Bio-optical characteristics of diatom and prymnesiophyte populations in the Labrador Sea
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ABSTRACT: During the spring of 1996, phytoplankton samples were collected along a transect from South Wolf Island (Labrador) to Cape Desolation (Greenland). Dense blooms of diatoms were found over the shelf near the coast of Labrador, whereas high concentrations of the colony-forming prymnesiophyte Phaeocystis pouchetii were found close to Greenland. Phytoplankton samples were separated into 2 major groups (diatoms or prymnesiophytes) on the basis of chlorophyll (chl) chlorophyll a ratios (determined by HPLC analysis), and the effects of species composition on the absorption and photosynthetic characteristics of these 2 high-latitude phytoplankton populations were studied. At all pigment concentrations and all wavelengths examined (apart from 623 nm), the diatom population had a much lower absorption coefficient than the prymnesiophyte population; this was attributed to an increased pigment-packaging effect in the larger diatom cells. Varying proportions of photoprotective pigments also influenced the absorption characteristics of these populations. The low specific-absorption coefficient of the diatom population resulted in a higher maximum photosynthetic quantum yield to that of the prymnesiophyte population. The initial slope of the photosynthesis-irradiance (P-E) curve also appeared to be taxon-specific, with higher values being recorded for the smaller prymnesiophytes than for the larger diatom cells. The implications of species-dependent variations in phytoplankton absorption coefficients for the retrieval of remotely-sensed chl a are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

The advent of a new generation of satellite ocean-colour sensors has spurred interest in bio-optical characteristics of phytoplankton populations. These new, sophisticated sensors have a higher spectral resolution and better radiometric performance than the historic Coastal Zone Color Scanner (CZCS) (IOC CG 1998). Algorithms for retrieval of chlorophyll a (chl a) concentration from satellite-derived ocean-colour data are being developed and refined continually as new information becomes available. The algorithms are usually empirical in nature and are derived by statistical regression of water-leaving radiance against chlorophyll (O’Reilly et al. 1998). In contrast, theoretical or semi-analytical models have also been developed to obtain a better understanding of the various factors that influence ocean colour (Morel & Prieur 1977, Carder et al. 1999, Sathyendranath et al. 2000). The models express water-leaving radiance as a function of the inherent optical properties of the water column, i.e. the absorption and backscattering coefficients.

Specific-absorption coefficients of phytoplankton (absorption coefficients per unit concentration of chlorophyll) are known to vary regionally as well as seasonally (Bricaud & Stramski 1990, Hoepffner & Sathyendranath 1992, Lutz et al. 1996, Arillo et al. 1998, Stuart et al. 1998, Sathyendranath et al. 1999) in response to changes in species composition, light history and nutrient conditions. Mitchell & Holm-Hansen (1991) noted that specific absorption coefficients of phytoplankton from high-latitude areas were significantly
lower than those from temperate waters. They attributed these low values to increased pigment-packaging effects, resulting from large cell size as well as high intracellular pigment concentrations (low-light adaptation). Any such variation in the bio-optical properties of phytoplankton assemblages can have a significant effect on the retrieval of chl \( a \) from remotely-sensed data. Thus, several studies report underestimation of the chl \( a \) concentration for certain phytoplankton populations in high-latitude areas, when standard algorithms developed for use with CZCS or SeaWiFS of data are used (Müller-Karger et al. 1990, Mitchell 1992, Sullivan et al. 1993, Arrigo et al. 1998). On the other hand, there are also reports that SeaWiFS algorithms overestimate pigment concentrations for certain phytoplankton populations in the Ross Sea by more than a factor of 2 (Arrigo et al. 1998). Because of these apparent inconsistencies, it is important that we improve our understanding of the bio-optical characteristics of phytoplankton in high-latitude areas and their impact on the retrieval of remotely-sensed chl \( a \).

Computation of primary production is another application of remotely-sensed ocean-colour data. This computation requires specification of the photosynthetic characteristics of phytoplankton. The parameters of the photosynthesis-light curve (\( \alpha \) and \( P_{\text{m}} \)) are highly variable, and are known to respond to changes in the environment such as variations in available light, temperature and nutrient conditions. There is a growing need to quantify the effect of environmental variables on the absorption and photosynthetic properties of natural phytoplankton populations, especially in the high-latitude areas. Environmental conditions in the Arctic are unique in that temperatures are low, nutrients show a strong seasonal signal, and the light regime varies greatly. Polar phytoplankton are known to exhibit extreme variability in their photosynthesis-irradiance (P-E) parameters which some authors have attributed to the wide range of environmental conditions (Harrison & Platt 1986, Sakshaug & Slagstad 1991, Figueiras et al. 1994). There is also evidence to suggest that photosynthetic responses may be taxon-specific (Platt et al. 1983) and may also vary with latitude (Harrison & Platt 1986, Platt & Sathyendranath 1995).

In this study, we examine the relationship between phytoplankton species-composition and changes in absorption and photosynthetic characteristics of 2 common phytoplankton groups from the Labrador Sea, in spring, to detect differences in optical parameters in relation to environmental variables, cell size and pigment composition.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sampling procedures.** Data were collected between 15 and 30 May 1996 during a cruise of the CCGS ‘Hudson’ to the Labrador Sea. Samples were collected at 13 stations along a transect from the edge of the ice-pack off South Wolf Island (Labrador) to Cape Desolation (Greenland) (Fig. 1). At each station, temperature and salinity profiles were recorded at 1 m intervals using a Seabird (Model SBE 25) sensor mounted on the frame of a sampling pump, which also carried a submersible fluorometer that monitored in situ chlorophyll fluorescence (Sea Tech Model 304). Seawater samples were collected with the pump at 10 m depth intervals within the upper 100 m of the water column for the determination of extracted chlorophyll and nutrient concentrations. For extracted chlorophyll determinations, 100 ml water samples were filtered through GF/F filters at a vacuum differential of \(<200\) mm Hg and immediately extracted overnight in \(90\%\) acetone. Chlorophyll concentration was measured using a Turner Designs fluorometer, according to the method of Holm-Hansen et al. (1965). Samples for nutrient determination were
frozen at −20°C for later analysis of nitrate, silicate and phosphate concentrations using an Alpkem RF-300 autoanalyzer. At each of the 13 stations, seawater samples were also collected from 3 depths between 10 and 50 m for the determination of absorption characteristics, pigment composition and parameters of the P-E curves.

