ABSTRACT: Three methods which have been used to determine nutrient uptake kinetic parameters were compared using steady-state NH₄-limited cultures of the chrysophyte *Pseudopedinella pyriforina*. The first 2 methods involved a multiple flask incubation where different concentrations of substrate were added to each flask. Method 1 used a variable incubation time, while the incubation time of Method 2 was short and constant. The third method, the perturbation method, involved one large addition of the substrate to one culture and hence the nutritional past history varied throughout the experiment. This method was used also with the diatoms *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chaetoceros debilis* in Si-limited chemostats. Results indicate that, for nutrient-limited cultures, kinetic parameters are best estimated using multiple additions of the substrate and a short constant incubation time (Method 2). It appears that this method determines membrane transport, which is still not completely free of feedback inhibition even when the incubation time is very short (e.g. 2 min). The short incubation time is necessary because the maximal uptake velocity (*Vₘ*ₚ) decreases with increasing incubation time especially for phosphate and ammonium. Method 3 provides valuable information on a third parameter, *Vₛ*, the approximate assimilation rate of the limiting nutrient, that is not obtained by the other methods. Multiple sequential additions of the limiting nutrient to N- or Si-limited *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chaetoceros debilis* revealed that if the additions were small (e.g. 2 μM NH₄) there was no change in subsequently determined nutrient uptake kinetic parameters. If the sequential additions were larger (e.g. 6 μM) then the maximal uptake rate slowed with time.

INTRODUCTION

When one nutrient becomes limiting in the aquatic environment, the uptake rate of this limiting nutrient can be described by a rectangular hyperbola, similar to the Michaelis-Menten equation for enzyme kinetics, 

\[ V = \frac{V_m \cdot S}{K_s + S} \]

where \( V \) is the uptake velocity (h⁻¹), \( V_m \) the maximal uptake velocity, \( S \) the concentration of limiting nutrient and \( K_s \) the half-saturation constant representing the value of \( S \) where \( V = V_m/2 \). The species-specific nutrient uptake kinetic parameters, \( V_m \) and \( K_s \), may be used to explain species competition involving a limiting nutrient (Dugdale 1967, Tilman 1977, Button 1985). Since these early studies it has been realized that \( V_m \) is frequently variable over time and that a time course incubation must be run in order to determine the complete pattern of response of nutrient-limited cells to an addition (pulse) of the limiting nutrient. Consequently, the 2 parameters \( V_m \) and \( K_s \) do not fully describe an organism's response to a nutrient pulse and more parameters are needed.

Nutrient uptake is generally determined by measuring the decreasing concentration of the limiting nutrient in the culture medium or by measuring the incorporation of isotopes such as ¹⁵N (Dugdale & Goering 1967), ³²P (Cembella et al. 1984), and ³⁰Si (Nelson & Goering 1977) to determine nutrient incorporation into the cell.

There are 3 general categories of methods for measuring nutrient disappearance from the medium and hence nutrient uptake rates (Fig. 1). There are many variations in the first type of method which was frequently used in the early days to determine nutrient uptake kinetics. Often a series of 5 to 10 flasks were set up and a different concentration of the limiting nutrient was added to each flask (e.g. Eppley & Thomas 1969, Eppley et al. 1969). The experiment was often initiated by adding a subsample (e.g. 100 to 200 ml) from a batch.
culture was grown in a chemostat and upon reaching steady state, the culture was perturbed by adding a relatively large spike addition of the limiting nutrient (e.g. 5 to 10 μM) directly to the chemostat. Continual sampling and analyses with an AutoAnalyzer® provided a time series of disappearance of the limiting nutrient from the culture until steady state was regained or the limiting nutrient was completely taken up. This time series approach was also used by Conway et al. (1976) and others with some variations in the exact detail of the methods and data analysis. In this type of method the time of incubation is also constant and it is often calculated as time interval between successive samples. However, the past history is variable because the cells at the end of the experiment (2 to 12 h) have been exposed to relatively high levels of substrate for a longer period of the time than those at the beginning of the experiment.

Since it is unclear how the choice of methods affects the values of \( V_m \) that are determined, a systematic comparison of these 3 methods was undertaken in this study. The time course of nutrient disappearance for different nutrients was examined, and new parameters are suggested to fully describe the response in uptake rate of a limiting nutrient.

