Fluorescence induction of chlorophyll $a$ in the Sargasso Sea and on the Grand Banks: correlation with photosynthetic capacity
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ABSTRACT: The induction of in vivo chlorophyll $a$ fluorescence (increase in fluorescence intensity during a time-scale of ms) was tested as a measure of photosynthetic capacity of phytoplankton in the Sargasso Sea and at 2 stations, spatially and temporally distinct, on the Grand Banks of Newfoundland. The chlorophyll $a$-normalized area above the fluorescence induction curve, measured in the presence of the inhibitor DCMU ($A_{DCMU}$ [Chl $a$]$^{-1}$), is assumed to be proportional to the pool-size of Q, the primary electron acceptor of photosystem II. Positive correlations were found between $A_{DCMU}$ (Chl $a$)$^{-1}$ and the maximum rate of photosynthesis at light saturation at the 3 sampling locations. However, the slopes of the regressions differed among locations, suggesting that a unique relation is not possible for phytoplankton communities composed of different species and/or physiological states. Consideration of the turnover time of Q, in addition to its pool-size, may be necessary to interpret fluorescence induction completely in terms of photosynthetic capacity. Nevertheless, $A_{DCMU}$ (Chl $a$)$^{-1}$ provided a rapid way to obtain information about the depth distribution of relative photosynthetic activity, and about possible mechanisms of photoadaptation; values of $A_{DCMU}$ (Chl $a$)$^{-1}$ generally increased during a 10 or 24 h incubation period at high irradiance levels, and decreased at low irradiance levels.

INTRODUCTION

Recently, we demonstrated the possibility of using the fluorescence induction (time-scale ms) of in vivo chlorophyll $a$ (Chl $a$) as a measure of photosynthetic capacity of phytoplankton (Bates & Platt 1984). In that approach, which avoids the potentially deleterious effects of sample incubation, a dark-adapted sample is exposed to the actinic light of a specially designed induction fluorometer. The fluorescence intensity is then followed during several ms as it increases to a maximum ($F_{\text{max}}$) and as Q, the primary electron acceptor of photosystem II (PSII), becomes photoreduced in the presence of the herbicide 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU); this is equivalent to a titration of Q by photons (Malkin & Kok 1966). The pool-size of Q is proportional to the area above the fluorescence induction curve ($A_{DCMU}$) when DCMU is present (Malkin et al. 1981). Using laboratory cultures of Dunaliella tertiolecta and of Thalassiosira pseudonana, we found a significant positive correlation between $A_{DCMU}$ normalized to Chl $a$ and $P_{\text{m}}$; the Chl $a$-normalized rate of photosynthesis at light saturation (Bates & Platt 1984).

Here we extend the application of the fluorescence induction technique by using it to estimate the photosynthetic activity of natural populations of phytoplankton from the Sargasso Sea and from the Grand Banks of Newfoundland. We demonstrate a positive correlation between $A_{DCMU}$ (Chl $a$)$^{-1}$ and $P_{\text{m}}$, but show that the relation differs among the sampling sites. We also show how fluorescence induction measurements provide a rapid way to assess the depth distribution of photosynthetic activity, and possible mechanisms of photoadaptation. Examples of fluorescence induction curves (time-scale s to min) from natural communities of phytoplankton are found in Vincent (1979), and Neveux & Jupin (1981).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiments were carried out in the Sargasso Sea and on the Scotian Shelf slope in April, 1983 (cruise 83-
obtained from a $10^{-3}$ M ethanolic stock solution) were then added to the filter while only a thin layer of sea water remained above the cells deposited onto the filter. The DCMU remained in contact with the cells for either 10 s (Apr and Jul cruises) or 5 min (Oct cruise) before being suctioned through. Fluorescence measurements were then carried out either immediately (Jul and Oct cruises) or after about 4 h (Apr cruise), during which time the filters were placed in Petri dishes on ice and in darkness.