**Light absorption by phytoplankton.** Particulate samples were collected on GF/F filters, and stored at −70°C until processing using the filter technique of Yentsch (1962), as modified by Mitchell & Kiefer (1984, 1988). Filters were placed on a drop of filtered seawater and the absorption of the total particulate material, \(a_p(\lambda)\), relative to a blank filter saturated with seawater, was measured on a dual-beam Shimadzu UV-2101 PC scanning spectrophotometer equipped with an integrating sphere. Absorption by the detrital component, \(a_d(\lambda)\), of the sample was estimated according to the method of Kishino et al. (1985), with some minor modifications (see Stuart et al. 1998 and Sathyendranath et al. 1999 for details). Basically, phytoplankton pigments were extracted from the filters using a mixture of 90% acetone and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (6:4 vol:vol), and an exponential curve was fitted to the detrital absorption spectrum to correct for pigments which were not extracted.

Optical-density measurements were divided by the geometrical path length (volume filtered divided by clearance area of the filter) and multiplied by a factor of 2.3 (conversion factor for transforming decimal logarithms to natural logarithms) to obtain the absorption coefficient. The value of the absorption coefficient at 750 nm was subtracted from the values at all other wavelengths, as a rudimentary correction for errors arising from scattering by the phytoplankton cells. The measurements were corrected for path-length amplification arising from scattering by the filter, using the method of Hoepffner & Sathyendranath (1992, 1993). Absorption by photosynthetic pigments, \(a_{ph}(\lambda)\), was then calculated as the difference between \(a_p(\lambda)\) and \(a_d(\lambda)\). According to the manufacturer’s specifications, the photometric accuracy of the spectrophotometer was ±0.002 for absorbance, and previous studies have found that the precision of absorption measurements ranged from 3 to 7 % for different wavelengths (see Stuart et al. 1998). Pigment specific absorption coefficients of phytoplankton \(a_{ph}(\lambda)\) were calculated by dividing absorption by HPLC-determined chl a concentration.

**Pigment analysis.** Water samples for the detailed analysis of pigment composition were filtered onto GF/F filters and stored at −70°C until analyzed. At several stations, samples were also partitioned into 2 size fractions (<3 µm and total), using 2 seawater samples of the same volume. The first sample was filtered through a 3 µm Nuclepore filter, and the filtrate was collected onto a GF/F filter to produce the < 3 µm size-fraction. The second seawater sample was filtered directly onto a GF/F to give total pigment concentrations. Pigments were quantified with reverse-phase, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) as described in Head & Horne (1993). Frozen filters were homogenized in 1.5 ml 90 % acetone, centrifuged and diluted with 0.5 M aqueous ammonium acetate at a ratio of 1:2 before injection. Peak identifications were made using standards for chl a, b and c (mixture of \(c_1\) and \(c_2\)), 19-butanoyloxyfucoxanthin, fucoxanthin, 19-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin, diadinoxanthin, \(\beta\)-carotene, lutein and zeaxanthin. Standards of chl a, chl b and \(\beta\)-carotene were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co., while other pigment standards were provided by Dr R. Bidigare.

**Parameters of photosynthesis-light curve.** Parameters of the photosynthesis-light curve were measured at each station by incubating samples for 2 to 3 h at in situ temperatures under 30 irradiances, ranging from 5 to 2000 µmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹, as described by Irwin et al. (1990). Primary production per hour, normalized to the biomass, B (HPLC-measured chl a concentration) was estimated by the \(^{14}\)C method, and the results fitted with the equation of Platt et al. (1980) to determine the initial slope of the P-E curve (\(\alpha^B\)) and the assimilation number \((P_{m}^B)\).

The \(\alpha^B\) thus obtained is a non-spectral (broad-band) value, which is biased towards the red end of the spectrum because the emission spectrum of the tungsten-halogen lamp used in the experiments is rich in red wavelengths. To eliminate this bias, a correction factor was computed for \(\alpha^B\) using the shape of the phytoplankton absorption spectrum, as in Kyewalyanga et al. (1997). These authors demonstrated that this type of correction led to a significant decrease in the bias of the broad-band \(\alpha^B\) compared with the average \(\alpha^B\) determined from spectrally-resolved incubations.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Hydrography and fluorescence profiles**

Contour plots of temperature, salinity and nitrate profiles along the transect are shown in Fig. 2a,b,c. Temperature and salinity profiles were obtained from CTD data at 1 m intervals, whereas the nitrate profiles were obtained from analysis of pump samples, collected at 10 m intervals. These profiles display features that are consistent with the topography and circulation patterns. Hydrographic fronts occurred along the shelf break on both the Labrador and Greenland shelves. The water over these shelves was generally cooler
(−0.8 to −1.4°C) and less saline (32.2 to 32.7‰) than the water in the central part of the transect, which may be attributable to melting sea-ice and the influence of cool, fresh water from the Baffin Island and East Greenland Currents, the central region being more influenced by the North Atlantic water (see Head et al. 2000). In general, ice covers the entire Labrador Shelf until early April, when it starts to melt rapidly. Cool, fresh water extended over the eastern Labrador shelf to the shelf break (around 54°W), and was confined to a narrow band corresponding to the much narrower shelf along the coast of Greenland. All profiles in Fig. 2 indicate that there was no significant stratification of the water column down to a depth of 50 m.

