

NOTE

Multiple trophic levels in soft-bottom communities

John A. Commito^{1,2} & William G. Ambrose, Jr.²¹ Department of Biology, Hood College, Frederick, Maryland 21701, USA² Department of Marine Zoology and Marine Chemistry, University of Oslo, Oslo 3, Norway

ABSTRACT: In order to assess the general applicability of recent field experiments with predatory infauna, we searched the literature and found 48 well-documented cases of infaunal consumption by such predators. In 63 % of the cases detailed enough to make a determination, the predators ate other predators. Multiple trophic levels within the infauna are probably a common feature of many soft-bottom communities.

The roles which predatory infauna play in controlling soft-bottom community structure are poorly understood (see Commito & Ambrose [in press] for review). Recent field experiments have demonstrated that predatory infauna (organisms which live within the sediment and ingest benthic animals) are important regulating agents and that multiple trophic levels exist within the infauna (Reise 1979, Commito 1982a, Commito & Shrader in press, Ambrose 1984a,b,c). In order to assess the general applicability of these field experiment results, we searched the literature for evidence of infaunal consumption by predatory infauna. Only results from gut and fecal analyses, feeding trials, laboratory and field observations, and immunological assays were included. Examples of predation inferred from field manipulations were excluded because predatory infauna may have effects other than direct predation mortality. Such effects include induced emigration of prey, sublethal effects on prey, nutrient enrichment from fecal material, sediment modification via creation of biogenic structures, and sediment disturbances (Kneib & Stiven 1982, Commito & Ambrose in press, Commito & Shrader in press).

We found 48 well-documented cases of predation by infaunal polychaetes, nemertean, amphipods, tanaisids, and gastropods on other benthic organisms (Table 1). Polynoid polychaetes and naticid and melongenid gastropods were considered predatory infauna even though they spend considerable time on the sediment surface. Our findings suggest that predatory infauna are important in many marine communities. Based primarily on information provided in

the works cited, we also included in the table an estimate of the sediment disturbance caused by each predator. Too little information was available to evaluate the other types of non-predation effects.

In 21 of the 48 cases it was impossible to determine whether or not the predators ate other predators; either prey categories were too general (e.g. 'polychaetes') or researchers did not offer predatory infauna as prey to their test animals in feeding trials. In 17 (63 %) of the remaining 27 cases, the predators consumed other predators. We feel that many predatory infaunal species eat other predators and that the existence of multiple trophic levels within the infauna may be a common phenomenon in soft-bottom communities. If so, then analyses of energy flow (Ankar 1977, Mann 1982, Fasham 1984) and community organization (Peterson 1979, Woodin 1983) must continue to be re-evaluated for marine ecosystems.

Acknowledgements. Both authors wish to thank the University of Oslo Department of Marine Zoology and Marine Chemistry for support during the preparation of this paper. Additional support came from a BP Petroleum Development Ltd. grant to the University of Oslo, a Hood College Board of Associates Faculty Development grant to J. A. C., and a Royal Norwegian Council for Scientific and Industrial Research postdoctoral fellowship to W. G. A., Jr.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ambrose, W. G., Jr. (1984a). Role of predatory infauna in structuring marine soft-bottom communities. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 17: 109–115
- Ambrose, W. G., Jr. (1984b). Influences of predatory polychaetes and epibenthic predators on the structure of a soft-bottom community in a Maine estuary. *J. exp. mar. Biol. Ecol.* 81: 115–145
- Ambrose, W. G., Jr. (1984c). Influence of residents on the development of a marine soft-bottom community. *J. mar. Res.* 42: 633–654
- Ambrose, W. G., Jr. (1984d). Increased emigration of the amphipod *Rhepoxynius abronius* (Barnard) and the polychaete *Nephtys caeca* (Fabricius) in the presence of invertebrate predators. *J. exp. mar. Biol. Ecol.* 80: 67–75

Table 1 Direct evidence of infaunal consumption by predatory infauna. Results from gut and fecal analyses, immunological assay, feeding trial, laboratory observation, and field observation studies

