ABSTRACT: In the vicinity of the Pribilof Islands in the Bering Sea, abundance of food available to surface-foraging seabirds was greater during the chick-rearing period in 1988 than in 1987, whereas abundance of food available to pursuit-diving seabirds was greater in 1987. Here we examine how breeding success and resource allocation of surface-foraging black-legged kittiwakes Rissa tridactyla (BLKI) and pursuit-diving thick-billed murres Uria lomvia (TBMU) varied with the fluctuations in their food supply. We also examine a difference in resource allocation among parents raising chicks at the large colony on St. George Island and those at the nearby small colony on St. Paul Island. We studied breeding success (BS), field metabolic rates (FMR, assessed by using doubly labeled water), foraging distribution, and nest attendance of parents and growth rate (GR) of chicks. The BS of BLKIs was lower in 1987 (a season of less abundant food for kittiwakes) than in 1988 (a season of more abundant food), and parents had higher FMRs in 1987 than in 1988. At-sea distributions and nest attendance suggested that in 1987 BLKIs foraged farther from the colonies, which could have resulted in the higher FMR of the parents. GR of BLKI chicks did not vary between 1987 and 1988. The BS of TBMUs was not significantly different between 1987 (a season of more abundant food for TBMUs) and 1988 (a season of less abundant food). Parent TBMUs had similar FMRs between the seasons. Densities of foraging TBMUs were higher within 20 km around colonies in 1987 than in 1988. Although the total time parent TBMUs spent foraging did not vary inter-seasonally, they performed more foraging trips of a shorter duration in 1987 than in 1988, and the GR of TBMU chicks was higher in 1987 than in 1988. Inter-colony comparisons do not suggest that parents reproducing at the large colony work harder to raise their young compared to parents breeding at the small colony. In 1987 parent BLKIs failed in raising young at the large colony, whereas one-third of BLKIs fledged their chicks at the small colony. In 1988, however, RS and FMRs of parent BLKIs were not significantly different between the colonies. Also, TBMUs at the large colony had higher BS than those at the small colony in both 1987 and 1988. Furthermore, in both years parent TBMUs feeding young at the small colony foraged farther from the colony and had significantly higher FMRs than at the large colony. These results suggest that fluctuations in food supply affect resource allocation in seabirds. However, a decrease in food abundance is likely to cause an increase in energy expenditures of parent BLKIs, whereas growth rates of their chicks are less affected. For the TBMUs, food shortages are likely to cause a decrease in growth of the chicks, but not an increase in energy expenditures of the parents.
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Results of field studies have shown that in some species of pelagic seabirds parents were willing and/or able to compensate for variation in food availability through an increase in effort devoted to foraging for the young (Burger & Piatt 1990, Mognahan et al. 1994, Uttley et al. 1994). In other species, parents maintained constant foraging effort despite high variation in food availability (e.g., Obst et al. 1995).

Variations in the availability of food can also influence the reproductive performance of birds in a density-dependent fashion (Ashmole 1963, Furness 1984, Arcese & Smith 1988, Both 1998). Several studies have shown that seabirds in large colonies have reduced chick survival or growth rates compared to birds in smaller colonies, suggesting greater depletion of food resources around large colonies (Gaston & Nettleship 1981, Furness & Birkhead 1984, Hunt et al. 1986). Reduced reproductive performance could indicate that adults at large colonies were not able to compensate fully for a depletion of food through an increase in their foraging effort. The lower rates of chick growth or survival in larger colonies could also reflect an unwillingness of adults to compensate for food shortages by increasing their reproductive investment. Instead, these parents may conserve resources to apply to future reproductive effort (Sterns 1992). Although there is considerable data demonstrating changes in seabird reproductive output as a function of prey resources (e.g., Ainley & Boekelheide 1990, Hunt et al. 1996, Greenstreet et al. 1999, Hunt & Byrd 1999), the behavioral responses of parent seabirds to variation in food resources are not well understood (Furness & Nettleship 1991, Montecvchi 1993). Theoretical considerations of parental effort in reproduction versus allocation of resources to maintenance suggest that even high environmental variability would not change optimal effort of long-lived parent seabirds in reproduction (e.g., Cooch & Ricklefs 1994).

In this study we examined (1) whether parent seabirds adjust their reproductive effort to interannual variations in food resources, and (2) whether adult birds at a very large colony work harder to raise their chicks than parents at a smaller nearby colony. Our goal was to determine the relationship among field metabolic rates, foraging and nest attendance behaviors of parents, and growth rates and survival (until fledging) of their chicks following a change in food abundance.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study system.** We studied the breeding biology of black-legged kittiwakes *Rissa tridactyla* and thick-billed murres *Uria lomvia* on St. Paul and St. George Islands, Pribilof Islands, Bering Sea. These 2 colonies are 88 km apart and differ by an order of magnitude in the number of seabirds breeding on them (Table 1). Black-legged kittiwakes are surface-foraging birds that prey on pelagic fishes and invertebrates during chick-rearing, and provision their young by regurgitating stomach-loads of partially digested food (Decker et al. 1995). On the Pribilof Islands, kittiwakes lay 1 to 2 eggs but rarely raise 2 young (Braun & Hunt 1983). Thick-billed murres are pursuit-divers, and at the Pribilof Islands they concentrate their foraging within 30 to 60 km of each island (Hunt et al. 1982, Schneider & Hunt 1984). Murres raise a single chick per successful breeding bout. Parent murres typically provision their chick with a single prey item that they deliver to the breeding site in the bill (Gaston & Jones 1998).