Highest nitrate concentrations (up to 13.5 µM) were associated with the warmer (3 to 4°C), more saline waters (34.4 to 34.7‰) towards the eastern section of the transect, while low, sometimes undetectable, levels of nitrate were found over the Labrador shelf. These low nitrate concentrations coincided with extremely high levels of chlorophyll fluorescence (Fig. 2d), indicative of a phytoplankton bloom, suggesting that the bloom had significantly depleted available nutrients. This is clearly observed in Fig. 3, where extracted chlorophyll concentrations and total nitrate concentrations, integrated down to a depth of 50 m, have been plotted against longitude. Integrated nitrate concentrations \( (N_{\text{int}}) \) showed a significant negative relationship with integrated chlorophyll concentrations \( (Chl_{\text{int}}) \) for these stations \( N_{\text{int}} = 562.29 - 0.8707 \ Chl_{\text{int}}, \ n = 11, \ r^2 = 0.88) \). Extracted chlorophyll concentrations within the bloom reached 18 mg m\(^{-3}\). A patch of relatively high fluorescence, coinciding with extracted chlorophyll measurements of up to 5.5 mg m\(^{-3}\), was observed near the coast of Greenland, while low fluorescence levels were recorded in the central portion of the transect, coinciding with extracted near-surface concentrations of <2 mg m\(^{-3}\). Although estimates of extracted chlorophyll concentrations co-varied with measurements of fluorescence from the CTD rosette, the two
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**Fig. 2.** Vertical profiles of (a) temperature (°C), (b) salinity (‰), (c) nitrate concentrations (µM) and (d) fluorescence (relative units) along transect from the coast of Labrador to coast of Greenland during May 1996. Location of sampling stations are indicated in (a). Temperature, salinity and fluorescence data were collected at 1 m intervals using CTD, whereas nitrate data was collected at 10 m intervals using sampling pump. Measurements were recorded down to a depth of 100 m, but only data from top 50 m are shown (CTD contours were started at 3 m because of instrument fluctuations in top few meters). Heavy line overlay shows bathymetry along transect, deepest point being >3000 m (not drawn to scale). Extracted chlorophyll values ranged from 18 mg m\(^{-3}\) (10 m, 55°W) to <0.5 mg m\(^{-3}\) in central portion of the transect at depth of 50 m. High fluorescence patch at 48.5°W (10 m) coincided with extracted chlorophyll concentrations of 5.5 mg m\(^{-3}\)
were not well correlated, perhaps because of variations in fluorescence yield between different phytoplankton populations (see also Head et al. 2000). For this reason, the fluorescence measurements in Fig. 2d are presented in relative units.

The hydrographic features discussed above are similar to those of previous studies conducted in the Labrador Sea area during the May/June period. Typically, hydrographic fronts are associated with the shelf break on both the Labrador and Greenland shelves, and algal blooms are frequently associated with these fronts (e.g. Labrador shelf front: Cabal et al. 1997, Labrador and Greenland shelf fronts: Head et al. 1999, Arrigo et al. 1998). Samples from the middle section of the transect, however, did contain these 2 pigments, as well as chl c₃, chl b, chl c₁₋₂ and diadinoxanthin (Fig. 4), suggesting the presence of other prymnesiophyte species, for example, *Emiliania huxleyi* (characterized by chl c₁ and 19'-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin), as well as chlorophytes (characterized by chl b). Unfortunately, phytoplankton samples were not collected specifically for microscopic analysis from these stations, so the identification of these algal classes could not be confirmed. Head et al. (2000) reported that *P. pouchetii*, *Chaetoceros* spp. and *Thalassiosira* spp. were the most abundant species in this region during the spring of 1997, similar to the 1996 results presented here.

Size-fractionation revealed that ~40% of the chl a from the *Phaeocystis pouchetii* bloom occurred in the <3 µm size fraction, compared to only 3.4% for the

![Fig. 3. Extracted chlorophyll concentrations (■) and total nitrate concentrations (△) for each sampling station, integrated down to a depth of 50 m](image)

**Pigment composition**

HPLC analysis revealed very high concentrations of chl a (up to 14 mg m⁻³) over the Labrador shelf (Stns 1 to 4), and moderately high levels of chl a (up to 3.4 mg m⁻³) near the coast of Greenland (Stn 13), which is in agreement with the fluorescence profiles (Fig. 2d). In the middle section of the transect, chl a concentrations were lower, in the range of 0.5 to 2 mg m⁻³. Detailed pigment analysis suggested that diatoms dominated the phytoplankton assemblage near the coast of Labrador, as evidenced by the high proportions of fucoxanthin and chl c₁₋₂, and the presence of diadinoxanthin (Fig. 4). Microscopic analysis of phytoplankton samples confirmed the abundance of diatoms, and the dominant species were identified as *Thalassiosira* sp., *Chaetoceros* sp. and *Fragilaria oceania*. Size fractionation indicated that most of the chlorophyll at these stations came from cells >3 µm.

Large aggregations of gelatinous *Phaeocystis* colonies were observable with the naked eye in samples collected by bucket from the sea surface near the coast of Greenland (Stn 13). Microscopic analysis at the time of sampling confirmed them to be *P. pouchetii* (*Haptophyta, Prymnesiophyceae*). HPLC analysis of samples taken from the bloom stations revealed relatively high levels of chl c₃, which has been used as a marker for a majority of bloom-forming prymnesiophytes (see Johnsen & Sakshaug 1993, Jeffrey et al. 1997). It should be noted here that these *P. pouchetii* samples contained no 19'-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin or 19'-butanoyloxyfucoxanthin, pigments which are commonly found in *Phaeocystis* sp. from Antarctic waters (Buma et al. 1991, Arrigo et al. 1998). Samples from the middle section of the transect, however, did contain these 2 pigments, as well as chl c₃, chl b, chl c₁₋₂ and diadinoxanthin (Fig. 4), suggesting the presence of other prymnesiophyte species, for example, *Emiliania huxleyi* (characterized by chl c₁ and 19'-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin), as well as chlorophytes (characterized by chl b). Unfortunately, phytoplankton samples were not collected specifically for microscopic analysis from these stations, so the identification of these algal classes could not be confirmed. Head et al. (2000) reported that *P. pouchetii*, *Chaetoceros* spp. and *Thalassiosira* spp. were the most abundant species in this region during the spring of 1997, similar to the 1996 results presented here.