Fluorescence was measured in an induction fluorometer designed and built at the Bedford Institute of Oceanography (Bates & Platt 1984). The actinic irradiance intensity at 458 nm was about 150 W m$^{-2}$ in April, and about 20 W m$^{-2}$ in July and October. The filter was held in place in the fluorometer with a specially-designed filter holder positioned at 45° to the actinic light beam.

Care must be taken to properly 'condition' or 'adapt' the phytoplankton cells prior to obtaining a fluorescence induction curve. This insures that the thylakoid membranes within the chloroplast are 'deenergized' (intrathylakoid proton gradient dissipated) and that all of the electron traps are 'open' (Q oxidized) (Papageorgiou 1975), such that the fluorescence measurements reflect the entire photochemical capacity of the phytoplankton sample. We have recently investigated the effects of various preconditioning procedures using laboratory and field populations of phytoplankton (Bates 1985). Prior to this thorough investigation, samples from the Sargasso Sea were preconditioned in the fluorometer for 30 s in far-red (720 nm) light, followed by 1.5 min of darkness. During the Grand Banks cruises, samples were conditioned for 5 min in darkness in the fluorometer prior to measuring the fluorescence.
The fluorescence induction signal was sent to a DL 912 transient recorder (Data Laboratories Ltd., Surrey, England) interfaced with an HP-85 microcomputer (Hewlett-Packard Co., Palo Alto, California). An HP-85 program integrated the area above the fluorescence induction curve \( A_{DCMU} \) and determined the maximum fluorescence intensity \( F_{\text{max}} \) in the presence of DCMU. Geometrically, \( A_{DCMU} \) is the area above the fluorescence induction curve bounded by a vertical axis situated at 0.2 ms after the initial rise in the fluorescence signal, and a horizontal line situated at \( F_{\text{max}} \). \( A_{DCMU} \) is considered to be proportional to the concentration of Q, the primary electron acceptor of PSI (Bates & Platt 1984).

Photosynthesis vs irradiance experiments were carried out on samples collected at the same locations and depths as used for the fluorescence induction measurements. In April (Sargasso Sea cruise), 100 ml samples were incubated for 4 h with 25 µCi \(^{14} \text{C}\)-bicarbonate (New England Nuclear Corp.) in a temperature-controlled linear light gradient box holding 40 light and 2 dark bottles (Irwin et al. 1983). In July and October (Grand Banks cruises), 1.0 ml samples were incubated for 1 h with 15 µCi \(^{14} \text{C}\)-bicarbonate in a modified photosynthetron (Lewis & Smith 1983) made from an aluminum block providing 48 different light intensities. Incubations were terminated and samples were treated as previously described (Bates & Platt 1984). The mathematical procedures used to extract \( \Phi_{\text{in}} \) (Chl a-normalized photosynthetic rate at light saturation) from the photosynthesis-irradiance data are given in Platt et al. (1980).

Irradiance was measured with a 4 π collector (Biospherical Instruments Inc., San Diego, California). Chl a was determined using a fluorometer (Model 10, Turner Designs), calibrated with pure Chl a (Sigma Chemical Co.). Depth profiles of in vivo Chl a fluorescence, temperature, and salinity were obtained with a CTD or a submersible pumping system (Herman et al. 1984).

RESULTS

Sargasso Sea

Temperature, salinity, nutrient and Chl a conditions in the Sargasso Sea (Fig. 2) were typical of those found by Menzel & Ryther (1960). The surface layer was continuously well-mixed throughout the study period. A distinct Chl a maximum layer was absent; rather, the Chl a concentration increased slightly in the region of 30 to 70 m (Fig. 2c). A representative depth profile of \( F_{\text{max}} \) resembled that of Chl a concentration, except for an apparent maximum in \( F_{\text{max}} \) at 50 m (Fig. 2c). Depth profiles of \( A_{DCMU} \) and of \( A_{DCMU} \) (Chl a)\(^{-1} \) were similar, and showed little structure (Fig. 2d), except for an apparent maximum at 50 m corresponding to the peak in \( F_{\text{max}} \).