An interannual change in food distribution and abundance observed at the Pribilof Islands during 1987 and 1988 (Coyle & Cooney 1993, G.L.H. et al. unpubl. data) allowed us to study a change in resource allocation of kittiwake and murre parents in response to this inter-annual fluctuation. Overall, there were no significant inter-annual changes in the diet composition of black-legged kittiwakes and thick-billed murres during 1987 and 1988 (Decker et al. 1995). However, food available to surface-foraging kittiwakes was more abundant in 1988 compared to 1987, whereas food available to pursuit-diving murres was more abundant in 1987 than in 1988 (Table 2).

**Breeding success.** To examine the contributions of the interannual change in food abundance on the

| Table 1. Estimates of the numbers of seabirds breeding on the Pribilof Islands, Bering Sea |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                 | St. Paul Island | St. George Island |
| All seabirds    | 267 200 | 229 400 | 2 460 500 | 2 446 000 |
| Black-legged kittiwake *Rissa tridactyla* | 42 000 | ~20% decline | 94 000 | ~20% decline |
| Thick-billed murre *Uria lomvia* | 110 000 | ~51% decline | 1 400 000 | ~21% decline |

aData from Hickey & Craighead (1977); bdata from Craighead & Oppenheim (1982); cd data from Hunt & Byrd (1999)
breeding performance of seabirds, we used available data on reproductive performance in 1987 and 1988 as summarized by Climo (1993) and Dragoo & Dragoo (1994). For black-legged kittiwakes and thick-billed murres, breeding success was defined as the percentage of nests in which an egg was laid from which a chick fledged successfully. Consequently, to determine season and colony effects on bird breeding success, we used \( \chi^2 \)-tests on relative frequencies of nests where parents failed to fledge chicks or fledged chicks successfully.

**Field metabolic rates.** We determined field metabolic rates of parent kittiwakes and murres by using the doubly labeled water technique (Nagy 1983). We captured adults rearing young at their nests by net and/or noose pole. We then injected isotopically labeled water containing both \( ^3 \text{H} \) and \( ^18 \text{O} \) into the pectoralis major of the birds. The injection solution was suitably mixed to deliver 1 mCi \( ^3 \text{H} \) kg\(^{-1} \) bird (i.e., 0.4 mCi kittiwake\(^{-1} \), 1.0 mCi murre\(^{-1} \)) and 3 ml of 90 to 99 atom\% \( ^18 \text{O} \) kg\(^{-1} \) bird (i.e., 1.2 ml kittiwake\(^{-1} \), 3 ml murre\(^{-1} \)) in a single injection of 3.2 ml kg\(^{-1} \) per bird. Injections of this size are unlikely to disturb the birds’ water balance, and are well below the accepted threshold for \( ^3 \text{H} \) toxicity (Nagy & Costa 1980).Injected individuals were held for 1 h (kittiwakes) or 1.5 h (murres) to allow the isotopes to equilibrate throughout the body-water space. During this period the individual was weighed, banded, and marked with dye for later recognition. At the end of the equilibration period, an initial blood sample was collected from the brachial vein in a 70 \( \mu \)l hematocrit tube and the tube was immediately flame sealed. The birds were then released back at their nests. After 1.5 to 4.5 d (kittiwakes) and 2 to 6 d (murres) following the injection, each bird was recaptured at its nest. A second blood sample was taken and sealed as before.

Blood samples were chilled (2 to 4°C) in the field and transported to the laboratory at University of California, Irvine. There, water was distilled from the blood samples according to the microdistillation technique described by Nagy (1983). Isotope analysis of the distilled water was performed in 2 steps at the laboratory of K. A. Nagy at University of California at Los Angeles. \( ^3 \text{H} \) activity was determined via liquid scintillation spectrometry with samples counted to 1% errors. Levels of \( ^18 \text{O} \) were measured via proton activation analysis (Wood et al. 1975, Nagy 1983).

To determine total body water, we used \( ^18 \text{O} \) levels in initial blood samples via the isotope dilution-space method (Nagy 1983). \( \text{CO}_2 \) production was calculated from initial and final isotope levels and total body water (Roby & Ricklefs 1986). Field metabolic rates (FMRs) were calculated from \( \text{CO}_2 \) production by using the energy equivalent of each species’ diet composition at each colony during the 1987 and 1988 breeding seasons (Decker et al. 1995).