Size-fractionation revealed that ~40% of the chl a from the *Phaeocystis pouchetii* bloom occurred in the <3 µm size fraction, compared to only 3.4% for the

![Fig. 4. Relative proportion of different accessory pigments (expressed as percentage of total accessory pigments) at each sampling station along transect (average of all samples from each sampling station). 19-but = 19'-butanoyloxyfucoxanthin; fuco = fucoxanthin; 19-hex = 19'-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin; diadino = diadinoxanthin; chl c₁₋₂ = chlorophyll c₁₋₂; Chl c₃ = chlorophyll c₃; chl b = chlorophyll b](image)
diatom samples (excluding Stn 5 outlier, see following paragraph). In the middle of the transect, 40 to 80% of the chl a occurred in the smaller (<3 µm) size fraction. The aggregations of *P. pouchetii* colonies observed floating on the surface of the water (up to several mm in diameter) were disrupted by the sampling pump during collection, so the measurements are somewhat biased towards smaller individual cells at the expense of larger colonies. However, results from samples collected the following year (May 1997) indicated that the sampling pump did not cause cellular damage to the *P. pouchetii* cells and that disruption of colonies by the pump had little effect on the absorption properties of the *P. pouchetii*-dominated colonies (see Appendix 1).

Phytoplankton samples were separated into 2 groups, based on the ratio of chl c₃ to chl a. We have assumed that samples which had chl c₃/chl a ratios of <0.02 contained mostly larger diatoms (many of these samples had undetectable levels of chl c₃), while samples with chl c₃/chl a ratios greater than 0.02 were composed predominantly of small prymnesiophytes. This scheme appeared to work well for most samples from the study site, apart from 1 sample collected at Stn 5, which was composed of small chlorophytes and prymnesiophytes (80% of the chl a from this sample was in the <3 µm size fraction). This sample, which had relatively high levels of chl b and 19'-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin, was grouped with the diatoms because the chl c₃/chl a ratio was less than 0.02 (chl c₃/chl a = 0.015), even though it might fit better with the smaller prymnesiophytes. Clearly some stations contained a mixture of diatoms and prymnesiophytes, as indicated by the presence of a small amount of chl c₃ in the presumed ‘diatom’ population (see Table 1).

Absorption and photosynthetic characteristics of the 2 dominant phytoplankton assemblages (diatoms and prymnesiophytes) were examined separately on this basis, and the results are reported below.

**Light absorption by phytoplankton**

**Absorption spectra**

To examine differences in the shapes of the absorption spectra of the 2 dominant phytoplankton assemblages, the average absorption spectrum of each group was normalized to 623 nm, since this region of the spectrum is only weakly affected by variations caused by the packaging effect and changes in pigment composition (Stuart et al. 1998). The prymnesiophyte population had higher absorption coefficients than the diatom population in the blue region of the spectrum (~440 nm), with somewhat smaller differences in the red region of the spectrum (676 nm) (Fig. 5). Note that the differences in magnitude of the absorption spectra are relative, since the spectra have been normalized to 623 nm.

The diatom population showed an enhanced absorption in the green part of the spectrum, between 500 and 575 nm, which can be attributed to absorption by fucoxanthin. Indeed, fucoxanthin/chl a ratios were noticeably higher for the diatom population than for the prymnesiophyte population (Table 1). Taxonomic differences in absorption in this part of the spectrum are important for remote-sensing applications, since standard SeaWiFS algorithms use the wavebands 490 and 555 nm to estimate chl a concentrations (O’Reilly et al. 1998). The ratio of aₚₐ(490)/aₚₐ(555) was found to be significantly higher (p = 0.000, 2 sample t-test) for the prymnesiophyte population than for the diatom population (Table 1). Differences between the 2 phytoplankton populations were also evident in comparisons of other absorption ratios, for example, the mean ratios of aₚₐ(443)/aₚₐ(550) and aₚₐ(443)/aₚₐ(676) were also significantly lower for the diatom-dominated population than for the prymnesiophyte-rich population (Table 1). Similarly, Arrigo et al. (1998) noted lower blue-green ratios for diatoms than for *Phaeocystis* spp. assemblages in Antarctic waters, although in their study the differences were not as pronounced, probably because the diatoms in their study were relatively small (30 µm³).

**Absorption coefficients**

Specific-absorption coefficients at 443 and 676 nm [aₚₐ(443) and aₚₐ(676)] were significantly lower (p = 0.000) for the diatom population than for the prymnesiophytes (Table 1). The values reported in Table 1 are

![Absorption spectra](image-url)
normalized to HPLC-derived chl \( a \) measurements, excluding phaeopigments. These values are somewhat lower when absorption is normalized to HPLC chl \( a \) plus phaeopigments, or to fluorometrically derived chl \( a \) (see also Stuart et al. 1998). However, the differences between the 2 major population groups remain significant even with these other normalizations. Mean values of \( a'_{ph}(443) \) for the diatom population (0.027 \text{ m}^2 [\text{mg chl} \ a]^{-1}) are similar to those recorded for phytoplankton populations from high-latitude environments (Mitchell & Holm-Hansen 1991), whereas values for the prymnesiophyte population fall within the range of values reported for phytoplankton from low- and mid-latitudes (Bricaud & Stramski 1990, Hoepffner & Sathyendranath 1992). Our \( a'_{ph} \) values for prymnesiophytes also fall within the range of values reported by Cota et al. (1994) for a Phaeocystis spp. bloom in the Greenland Sea, and by Moisan & Mitchell (1999) for \( P. \) antarctica grown under different light regimes.