Time courses for the change in biomass and fluorescence characteristics of a population incubated at 2 irradiance levels are shown in Fig. 3. A sample was collected at 80 m and incubated in a constant light chamber at high (54.0 W m\(^{-2}\)) and at low (0.1 W m\(^{-2}\)) irradiance levels (Fig. 3a, b). Another sample, collected at 30 m, was incubated in a surface-water cooled deck box at 100 % and 1 % of the incident solar radiation (Fig. 3c, d). Chl a concentration decreased more under high than under low irradiance in both the constant light (Fig. 3a) and deck (Fig. 3c) incubations, but showed no evident periodicity. Values of \( A_{DCMU} \) (Chl a)\(^{-1} \) were likewise relatively constant in the deck box at both high and low irradiance levels for about the first 8 h of incubation (Fig. 3d). After sunset, however,
ADCMU (Chl a) decreased in both the 100% and 1% irradiance samples; after sunrise, ADCMU (Chl a) decreased further in the 100% irradiance sample, but increased in the 1% irradiance sample.

**Grand Banks: July**

On the Grand Banks in July, the surface layer was mixed down to about 15 m (Fig. 4a). Nitrate and phosphate concentrations were generally low in the surface layer extending down to about 30 m; Chl a concentration showed a maximum at 40 m (Fig. 4c). The region of highest biomass corresponded roughly to a second, deeper pycnocline (Fig. 4a) and the layer of lowest nutrient concentration (Fig. 4b). A maximum in ADCMU (Chl a) occurred at about 20 m (Fig. 4d), just below the shallow pycnocline.

Examples of photosynthesis vs irradiance curves used to obtain $P_0$ in July are given in Fig. 5. Samples taken from within the mixed layer showed little or no photoinhibition (Fig. 5a, b); photoinhibition was evident in samples collected from below the mixed layer (Fig. 5c, d). Similar observations have been reported, for example, by Platt et al. (1982) in Baffin Bay for phytoplankton populations taken from above and below the pycnocline, respectively.

**Grand Banks: October**

The physical oceanographic situation observed on the Grand Banks in October (Fig. 6a) was similar to that seen in July; the mixed layer extended down only...
A time-course experiment, similar in principle to that carried out in the Sargasso Sea, was performed on the Grand Banks in October. Samples were collected within (5 m) and below (45 m) the mixed layer, and were incubated in deck boxes at 100 % and 10 % of the incident solar radiation. As in the Sargasso Sea experiment (Fig. 3), little change was seen in the Chl a concentration for samples incubated at 10 % of the incident light (Fig. 7a, c). Samples incubated at full irradiance began to show a decrease in Chl a concentration after 4 to 5 h (Fig. 7a, c). The depth at which the samples were collected did not significantly influence the magnitude of the decrease in Chl a concentration.

In contrast to the similarity in the pattern of change in Chl a concentration over time for the 5 and 45 m samples (Fig. 7a, c), the time course of change in $A_{DCMU}$ (Chl a)$^{-1}$ differed for these samples (Fig. 7b, d). After about 6 h of incubation, the 5 m sample showed an increase in $A_{DCMU}$ (Chl a)$^{-1}$ at full sunlight, and a decrease at the 10 % irradiance level (Fig. 7b). The 45 m sample, on the other hand, exhibited a more immediate and pronounced decline in $A_{DCMU}$ (Chl a)$^{-1}$ at the 10 % irradiance level (Fig. 7d). The pattern seen for the aliquot incubated at full sunlight (Fig. 7d) is more difficult to interpret. Disregarding the low value at 3.4 h, $A_{DCMU}$ (Chl a)$^{-1}$ remained high toward the end of the incubation, as was observed for the other 100 % irradiance incubations reported above (Fig. 3b, d; Fig. 7b).