FMR samples at the 2 colonies/years were controlled for brood size and age of chicks. For statistical comparisons of adult FMRs between colonies and reproductive seasons, we used ANOVA on mass-independent FMRs, where year and colony were the factors. To calculate mass-independent FMRs, we followed the ANCOVA method described by Packard & Boardman (1987a,b). Specifically, to eliminate the effects of body mass on field metabolic rates, we used the ANCOVA on \( \log_{10} \) FMR with colony/year as factors and \( \log_{10} \)-transformed body mass as a covariate. Then, the mass-independent FMR was calculated by using the common slope as the basis for FMR adjustments for body mass.

**Nest-attendance observations.** In this study, we considered each individual nest as a sampling unit. We assumed that, when parents were not observed at the nest site, they were foraging. Therefore, for each nest

---

**Table 2. Estimates of abundance of prey potentially available to surface-foraging seabirds (determined by neuston trawls) and pursuit-diving seabirds (acoustically determined) during chick-rearing in 1987 and 1988 at the Pribilof Islands.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prey</th>
<th>Neuston trawls (present/absent)</th>
<th>Acoustically determined biomass (g m(^{-2} ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Depth)</td>
<td>1987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>3/40</td>
<td>18/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatinous zooplankton</td>
<td>5/38</td>
<td>26/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crab larvae</td>
<td>0/43</td>
<td>33/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(5–30 m below surface)</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Strata within 20 m above bottom)</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strata within 20 m above bottom</th>
<th>1987</th>
<th>1988</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0/43</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/38</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 reflects abundances of fish and euphausiids [Coyle & Cooney 1993], which were the main prey of thick-billed murres nesting on the Pribilof Islands in 1987 and 1988 [Decker et al. 1995]. Measures of prey abundance that were significantly different between years are in **bold** (\( p < 0.001 \), chi-square tests for neuston trawls, G.L.H. unpubl. data, and Student’s \( t \)-tests for acoustically determined biomass: Coyle & Cooney 1993).
we calculated: (1) mean number of trips per parent per day, (2) mean duration of foraging trip (time between consecutive departure and arrival of a parent), (3) time chicks spent alone (with no parents present at the nest site), and (4) parent loafing time (time both parents were simultaneously observed at the nest site). We conducted 9 direct watches (each of 48 h or longer duration) of 49 kittiwake and 21 murre nests (Table 3). No nests were observed twice during this study. At least 1 member of a pair was individually marked, which allowed us to determine arrival and departure time for each individual parent. Because on some occasions (see Table 3) nest watches were carried out twice (each time using different nests) during the chick-rearing period, we tested for the effect of date on nest-attendance parameters. Date of observations did not affect the measured parameters significantly, so we combined the data for statistical purposes. Finally, we analyzed the data with Student’s t-tests, where colony and year were used as factors.

At-sea observations. To determine the foraging distribution of adult birds at sea around the Pribilof Islands, we conducted surveys from 26 July to 17 August 1987 and from 28 July to 21 August 1988. At-sea observations were made twice during each year along 74.2 km-long transects radiating out from each island (Fig. 1). Continuous counts were made from the bridge of the RV ‘Alpha Helix’ while underway at 14.8 to 18.5 km h⁻¹. All birds within an arc from 300 m ahead of the ship to 90% off the side with the best visibility were counted, and data were entered into a hand-held computer. Birds were recorded as flying, on water, feeding, or ship-following; however, ship-following birds were excluded from the analyses presented here.

We calculated the densities of murres (common, thick-billed and unidentified) recorded on water and feeding, and black-legged kittiwakes recorded as flying, on water and feeding in 9.3 km ‘bins’ along a given transect. At this spatial scale, bird densities in adjacent areas were not statistically autocorrelated and can be considered independent samples (Decker 1995). To compare bird distribution between the colonies and reproductive seasons, we carried out ANOVA, where year, colony, and distance from island were used as factors.

Fig. 1. Map of at-sea transects. Dotted lines = transects that overlap in relation to colony position and thus were excluded from statistical analyses.
Chick growth rates. Chick growth rates were measured at each colony by weighing chicks every 3 to 4 d. For each chick, we estimated an increment in body-mass as a regression slope of mass on age during the linear phase of chick growth. The linear phase of body-mass increments occurs between 6 and 22 d post-hatch in the black-legged kittiwake (Barret & Runde 1980), and between 0 and 14 d post-hatch in the thick-billed murre (Gaston & Jones 1998). Accordingly, we restricted our analyses of chick growth to these periods. We restricted our analyses of chick growth to the nests that contained single-chick broods and considered each individual chick as a sample unit. In addition to examining variation in chick growth in 1987 and 1988, we also examined inter-annual and inter-colony variations in chick growth (data were collected as described above) for the time period 1976 to 1986. We analyzed these data as they help us to interpret the relationships between resource allocation and variation in chick growth that were observed in 1987 and 1988.