The relationship between phytoplankton absorption and chl \( a \) concentration can be described by a Michaelis-Menten equation of the form:

\[
a_{ph}(\lambda) = \frac{a_m a'_m C}{a_m + a'_m C}
\]

where \( a_m \) is the asymptotic maximum value of the absorption coefficient, \( a'_m \) is the initial slope of the curve near the origin (maximum specific-absorption coefficient) and \( C \) is the HPLC-derived chl \( a \) concentration (see Lutz et al. 1996, Stuart et al. 1998, Sathyendranath et al. 2000). Parameters for this function are presented in Table 2 for various wavelengths used in standard remote-sensing algorithms (443, 490, 550, 555 nm) as well as for 623 nm. At a given chlorophyll concentration, absorption by the prymnesiophytes was substantially higher than that by the diatoms at all wavelengths examined, apart from the waveband at 623 nm (Fig. 6). At 623 nm, differences in absorption coefficients between the 2 phytoplankton populations were less apparent (Fig. 6b), probably because of the absence of any significant flattening effect at this wavelength (see also Geider & Osborne 1987, Stuart et al 1998). In Fig. 6b, a single function was used to describe the relationship between pigment concentration and phytoplankton absorption at 623 nm, but the coefficients for 2 separate functions (diatom and prymnesiophyte populations) are given in Table 2.

The observed differences in absorption coefficients between the 2 phytoplankton populations can be attributed to variations in the package effect and to differences in pigment composition. Changes in the package effect are known to be caused by 2 factors: changes in cell size and variations in intracellular pigment concentration, frequently associated with photo-adaptative responses (Duyens 1956, Morel & Bricaud 1981, Kirk 1994). Large diatom cells have a much greater pigment-packaging effect (and thus a lower absorption coefficient) than the smaller prymnesiophyte cells. The lower absorption coefficients of the diatom population might also be due partly to increased intracellular pigment concentrations resulting from photoadaptation to the low light levels in high-latitude areas (see Robinson 1992, Arrigo et al. 1993). An increase in intracellular pigment concentration would act to augment the packaging effect and thus reduce specific-absorption coefficients (Morel & Bricaud 1981, Mitchell & Kiefer 1988).

### Table 1. Means and SD of various absorption and photosynthesis-irradiance (P-E) measurements for diatom- and prymnesiophyte-dominated phytoplankton assemblages in the Labrador Sea in May 1996 (\( n = 12 \) for diatoms; \( n = 16 \) for prymnesiophytes). Samples were separated based on chl \( c_3/\text{chl} \ a \) ratios (see ‘Results’ for details). Results of a 2-sample \( t \)-test comparing the means of each population are also given. Units as follows: \( a'_{ph}(\lambda), \text{m}^2[\text{mg chl} \ a]^{-1}; a'_m, \text{mg C (mg chl} \ a)^{-1}; P_n, \text{mg C (mg chl} \ a)^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}; I_b, \text{mol quantum} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}; \text{and } \phi_m, \text{mol C (mol} \text{quantum})^{-1} \text{. } a'_{ph} = \text{absorption coefficient normalized to chl} \ a; a'_m = \text{initial slope of the P-E curve normalized to chl} \ a; P_n = \text{assimilation number normalized to chl} \ a; I_b = \text{photoadaptation parameter}; \phi_m = \text{maximum quantum yield of photosynthesis.}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Diatoms</th>
<th>Prymnesiophytes</th>
<th>( t )-test ( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( a'_{ph}(443) )</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a'_{ph}(676) )</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_{ph}(490)/a_{ph}(555) )</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>4.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_{ph}(443)/a_{ph}(550) )</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>6.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_{ph}(443)/a_{ph}(676) )</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fucoxanthin/chl ( a )</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl ( c_3/\text{chl} \ a )</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( q_d )</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_n )</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( I_b )</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>86.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \phi_m )</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Influence of photoprotective pigments

Variations in phytoplankton absorption are also known to be influenced by the relative proportion of photoprotective or non-photosynthetic carotenoids (NPC) (Bricaud et al. 1995). In addition, Culver & Perry (1999) demonstrated that the photoprotective absorption coefficient of phytoplankton varies directly with irradiance, and can account for much of the variability in the spectral shape of the total phytoplankton absorption coefficient. In our study, the ratio of NPC/chl a was directly related to the blue/green ratio of phytoplankton absorption \( a_{ph}(443)/a_{ph}(490) \) (Fig. 8). We have assumed that diadinoxanthin, diatoxanthin, alloxanthin, \( \beta \)-carotene and zeaxanthin are NPCs, as in Bricaud et al. (1995). This plot clearly shows a pigment effect, with the absorption ratio decreasing with increase in NPC. Some of the scatter around the regression line may reflect a size (flattening) effect. Large cells, with a greater flattening effect, would be expected to have a smaller absorption ratio for a given pigment composition than smaller cells with the same pigment composition. Indeed, this would appear to be the case for most of the samples from the study site. In particular, the large diatom samples all lie below the regression line. Stn 5, which was included with the larger diatoms because of its relatively low chl c3/chl a ratio, was actually comprised of 80% small cells, and lies well above the regression line.

High-light acclimated cells can have up to 3 times higher concentrations of photoprotective carotenoids (such as diadinoxanthin) relative to levels in low-light acclimated cells (Johnsen & Sakshaug 1996). Since diadinoxanthin has an \( in vivo \) absorption maximum around 490 nm, changes in blue/green absorption ratios can also reflect changes in pigment composition and the state of photoadaptation of the cells. Cells adapted to high light levels will have relatively high levels of diadinoxanthin, and thus an enhanced absorption around 490 nm, resulting in lower blue/green absorption ratios. This is also evident in the Labrador Sea data, where prymnesiophyte-dominated samples from the middle section of the transect displayed the lowest blue/green absorption ratios in conjunction with relatively high levels of NPCs (see Figs. 7 & 8). Samples taken from within the dense Phaeocystis pouchetii bloom, on the other hand, contained very low concentrations of photoprotective carotenoids, resulting in higher blue/green absorption ratios.

