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ABSTRACT: The developmental pattern on artificial substrates of a bryozoan community at 3 and 15 m water depth has been studied in the Ligurian Sea (Italy) for increasing periods of time (2 to 25 mo). A recruitment period - larval settlement and growth to saturation (increasing number of species and abundance) - is followed, after 12 mo, by a maturation period in which biotic interactions become predominant due to decreasing availability of primary substrate. During maturation, community structure changes considerably due to variation in quantitative relations among bryozoans; a definite replacement of species does not occur. Interactions between zoarial types can be traced back to several schemes resulting from combined reciprocal inhibition and enhancement actions. The community at 15 m depth does not show any difference in developmental pattern, but colonization is slower.

INTRODUCTION


The real meaning of the term 'succession' is being modified in the course of time to the extent that it now simply stands for the ensemble of progressive modifications observed in the community's composition through time (Greene & Schoener 1982), and it is becoming a synonym for community development.

The problem has also been approached by various authors in a more typically descriptive way. The evolution of benthic populations on natural and artificial substrates was divided up into well-defined stages by determining the main categories of environmental factors governing the development process while looking for signs that the final stage or climax has been reached (Simon Papyn 1965, Bellan Santini 1970, Huve 1970, Castric Fey 1974, Castric 1977). The importance of some physical and biotic factors in controlling community development has been underlined by research in caves (Vacelet 1976, Harmelin 1980, 1983), a relatively simple environment due to the predominating influence of a comparatively small number of environmental factors and their stability.

In most of the research on this topic artificial substrates have been employed (e.g. W.H.O.I. 1952, Osman 1977, Sutherland & Karlson 1977, Greene & Schoener 1984). The size of the substrate (e.g. Jackson 1977b, Osman 1977), its positioning and spacing (e.g. Vandermeulen & De Wreede 1982), the material used and the configuration of the substrate (e.g. H. Hart 1977, Balduzi & Deandreis 1980, Riggo & Di Pisa 1981), and their influence on colonization and community development have also been studied.

The data discussed in this paper were collected during an experimental investigation of the develop-
ment of hard substrate benthic communities started in 1976 and still under way along a cliff of the Portofino Promontory (Sara 1979, Pisano et al. 1980, Relini et al. 1983). In particular we consider here bryozoans settled on artificial substrates submerged at 2 different depths for periods ranging between 2 and 25 mo in order to attempt to establish the main colonization stages and to single out the possible variations with depth in community development.

Bryozoans play an important rôle in biological concretion processes (Harmelin 1980, Hong 1982), and they are one of the main components, both as to the number of species and to their abundance, of communities settling on artificial substrates in this biotope (Pisano 1979, Boyer 1984); for these reasons they strongly affect the structure of the whole community and probably reflect its main changes during developmental processes.

STUDY AREA AND METHODS

The field experiment was performed near the promontory of Portofino (Ligurian Sea: 44° 18' N, 9° 13' E), along a submerged cliff, a vertical puddingstone wall that faces northeast and is relatively sheltered from direct light and wave action. Water movement and light decrease with depth, while sedimentation rate increases, becoming important from 10 m depth downwards. In the first 10 m the cliff community is characterized by brown algae, especially Dictyopteris membranacea; below this level, the amount of this large alga decreases, and the calcareous biological concretion, built up mainly by encrusting red algae, serpulids and bryozoans, is much thicker. Further environmental details can be found in Sara et al. (1978) and Pisano & Balduzzi (in press).

The bryozoan community on panels at Portofino has been previously studied and described in detail (Boyer 1984, Pisano & Balduzzi in press) to investigate both the structural variations along the cliff and the general colonization trend at the different depths. Here we focused on the developmental patterns at 3 and 15 m, that is in 2 quite differentiated ecological situations (Pisano & Balduzzi in press). We have attempted to describe the subsequent steps of colonization and community evolution, chiefly looking at the variations in quantitative relations between the mean bryozoan zoarial groups.