**TERMINOLOGY AND CONCEPTS**

Uptake of a nutrient addition by phytoplankton appears to follow Michaelis-Menten kinetics for certain species and/or under certain growth conditions. In such cases the \( V \) vs \( S \) plot is hyperbolic and the reciprocal plot (e.g. \( S/V \) vs \( S \)) is linear. In this case \( V_m \) is independent of time (i.e. it is constant over time and has only one value, as long as \( S \) is saturating). In other cases, \( V_m \) changes during the course of uptake of the nutrient spike and the \( V \) vs \( S \) plot is not hyperbolic and the reciprocal plot is non-linear (i.e. at the highest \( S \) values the data points fall below the line because of the very high initial \( V \) values). The best documented case of non-linearity in such plots is a decrease in \( V_m \) within a few minutes or even tens of seconds after the nutrient spike. In this case \( V_m \) is time-dependent and these changes in the value of \( V_m \) with time have been described by 2 sets of symbols. The first set denotes a precise time interval (in min) over which an average value of \( V_m \) is measured as a superscript (e.g. \( V_m^{10} \), \( V_m^{15} \), \( V_m^{20} \), etc.) (Goldman & Glibert 1983). The second set divides the maximal uptake period into 2 parts, the initial rapid uptake, \( V \) (surge uptake), and the slow decline or almost constant uptake rate with time. \( V \) (internally controlled uptake) (Conway et al. 1976). The symbol \( V \) represents a maximal uptake rate that declines as an internal pool is filled due to feedback

---

**Fig. 1. Determination of uptake rates by the disappearance of the limiting nutrient from the culture medium using 3 different methods.** Hypothetical curves for the disappearance of a limiting nutrient such as ammonium with time (\( S \) vs \( T \)) and the associated \( V \) vs \( T \) and \( V \) vs \( V \) curves are given for each method. For each method, graphs describing uptake of a nutrient by nutrient-saturated cells which exhibit linear disappearance (LINEAR) of the nutrient from the medium and uptake of a nutrient by nutrient-limited cells which exhibit non-linear (NON-LINEAR) disappearance of the limiting nutrient from the culture medium are given. Symbols are defined in the text.
inhibition. The period during which $V_i$ is maintained is variable and depends on how long it takes to fill the internal pool. Therefore $V_i$ is really $V_{im}$ without any superscript time interval designation. $V_i$ represents a maximal uptake rate when the internal pool is full and the cell quota is increasing slowly towards a maximal value of the amount of the limiting nutrient per cell. Therefore, $V_i$ is just a value $V_{im}^{T_1}$, where $T_1$ and $T_2$ are large (i.e. minutes to hours); for example, $V_i = V_{im}^{T_1}$ representing an average uptake rate over a time interval of 5 min to 5 h. It may not have a constant value because it may continue to slowly decline (if excess nutrients are present) over hours to days until a maximal cell quota is obtained (i.e. one generation time or more).

Of course, over much longer periods of time than discussed above, a nutrient-saturated culture will not exhibit linear nutrient disappearance because, due to exponential cell growth and biomass increase, the rate of nutrient uptake ($\mu$mol l$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) will increase with time but the specific uptake rate ($V_i$ h$^{-1}$) will remain constant until the culture begins to run out of nutrients.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemostat system and analyses.** The chemostat system and source of inoculum of *Skeletonema costatum* (Grev.) Cleve and *Chaetoceros debilis* Cleve have been described previously (Davis et al. 1973, Harrison et al. 1977). *Pseudopedinella pyriformis* N. Carter (NEPCC No. 49) was obtained from the Northeast Pacific Culture Collection, Department of Oceanography, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada. *P. pyriformis* was used in the experiments to compare the 3 methods of measuring uptake rates, while *S. costatum* and *C. debilis* were used in multiple nutrient addition experiments. The cultures were grown in 6 l boro-silicate flat-bottomed boiling flasks under continuous light with an irradiance of 125 $\mu$mol quanta m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ as previously described (Harrison et al. 1977). The dilution rate and temperature were 0.5 d$^{-1}$ and 15 °C respectively for *S. costatum* and *C. debilis*; for *P. pyriformis* they were 0.8 d$^{-1}$ and 18 °C.