### Table 2. Parameters of Michaelis-Menten type function (see 'Results' for details) relating absorption to HPLC-derived chl a concentration, for diatom- and prymnesiophyte-dominated phytoplankton assemblages in Labrador Sea in May 1996 (n = 16 for prymnesiophytes; n = 12 for diatoms). Values are given for each wavelength used in standard CZCS (443 and 550 nm) and SeaWiFS (490 and 555 nm) algorithms as well as for 623 nm. \( a_{ph}(m^{-1}) = \) asymptotic maximum value of \( a_{ph} \); \( a_{ph}^*(m^2 [mg chl a]^{-1}) = \) initial slope near origin (maximum specific-absorption coefficient)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (( \lambda )) (nm)</th>
<th>Diatoms</th>
<th>Prymnesiophytes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( a_m )</td>
<td>( a^*_m )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>443</td>
<td>0.7641</td>
<td>0.0218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>490</td>
<td>0.3861</td>
<td>0.0130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>0.2018</td>
<td>0.0063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555</td>
<td>0.1831</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623</td>
<td>0.1763</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Single function for diatoms and prymnesiophytes combined:

\( a_{ph}(623) = 0.0970 \times a_{ph}(490) \cdot (mg chl a)^{-1} \)

Fig. 6. Absorption (m\(^{-1}\)) by diatoms (○) and prymnesiophytes (●) at (a) 443 nm and (b) 623 nm, plotted as function of HPLC-derived chl a concentration (see Table 2 for parameters of function). Populations were separated on basis of chl c3/chl a ratios (see 'Results' for details).
Photosynthetic parameters

Measured values of the initial slope of the P-E curve ($\alpha_B$) ranged from 0.006 to 0.047 mg C (mg chl)−1 h−1 (µmol quanta m−2 s−1)−1 which closely approximates the range reported by Sakshaug & Slagstad (1991) for polar phytoplankton species (0.007 to 0.040 mg C [mg chl]−1 h−1 [µmol quanta m−2 s−1]−1). One prymnesiophyte sample from 50 m was excluded from this analysis since it had an abnormally high $\alpha_B$ value. The mean value of $\alpha_B$ for the prymnesiophyte population was significantly higher (p < 0.05) than that of the diatom population (Table 1), suggesting that photosynthetic rate might be size- or taxon-specific. Several other studies have reported significantly higher values of $\alpha_B$ for smaller size fractions than for larger phytoplankton assemblages (Platt et al. 1983, Joint & Pomroy 1986), and Cota et al. (1994) noted unusually high values of $\alpha_B$ (0.028 to 0.097 mg C [mg chl a]−1 h−1 [µmol quanta m−2 s−1]−1) for a Phaeocystis spp. bloom in the Greenland Sea in early spring.

In the present study, $\alpha_B$ was related in a linear fashion to the specific-absorption coefficient of phytoplankton at 676 nm (Fig. 9a), as well as to environmental variables such as nitrate concentration, temperature and salinity (Fig. 9b–d). These latter correlations imply that factors other than phytoplankton population structure may also have contributed to changes in $\alpha_B$. Indeed, linear regression analysis indicated that some 42% of the variance in $\alpha_B$ could be explained by variations in temperature and depth alone, although covariance of factors should not be ruled out; for example, diatoms were confined predominantly to low-temperature, low-salinity, low-nutrient environments. It should be noted that these results are based on a relatively small number of observations at one point in time, and that the observed relationships might be affected by temporal and seasonal variations.

Unlike $\alpha_B$, the light-saturated rate of chlorophyll-normalized photosynthesis ($P_m^B$) showed no significant relationship with cell size, depth, temperature or nutrient concentrations. Furthermore, $P_m^B$ was not correlated with either absorption characteristics or pigment composition, and there were no significant differences (p = 0.83) between the mean $P_m^B$ for the diatom population and the prymnesiophyte population (Table 1). This is in contrast with the results of Platt et al. (1993), who found that temperature and depth alone could account for some 65% of the variance in $P_m^B$ for phytoplankton samples from the Celtic Sea during late spring. In their study, however, variations in temperature, depth and nitrate could not explain significant proportions of the variance in $\alpha_B$ for unfractionated samples.

Values for $P_m^B$ were lower than those from warmer waters (Platt & Jassby 1976, Côté & Platt 1983, Sathyendranath et al. 1999), ranging from 1.14 to 5.45 mg C (mg chl a)−1 h−1, but comparable to assimilation numbers for phytoplankton populations from similar latitudes, in spring and summer (Neori & Holm-Hansen 1999).

Fig. 7. Ratio of diadinoxanthin to chl a for phytoplankton populations dominated by diatoms (○) and prymnesiophytes (●) at sampling stations along transect from coast of Labrador to coast of Greenland.
The photoadaptive strategy of phytoplankton from the Labrador Sea is thus characterized by an increase in photosynthetic efficiency at lower light levels (higher \(a_B\)) with very little change in \(P_{mB}\), indicating that phytoplankton at depth utilize the lower irradiance levels more efficiently. This strategy is similar to that seen in *Phaeocystis pouchetii* advected beneath the ice in McMurdo Sound, Antarctica (Palmisano et al. 1986). Several authors have concluded that, on an annual basis, \(P_{mB}\) is controlled predominantly by ambient temperature, whereas changes in \(a_B\) are controlled by light history (Platt & Jassby 1976, Harrison & Platt 1980, 1986). On shorter time scales, however, variations in \(P_{mB}\) and \(a_B\) are related largely to changes in community structure (see Côté & Platt 1983), as was observed in this study.

The derived photoadaptation parameter, \(I_k = P_{mB}/a_B\), is known to decrease with increasing latitude, and has been shown to be important in the discussion of latitudinal effects on the calculation of primary production (see Platt & Sathyendranath 1995). Values of \(I_k\) ranged from 41 to 192 \(\mu\text{mol quanta m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\), with significantly higher values (\(p = 0.002\)) being recorded for the larger diatoms than for the smaller prymnesiophytes (Table 1).