Predator	Life position in sediment	Location of prey capture	Sediment disturbance	Macrofaunal prey	Method of prey determination	Source	Comments
POLYCHAETA							
Polynoidae							
<i>Harmothoe sarsi</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Amphipods (esp. <i>Pontoporeia affinis</i>), <i>Macoma balthica</i> , <i>Harmothoe sarsi</i> , oligochaetes	Gut analysis	Sarvala 1971	Diet changes with age; large worms feed almost exclusively on <i>Pontoporeia affinis</i>
Hesionidae							
<i>Nereimyra punctata</i>	Shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Polychaetes (esp. <i>Prionospio</i> spp.), amphipods	Gut and fecal analyses, feeding trials	Oug 1980	Lives in gallery; responds to tactile and chemical cues from prey
<i>Ophiodromus flexuosus</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Moderate	Polychaetes (esp. <i>Prionospio</i> spp.), crustaceans, gastropods, bivalves	Gut and fecal analyses, feeding trials	Oug 1980	Responds to moving prey, creeps along surface
<i>Podarke pugettensis</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Moderate	Polychaetes (11 families), tanaids, isopods	Gut and fecal analyses, feeding trials	Shaffer 1979	Responds to moving prey; usually rejects large prey
Nereidae							
<i>Nereis diversicolor</i>	Surface, shallow, deep	Shallow, deep	Slight	<i>Arenicola marina</i>	Laboratory observation	Witte & de Wilde 1979	Invades prey burrows; bites off tails or kills prey
<i>Nereis diversicolor</i>	Shallow	Surface	Moderate	<i>Corophium volutator</i>	Laboratory observation	Olafsson & Persson unpubl.	Disturbs prey burrows; attacks prey only on sediment surface
<i>Nereis succinea</i>	Surface, shallow, deep	Surface, shallow	Moderate	Amphipods (esp. <i>Corophium lacustre</i> , <i>Leptocheirus plumulosus</i>), nereid polychaetes	Gut analysis	Haddon & Hines unpubl.	Feeds primarily on surface organisms > 1 mm long
<i>Nereis virens</i>	Surface, shallow, deep	Surface, shallow	Moderate	Polychaetes, oligochaetes, bivalves, amphipods	Fecal analysis	Ambrose 1984b	Moves anterior part of body out of burrow across sediment surface to attack prey
Nephtyidae							
<i>Nephtys caeca</i>	Shallow, deep	Shallow, deep	Slight	<i>Rhepoxynius abronius</i>	Feeding trials	Ambrose 1984d	Causes prey to leave sediment and enter water column
<i>Nephtys cirrosa</i>	Shallow, deep	Shallow, deep	Slight	Polychaetes (<i>Nephtys</i> spp., possibly maldanids and spionids)	Gut analysis	Clark 1962	Many guts empty or containing sediment
<i>Nephtys hombergi</i>	Shallow, deep	Shallow, deep	Slight	Polychaetes (esp. spionids)	Gut analysis	Clark 1962	Many guts empty or containing sediment
Glyceridae							
<i>Glycera alba</i>	Shallow, deep	Surface, shallow	Slight	Many polychaete species (esp. errant forms), amphipods	Fecal analysis, feeding trials	Ockelmann & Vahl 1970	Responds to moving prey; lives in gallery; injects toxin
<i>Glycera convoluta</i>	Shallow, deep	Surface, shallow	Slight	<i>Nephtys caeca</i>	Feeding trials	Ambrose 1984d	Lives in gallery; causes prey to leave sediment and enter water column
<i>Glycera dibranchiata</i>	Shallow, deep	Surface, shallow	Slight	<i>Nereis virens</i> , <i>Macoma balthica</i> , <i>Corophium volutator</i>	Fecal analysis, feeding trials	Ambrose 1984b	Lives in gallery; injects toxin
Spionidae							
<i>Pseudopolydora kempfi</i>	Shallow	Surface	Moderate	<i>Abarenicola pacifica</i>	Gut analysis, feeding trials	Wilson 1981	Feeds on juvenile worms
<i>Pygospio elegans</i>	Shallow	Surface	Moderate	<i>Abarenicola pacifica</i>	Gut analysis, feeding trials	Wilson 1981	Feeds on juvenile worms
Terebellidae							
<i>Eupolyommia heterobranchia</i>	Shallow, deep	Surface	Moderate	Polychaetes, amphipods	Fecal analysis, feeding trials, laboratory observation	Wilson 1980	Feeds on small forms