The methods for nutrient analyses, cell counts and fluorescence were described previously (Davis et al. 1973). Nutrients, trace metals and vitamins were added to artificial seawater to give a final concentration of $/50$ except for the limiting nutrient, ammonium or silicate (Guillard & Ryther 1962). The concentration in the $NH_4^+$-limited inflow medium was 0.5, 7.8, 45 and 5.5 $\mu$M of nitrate, ammonium, silicate and phosphate, respectively. The concentrations of nutrients in the Si-limited inflow medium were 10.5, 55.0, and 5.5 $\mu$M silicate, nitrate and phosphate, respectively. When no trend was observed in the effluent nutrient concentrations, cell numbers or fluorescence for several days, the culture was assumed to be at steady state and the following experiments were initiated.

Since a large 6 l chemostat was used, 200 ml could be removed from the chemostat without appreciably changing the dilution rate (3.3% change) and the 200 ml subsample that was removed was replaced by the time the next experiment was performed 1 to 2 h later (for Methods 1 and 2; see below). A small amount of the limiting nutrient was added to the subsample and the culture was immediately incubated under previous growth conditions. Nutrient samples were taken every 2 or 6 min either manually or with an automatic timing device for at least 30 min. Nutrient analyses were made on an AutoAnalyzer® and cells were removed before they entered the AutoAnalyzer® with an in-line filter. Cells which accumulated on the filter were prevented from taking up nutrients by inactivating them with a 5 ml distilled water wash every 6 min. Near the end of a perturbation experiment (Method 3) the cells frequently released the contents of their internal pools. If nutrient concentrations were determined over a 3 min sample time, this initial elevated spike in the nutrient concentration could be eliminated as the remainder of the AutoAnalyzer® peak plateaued, representing the true sample concentration. After each subsample was run the flask was rinsed and filled with another 200 ml subsample from the chemostat to which a higher concentration of the limiting nutrient was added and the same continuous sampling was repeated. These series of uptake experiments were performed over a limiting substrate concentration range from 0.5 to 18 $\mu$M for Methods 1 and 2. The same substrate additions were made to filtered effluent and then measurements of the concentration of the limiting nutrient were made and taken to represent true initial concentrations at $T = 0$. Uptake rates were also calculated by taking the initial substrate concentration 2 min after the actual substrate addition in order to demonstrate the importance of the first 2 min period.

**Method 1 – Variable incubation time, constant past history, and variable substrate addition.** Uptake rates were determined as described above. A series of triplicate flasks were set up, filled with 60 ml of culture and spiked to different nutrient concentrations at 2 min time intervals to accommodate nutrient analysis. Incubation time was variable because it was the time taken for half of the added substrate to disappear from the medium. Uptake rates were calculated and related to the limiting substrate concentration at the middle of the time interval.

**Method 2 – Constant incubation time, constant past history and variable substrate addition.** A series of triplicate flasks were set up, filled with 60 ml of culture
and spiked to different concentrations of the limiting nutrient. Constant incubation times (e.g. 2, 6, 18 or 30 min) were used.

**Method**

3. **Constant incubation time and variable past history (perturbation).** After the series of experiments described above, the chemostat was still at the original volume of 6 l. At this time the culture was quickly divided into two 3 l flasks. One culture was reconnected to the pump, but at half the original flow rate since the chemostat volume had been reduced by half. The other 3 l culture was used for the perturbation experiment (Conway et al. 1976). Approximately 5 µM of the limiting nutrient was added to the chemostat culture and the disappearance of the nutrient from the medium was followed for the next 4 to 8 h by taking point samples every 5 min until the nutrient was exhausted. If at this time enough of the culture remained a second or third addition of the limiting nutrient was made in order to determine the effect of a recent change in past history on the rate of nutrient uptake.

