

Nitrogen enrichment ameliorates the negative effects of reduced salinity on the green macroalga *Enteromorpha intestinalis*

Krista Kamer^{1,2,*}, Peggy Fong¹

¹Department of Organismic Biology, Ecology and Evolution, University of California Los Angeles, 621 Charles E. Young Drive S., Los Angeles, California 90095-1606, USA

²Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, 7171 Fenwick Lane, Westminster, California 92683, USA

ABSTRACT: In southern California estuaries, the green macroalga *Enteromorpha intestinalis* experiences wide fluctuations in both nitrogen (N) supply and salinity. We investigated the effects of simultaneous variation in N and salinity on the growth, biomass accumulation and tissue nutrient dynamics of *E. intestinalis*. We conducted a fully crossed 2-factor experiment in which we varied N enrichment (low, medium and high) and salinity (15, 25 and 35 psu). Overall, addition of N enhanced algal growth while salinity reduction decreased growth. High N enrichment mitigated the negative effects that reduced salinity had on dry biomass, wet:dry biomass ratios, tissue nutrients and ability to remove phosphorus from the water column. Largely, *E. intestinalis* abundance was governed by N availability rather than salinity, indicating that blooms of macroalgae will likely continue to proliferate in estuaries unless nutrient loading is reduced.

KEY WORDS: *Enteromorpha intestinalis* · Macroalgae · Nitrogen · Salinity · Estuaries · Southern California

—Resale or republication not permitted without written consent of the publisher—

INTRODUCTION

Many environmental factors influence the growth and biomass accumulation of aquatic primary producers. In shallow marine and estuarine systems, macroalgae are important primary producers (Zedler 1980, Duarte 1995). They are often extremely abundant (Valiela et al. 1997), function as both a sink and a source of nutrients (Sfriso et al. 1987, Sfriso et al. 1992) and are an important food source for higher trophic levels (Kwak & Zedler 1997). Macroalgal abundance can be affected by a suite of factors including temperature, light, salinity and nutrient availability (Bird et al. 1979, Lapointe 1987, Lapointe 1989, Friedlander 1992, Valiela et al. 1997, Schramm 1999).

Salinity in estuaries fluctuates depending on the relative influences of terrestrially derived freshwater

runoff and oceanic seawater. Southern California has a Mediterranean climate with distinct wet and dry seasons. Historically, estuaries received freshwater primarily during the wet season (November to April) and functioned as marine embayments during the dry season (May to October) (Onuf 1987). However, human activities such as agriculture, sewage treatment and municipal water use in populated watersheds generate a large amount of freshwater that enters coastal estuaries year-round (Zedler 1996), and estuarine salinity <10 psu has been measured in at least 1 local estuary from winter through summer (K. A. Boyle et al. pers. comm.). To maintain osmotic balance with the external environment, algae regulate their internal solute concentration, which requires energy (Kirst 1989). As a result, algal growth and productivity may be reduced (Bird et al. 1979, Bird & McLachlan 1986, Edwards et al. 1988, Murthy et al. 1988, Thomas et al. 1988, Karsten & Kirst 1989, Friedlander 1992).

*E-mail: kristak@sccwrp.org

Coastal estuaries also receive nutrient loads from terrestrial sources such as wastewater treatment, agriculture and combustion of fossil fuels (Valiela et al. 1992, Nixon 1995, Paerl 1997). In southern California, NO_3 concentrations in estuarine waters can range from 250 to 750 μM from winter through summer, and freshwater inputs are often 400 to 800 μM NO_3 (K. A. Boyle et al. pers. comm.). These values are similar to those measured in other nutrient enriched estuaries throughout the world (e.g., Balls et al. 1995, McComb & Lukatelich 1995). Nitrogen (N) often limits macroalgal productivity, growth and biomass accumulation, and N additions generally increase macroalgal biomass and abundance (Harlin & Thorne-Miller 1981, Hatcher & Larkum 1983, Lapointe et al. 1992, McGlathery et al. 1992, Valiela et al. 1992, Fong et al. 1993, Delgado & Lapointe 1994, Peckol et al. 1994, Marcomini et al. 1995, McComb & Lukatelich 1995, Fong et al. 1996, Hernández et al. 1997, Valiela et al. 1997, Larned 1998). One of the effects of nutrient enrichment in estuaries worldwide is large seasonal blooms of *Enteromorpha intestinalis* (Raffaelli et al. 1989, Sfriso et al. 1992, King & Hodgson 1995, McComb & Lukatelich 1995, Thornton et al. 1995, Hernández et al. 1997, Farris & Oviatt 1999, Martins et al. 1999). In southern California, densities of *E. intestinalis* exceeding 1 kg wet wt m^{-2} have been recorded (Peters et al. 1985, Rudnicki 1986, Kamer et al. 2001).