Relations between fluorescence and other biological parameters

Relations between fluorescence parameters, Chl a biomass, and photosynthetic rates are shown in Fig. 8. Strong positive correlations were found between in vivo $F_{max}$ and extracted Chl a for the Sargasso Sea (Fig. 8a) and Grand Banks (Fig. 8b) samples. However, the slopes for the regressions differed significantly among the study areas; Sargasso Sea data gave values of $F_{max}$ about 10 times greater than the Grand Banks values, and $F_{max}$ values for the Grand Banks in October were about twice as great as those in July. The regression showed least residual variance for the October Grand Banks data which represent only 1 station during a 2 d period, compared to several stations during 2 wk for the July Grand Banks and Sargasso Sea stations.

The differences found in $F_{max}$ were also reflected in differences in $A_{DCMU}$ and $A_{DCMU}$ (Chl a)$^{-1}$ among the study areas. Nevertheless, positive relations were found between $A_{DCMU}$ and $P_{m}$ (Fig. 8c, d), and between $A_{DCMU}$ (Chl a)$^{-1}$ and $P_{m}$ (Fig. 8e, f).
EVALUATION AND DISCUSSION

Two ecologically and physically distinct environments were sampled to test, to our knowledge for the first time, the use of in vivo Chl a fluorescence induction as a measure of photosynthetic activity in the field. The Sargasso Sea (Fig. 2) was characterized by a low phytoplankton biomass of low quantum yield (Lewis et
al. 1985), suspended in a well-mixed water column. Active cyanobacteria were present (Li & Dickie 1985), and the < 1 μm size fraction accounted for 25 to 30 % of the total production (B. Irwin unpubl.). The Grand Banks had slightly more Chl a, especially in October, and the mixed layer extended down to only 15 to 25 m (Fig. 4 & 6). The dynamics of the Grand Banks microplankton during the July and October cruises is discussed by R. E. H. Smith (unpubl.).

In both environments, the biomass was too low, using the present fluorometer, to measure adequately the fluorescence induction of natural concentrations of in vivo Chl a suspended in a water sample. Phytoplankton therefore had to first be concentrated onto a glass fiber filter before the fluorescence induction signal could be measured. This could potentially introduce artifacts, some of which were discussed previously (Bates 1985). Briefly, laboratory studies showed a faster rise time of the fluorescence signal and a larger $F_{\text{max}}$ when the fluorescence was measured from a culture sample deposited onto a glass fiber filter compared to a signal obtained directly from a liquid sample in a cuvette. This could be due to an intensification of the photon flux because of multiple scattering within the filter, and could lead to more absorption of light on the filter compared to the liquid sample (cf. Mitchell & Kiefer 1984). Neveux & Jupin (1981) believed that deposition of algae onto glass fiber filters perturbed their photosynthetic ability. Optical problems could also be created when Chl a on the filter exceeds 2.5 μg cm$^{-2}$ (Neori et al. 1984). In our study, no more than 0.4 μg Chl a cm$^{-2}$ was filtered, and the value was usually less than 0.04 μg Chl a cm$^{-2}$. In spite of these potential problems, glass fiber filters are used with success to concentrate field samples prior to measuring fluorescence and absorption spectra (Yentsch & Yentsch 1979, Kiefer & SooHoo 1982, Mitchell & Kiefer 1984, Necri et al. 1984, Lewis et al. 1985).

Fluorescence induction as a measure of photosynthetic capacity

The usefulness of the fluorescence induction technique to biological oceanographers would lie in its ability to estimate photosynthetic capacity (photosynthetic rate at light saturation). However, the difficulty is in finding a standard against which the fluorescence induction method can be compared. Discrepancies in photosynthetic rates may be evident when measured by $^{14}$C uptake, O$_2$ evolution or by infra-red gas analysis (Peterson 1980, Richardson et al. 1983, Holligan et al. 1984, Smith et al. 1984). It may therefore not be ideal to compare results of the fluorescence induction technique with, say, the $^{14}$C-technique, which is itself under criticism as a method for measuring primary production. Nevertheless, laboratory studies using phytoplankton cultures have shown strong positive correlations between $A_{\text{DCMU}}$ (Chl a)$^{-1}$ and $P_{\text{m}}$, measured by $^{14}$C uptake in a situation where many of the criticisms against the $^{14}$C-technique are not valid (Bates & Platt 1984). With this in mind, and with no alternatives at present, fluorescence induction will be compared with the conventional $^{14}$C-technique for measuring photosynthetic capacity.