Statistical procedure. During the statistical analyses, data were tested for the assumptions required by a statistical test (according to Sokal & Rohlf 1981). If data violated assumptions for parametric tests, then their non-parametric equivalents were used. For all computations, we used the SYSTAT statistical package (Wilkinson 1992). Statistical significance was assumed if $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Breeding success

In both colonies in 1987 (lower food abundance for surface-foraging seabirds), black-legged kittiwakes *Rissa tridactyla* had lower reproductive success than in 1988 (higher food abundance) (Table 4). In 1987, breeding success was higher at the small colony on St. Paul Island than at the large colony on St. George Island. In 1988, breeding success was not significantly different between the colonies. Breeding success of thick-billed murres *Uria lomvia* did not vary inter-annually during 1987 and 1988, but in both years it was significantly higher among the birds nesting on St. George Island than for those on St. Paul Island (Table 4). Variation in breeding success was not related to predation. Nests at the sites studied were not vulnerable to arctic foxes *Alopex lagopus* and no avian predators were present in the study area in either year.

Field metabolic rate

During the 1987 breeding season when black-legged kittiwakes had low breeding success at St. Paul Island and completely failed in their breeding attempt at St. George Island, parents at St. Paul Island showed higher FMRs than in 1988 ($F_{1,21} = 14.431, p = 0.001$, Fig. 2). FMRs were similar between the colonies in 1988 ($F_{1,23} = 1.969, p = 0.174$, Fig. 2), when breeding success of these birds was high at both islands relative to historic values (Climo 1993, Dragoo & Dragoo 1994).

For thick-billed murres, FMRs of parent birds were similar between the 1987 and 1988 breeding seasons (Fig. 3) (year effect: $F_{1,33} = 1.60, p = 0.215$). However, FMRs of murres breeding at St. Paul Island were consistently higher than those of birds at St. George Island (Fig. 3) (colony effect: $F_{1,33} = 1352.38, p < 0.001$; colony × year interaction term: $F_{1,33} = 2.541, p = 0.120$).

Nest attendance

There were significant inter-seasonal differences in nest attendance of black-legged kittiwakes and thick-billed murres breeding on the Pribilof Islands in 1987 and 1988. For parent black-legged kittiwakes on St.

Table 4. *Rissa tridactyla* and *Uria lomvia*. Reproductive success (no. of nests where parents failed or fledged their young successfully) of black-legged kittiwakes and thick-billed murres nesting on the Pribilof Islands during 1987 and 1988. Statistically significant effects are shown in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>1987</th>
<th>1988</th>
<th>Inter-seasonal comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Failed</td>
<td>Fledged</td>
<td>Failed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black-legged kittiwake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. George Island</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Paul Island</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-colony comparison</td>
<td>$\chi^2 = 11.02$</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>$\chi^2 = 2.06$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thick-billed murre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. George Island</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Paul Island</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-colony comparison</td>
<td>$\chi^2 = 28.90$</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>$\chi^2 = 10.67$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Paul Island, foraging trips were longer in 1987 (lower food abundance) compared to 1988 (higher food abundance) (Table 5). This difference resulted in a significant increase of time spent by parents in foraging in 1987, even though the number of foraging trips per parent per day were similar in the 2 years (Table 5). Also, in 1987 kittiwakes on St. Paul Island left their chicks unattended for a greater proportion of time than in 1988 (Table 5). In 1988, the parameters of nest attendance by parent kittiwakes were similar on St. Paul and St. George Islands (Table 5). It was not possible to measure foraging trips at St. George Island in 1987 because black-legged kittiwakes completely failed to fledge young at the large colony (Table 4).

Thick-billed murres rearing chicks on St. George Island in 1987 performed more foraging trips per day compared to those on St. Paul Island in 1988 and 1987 and on St. George in 1988 (see colony effect in Table 6). The frequency of foraging trips did not change inter-seasonally on St. Paul Island, which resulted in a significant colony x year interaction term as shown in Table 6. The duration of foraging trips was not significantly different between the 2 islands, and it was significantly shorter in 1987 than in 1988 (Table 6). Also, parent murres spent significantly less time loafing at the St. George colony during 1988 compared to 1987 and to the loafing time of murres on St. Paul Island in both years (colony effect in Table 6). In contrast to other parameters of nest attendance, the total time parent murres spent foraging was not significantly different between either colonies or years (Table 6).