Enteromorpha intestinalis is an opportunistic alga with high nutrient uptake rates (Fujita 1985, Kamer et al. unpubl. data) and a large internal storage capacity (Fong et al. 1994). These characteristics enable it to take advantage of nutrient pulses and to proliferate in areas such as estuaries where nutrient inputs may be episodic. *E. intestinalis* is also generally considered a euryhaline species (Reed & Russell 1979, Ritchie & Larkum 1985, Edwards et al. 1987, Young et al. 1987). However, evidence shows that salinity outside its optimal range may negatively affect productivity and growth (Martins et al. 1999, Kamer & Fong 2000) or may even cause death (Ritchie & Larkum 1985, Edwards et al. 1988, Martins et al. 1999). *E. intestinalis* is an important primary producer in southern California estuaries (Zedler 1980) and plays an important role in food webs of southern California estuaries (Kwak & Zedler 1997). *E. intestinalis* is a food source for lined shore crabs (K. Boyer pers. comm.) and topsmelt (E. Logothetis unpubl. data). However, excessive blooms of macroalgae can be detrimental to estuarine ecosystems. Large algal masses can deplete oxygen in the water column (Sfriso et al. 1987, Valiela et al. 1992) and sediments, resulting in fish and invertebrate mortality (Sfriso et al. 1992) and changes in infaunal community structure (Raffaelli et al. 1991, Ahern et al. 1995).

Fong et al. (1996) examined the effects of nutrient enrichment and salinity stress on growth of *Enteromorpha intestinalis* in southern California. In separate, single factor experiments, they found that *E. intestinalis* responded positively to N addition but negatively to prolonged periods of reduced salinity. However, these environmental parameters vary together in the field. Nutrient pulses generally accompany freshwater inputs in winter following seasonal storms (Boyle et al. pers. comm.), and because of human influences in southern California, freshwater inputs to estuaries are often enriched with nutrients throughout the year (Onuf 1987, Zedler 1996, Fong & Zedler unpubl. data). We do not have an understanding of how *E. intestinalis* responds to the simultaneous variations in N and salinity that it may experience in southern California estuaries. To address this question, we performed a fully crossed factorial experiment modeling field conditions in which N enrichment and salinity varied within known field conditions for southern California.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We used a 2-factor experimental design to test the effects of N enrichment and salinity on biomass accumulation and nutrient dynamics of *Enteromorpha intestinalis*. Three levels of N (as NO_3) enrichment (low, medium and high) were fully crossed with 3 levels of salinity (15, 25 and 35 psu) while PO_4 was held high and constant across all treatments.

Enteromorpha intestinalis was collected from Mugu Lagoon, Ventura County, CA, in January 1997. Mugu Lagoon is a shallow estuary subject to freshwater flow and high nutrient loads from surrounding agriculture and has year-round proliferation of macroalgae. Algae were kept for 6 d in a greenhouse at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) in shallow pans covered with window screening to reduce light and temperature. Light was usually above saturation and temperature was ambient for coastal conditions. Pans were filled with aerated low nutrient seawater ($<3.57 \mu\text{M}$ NO_3 , $<1.56 \mu\text{M}$ PO_4). Algae were kept in batch culture to expose them all to the same nutrient levels and therefore equalize internal nutrient stores (Fong et al. 1994). Initial tissue N levels were $1.531 \pm 0.055\%$ dry wt (mean \pm SE) and initial phosphorus (P) levels were $0.124 \pm 0.007\%$ dry wt.