The high positive correlations found between $A_{\text{DCMU}}$ and $F_{\text{m}}$ (Fig. 8c, d) and between $A_{\text{DCMU}}$ (Chl a)$^{-1}$ and $P_{\text{m}}$ (Fig. 8e, f) are encouraging. The relation was better when expressed on a water volume rather than on a Chl a basis in the Sargasso Sea and on the Grand Banks in October. However, both the magnitude of the $A_{\text{DCMU}}$ values and the slopes of the regressions are different in the Sargasso Sea and on the Grand Banks in July and in October. These differences could be real or artificial. It is known, for example, that a given value of $A_{\text{DCMU}}$ is a function of the physiological state of the phytoplankton (of interest here), of the procedure used to 'precondition' the cells prior to measuring the fluorescence induction curve, and finally of the irradiance intensity used to excite the Chl a fluorescence (Bates 1985).

In the Sargasso Sea, samples were preconditioned in the fluorometer for 30 s in far-red ($720$ nm) light, followed by 1.5 min of darkness; the actinic irradiance exceeds 2.5 μg cm$^{-2}$ (Neori et al. 1984). In our study, no more than 0.4 μg Chl a cm$^{-2}$ was filtered, and the value was usually less than 0.04 μg Chl a cm$^{-2}$. In spite of these potential problems, glass fiber filters are used with success to concentrate field samples prior to measuring fluorescence and absorption spectra (Yentsch & Yentsch 1979, Kiefer & SooHoo 1982, Mitchell & Kiefer 1984, Necri et al. 1984, Lewis et al. 1985).
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The presence of a photoperiod could complicate the interpretation of a photoadaptation experiment. Post et al. (1984) found a circadian periodicity in Chl a cell-1 that was distinct from effects due to a light shift for a culture of *Thalassiosira weissflogii*. However, Chl a/ P,, ratios, reflecting PSU size, of a natural bloom of *Coscinodiscus* spp. did not vary diurnally (Falkowski 1983). Przélén & Ley (1980) observed a strong diurnal periodicity in in vivo fluorescence of Chl a in the presence of DCMU, that was independent of Chl a concentration. During a light shift experiment under natural light in the Sargasso Sea, samples incubated under both high and low irradiance levels showed a large increase in ADcMU (Chl a)-' several hours after sunset (Fig. 3d). The concentration of Chl a did not parallel this change (Fig. 3c). Nor was there an abrupt

**Depth profiles of fluorescence parameters**

In spite of the different relations between A,, (Chl a)-' and P,, for the 3 areas studied, one may still interpret depth profiles and time courses for the change in A,, for a given location. Depth profiles generally showed a maximum in A,, and in A,, (Chl a)-' associated with a peak in F,, and at a depth just above where nitrate begins to increase (Fig. 2, 4 & 6). This feature was seen in the Sargasso Sea even though the water column was well-mixed (Fig. 2); on the Grand Banks, the peak in A,, (Chl a)-' was generally located within or just below the pycnocline (Fig. 4 & 6). These would presumably be the depths at which P,, would also be maximum. In this way, fluorescence induction measurements provide a rapid means to assess the depth distribution of photosynthetic activity.