We studied 2 series of experimental plates (300 × 200 × 3 mm asbestos-cement panels) submerged at each depth for periods of 2, 3, 4, 6, 12 and 25 mo. For immersion periods shorter than 12 mo the panels were submerged in opposite seasons (summer and winter) in order to take into account seasonal effects on species recruitment. The panels were placed on metal frames and set vertically at a distance of about 10 cm from the rocky surface with 1 side directly exposed to light and water movement and the other side shaded and sheltered. The 2 sides were analysed separately. The whole surface of each panel was examined under a dissecting microscope and every species was censused. Quantitative data were expressed in terms of species frequency: each panel side was divided into a grid of 100 unit surfaces, the frequency of each species representing the number of unit surfaces where that species was present.

We considered the following descriptive parameters of the community structure:

\[
S = \text{number of species};
\]
\[
N = \text{abundance};
\]
\[
H = \text{diversity index (Shannon-Weaver 1949)};
\]
\[
E = \text{evenness index (Daget 1976)}.
\]

Cluster analysis (mean single bond method) was made based on the similarity index value of Sorensen (1948) and Kulczynski (1927).

RESULTS

The bryozoan community of Portofino panels was found to be very rich in species, including 74 gymnolaemates, 70 of which were cheilostomates and 4 ctenostomates (Boyer 1984, Pisano & Balduzzi in press).

The cluster analysis (Fig. 1 A, B) shows a generally low affinity between substrate communities as immersion time increases and little compact grouping. A fair affinity is found at 3 m depth between communities of between 4 and 12 mo (with some differentiation between the exposed and the shaded side), leading to the identification of a fairly homogeneous group from which the 25 mo community stands out, especially from the quantitative viewpoint. This does not, however, apply at the greater depth where the analysis brings to light no large structural variation in the 12 to 25 mo period.

The trends of the community structure’s descriptive parameters (Fig. 2A, B) make it possible to identify some main development steps. At 3 m depth, the number of species (S) and abundance (N) tended to stabilize approximately at their maximum values after having varied greatly in the first 4 mo. The number of species reached its saturation level at 12 mo, while abundance continued to increase slightly. Diversity and evenness curves also start to stabilize from the 6th month. At the greater depth all parameters considered varied substantially up to 12 mo; no tendency towards saturation was observed especially in the values of the shaded sides of the substrates. In particular, species
Fig. 1. Similarity dendrograms for panels submerged for periods of 2 to 25 mo at 3 m depth (A) and 15 m depth (B). Affinity between panel communities is based on Sorensen's qualitative index (S) and Kulczynski's quantitative index (K). Numbers indicate submersion times in months. Open circles: exposed side of panels; filled circles: sheltered side.

Fig. 2. Trend through time of species number (S), abundance (N), diversity (H) and evenness (E), at 3 m depth (A) and 15 m depth (B). Open circles: exposed side of panels; filled circles: sheltered side.
number and abundances clearly continued to increase between the 12th and 25th month.

The probability for each bryozoan species – as for other sessile organisms – of survival in a given environment is strongly conditioned by the general organization (shape, size, pattern of growth) of the colony (Stach 1936, Cheetham 1963, 1966, Lagaaij & Gautier 1965, Harmelin 1976, Jackson 1979b). Thus we believe that variations in the relative abundances of zoarial types in a developing community can indicate modifications of the environment corresponding with different developmental stages.

We have taken into consideration the following zoarial types, including most of the species present at Portofino.

**Thin membraniporiform type.** This includes species with membraniporiform zoary (Stach 1936), unilaminate, thin, attached to the substrate, mainly settling on flat and smooth supports such as algal fronds. Species of this group found on our panels are listed in Table 1.

**Thick membraniporiform type.** This includes species with membraniporiform zoary of greater thickness than the foregoing and having a greater morphological plasticity with the possibility of forming several superimposed layers or of lifting the colony edges above the substrate, so as to adapt themselves to irregular surfaces (Table 2).

**Creeping type.** This includes species whose colonies form ramified uniseriate chains with the capacity to settle and grow on many kinds of support (Table 3).

**Tuft-like type.** Includes erect, ramified and flexible zoaries needing no large surfaces on which to settle and grow (Table 4).