Platt et al. (1993) also noted higher values of \(I_k\) for the larger size fraction of phytoplankton in the Celtic Sea than for the smaller size fraction and concluded that the daily water-column production would be higher for the smaller size fraction. Similarly, for phytoplankton samples collected in the Arabian Sea, Sathyendranath et al. (1999) found that \(I_k\) values were slightly lower during the 2 monsoon seasons than during the intermonsoon period; they suggested that this might contribute to the higher water-column production during the monsoon seasons. The differences in \(I_k\) values between the diatom and prymnesiophyte populations recorded in the present study may also be interpreted to imply faster growth rates and higher rates of primary production for the prymnesiophyte population at a given light intensity. It is interesting to note that Moisan & Mitchell (1999) recorded relatively high \(\phi_m\) (quantum yield for growth) and \(a_{\phi m}\) values for *Phaeocystis antarctica* at low light intensities, which they postulated might contribute in part to the ability of *P. antarctica* to initiate blooms in polar regions in early spring, when light levels are low.

Despite the fact that the water column was vertically homogeneous with little evidence of stratification (Fig. 2), \(I_k\) values decreased with increasing depth (Fig. 10b) presumably as an adaptation to the lower light levels. In addition, \(a_B\) also showed some variations with depth (\(Z\)) for both the diatom and prymnesiophyte populations, although the data are somewhat limited (Fig. 10a). It is well known that phytoplankton adjust their photosynthetic characteristics to ambient light levels through a number of physiological processes, referred to as photoadaptation (Falkowski 1983, Richardson et al. 1983). These photoadaptive responses may include changes in cellular pigment content or a change in pigment composition, and have often been explained in terms of changes in the number and size of photosynthetic units (Prézelin 1981, Richardson et al. 1983). Many studies have used the photoadaptive response of phytoplankton to estimate the rate or intensity of vertical mixing in near-surface layers (Falkowski 1983, Harrison & Platt 1986, Cullen & Lewis 1988). Basically, if the time scale for photoadaptation is shorter than that for vertical mixing, phytoplankton will adjust their metabolic activities in response to ambient light levels, resulting in a non-uniform vertical distribution of P-E parameters. Since our \(I_k\) values changed markedly with depth, we can conclude that
phytoplankton were adapting faster than they were mixing.

Because of the limited spatial resolution of P-E data in most areas of the ocean, several authors have attempted to extrapolate a small number of P-E measurements to large scales, for use in computations of primary production from remotely-sensed data (see Sathyendranath & Platt 1993, Longhurst et al. 1995). In this context it would be desirable to relate photosynthetic parameters to more commonly measured variables that can be detected by remote sensing, e.g. sea-surface temperature, which is routinely measured by the NOAA satellites. In this study, $a_B$ was positively related to temperature (Fig. 9c) as well as to other environmental variables, although it is likely that these relationships are indirect and are not universal, and they may also vary regionally and seasonally.

**Quantum yield**

The maximum, realized, quantum yield of photosynthesis, $\phi_m$, was estimated from the ratio of $a_B$ to the mean specific-absorption coefficient of phytoplankton (average specific-absorption coefficient over the range 400 to 700 nm), scaled by a constant of 0.02315 to convert grams of carbon to moles of carbon and hours to seconds. Values of $\phi_m$ varied from 0.022 to 0.088 mol C [mol quanta]$^{-1}$ with the mean quantum yield for the prymnesiophage population (0.044 mol C [mol quanta]$^{-1}$) being significantly lower ($p = 0.006$) than that for the diatom population (0.066 mol C [mol quanta]$^{-1}$) (Table 1). Mean values for the prymnesiophage population were similar to those of phytoplankton from the Southern Californian Bight (Schofield et al. 1993) and the Arabian Sea (Sathyendranath et al. 1999). Quantum yield was only weakly related to the proportion of chlorophyll in the <3 µm size fraction (Fig. 11a), but showed a stronger, inverse relationship with the ratio of phytoplankton absorption in the blue to red regions of the spectrum (Fig. 11b), as has been found in other studies. Cleveland et al. (1989) attributed such a decrease in $\phi_m$ to an increased contribution by blue-light-absorbing, non-photosynthetic particles, such as phaeopigments or detritus, whereas Sathyendranath et al. (1996) postulated that changes in phytoplankton population structure and state of photoadaptation governed some of the changes in quantum yield. Indeed, this would appear to be the case here, since the samples tended to be grouped taxonomically.

If phytoplankton absorption spectra can be retrieved by the new generation of hyperspectral ocean-colour sensors, with sufficient precision to distinguish between size-dependent variations in the spectral form, then it may also be possible to monitor variations in quantum yield using remotely-sensed ocean-colour data (see Carder et al. 1995).

The relative proportion of non-photosynthetic carotenoids also appeared to have an influence on the maximum quantum yield of photosynthesis (Fig. 11c). Several authors have noted reduced quantum yields associated with samples containing high levels of photoprotective pigments (Bidigare et al. 1989, Babin et al. 1996). We know that phytoplankton from the middle of the transect contained a relatively greater proportion of the photoprotective pigment diadinoxanthin than did samples from either end of the transect (see Fig. 7).
Since diadinoxanthin absorbs light but does not transfer energy to Photosystem II (Vernet et al. 1989), it will reduce the quantum yield of photosynthesis, thus accounting for the lower quantum yield in samples with a high proportion of NPCs. It is interesting to note here that samples from the *Phaeocystis pouchetii* bloom, which contained low levels of NPCs, had higher quantum yields than the other prymnesiophyte samples.

Falkowski (1992) suggested that \( \phi_m \) may also be correlated with nutrient availability. Several laboratory studies have shown that nutrient limitation tends to lower quantum yield (Cleveland & Perry 1987, Sosik & Mitchell 1991), and field studies have demonstrated a negative correlation between \( \phi_m \) and distance from the nitracline (Cleveland et al. 1989, Lizotte & Priscu 1994). In the present study, there was no distinct nitracline for the range of depths sampled, and \( \phi_m \) did not show any relationship with nitrate concentration (Fig. 11d). Furthermore, there was no clear evidence of a depth-dependent increase in \( \phi_m \) (Fig. 10c), as has been reported in a number of field studies (Babin et al. 1996, Sathyendranath et al. 1996).