**Time course of photoadaptation**

Results of the light shift experiments (Fig. 3 & 7) indicate that A,, (Chl a)-' is a dynamic property which may provide information about mechanisms of photoadaptation. Incubating a phytoplankton community at a high irradiance level generally resulted in a decrease in Chl a concentration and an increase in A,, (Chl a)-' (Fig. 3 & 7). Incubation at a low irradiance level did not significantly change Chl a concentration, but A,, (Chl a)-' decreased (Fig. 3 & 7). The decrease in A,, (Chl a)-' at low irradiance levels could be interpreted in 2 ways: (1) it could reflect an actual decrease in P,, as the correlation between A,, (Chl a)-' and P,, suggests (Fig. 8e, f). For the Grand Banks data (Fig. 7d), this is supported by the finding of a lower P,, at the end of the incubation (0.6 g C [g Chl a]-' h-1) than at the beginning (1.0 g C [g Chl a]-' h-1). In this case, longer than 10 or 24 h would be required for the cells to 'adapt' to the low irradiance condition. (2) Alternatively, the decrease in A,, (Chl a)-' suggests that, although the number of PSU is decreasing, the size of the photon-capturing PSU antenna (i.e. Chl a [A,,]-') is actually increasing. Falkowski (1983) found that a natural phytoplankton community, dominated by *Coscinodiscus* spp., became shade adapted by increasing its PSU size, as measured by an increase in Chl/P,, ratio, where P,, is the reaction center of photosystem I. Whether the populations photoadapt by changing the number or size of PSU, the turnover time of Q should also be considered. For example, a decrease in the number of PSU could be offset by a shorter turnover time of the Q pool.

The presence of a photoperiod could complicate the interpretation of a photoadaptation experiment. Post et al. (1984) found a circadian periodicity in Chl a cell-1 that was distinct from effects due to a light shift for a culture of *Thalassiosira weissflogii*. However, Chl a/ P,, ratios, reflecting PSU size, of a natural bloom of *Coscinodiscus* spp. did not vary diurnally (Falkowski 1983). Przélén & Ley (1980) observed a strong diurnal periodicity in in vivo fluorescence of Chl a in the presence of DCMU, that was independent of Chl a concentration. During a light shift experiment under natural light in the Sargasso Sea, samples incubated under both high and low irradiance levels showed a large increase in A,, (Chl a)-' several hours after sunset (Fig. 3d). The concentration of Chl a did not parallel this change (Fig. 3c). Nor was there an abrupt
increase in $A_{DCMU}$ (Chl $a$)$^{-1}$ when a sample from the same station 3 d later was incubated in a constant light chamber (Fig. 3b). The mechanisms for such an abrupt change in $A_{DCMU}$ (Chl $a$)$^{-1}$ under natural light are not clear, but the finding suggests that cells may not always distinguish diurnal changes in irradiance from those associated with vertical movement of the cells within the euphotic zone.

Future improvements of the technique

These first measurements of fluorescence induction properties at sea are promising, but point out several changes that should be made to improve the technique for use in field situations:

(1) The sensitivity of the fluorometer must be increased to allow the fluorescence of a liquid sample to be measured. This would eliminate potential artifacts due to concentrating the sample onto a glass fiber filter. A photomultiplier tube has replaced the less-sensitive photodiode in our recently-modified induction fluorometer.

(2) The relation between $A_{DCMU}$ (Chl $a$)$^{-1}$ and $P_m^b$, although positive and linear, was not constant for the 3 environments studied. This was due in part to changes from cruise to cruise in the actinic irradiance level and in the preconditioning procedure used as the technique was being developed. However, $F_{max}$ and $A_{DCMU}$ from the Grand Banks, where the methodology was more uniform, suggests that physiological differences in the phytoplankton communities may also be responsible. Information on the turnover time of Q may be required to estimate photosynthetic capacity directly, without the need to rely on calibration curves as is presently the case. Turnover times of Q would also help to interpret changes in $A_{DCMU}$ observed in photo-adaptation experiments.

(3) The induction fluorometer used in this study was designed to excite and detect only the fluorescence emitted directly from Chl $a$. It is currently not known how the presence of varying proportions of phycobilin-containing cyanobacteria of varying physiological states (such as were found in the Sargasso Sea) would alter the relation between $A_{DCMU}$ (Chl $a$)$^{-1}$ and $P_m^b$. Our recently-modified induction fluorometer allows the choice of different excitation and emission wavelengths to measure the fluorescence originating from phycocyanin and phycoerythrin as well as from Chl $a$.
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