Foraging distribution of birds

We found significant differences in the distribution of seabirds around the 2 islands. As expected, in 1988 black-legged kittiwakes were present in higher densities around St. George Island than St. Paul Island (colony effect as shown in Table 7 and Fig. 4). However, in 1987, kittiwake densities were similar around the 2 islands despite the higher number of birds breeding on St. George Island (a significant colony x year interaction term; Table 7, Fig. 4). Overall, more black-legged kittiwakes were present around St. George Island in 1988 than in 1987 (year effect; Table 7, Fig. 4). Although there was no difference in overall kittiwake abundance around St. Paul Island in 1987 and 1988, more kittiwakes were found within the innermost survey interval (0 to 9.3 km) of St. Paul Island in 1988 than in 1987 (U = 311.0, p = 0.010) (Fig. 4). The distribution of black-legged kittiwakes with respect to distance from colony showed no significant differences between either the 2 islands or years (colony x distance, year x distance, colony x year x distance interaction terms; Table 7, Fig. 4).

Foraging murres were more abundant around the larger colony on St. George Island than they were around the smaller colony on St. Paul Island in both 1987 and 1988, as expected (colony effect; Table 8, Fig. 5). However, murres from St. Paul Island tended to
forage at a greater distance from the colony than did murres from St. George Island (colony × distance interaction term; Table 8, Fig. 5). Within the 74 km radius around each island, the densities of feeding murres were similar during 1987 and 1988 (year effect; Table 8, Fig. 5). However, more murres were foraging within 9.3 km of St. George Island in 1987 than in 1988 (U = 174.0, p = 0.033, Fig. 5) but not at St. Paul Island (Fig. 5), which was reflected in a significant interaction term among colony, year and distance from colony (Table 8).

Growth rates of black-legged kittiwake chicks were not significantly different between 1987 and 1988 on St. Paul Island (F<sub>1, 25</sub> = 1.28, p = 0.270, Fig. 6), or between the islands in 1988 (F<sub>1,19</sub> = 0.08, p = 0.783, Fig. 6). As with foraging trip duration in 1987, we could not measure the growth rate of black-legged kittiwake chicks on St. George Island because black-legged kittiwakes failed in raising chicks at this large colony. Growth rates of thick-billed murre chicks were higher in 1987 compared to 1988 (year effect, F<sub>1, 48</sub> = 41.28, p < 0.001, Fig. 7) at both colonies (year × colony interaction, F<sub>1, 48</sub> = 0.01, p = 0.932, Fig. 7). There were no differences in chick growth rates between the small and the large colonies (F<sub>1, 48</sub> = 0.891, p = 0.349, Fig. 7).

Inter-annual variation in chick growth during 1975 to 1988

Growth rates of black-legged kittiwake chicks varied inter-annually (CV [coefficient of variation] = 10.0%, n = 10) between 13.0 ± 0.61 (SE) g d<sup>-1</sup> (in 1978 on St. George Island) and 16.7 ± 0.57 (SE) g d<sup>-1</sup> in 1978 on St. Paul Island (Fig. 8).

### Table 5. Rissa tridactyla. Nest attendance (means [SE]) patterns of parent black-legged kittiwakes on the Pribilof Islands. n = number of nests. Statistically significant effects are shown in bold

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colony</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of foraging trips&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (trips d&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Foraging trip duration&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (min trip&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Time chicks spent alone&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (%)</th>
<th>Total foraging time&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (min d&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. Paul Island (n = 5)</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>1.8 (0.35)</td>
<td>673.1 (131.59)</td>
<td>39.5 (5.10)</td>
<td>1050.2 (45.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Paul Island (n = 11)</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>2.7 (0.30)</td>
<td>289.7 (23.42)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.08)</td>
<td>728.1 (7.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. George Island (n = 5)</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>3.2 (0.31)</td>
<td>235.9 (20.18)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>718.1 (1.05)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical tables:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inter-seasonal (St. Paul Island)</td>
<td>1,45</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-colony (for 1988)</td>
<td>1,45</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Mean for each nest was used as sample unit  
<sup>b</sup>% of total time each nest was observed during which 2 parents present

### Table 6. Uria lomvia. Nest attendance (means [SE]) patterns of parent thick-billed murres on the Pribilof Islands. n = number of nests. Statistically significant effects are shown in bold

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colony</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of foraging trips&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (trips d&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Foraging trip duration&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (min d&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Loafering time&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (%)</th>
<th>Total foraging time&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (min d&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. Paul Island (n = 7)</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>2.5 (0.62)</td>
<td>272.9 (63.35)</td>
<td>6.6 (3.27)</td>
<td>503.6 (68.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Paul Island (n = 8)</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>2.9 (0.46)</td>
<td>261.1 (40.07)</td>
<td>5.3 (1.34)</td>
<td>654.7 (51.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. George Island (n = 18)</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>5.9 (0.75)</td>
<td>162.0 (23.53)</td>
<td>7.8 (1.97)</td>
<td>766.1 (81.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. George Island (n = 16)</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>2.9 (0.43)</td>
<td>323.6 (66.18)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.62)</td>
<td>641.9 (38.20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA tables:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colony</td>
<td>1,45</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>1,45</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colony × Year</td>
<td>1,45</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Mean for each nest was used as sample unit  
<sup>b</sup>% of total time each nest was observed
Growth rates of thick-billed murre chicks varied inter-annually (CV = 25.4%, n = 12) between 6.1 ± 0.37 (SE) g d\(^{-1}\) (in 1977 on St. George Island) and 13.1 ± 0.73 (SE) g d\(^{-1}\) in 1978 on St. Paul Island (Fig. 9).