Nine individual solutions were mixed. Thirty-five psu low nutrient ($<3.57 \mu\text{M}$ NO_3 , $<1.56 \mu\text{M}$ PO_4) filtered seawater (Whatman GF/C glass fiber filters) was diluted with distilled water to reduce salinity to 15 and 25 psu. Three levels of N were added to each salinity for mean initial concentrations of $18.57 \pm 0.41 \mu\text{M}$ NO_3 (low NO_3), $80.47 \pm 2.75 \mu\text{M}$ NO_3 (medium NO_3) and $151.90 \pm 1.19 \mu\text{M}$ NO_3 (high NO_3). These concen-

trations are within the range measured in a southern California estuary in winter (K. A. Boyle et al. pers. comm.) Mean initial PO_4 was $76.66 \pm 3.24 \mu\text{M}$ across all treatments. NH_4 was not added; mean initial NH_4 values were $<3.57 \mu\text{M}$. Mean initial total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) was $56.35 \pm 9.55 \mu\text{M}$. TKN is a measure of all forms of N except NO_3 .

Replicate 3 g (± 0.1 g) subsamples (weighed as described below) of *Enteromorpha intestinalis* were placed in experimental units (350 ml total volume), each filled with 200 ml of the appropriate solution. Units were placed in a randomized array in a greenhouse at UCLA. Air temperature in the greenhouse fluctuated daily between 16 and 22°C. Replication was 5-fold for a total of 45 experimental units. Solutions were changed weekly and salinity was monitored daily with a hand held refractometer (precision: ± 0.5 psu). Distilled water was added to compensate for evaporation. Units were maintained for 4 wk. Each of the 9 solution batches was sampled again at the end of the experiment to ensure that nutrient levels did not change during the course of the experiment.

Enteromorpha intestinalis wet biomass was measured at the end of the experiment. Algae were removed from experimental units, placed in individually labeled nylon mesh bags, spun in a salad spinner for 1 min to remove excess water and weighed. Algae were then rinsed briefly in distilled water to remove external salts and dried to a constant weight at 60°C. Algal dry weights were recorded and wet:dry biomass ratios were calculated.

Dried *Enteromorpha intestinalis* was ground with a mortar and pestle and then analyzed for tissue N and P content by the Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources (DANR) Analytical Laboratory of the University of California (UC), Davis. Total N in algal tissue was analyzed by N gas analyzer using induction furnace and thermal conductivity (Sweeney 1989). Total P in algal tissue was quantitatively determined by atomic absorption spectrometry and inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) (Franson 1985) following a nitric acid/ hydrogen peroxide microwave digestion (Johnson & Ulrich 1959). The total amounts of N and P contained in the algal tissue in each experimental unit were calculated by multiplying tissue N and P content as a proportion of dry weight by the total dry weight of the sample from each unit.

To determine the algae's ability to remove nutrients from the water column, water samples were collected from each experimental unit at the end of the experiment. Water samples were filtered through Whatman GF/C glass fiber filters and frozen. Samples were analyzed for NO_3 , NH_4 , TKN and total P by the DANR Analytical Laboratory of UC Davis. NO_3 and NH_4 were determined using the diffusion-conductivity method as

described by Carlson (1978). TKN was determined by wet oxidation of N using sulfuric acid and digestion catalyst. Total P was determined by atomic absorption spectrometry and ICP-AES (Franson 1985) following a nitric acid/hydrogen peroxide microwave digestion (Johnson & Ulrich 1959). These automated methods have detection limits of $3.57 \mu\text{M}$ for N and $1.56 \mu\text{M}$ for P.

Algal condition was estimated in 2 ways. First, wet:dry biomass ratios provide an estimate of condition because algae in poor condition usually have the lowest dry biomass but not necessarily the lowest wet biomass (Kamer & Fong 2000). As *Enteromorpha intestinalis* deteriorates it loses structural integrity, and less water per unit algal biomass is removed by centrifugation than for algae in good condition (K.K. pers. obs.). This leads to an overestimation of wet biomass and higher wet:dry biomass ratios for algae in poor condition. Second, the algae's ability to take up and store nutrients is a useful indicator of algal condition. Thus, we considered algae that removed more nutrients from the water column and had greater stored tissue nutrients to be in better condition.

