourth growth period; this indicates selection favouring big *C. edule* at the locality concerned (Table 2). Such selection is probably not due to predation, as no predators preying upon big cockles were found in the areas (Brock, 1979b); hence other factors appear to be involved.

No 1975 data on spawning periods of the two species exist for either of the two localities, but the lengths of the cockles attained at the end of the first growth season hold some information. According to Table 1, *Cerastoderma lamarki* mean values are practically equal at the two localities (14.3 mm and 14.9 mm), and *C. edule* mean values are different, 13.9 mm at Langerak and 16.4 mm at Aggersborg (\( P < 0.001 \)). These data suggest that *C. edule* spawned earlier than *C. lamarki* at Aggersborg. Such displacement in spawning periods in sympatric cockle populations, also found by Boyden (1971) and Rygg (1970), may prevent hybrid formation as suggested by Boyden (1971) and Kingston (1974); in fact no hybrids were found in the investigated material, and none were found in earlier studies (Brock, 1978). Yet a complete overlap in spawning periods has been found in summer 1979 at the Langerak locality (Brock, in prep.). At Langerak, the growth rate of the two species from the 1st to the 4th growth period, demonstrate species-specific differences in the response to identical environmental conditions. These differences are stressed by the fact that the growth rate of both species is differently affected by probable differences in the habitat conditions at the two localities. It is remarkable that the environmental conditions at Langerak support fast growth of *C. edule* while, on the other hand, *C. lamarki* exhibits slower growth at Langerak than at Aggersborg (Table 1).

Recent studies (Brock and Kofoed, in prep.) show differences in irrigatory efficiency of the two species at different environmental temperatures. The efficiency of *Cerastoderma edule* is higher than that of *C. lamarki* at temperatures below 16 °C, and lower at higher temperatures (16°-28 °C). This may explain why *C. lamarki* grows at a slower rate at Langerak than at Aggersborg, while *C. edule* grows faster. At Aggersborg the study locality is part of a big low-water area where occasionally the temperature rises considerably in summer. The Langerak locality constitutes part of a slope between the land and the narrow

![Fig. 10. *Cerastoderma edule*. Examples of extreme individual growth rates in four-year-old individuals from Aggersborg. Each point represents the length of a cockle related to its length increment from the following year according to Bertalanffy (1957). For each individual, 3 points are inter-connected by lines (left points represent length at the end of 1975)](image-url)
Langerak Strait. The quick water renewal of this area prohibits long periods of extreme temperatures and thus, apparently, does not cause gain in C. lamarcki or stress to C. edule at high temperatures. Temperature, and presumably other habitat factors, affect the growth of the two species differentially. Habitat, resources and time constitute the main niche dimensions (Christiansen and Fenchel, 1977). The two species in sympathy grow equally well in one habitat and differently in another; they do respond differentially to some habitat factors. It can therefore be concluded that the niches of the two species are different.
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