**Implications for retrieval of chl \( a \) from remotely-sensed data**

Since remote-sensing reflectance varies in response to phytoplankton absorption characteristics, taxonomic differences in phytoplankton absorption can have an impact on the retrieval of chl \( a \) from remotely-sensed data. Sathyendranath et al. (2000) developed a theoretical model of ocean colour that relates absorption and backscattering coefficients to reflectance at the sea surface, and examined the impact of variations in phytoplankton absorption properties on the performance of standard remote-sensing algorithms. Their model was implemented using phytoplankton absorption data collected from mid- to low-latitude areas, as well as data from the diatom and prymnesiophyte populations in the Labrador Sea obtained from the present study. Their model results suggested that, for the diatom population from the Labrador Sea, the low absorption efficiencies reported here might lead to significant underestimation in retrieved chl \( a \) concentrations if

![Graph showing the relationship between \( \phi_m \) and various parameters](image)

**Fig. 11.** Relationship between \( \phi_m \) (mol C [mol quanta]\(^{-1}\)) and (a) percentage chlorophyll in <3 \( \mu \)m size-fraction \( F \) (\( \phi_m = 0.0625 - 0.000176F \), \( n = 14, r^2 = 0.04 \)); (b) ratio of phytoplankton absorption in blue (443 nm) part of the spectrum to that in the red (676 nm) (\( \phi_m = 0.109 - 0.0282a_{ph}(443)/a_{ph}(676) \), \( n = 26, r^2 = 0.26 \)); (c) the ratio of non-photosynthetic carotenoids to chl \( a \) (\( \phi_m = 0.0752 - 0.203R \), \( n = 26, r^2 = 0.25 \)); (d) concentration of NO\(_3\) (\( \phi_m = 0.064 - 0.00126NO_3 \), \( n = 24, r^2 = 0.08 \)). (○, ●) Phytoplankton populations dominated by diatoms and by prymnesiophytes, respectively.
standard remote-sensing algorithms were used. On the other hand, the prymnesiophyte population from the Labrador Sea showed excellent agreement with the data from lower latitudes, suggesting that the bio-optical properties of the prymnesiophyte population were very similar to those of phytoplankton from lower latitudes. Taxonomic differences in the optical properties of phytoplankton assemblages may thus be responsible for some of the variability in the performance of ocean-colour algorithms frequently observed in polar areas.

Other authors have also reported that the standard CZCS algorithm underestimated chlorophyll concentrations in the polar oceans (Müller-Karger et al. 1990, Mitchell 1992, Sullivan et al. 1993), which they attributed to differences in the degree of pigment-packaging between high-latitude phytoplankton species compared with those from temperate waters. Furthermore, Arrigo et al. (1998) noted that the SeaWiFS algorithm underestimated chl a concentrations in the Southern Ocean for stations dominated by cryptophytes, but strongly overestimated chl a in areas dominated by large-celled Phaeocystis antarctica. The overestimate in chl a for the P. antarctica population was attributed to the high concentrations of photosynthetic pigment, 19′-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin, which enhances absorption at 490 nm; this suggests that pigment composition may also influence the retrieval of chl a using standard algorithms.

Several studies have thus concluded that phytoplankton from polar waters have bio-optical properties that differ significantly from those in lower latitudes, and have suggested that different bio-optical algorithms should be used for polar regions (Mitchell & Holm-Hansen 1991, Mitchell 1992). However, Sathyendranath et al. (2000) observed differences in the performance of algorithms only for diatom populations from high-latitude areas, and not for prymnesiophyte populations, suggesting that the performance of standard algorithms may be compromised only in the presence of certain diatom blooms. As we have seen in this study, bio-optical properties of phytoplankton are not necessarily latitude-dependent, but are more likely to be influenced by the structure of the phytoplankton assemblage and its pigment composition.

It is also apparent that regional algorithms parameterized using bio-optical characteristics of local phytoplankton assemblages would perform better than global algorithms (see Fenton et al. 1994). To account for regional variations in pigment-to-chlorophyll ratios and pigment-packaging effects, Carder et al. (1999) developed semi-analytical algorithms for the MODIS sensor, which were parameterized for 3 different bio-optical domains corresponding to unpackaged, packaged and transitional cases of phytoplankton pigments. This type of approach would help reduce algorithm errors and may lead to a future generation of ‘switching algorithms’. However, as pointed out by Carder et al. (1999), misclassification of domains can lead to an increase in errors.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

In this study, phytoplankton absorption coefficients and the initial slope of the P-E curve (αd) were found to be related to cell size and phytoplankton species composition. Small prymnesiophytes had higher specific absorption coefficients and higher initial rates of photosynthesis than large diatoms, and consequently, lower quantum yields. High absorption coefficients of prymnesiophyte populations were attributed to low pigment-packaging effects and, in some cases, to a relatively high proportion of non-photosynthetic pigments. In contrast, the larger diatom cells had relatively low pigment-specific absorption coefficients, caused both by high pigment-packaging effects (large cell size) as well as an increase in intra-cellular chl a concentrations resulting from adaptation to low light levels.

Differences in the bio-optical characteristics of phytoplankton populations can lead to large errors in the retrieval of chl a from remotely-sensed data using standard algorithms, suggesting that species-specific or regional algorithms need to be developed. This would necessitate the retrieval of additional information on particular taxonomic groups from remote-sensing measurements. Such a goal may not be entirely impossible with the new generation of satellite ocean-colour sensors, which have a large number of spectral channels (IOCCG 1998). It is unlikely, however, that small-scale differences in species composition, such as were found in the present study, could be resolved easily. Caution should therefore be exercised when retrieving pigment concentrations from high-latitude areas, especially in the case of dense algal blooms comprised of large-celled species such as diatoms.
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