Table 1. (Continued)

Predator	Life position in sediment	Location of prey capture	Sediment disturbance	Macrofaunal prey	Method of prey determination	Source	Comments
NEMERTEA							
Anopla							
<i>Cephalothrix bioculata</i>	Shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Oligochaetes	Gut analysis, feeding trials	Jennings & Gibson 1969	Responds to chemical cues from prey; no stylets, but proboscis secretions help immobilize prey
<i>Cephalothrix linearis</i>	Shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Oligochaetes	Gut analysis, feeding trials	Jennings & Gibson 1969	Responds to chemical cues from prey; no stylets, but proboscis secretions help immobilize prey
<i>Cerebratulus lacteus</i>	Shallow, deep	Deep	Slight	Large <i>Ensis directus</i>	Field observation	McDermott 1976	Engulfs anterior end of clam; no stylets
<i>Lineus ruber</i>	Shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Annelids (esp. <i>Clitellio arenarius</i>), crustaceans	Gut analysis, feeding trials	Jennings 1960	Possesses eyes; responds to chemical cues from prey; no stylets
<i>Lineus ruber</i>	Shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Oligochaetes, polychaetes, crustaceans	Gut analysis, feeding trials	Jennings & Gibson 1969	Possesses eyes; responds to chemical cues from prey; no stylets
<i>Lineus sanguineus</i>	Shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Phyllodocid and sylvid polychaetes, oligochaetes, nemerteans	Gut analysis, feeding trials	Jennings & Gibson 1969	Possesses eyes; responds to chemical cues from prey; prefers moving prey; no stylets
Enopla							
<i>Amphiporus lactifloreus</i>	Shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	<i>Gammarus locusta</i>	Feeding trials	Jennings & Gibson 1969	Refused all other prey; stylets and proboscis secretions immobilize prey
<i>Nipponmemertes pulcher</i>	Shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Amphipods (esp. <i>Haploops</i> spp.)	Feeding trials	McDermott 1984	Stylets and proboscis secretions immobilize prey
<i>Paranemertes peregrina</i>	Shallow	Surface	Slight	Polychaetes (esp. nereids)	Fecal analysis, feeding trials	Roe 1970, 1976	Feeds at low tide; stylets and proboscis secretions immobilize prey
<i>Tetrastemma melanocephalum</i>	Shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Oligochaetes	Gut analysis	Jennings & Gibson 1969	Refused all prey in laboratory
ARTHROPODA							
Amphipoda							
Phoxocephalidae							
<i>Foxiphalus obtusidans</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Annelids, crustaceans	Gut analysis	Oliver et al. 1982	Prefers small forms
<i>Foxiphalus obtusidans</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Spionid polychaetes	Gut analysis	Oakden 1984	Prefers small forms
<i>Grandifoxus grandis</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Annelids, crustaceans, other invertebrates	Gut analysis, feeding trials	Oliver et al. 1982	Prefers small forms
<i>Grandifoxus grandis</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Polychaetes, oligochaetes, archannelids, bivalves, nemerteans	Gut analysis	Oakden 1984	Prefers small forms
<i>Heterophoxus videns</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Annelids, crustaceans	Gut analysis, feeding trials	Oliver et al. 1982	Prefers small forms
<i>Mandibulophoxus gilesi</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Annelids, other invertebrates	Gut analysis	Oliver et al. 1982	Prefers small forms
<i>Mandibulophoxus gilesi</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Polychaetes, archannelids	Gut analysis	Oakden 1984	Prefers small forms
<i>Paraphoxus spinosus</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	<i>Rhynchospio arenicola</i>	Fecal analysis	Wilson 1984	Removes prey tails by subsurface browsing
<i>Paraphoxus</i> sp.	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Annelids, crustaceans, other invertebrates	Gut analysis	Oliver et al. 1982	Prefers small forms

Table 1. (Continued)