Among treatment differences in biomass measurements, tissue nutrient content and amounts, and final water column nutrient concentrations (NH_4 , TKN and total P) were analyzed with 2-factor ANOVA (N enrichment \times salinity level). Histograms of data and plots of residuals versus fitted Y values were examined to determine whether data complied with ANOVA assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance. Unequal variances in algal tissue N % dry wt and mg P in algal tissue-experimental unit⁻¹ data were corrected by transforming the data with a $1/\times$ calculation. Unless otherwise stated, no significant interactions occurred between factors of the ANOVA. Final water column NO_3 values from 36 of the 45 experimental units were below the detection limit of the DANR Analytical Laboratory (lower limit of detection is $3.57 \mu\text{M}$ for all N analyses). The remaining values that were reported were not statistically analyzed.

RESULTS

Dry biomass of *Enteromorpha intestinalis* decreased as salinity decreased but increased as N enrichment increased (Fig. 1a). Biomass was significantly affected by N enrichment ($p = 0.0002$) and by salinity level ($p = 0.0001$). The effect of N enrichment seemed to be greatest under 15 psu conditions; the effect of reduced salinity was ameliorated by high N enrichment.

Enteromorpha intestinalis wet:dry biomass ratios increased as salinity decreased, but N enrichment alleviated this effect (Fig. 1b). Ratios were significantly affected by N enrichment ($p = 0.0064$) and by salinity




Fig. 1. (a) Final dry biomass and (b) wet:dry biomass ratios of *Enteromorpha intestinalis* grown under low, medium and high levels of N enrichment at 15, 25 and 35 psu conditions for 4 wk (bars are ± 1 SE)

level ($p = 0.0001$). For low and medium levels of N enrichment, ratios were higher under 15 psu conditions than they were under 35 psu conditions. For the high level of N enrichment, ratios between 15 and 35 psu conditions were more similar.

The highest *Enteromorpha intestinalis* tissue N content was found in algae from the lowest salinity treatments (Fig. 2a). Tissue N was significantly affected by salinity level ($p = 0.0001$) but not N enrichment ($p = 0.4816$). Algal tissue N content (as % dry wt) decreased as salinity increased, showing an opposite pattern from dry biomass.

Enteromorpha intestinalis tissue P content under the lowest salinity level was enhanced by N enrichment (Fig. 2b). Tissue P was significantly affected by both N enrichment ($p = 0.0093$) and salinity level ($p = 0.0001$). Algal tissue P content (as % dry wt) decreased as salinity decreased at low and medium N enrichment treatments. Under high N enrichment, tissue P content for all 3 salinity levels was similar.

Nitrogen enrichment ($p = 0.0001$) and salinity level ($p = 0.0027$) each significantly affected the mass of N in *Enteromorpha intestinalis* tissue per experimental unit.




Fig. 2. (a) Tissue N and (b) P content (as % dry wt) of *Enteromorpha intestinalis* grown under low, medium and high levels of N enrichment at 15, 25 and 35 psu conditions for 4 wk (bars are ± 1 SE)

N unit⁻¹ increased with salinity at low and medium N enrichment treatments (Fig. 3a) but under high N enrichment treatments, N unit⁻¹ was similar among the 3 salinity levels. With regard to salinity level, N unit⁻¹ showed a pattern opposite to that of algal tissue N content (as % dry wt; Fig. 2a). N per experimental unit also increased as N enrichment increased.

The mass of P in *Enteromorpha intestinalis* tissue per experimental unit showed a pattern similar to tissue N unit⁻¹. At low and medium N enrichment levels, P unit⁻¹ increased as salinity increased (Fig. 3b), while under high N enrichment conditions, P unit⁻¹ was highly variable and not different between salinities. There was significant interaction between N enrichment and salinity level in the analysis of the concentration of P in *E. intestinalis* tissue per experimental unit ($p = 0.0019$).

Removal of P from the water column by *Enteromorpha intestinalis* was greatest under high N enrichment conditions (Fig. 4). Final water column total P was significantly affected by N enrichment ($p = 0.0024$) but not by salinity level ($p = 0.9040$). P was never removed from the water column to the degree that it would have limited algal growth. Final P values from low and




Fig. 3. (a) Mass (mg) of N and (b) P in algal tissue experimental unit⁻¹ for *Enteromorpha intestinalis* grown under low, medium and high levels of N enrichment at 15, 25 and 35 psu conditions for 4 wk (bars are ± 1 SE)

medium N enrichment treatments were similar to initial values.