**DISCUSSION**

The goal of this study was to determine how a change in food abundance influences the allocation of resources by parent seabirds. We used an integrative approach relating the reproductive, behavioral and physiological responses of ecologically distinct species of seabirds to variation in food abundance and colony size. We found that resource allocation of parent seabirds reflected changes in food resources in a species-specific fashion.

At the Pribilof Islands, parents of black-legged kittiwakes *Rissa tridactyla* and thick-billed murres *Uria lomvia* feed their young with similar types of food (Decker et al. 1995). However, food available to surface-foraging kittiwakes was more abundant in 1988 than in 1987, whereas food available to pursuit-diving murres was more abundant in 1987 than in 1988 (Coyle & Cooney 1993, G.L.H. unpubl. data summarized in Table 2). The results of our study of the reproductive biology and foraging distributions of kittiwakes and murres at the Pribilof Islands also suggest that food abundance and/or its availability varied in opposite ways for black-legged kittiwakes and thick-billed murres during 1987 and 1988.

**Resource allocation of black-legged kittiwakes**

Food resources available to foraging kittiwakes were more abundant in the vicinity of St. Paul Island in 1988 compared to 1987 (G.L.H. et al. unpubl. data, see Table 2). The results of this study suggest that during the year of less abundant food supply, parent black-legged kittiwakes expanded their foraging range around the large colony at St. George Island. We found that black-legged kittiwake densities in 1988 were greater around St. George Island than St. Paul Island. The breeding colony on St. George Island is an order of magnitude larger than that at St. Paul Island. Thus, the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>St. George Island</th>
<th>Bird density (birds km(^{-2}))</th>
<th>St. Paul Island</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. *Rissa tridactyla*. Densities of black-legged kittiwakes around the Pribilof Islands, based on surveys conducted along transects in Fig. 1. n = number of 9.3 km adjacent bins along all transects (see ‘Material and methods’). Statistically significant effects are shown in **bold**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>df</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colony</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>1, 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>1, 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>7, 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colony × Year</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1, 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colony × Distance</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7, 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year × Distance</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7, 123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colony × Year × Distance</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>7, 123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistical tables:
at-sea distribution of birds around the islands reflected the abundance of birds breeding at the colonies. However, there was no difference in black-legged kittiwake densities around the islands in 1987. We also found that around St. Paul Island there was no overall difference in black-legged kittiwake densities between years. These results suggest that during the year of less abundant food supply, kittiwakes breeding on the large colony may have foraged at greater distances from the colony than during the year with good foraging conditions.

Earlier reported measurements of FMRs in free-living black-legged kittiwakes that were obtained with the double-labelled water technique (Gabrielsen et al. 1987) are similar to those measured in our study. In particular, Gabrielsen et al. reported daily energy expenditures of black-legged kittiwakes feeding chicks of between 596 kJ d$^{-1}$ (for non-foraging birds) and 992 kJ d$^{-1}$ (for foraging birds). The FMRs observed in our study are in the same range, from 831 kJ d$^{-1}$ (for kittiwakes on St. Paul Island in 1988) to 903 kJ d$^{-1}$ (for...
kittiwakes on St. Paul Island in 1987). Therefore, we have confidence that our measurements were within a reasonable range for this species. We found a significant change in the FMRs of parent black-legged kittiwakes between the 1987 and 1988 reproductive seasons. Changes in FMRs and nest attendance of parent kittiwakes observed in this study were in parallel with the change in food availability. Furthermore, our analysis did not indicate a significant difference in kittiwake chick growth rates between the 1987 and 1988 breeding seasons on St. Paul Island. These findings imply that parent kittiwakes were able to compensate for food shortages in 1987 by allocating about 10% more energy to foraging per day.

In contrast to a significant inter-seasonal variation in FMRs of parent kittiwakes on St. Paul Island, we did not find a difference in the FMRs and nest attendance of black-legged kittiwakes breeding on St. Paul and St. George Islands in 1988. We suggest that, when food was abundant, kittiwakes from the small and large colonies allocated similar amounts of available resources to reproduction and achieved similar results, as reflected in the similarity of overall breeding success between the colonies in 1988. However, during food shortages in 1987, black-legged kittiwakes breeding in the large colony failed, whereas kittiwakes breeding in the small colony were able to raise their chicks successfully, suggesting that colony size (Hunt et al. 1986) and/or intrinsic differences in distribution of food resources around the colonies (Schneider & Hunt 1984) might have an important influence on the reproductive performance of these birds.