At 3 m depth (Fig. 3A) during the initial colonization stage (2 and 3 mo) membraniporiform types are predominant, whereas creeping and tuft-like types are present chiefly from the 4th month. A drastic change in the zoarial spectrum occurs between the 12th and 25th month due to the dramatic decrease of membraniporiform types, especially thin membraniporiform bryozoans, and the considerably increased number of tuft-like type.

At 15 m depth (Fig. 3B) the situation is quite different, especially on long-term immersed substrates; the most striking fact is the small incidence of tuft-like

---

**Table 1. Bryozoan species of thin membraniporiform type found on panels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spiralaria gregaria</td>
<td>(Heller 1867)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callopora dumerili</td>
<td>(Audouin 1826)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crassimarginatella madenensis</td>
<td>(Waters 1898)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mollia roselli</td>
<td>(Audouin 1826)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collarina balzaci</td>
<td>(Audouin 1826)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cribriolina punctata</td>
<td>(Hassall 1941)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cribriolaria innominata</td>
<td>(Couch 1844)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puellina gattyae</td>
<td>(Landsborough 1852)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Figularia figularis</td>
<td>(Johnston 1847)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reptadeonella violacea</td>
<td>(Johnston 1847)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Codonellina monterandi</td>
<td>(Audouin 1903)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porella concina</td>
<td>(Busk 1854)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smittioidea reticulata</td>
<td>(MacGillivray 1842)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prenantia cheilostoma</td>
<td>(Manzoni 1869)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escharella rylandi Geraci</td>
<td>(1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cribellopora trichotoma</td>
<td>(Waters 1918)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippopodinella lata</td>
<td>(Busk 1856)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microporella ciliata</td>
<td>(Pallas 1766)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorizopora brongniartii</td>
<td>(Audouin 1826)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haplopora bimucronatum</td>
<td>(Moll 1803)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenestrulina malusi</td>
<td>(Audouin 1826)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Bryozoan species of thick membraniporiform type found on panels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Umbonula ovicellata</td>
<td>Hastings 1944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escharoides coecina</td>
<td>(Abildgaard 1806)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watersipora subovoides</td>
<td>(D'Obrigay 1852)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watersipora complanata</td>
<td>(Norman 1864)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentapora ottomulleriana</td>
<td>(Moll 1803)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasmittina rouvillei</td>
<td>(Calvet 1902)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizoporella dunkeri</td>
<td>(Reuss 1848)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizoporella longirostris</td>
<td>(Hincks 1886)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizomavella auriculata</td>
<td>(Hassall 1842)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizomavella auriculata cupidata</td>
<td>(Hincks 1880)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizomavella linearis</td>
<td>(Hassall 1841)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizomavella linearis mamillata</td>
<td>(Hincks 1890)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizomavella hastata</td>
<td>(Hincks 1862)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizomavella discoidea</td>
<td>(Busk 1859)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizobrachiella sanguinea</td>
<td>(Norman 1868)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escharina vulgaris</td>
<td>(Moll 1803)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schizotheca fissa</td>
<td>(Busk 1856)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Bryozoan species of creeping type found on panels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aetea anguina</td>
<td>(Linnaeus 1758)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aetea sica</td>
<td>(Couch 1844)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aetea truncata</td>
<td>(Landsborough 1852)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beania mirabilis</td>
<td>(Johnston 1839)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Bryozoan species of tuft-like type found on panels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caberea borrty</td>
<td>(Audouin 1826)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrupocellaria delliului</td>
<td>(Audouin 1826)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrupocellaria reitans</td>
<td>(Linnaeus 1758)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrupocellaria scrupea</td>
<td>(Busk 1851)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrupocellaria scuroposa</td>
<td>(Linnaeus 1758)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicellariella ciliata</td>
<td>(Linnaeus 1798)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bugula aquirostris</td>
<td>(Ryland 1960)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bugula gautieri Ryland</td>
<td>(1961)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bugula calthas calathus</td>
<td>(Ryland 1968)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bugula flabellata</td>
<td>(Thompson in Gray 1847)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bugula cf. simplex Hincks</td>
<td>(1868)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bugula specica</td>
<td>Hincks 1868</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The more advanced stage of the recruitment period is characterized by a more modest variation in the community structure, up to the saturation of the number of species and abundance and to the stabilization of diversity and evenness. Most of the available area will be occupied by thick membraniporiform species now starting to predominate over thin membraniporiform types; creeping species are more abundant.