Regardless of NO_3 load, final water column NO_3 values were very low. Eighty percent of experimental units had final water column NO_3 values below the detection limit of $3.57 \mu\text{M}$. Reported values range from 3.57 to $5.71 \mu\text{M}$ and at least 3 of the 5 replicates for each treatment were below detection limit.

There were no significant effects of N enrichment or salinity level on either final water column NH_4 or TKN ($p > 0.100$ for each factor for both variables). Final NH_4 was low and variable across all treatments; means ranged from $< 3.57 \mu\text{M}$ to $11.1 \mu\text{M}$. Final TKN values ranged from 98.6 to $150.0 \mu\text{M}$, which were 2 to 3 times higher than initial values. For both NH_4 and TKN, there were no consistent patterns with regard to either N enrichment or salinity level.

DISCUSSION

Nitrogen enrichment ameliorated the negative effects of reduced salinity on *Enteromorpha intestinalis*.




Fig. 4. Final water column total P from experimental units containing *Enteromorpha intestinalis* grown under low, medium and high levels of N enrichment at 15, 25 and 35 psu conditions (bars are ± 1 SE). Initial P concentration was $76.66 \pm 3.24 \mu\text{M}$

Under low salinity conditions, *E. intestinalis* had the greatest biomass, lowest wet:dry biomass ratios, most N and P stored in its tissues, and the greatest ability to pull nutrients out of the water column when N enrichment was highest. High N enrichment also enhanced these parameters under 25 and 35 psu, but the differences were not as dramatic as under 15 psu, indicating that N supply was most critical when salinity was reduced. When N loading to coastal estuaries is high, macroalgae such as *E. intestinalis* will likely proliferate even if salinity fluctuates moderately, such as within the ranges presented here. Macroalgal blooms can be expected to continue unless nutrient loads are reduced.

Enteromorpha intestinalis was negatively affected by salinity reduction. Previous research has shown that *E. intestinalis* can tolerate a wide range of salinity (Reed & Russell 1979, Ritchie & Larkum 1985, Edwards et al. 1987, Young et al. 1987) but cannot survive prolonged exposure to 0 psu (Ritchie & Larkum 1985, Edwards et al. 1988, Martins et al. 1999, Kamer & Fong 2000). The degree of salinity reduction in this experiment was not as extreme as 0 psu but still affected *E. intestinalis* growth and condition. Similarly, Kamer and Fong (2000) found that exposure to 15 psu conditions for 5 d periods decreased *E. intestinalis* growth. *Ulva lactuca* growth has been reduced by salinity between 20 and 30 psu (Murthy et al. 1988, Friedlander 1992), and other less closely related bloom-forming species (*Gracilaria* spp., *Cladophora* spp.) have also been negatively affected by reduced salinity (Bird & McLachlan 1986, Thomas et al. 1988).

Enteromorpha intestinalis in the lowest salinity levels with the highest wet:dry biomass ratios also had the highest tissue N content. Tissue nutrient content and algal condition are not always linearly related because tissue nutrients can be diluted as an alga grows (Duke

et al. 1989). Increased tissue N can indicate good condition when an alga is growing well and has an abundant supply of N, but tissue N may also increase when an alga takes up and stores N but does not grow due to limitation by other environmental conditions. This phenomenon has also been seen in bloom-forming species of *Gracilaria* and *Ulva* when light and temperature were limiting (Lapointe & Tenore 1981, Rosenberg & Ramus 1982, Lapointe & Duke 1984, Duke et al. 1989). Algae from the reduced salinity treatments were deteriorating by the end of the experiment, and none had grown >23% in 4 wk. However, even in its worst condition, *E. intestinalis* appeared to be scavenging and storing N. *E. intestinalis* may have evolved strategies for N uptake and storage even when environmental conditions do not promote growth.

We did not see evidence of the same phenomenon for P. P remained in the water column at the end of both experiments and did not appear to limit *Enteromorpha intestinalis* growth. Unlike N, P was not sequestered in *E. intestinalis* tissue when the algae were in poor condition. The same strategies for conservation of P may not have evolved if P scarcity has not occurred as often or for as long in algal evolution.