In black-legged kittiwakes, all parameters measured in this study point to a similar conclusion — when food is short, parents work harder, spend less time attending/guarding their chicks, and expand their foraging range in search for food. Similar changes in food availability might affect birds that breed in large colonies more strongly than those in small colonies. However, in this study we were able to compare only data from 2 colonies in 2 years. We could not and have not controlled for all factors affecting reproductive performance of black-legged kittiwakes. Thus, the importance of density-dependent factors for reproductive performance of kittiwakes remains to be shown.

Resource allocation of thick-billed murres

In contrast to black-legged kittiwakes, food resources available to pursuit-diving murres were more abundant during 1987 compared to 1988 (Coyle & Cooney 1993, see Table 2). At-sea distributions indicate that murres foraged closer to St. George Island in 1987 than in 1988. We also recorded a longer duration of loafing time, more foraging trips per day, and shorter durations of foraging trips performed by parent thick-billed murres on St. George Island in 1987 than in 1988. This result also indicates that murres were foraging closer to the colony in 1987 than in 1988. Zador &
Piatt (1999) reported a similar result for the relationship between colony attendance and variation in food abundance in parent common murres *Uria aalge*. Thick-billed murres foraging closer to St. George Island in 1987 may explain why growth rates of chicks were higher in this year. One would expect growth rates of chicks to be higher if adults foraged closer to shore and were able to increase the delivery rates of food to the chicks. Comparisons of the amount of time parent thick-billed murres allocated to foraging showed no inter-annual and no inter-colony differences, suggesting that the growth rates of thick-billed murre chicks was determined mostly by variations in parental food provisioning rates.

The inter-seasonal change in food abundance did not affect the FMRs of parent thick-billed murres, suggesting that the reproductive effort of parent thick-billed murres was independent of inter-seasonal variation in foraging conditions. On the other hand, thick-billed murres breeding on St. Paul Island had higher daily energy expenditures than on St. George Island. Using a simple and robust model, Gaston (1985) predicted field metabolic rates of thick-billed murres breeding on Prince Leopold Island in relation to different estimates of foraging range and energy expenditure while foraging. Furthermore, predictions of this model were verified by direct measurements of FMRs of murres with doubly labelled water (A. Gaston unpubl. data, cited in Gaston 1985). Values predicted by this model are very similar to the measures of FMRs and foraging distributions of thick-billed murres observed in our study. According to the Gaston model (for birds spending 125 kJ h\(^{-1}\) while feeding), thick-billed murres with an FMR of 1623.4 kJ d\(^{-1}\) (as measured for birds nesting at St. George Island) could forage at a distance of about 4 km from nesting colonies. Thick-billed murres with an FMR of 1801.7 kJ d\(^{-1}\) as measured for birds at St. Paul Island) could forage at a distance of about 43 km from nesting colonies. These expected foraging distances generally match the observed foraging distribution of murres in our study. In particular, major densities of foraging murres were observed within a 10 km distance around St. George Island, and foraging murres were most abundant within 40 km distance around St. Paul Island (Fig. 5). These comparisons give us confidence in our FMR data, which suggest that thick-billed murres breeding on St. Paul Island invested approximately 12% more energy in reproduction than those breeding on St. George Island.

The distributions of murres at sea shows that birds breeding on St. Paul Island foraged farther from the colonies than did the birds breeding on St. George Island. Schneider & Hunt (1984) suggested that birds from the 2 islands are exposed to different foraging habitats. In particular, birds on St. George Island have ready access to highly productive areas of the outer shelf of the Bering Sea and a relatively short commute to the shelf edge compared to birds on St. Paul Island. Larger distances between foraging grounds and colonies are in parallel with the higher FMRs of parent thick-billed murres breeding on St. Paul Island compared to St. George Island reported here. Perhaps the successful reproduction of thick-billed murres at the colonies on St. Paul Island requires an additional energy expenditure in foraging, which resulted in the increased energy expenditure of parent murres on St. Paul compared to St. George Island.

Another possible explanation for differences in FMRs found between murres on St. Paul and St. George Islands is that the measured differences might result from ‘sampling error’. Several studies (deForest & Gaston 1996, Hipfner 1997, Hipfner & Gaston 1999) have shown that parent thick-billed murres nesting at the same colony differ in their quality and reproductive performance. Coulson & Porter (1985) reported similar differences in the quality of black-legged kittiwake parents nesting at North Shields in England. In our study, all birds were chosen randomly and, ideally, should have represented all individuals including those of poor, intermediate and high quality. However, the greater foraging distances that birds had to travel...
from the colonies of St. Paul Island could cause an early reproductive failure of poor-quality parents. Because we measured FMRs of birds feeding chicks, a majority of poor-quality parents could either have never laid eggs, or had already lost their eggs/chicks by the time of the FMR measurements. The proportion of good-quality birds sampled, which still retained their chicks, could be then relatively higher at St. Paul Island. Thus, our measurements of FMRs in thick-billed murres on St. Paul Island may represent reproductive investment of high-quality birds that could endure a significant increase in energy expenditures associated with large foraging distances. At the same time, murres breeding on St. George Island foraged in close vicinity to the island, and a difference in reproductive failure between poor- and high-quality parents may have been expressed less than on St. Paul Island. Consequently, at St. George Island we may have measured field metabolic rates of both poor- and high-quality parent thick-billed murres, which could have resulted in a lower average FMRs among thick-billed murres breeding on St. George Island than on St. Paul Island. The finding that larger proportions (Climo 1993, Dragoo & Dragoo 1994) of thick-billed murres lost their eggs on St. Paul Island (36% in 1987, 37% in 1988) than on St. George Island (25% in both years) provides indirect evidence for this hypothesis. It is not known whether poor- and high-quality parent thick-billed murres differ in daily energy expenditures during chick-rearing, and this question requires thorough investigation.