While at 3 m depth the recruitment period can be deemed completed after 12 mo, at 15 m it was not completed even at the end of our experiment.

With reference to other colonization experiments carried out in the Western Mediterranean Sea for similar periods, our recruitment period may correspond to what Huve (1970) calls the 'étape de colonization' in the re-establishment of an infralittoral algal community in the gulf of Marseilles. A certain likeness is also found with the 'phases de recrutement' and 'd’installation' described by Castric Fey (1974) and Castric (1977) for a community in the English Channel, where in 11 mo about 75% of the species growing on the surrounding rocks settled on the artificial substrates.

During this whole period, community development is mainly controlled by physical factors (Castric 1977) and is not only affected by seasonal phenomena but is also strongly conditioned by the presence of a newly available settling space (Pisano & Balduzzi, in press).

Maturation period. This is only observed at the shallower depth (3 m). It starts after about 12 mo, when substrate cover reaches values of about 100% (Pisano et al. 1980) and is characterized by the increasing predominance of biotic interactions due to the decreasing availability of primary substrate. Replacements of species are seldom observed (Boyer 1984, Pisano & Balduzzi in press) and no pioneer species, as defined by Castric (1977), are known, while the community structure changes considerably with variations in quantitative relations between bryozoans. Substantial quantitative reductions affect the thick and, especially, the thin membraniporiform zoarial types (Fig. 3A), which are more fiercely involved in the competition for space. Furthermore, increase of tuft-like colonies indicates modifications occurring on panel surfaces and within the community which is now in a more advanced developmental stage.

The interactions between the various zoarial types, inferred from related quantitative variations and from observations of the behaviour of the various species, especially regarding overgrowth (Boyer 1984), are schematically outlined in Fig. 4. These are essentially the inhibition of thin membraniporiform zoarial type (slowing down of the settlement rate due to modifications at substrate level and suppression of already settled colonies due to overgrowth, especially by thick

**DISCUSSION**

The bryozoan community development pattern derived from our experiment includes the following 2 main steps.

**Recruitment period.** This is characterized by larval settlement and growth of species able to fix onto the panel surface, up to saturation of species number (S) and abundance (N), coinciding with almost complete occupation of the primary space.

During an initial recruitment stage (first 4 mo) the species settle and grow at a fairly high rate causing strong variations in the community structure, as can be observed from the trend of the curves S, N, H and E (Fig. 2A) as well as from the cluster analysis (Fig. 1A). Membraniporiform zoarial types prevail during this initial stage (Fig. 3A), favoured by the availability of extensive free and smooth surfaces on which they are fast-growing.

Fig. 3. Variation in time in main zoarial types abundance (on sheltered side of panels) at 3 m depth (A) and 15 m depth (B) zoaries during all 25 mo, and the progressively growing numbers of the 2 membraniporiform types.
The absence of a significant replacement of species in the described developmental pattern might be due to the selectivity of the environment, which would allow only well-adapted and characteristic species to settle from the very first colonization; but more likely it results from a general slowness of the developmental processes of this community which would be, after 25 mo, still in a rather early developmental stage.

The latter hypothesis is in agreement with the available literature data regarding community development in non-polluted European sea-water (Simon Papyn 1965, Huvé 1970, Castric Fey 1974, Castric 1977, Harmelin 1983). On the other hand, in environments like harbours, lagoons and estuaries, with simplified resident communities (low species-number, low diversity), developmental processes appear to be faster (for the Mediterranean see literature cited in Relini 1980).

The differences between the 2 depths studied seem moreover to point to a general slow-down in community development at the greater depth rather than to a substantial differentiation in the developmental pattern. In fact the gradient of physical factors and the consequent gradual structural modifications of the community along the rocky wall affect the settlement and growth rate of most species (Boyer 1984), bringing about a more delayed colonization of the primary space at 15 m depth. Here free substrate remains available longer, with a consequent delay in the start of biotic interactions among bryozoans and in community maturation.
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