Predator	Life position in sediment	Location of prey capture	Sediment disturbance	Macrofaunal prey	Method of prey determination	Source	Comments
<i>Rhepoxynius abronius</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Polychaetes, gastropods	Gut analysis	Oakden 1984	Prefers small forms
<i>Rhepoxynius daboivus</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Annelids, crustaceans, other invertebrates	Gut analysis	Oliver et al. 1982	Prefers small forms
<i>Rhepoxynius epistomus</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Annelids, other invertebrates	Gut analysis, feeding trials	Oliver et al. 1982	Prefers small forms
<i>Rhepoxynius fatigans</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Slight	Polychaetes, crustaceans	Gut analysis	Oakden 1984	Prefers small forms
Corophiidae <i>Corophium salmonis</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Moderate	Polychaetes, bivalves, crustaceans, nemerteans	Immunoassay of gut contents	Feller et al. 1979	Accuracy of immunoassay technique not yet fully established
Gammaridae <i>Pontoporeia affinis</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface, shallow	Moderate	<i>Mytilus edulis</i>	Feeding trials	Segestråle 1962	Ingests bivalve larvae, probably <i>Macoma balthica</i> in field
Tanaidacea <i>Leptochelia dubia</i>	Surface, shallow	Surface	Moderate	<i>Dendroaster excentricus</i>	Feeding trials	Highsmith 1982	Females capture larvae and juvenile forms
MOLLUSCA Gastropoda Naticidae <i>Lunatia heros</i>	Shallow, deep	Shallow, deep	Slight	<i>Mya arenaria</i> , <i>Macoma balthica</i>	Field observation	Commuto 1982b	Usually forages deep below sediment surface; drills bivalve shells
<i>Polinices duplicatus</i>	Shallow, deep	Shallow, deep	Severe	<i>Mya arenaria</i>	Field observation	Edwards & Huebner 1977	Usually forages near sediment surface; leaves distinct trails; drills bivalve shells
Melongenidae <i>Busycon</i> spp.	Surface, shallow, deep	Shallow, deep	Severe	<i>Mercenaria mercenaria</i> , <i>Chione cancellata</i>	Field observation	Peterson 1982	3 spp. (<i>canaliculatum</i> , <i>carica</i> , <i>contrarium</i>) analyzed as a group; plow through sediment; break bivalve shell margins

Ankar, S. (1977). The soft-bottom ecosystem of the Northern Baltic proper with special reference to the macrofauna. Contr. from the Askø Lab., Univ. of Stockholm, Sweden 19: 1-62

Clark, R. B. (1962). Observations on the food of *Nephtys*. Limnol. Oceanogr. 7: 380-385

Commuto, J. A. (1982a). Importance of predation by infaunal polychaetes in controlling the structure of a soft-bottom community in Maine, USA. Mar. Biol. 68: 77-81

Commuto, J. A. (1982b). Effects of *Lunatia heros* predation on the population dynamics of *Mya arenaria* and *Macoma balthica* in Maine, USA. Mar. Biol. 69: 187-193

Commuto, J. A., Ambrose, W. G., Jr. (in press). Predatory infauna and trophic complexity in soft-bottom communities. Proc. of the 19th Eur. Mar. Biol. Symp., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

Commuto, J. A., Shrader, P. B. (in press). Benthic community response to experimental additions of the polychaete *Nereis virens*. Mar. Biol.

Edwards, D. C., Huebner, J. D. (1977). Feeding and growth rates of *Polinices duplicatus* preying on *Mya arenaria* at Barnstable Harbor, Massachusetts. Ecology 58: 1218-1236

Fasham, M. J. R. (ed.) (1984). Flows of energy and materials in marine ecosystems. Plenum, New York

Feller, R. J., Taghon, G. L., Gallagher, E. D., Kenny, G. E., Jumars, P. A. (1979). Immunological methods for food web analysis in a soft-bottom benthic community. Mar. Biol. 54: 61-74

Highsmith, R. C. (1982). Induced settlement and metamorphosis of sand dollar (*Dendroaster excentricus*) larvae in predator-free sites: adult sand dollar beds. Ecology 63: 329-337

Jennings, J. B. (1960). Observations on the nutrition of the rhyncocoelan *Lineus ruber* (O. F. Müller). Biol. Bull. mar. biol. Lab., Woods Hole 119: 189-196

Jennings, J. B., Gibson, R. (1969). Observations on the nutrition of seven species of rhyncocoelan worms. Biol. Bull. mar. biol. Lab., Woods Hole 136: 405-433