These data further our understanding of how *Enteromorpha intestinalis* responds to the various salinity and nutrient conditions it may experience in southern California estuaries at different times through out the year. Our results show that N enrichment can ameliorate the negative effects of reduced salinity on the growth and condition of *E. intestinalis*. This implies that algae may proliferate in winter when inputs of N and freshwater to estuaries are high. In summer, when freshwater inputs are generally less, if N loading still occurs, growth of macroalgae may be even greater. Blooms of macroalgae will likely continue to proliferate in estuaries in southern California and around the world unless nutrient loading from surrounding watersheds is reduced. Development of methods for greater removal of nutrients from treated wastewater, and agricultural and urban runoff may be the key to reducing the magnitude and frequency of macroalgal blooms in coastal estuaries.

Acknowledgements. This work was funded by the California Water Resources Center and EPA Water and Watersheds Program no. R825381. We thank Karleen Boyle, Risa Cohen, Jennie Lee, Steve Lee and Tonatiuh Trejo for assistance with this project.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ahern J, Lyons J, McLelland J, Valiela I (1995) Invertebrate response to nutrient-induced changes in macrophyte assemblages in Waquoit Bay. *Biol Bull* 189:241–242
- Balls PW, Macdonald A, Pugh K, Edwards AC (1995) Long-term nutrient enrichment of an estuarine system: Ythan, Scotland. *Environ Pollut* 90:311–321
- Bird CJ, McLachlan J (1986) The effect of salinity on distribution of species of *Gracilaria*: an experimental assessment. *Bot Mar* 29:231–238
- Bird NL, Chen LCM, McLachlan J (1979) Effects of temperature, light and salinity on growth in culture of *Chondrus crispus*, *Furcellaria lumbricalis*, *Gracilaria tikvahiae* and *Fucus serratus*. *Bot Mar* 22:521–527
- Carlson RM (1978) Automated separation and conductimetric determination of ammonia and dissolved carbon dioxide. *Analyt Chem* 50:1528–1531
- Delgado O, Lapointe BE (1994) Nutrient-limited productivity of calcareous versus fleshy macroalgae in a eutrophic, carbonate-rich tropical marine environment. *Coral Reefs* 13: 151–159
- Duarte CM (1995) Submerged aquatic vegetation in relation to different nutrient regimes. *Ophelia* 41:87–112
- Duke CS, Litaker W, Ramus J (1989) Effect of temperature on nitrogen-limited growth rate and chemical composition of *Ulva curvata* (Ulvales: Chlorophyta). *Mar Biol* 100:143–150
- Edwards DM, Reed RH, Chudek JA, Foster R, Stewart WDP (1987) Organic solute accumulation in osmotically-stressed *Enteromorpha intestinalis*. *Mar Biol* 95:583–592
- Edwards DM, Reed RH, Stewart WDP (1988) Osmoacclimation in *Enteromorpha intestinalis*: long-term effects of osmotic stress on organic solute accumulation. *Mar Biol* 98:467–476
- Farris CN, Oviatt CA (1999) Changes in metabolic rates under fluctuating salinity regimes for two subtidal estuarine habitats. *Estuaries* 22:126–137
- Fong P, Donohoe RM, Zedler JB (1993) Competition with macroalgae and benthic cyanobacterial mats limits phytoplankton abundance in experimental microcosms. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 100:97–102
- Fong P, Donohoe RM, Zedler JB (1994) Nutrient concentration in tissue of the macroalga *Enteromorpha* as a function of nutrient history: An experimental evaluation using field microcosms. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 106:273–281
- Fong P, Boyer KE, Desmond JS, Zedler JB (1996) Salinity stress, nitrogen competition, and facilitation: what controls seasonal succession of two opportunistic green macroalgae? *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 206:203–221
- Franson MAH (1985) Method 424-F. In: Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 16th edn. APHA, AAWA, and WPCF, Washington, DC, p 448–450
- Friedlander M (1992) *Gracilaria conferta* and its epiphytes: the effect of culture conditions on growth. *Bot Mar* 35: 423–428
- Fujita RM (1985) The role of nitrogen status in regulating transient ammonium uptake and nitrogen storage by macroalgae. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 92:283–301
- Harlin MM, Thorne-Miller B (1981) Nutrient enrichment of seagrass beds in a Rhode Island coastal lagoon. *Mar Biol* 65:221–229
- Hatcher BG, Larkum AWD (1983) An experimental analysis of factors controlling the standing crop of the epilithic algal community on a coral reef. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 69:61–84
- Hernández I, Peralta G, Pérez-Lloréns JL, Vergara JJ, Niell FX (1997) Biomass and dynamics of growth of *Ulva* species in Palmones River estuary. *J Phycol* 33:764–772
- Johnson CM, Ulrich A (1959) Analytical methods for use in plant analysis. In: Bulletin 766. University of California, Agricultural Experimental Station, Berkeley, p 26–78
- Kamer K, Fong P (2000) A fluctuating salinity regime mitigates the negative effects of reduced salinity on the estu-