Parent murres do not provide food at a rate that would support chick maximal growth and development (Harris & Wanless 1995, Kitaysky 1996). On the other hand, it is also likely that parent murres do not provide food at a rate lower than some lowest possible rate, which would still allow a chick to survive and fledge successfully. A cost to a parent murre of keeping such a rate of food provisioning might vary with fluctuations in foraging conditions, and could reflect the longer foraging distances of parent thick-billed murres breeding on St. Paul Island compared to those on St. George Island. Our analysis of long-term variability of growth of thick-billed murre chicks at the Pribilof Islands provides indirect evidence for this. In particular, the maximal chick growth rate was 60% above the long-term mean (8.4 g d$^{-1}$), whereas the minimal chick growth rate was only 25% below the long-term mean (Fig. 9).

Reproductive strategies

Fluctuations in food abundance influence different parameters of reproductive performance in black-legged kittiwakes and thick-billed murres, which should be taken into account during ecological studies of these birds. In particular, the results of our study show that parent black-legged kittiwakes are able/willing to compensate for food shortages by expanding their foraging ranges and investing more energy in reproduction. This parental strategy results in a relatively low inter-seasonal variation of chick growth rates (Fig. 8). For example, the daily increment of captive black-legged kittiwake chicks fed ad libitum varied between 13.3 g d$^{-1}$ (M. Romano unpubl.) and 14.1 g d$^{-1}$ (Kitaysky & Belogubova 1988). These values are within the range of growth rates of wild black-legged kittiwake chicks recorded in our study (Fig. 6). Thus, when food availability varies, parent kittiwakes adjust their provisioning efforts to compensate for these variations. Similar evidence for low variation in chick growth rates were reported in a long-term study of black-legged kittiwakes in England (Coulson & Porter 1985) and among tropical seabirds feeding their young during food shortages (Shea & Ricklefs 1996), suggesting that this parental strategy is not confined to black-legged kittiwakes nesting at the Pribilof Islands.

The functional importance of the food-provisioning strategy of black-legged kittiwakes is not known. Several explanations seem possible. First, kittiwake chicks are physiologically sensitive to variations in daily food intake and respond to moderate food shortages by a chronic elevation of stress hormones (Kitaysky et al. 1999). Chronic elevation of stress hormones is known to suppress memory and immune systems, promote wasting of muscle tissue, and cause neuronal cell death (Sapolsky et al. 1986, Sapolsky 1992, reviewed in Wingfield 1994). Impaired physiological conditions of under-nourished black-legged kittiwake chicks might decrease their chances of survival. This would force their parents to provide food on chick demand (Kitaysky et al. 1999). Second, the food-provisioning strategy of parent black-legged kittiwakes may be phylogenetically determined. Although pelagic-foraging kittiwakes rely on more variable food resources compared to their inshore foraging counterparts, chick-provisioning behavior of kittiwakes is still similar to that of other species of gulls (e.g., Harris 1970, Henderson 1975, for references see also Ydenberg & Bertram 1989).

In contrast to kittiwakes, parent murres seem to provision young with food in accordance to variations in foraging conditions rather than in response to chick demands (Houston et al. 1996, and references therein). This parental strategy results in large fluctuations of chick growth rates (Fig. 9) and probably in a variable duration of chick development in the nest (Houston et al. 1996). In particular, Gaston & Nettleship (1981) and Hunt et al. (1986) have shown that the duration of murre chick development in the nest is shorter in large

...
colonies than in small colonies. These authors hypothesized that if depletion of food resources occurs faster in the vicinity of a large colony compared to a small colony, parent murres can avoid some of the negative effects of food shortages on their reproduction by fledging their chicks and moving with them to regions of the ocean where food is abundant. Hunt et al. (1986) provided correlative evidence for this hypothesis by comparing fledging age of thick-billed murre chicks between St. Paul and St. George Islands. When food for parent thick-billed murres is scarce, the short duration of their chick development and a reduced daily energy expenditure in feeding their young would preserve available resources and probably increase post-breeding survival of those parents.
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