Kneib, R. J., Stiven, A. E. (1982). Benthic invertebrate response to size and density manipulations of the common mummichog, *Fundulus heteroclitus*, in an intertidal salt marsh. Ecology 63: 1518-1532

Mann, K. H. (1982). Ecology of coastal waters. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford

McDermott, J. J. (1976). Predation of the razor clam *Ensis directus* by the nemertean worm *Cerebratulus lacteus*. Chesapeake Sci. 17: 299-301

McDermott, J. J. (1984). The feeding biology of *Nip-*

- ponemertes pulcher* (Johnston) (Haplonemertea) with some ecological implications. *Ophelia* 23: 1-21
- Oakden, J. M. (1984). Feeding and substrate preference in five species of phoxocephalid amphipods from central California. *J. Crust. Biol.* 42: 233-247
- Ockelmann, K. W., Vahl, O. (1970). On the biology of the polychaete *Glycera alba*, especially its burrowing and feeding. *Ophelia* 8: 275-294
- Oliver, J. S., Oakden, J. M., Slattery, P. N. (1982). Phoxocephalid amphipod crustaceans as predators on larvae and juveniles in marine soft-bottom communities. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 7: 179-184
- Oug, E. (1980). On feeding and behavior of *Ophiodromus flexuosus* (delle Chiaje) and *Nereimyra punctata* (O. F. Müller) (Polychaeta, Hesionidae). *Ophelia* 19: 175-192
- Peterson, C. H. (1979). Predation, competitive exclusion, and diversity in the soft-sediment communities of estuaries and lagoons. In: Livingston, R. J. (ed.) *Ecological processes in coastal and marine systems*. Plenum, New York, p. 233-264
- Peterson, C. H. (1982). Clam predation by whelks (*Busycon* spp.): experimental tests of the importance of prey size, prey density, and seagrass cover. *Mar. Biol.* 66: 159-170
- Reise, K. (1979). Moderate predation on meiofauna by the macrobenthos of the Wadden Sea. *Helgoländer Meeresunters.* 32: 453-465
- Roe, P. (1970). The nutrition of *Paranemertes peregrina* (Rhyncocolea: Hoplonemertea). I. Studies on food and feeding behavior. *Biol. Bull. mar. biol. Lab., Woods Hole* 139: 80-91
- Roe, P. (1976). Life history and predator-prey interactions of the nemertean *Paranemertes peregrina* Coe. *Biol. Bull. mar. biol. Lab., Woods Hole* 150: 80-106
- Sarvala, J. (1971). Ecology of *Harmothoe sarsi* (Malmgren) (Polychaeta, Polynoidae) in the northern Baltic area. *Annls Zool. fenn.* 8: 231-309
- Segerstråle, S. G. (1962). Investigations on Baltic populations of the bivalve *Macoma baltica* (L.). Part II. What are the reasons for the periodic failure of recruitment and the scarcity of *Macoma* in the deeper waters of the inner Baltic? *Commentat. Biol. Soc. Sci. Fenn.* 24: 1-26
- Shaffer, P. L. (1979). The feeding biology of *Podarke pugetensis* (Polychaeta: Hesionidae). *Biol. Bull. mar. biol. Lab., Woods hole* 156: 343-355
- Wilson, W. H., Jr. (1980). A laboratory investigation of the effect of a terebellid polychaete on the survivorship of nereid polychaete larvae. *J. exp. mar. Biol. Ecol.* 46: 73-80
- Wilson, W. H. Jr. (1981). Sediment-mediated interactions in a densely populated infaunal assemblage: The effects of the polychaete *Abarenicola pacifica*. *J. mar. Res.* 39: 735-748
- Wilson, W. H., Jr. (1984). An experimental analysis of spatial competition in a dense infaunal community: The importance of relative effects. *Estuar. coast. Shelf Sci.* 18: 673-684
- Witte, F., Wilde, P. A. W. J. de (1979). On the ecological relation between *Nereis diversicolor* and juvenile *Arenicola marina*. *Neth. J. Sea Res.* 13: 394-405
- Woodin, S. A. (1983). Biotic interactions in recent marine sedimentary environments. In: Tevesz, M. J. S., McCall, P. L. (ed.) *Biotic interactions in recent and fossil benthic communities*. Academic Press, New York, p. 3-38

Accepted for printing on June 18, 1985