- arine macroalga, *Enteromorpha intestinalis*. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 254:53–69
- Kamer K, Karleen A, Fong B, Fong P (2001) Macroalgal bloom dynamics in a highly eutrophic southern California estuary. *Estuaries* 24(4):622–634
- Karsten U, Kirst GO (1989) The effect of salinity on growth, photosynthesis and respiration in the estuarine red alga *Bostrychia radicans* Mont. *Helgol Meeresunters* 43:61–66
- King RJ, Hodgson BR (1995) Tuggerah Lakes system, New South Wales, Australia. In: McComb AJ (ed) *Eutrophic shallow estuaries and lagoons*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, p 19–29
- Kirst GO (1989) Salinity tolerance of eukaryotic marine algae. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol* 40:21–53
- Kwak TJ, Zedler JB (1997) Food web analysis of southern California coastal wetlands using multiple stable isotopes. *Oecologia* 110:262–277
- Lapointe BE (1987) Phosphorus- and nitrogen-limited photosynthesis and growth of *Gracilaria tikvahiae* (Rhodophyceae) in the Florida Keys: an experimental field study. *Mar Biol* 93:561–568
- Lapointe BE (1989) Macroalgal production and nutrient relations in oligotrophic areas of Florida Bay. *Bull Mar Sci* 44:312–323
- Lapointe BE, Duke CS (1984) Biochemical strategies for growth of *Gracilaria tikvahiae* in relation to light intensity and nitrogen availability. *J Phycol* 20:488–495
- Lapointe BE, Tenore KR (1981) Experimental outdoor studies with *Ulva fasciata* I: Interaction of light and nitrogen on nutrient uptake, growth and biochemical composition. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 53:135–152
- Lapointe BE, Littler MM, Littler DS (1992) Nutrient availability to marine macroalgae in siliciclastic versus carbonate-rich coastal waters. *Estuaries* 15:75–82
- Larned ST (1998) Nitrogen- versus phosphorus-limited growth and sources of nutrients for coral reef macroalgae. *Mar Biol* 132:409–421
- Marcomini A, Sfriso A, Pavoni B, Orio AA (1995) Eutrophication of the Lagoon of Venice: nutrient loads and exchanges. In: McComb AJ (ed) *Eutrophic shallow estuaries and lagoons*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, p 59–79
- Martins I, Oliveira JM, Flindt MR, Marques JC (1999) The effect of salinity on the growth rate of the macroalgae *Enteromorpha intestinalis* (Chlorophyta) in the Mondego estuary (west Portugal). *Acta Oecol* 20:259–265
- McComb AJ, Lukatelich RJ (1995) The Peel-Harvey estuarine system, western Australia. In: McComb AJ (ed) *Eutrophic shallow estuaries and lagoons*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, p 5–17
- McGlathery KJ, Howarth RW, Marino R (1992) Nutrient limitation of the macroalga, *Penicillus capitatus*, associated with subtropical seagrass meadows in Bermuda. *Estuaries* 15:18–25
- Murthy MS, Sharma CLNS, Rao YN (1988) Salinity induced changes in peroxidase activity in the green seaweed *Ulva lactuca*. *Bot Mar* 31:307–310
- Nixon SW (1995) Coastal marine eutrophication: A definition, social causes, and future concerns. *Ophelia* 41:199–219
- Onuf CP (1987) The ecology of Mugu Lagoon, California: an estuarine profile. *US Fish Wildl Serv Biol Rep* 85(7.15)
- Paerl HW (1997) Coastal eutrophication and harmful algal blooms: importance of atmospheric deposition and groundwater as 'new' nitrogen and other nutrient sources. *Limnol Oceanogr* 42:1154–1165
- Peckol P, DeMeo-Anderson B, Rivers J, Valiela I, Maldonado M, Yates J (1994) Growth, nutrient uptake capacities and tissue constituents of the macroalgae *Cladophora vagabunda* and *Gracilaria tikvahiae* related to site-specific nitrogen loading rates. *Mar Biol* 121:175–185
- Peters G, Paznokas WE, Noyes VR (1985) A review of nutrient standards for the coastal lagoons in the San Diego region. California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region, San Diego, California.
- Raffaelli D, Hull S, Milne H (1989) Long-term changes in nutrients, weed mats and shorebirds in an estuarine system. *Cah Biol Mar* 30:259–270
- Raffaelli D, Limia J, Hull S, Pont S (1991) Interactions between the amphipod *Corophium volutator* and macroalgal mats on estuarine mudflats. *J Mar Biol Assoc UK* 71: 899–908
- Reed RH, Russell G (1979) Adaptation to salinity stress in populations of *Enteromorpha intestinalis* (L.) Link. *Estuar Coast Mar Sci* 8:251–258
- Ritchie RJ, Larkum AWD (1985) Potassium transport in *Enteromorpha intestinalis*. 2. Effects of medium composition and metabolic inhibitors. *J Exp Bot* 36:394–412
- Rosenberg G, Ramus J (1982) Ecological growth strategies in the seaweeds *Gracilaria foliifera* and *Ulva* sp.: photosynthesis and antenna composition. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 8: 233–241
- Rudnicki RM (1986) Dynamics of macroalgae in Tijuana estuary: response to simulated wastewater addition. MS thesis, San Diego State University
- Schramm W (1999) Factors influencing seaweed responses to eutrophication: some results from EU-project EUMAC. *J Appl Phycol* 11:69–78
- Sfriso A, Marcomini A, Pavoni B (1987) Relationships between macroalgal biomass and nutrient concentrations in a hypertrophic area of the Venice Lagoon Italy. *Mar Environ Res* 22:297–312
- Sfriso A, Pavoni B, Marcomini A, Orio AA (1992) Macroalgae, nutrient cycles, and pollutants in the Lagoon of Venice. *Estuaries* 15:517–528
- Sweeney RA (1989) Generic combustion method for determination of crude protein in feeds: a collaborative study. *J Assoc Anal Chem* 72:770–774
- Thomas DN, Collins JC, Russell G (1988) Interactive effects of temperature and salinity upon net photosynthesis of *Cladophora glomerta* and *C. rupestris*. *Bot Mar* 31:33–37
- Thornton JA, Beekman H, Boddington G, Dick R, Harding WR, Lief M, Morrison IR, Quick AJR (1995) The ecology and management of Zandvlei (Cape Province, South Africa), an enriched shallow African estuary. In: McComb AJ (ed) *Eutrophic shallow estuaries and lagoons*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, p 109–128
- Valiela I, Foreman K, LaMontagne M, Hersh D, Costa J, Peckol P, DeMeo-Anderson B, D'Avanzo C, Babione M, Sham CH, Brawley J, Lajtha K (1992) Couplings of watersheds and coastal waters sources and consequences of nutrient enrichment in Waquoit Bay Massachusetts. *Estuaries* 15:443–457
- Valiela I, McClelland J, Hauxwell J, Behr PJ, Hersh D, Foreman K (1997) Macroalgal blooms in shallow estuaries: Controls and ecophysiological and ecosystem consequences. *Limnol Oceanogr* 42:1105–1118
- Young AJ, Collins JC, Russell G (1987) Ecotypic variation in the osmotic responses of *Enteromorpha intestinalis* (L.) Link. *J Exp Bot* 38:1309–1324
- Zedler JB (1980) Algal mat productivity: comparisons in a salt marsh. *Estuaries* 3:122–131
- Zedler JB, Principal Author (1996) *Tidal wetland restoration: a scientific perspective and southern California focus*. California Sea Grant College System, University of California, La Jolla