On some occasions the 3 l culture, which had been kept at steady state while the experiment described above was performed (>8 h), was used to determine what effect a smaller, but repeated substrate addition would have on the values of \( V_m \). The pump was stopped and a small amount (~2 µM) was added to the culture and the sampling procedure repeated. From 4 to 7 additions were made in the various experiments with the different species and type of nutrient limitation. In another experiment, 2 or 3 larger additions (~6 µM) were added sequentially after the nutrient from each addition was depleted. As soon as nutrient depletion was diagnosed by the AutoAnalyzer® (e.g. after 20 min for ammonium analyses) another addition was immediately made to the culture.

**Uptake rate calculations.** Uptake rates were calculated as described by Conway et al. (1976). The particulate values of nitrogen and silicon used in the calculations were determined at steady state from a mass balance where the disappearance of the limiting nutrient was assumed to be equal to the increase in particulate nitrogen or silicon in the culture. The nutrient uptake kinetic parameters were determined by a direct hyperbolic fit and statistical analysis was made using the program of Cleland (1967).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Non-linear disappearance of limiting nutrient**

The disappearance of ammonium from the medium was not linear with time and, consequently, the maximal uptake rate of ammonium decreased with increasing incubation time (Fig. 2). For this reason previous workers have put the time interval over which the measurement of \( V_m \) was made as a superscript on the \( V_m \) term (Goldman & Gilbert 1983, Parslow et al. 1984a). There were early reports of increased nutrient uptake rate as a result of nitrogen deficiency (Syrett 1956). Eppley et al. (1969) reported non-linear nutrient disappearance and to eliminate this effect on their uptake rate measurements, they preincubated the cells with the limiting nutrient for 1 h before beginning their uptake rate measurements. Non-linear disappearance of the limiting nutrient from the medium can be seen in Caperon & Meyer's data (1972; their Fig. 3) but their fit of a line to the data ignored the rapid uptake of ammonium that occurred in the first 30 min. The importance of rapid uptake of the limiting nutrient was first recognized by Conway et al. (1976) and extended later by McCarthy & Goldman (1979), Goldman et al. (1981), Goldman & Gilbert (1982), and Parslow et al. (1984b, c). Rapid or enhanced nutrient uptake has now been observed for phosphate, ammonium, silicate and nitrate (in order of decreasing enhancement). Phosphate and ammonium uptake may be enhanced 5 to 50 times over the maximum growth rate (Parslow et al. 1984c). On the other hand, nitrate and silicate uptake is enhanced only if the cells are Si- or N-limited and even then by less than a factor of 2 (Conway et al. 1976, Collos 1983). If the cells are Si- or N-starved for >24 h

![Fig. 2. Change in ammonium concentration (c) over time when an NH₄-limited culture of Pseudopedinella pyriformis was perturbed with 6 µM ammonium at \( T = 0 \). Error bars represent ± 1 SD, \( n = 3 \)](image-url)
then maximal silicate or nitrate uptake is frequently shut down and there is a lag in uptake for several hours (Dortch et al. 1982, Parslow et al. 1984c, Collos 1986).

The effect of other environmental factors (in addition to substrate concentration and time discussed here) on rapid uptake has not been well studied. Rapid uptake of an ammonium analogue, methylamine, is not affected by light (Balch 1985). A decrease in temperature of the culture extended the duration of the rapid uptake ($V_m$) for nitrate considerably (Raimbault 1984).

While a great deal of attention has recently been focussed on rapid nutrient uptake, mostly for a few fast-growing diatom species, it is important to realize that not all species possess this ability. Species such as *Pavlova lutheri* (Burmaster & Chisholm 1979), *Scenedesmus* sp. (Rhee 1978), and *Chaetoceros debilis* under NH$_4^+$ limitation (Conway et al. 1976), to name but a few, do not exhibit rapid nutrient uptake.

Comparison of 3 methods of measuring nutrient uptake rates

Method 1 (Fig. 1) was used to measure the uptake rate and the true $T = 0$ concentration (the concentration determined by adding a known amount of nutrient to filtered culture effluent) was used, the uptake rates were highest at lower substrate concentrations (Fig. 3A). The reason for this observation can be seen from Fig. 2 where $V_m$ decreases with time. In this method, the lower substrate concentrations were incubated for a shorter time than the higher substrate concentrations, because the criterion for determining incubation time was the length of time it took for approximately half the initial substrate concentration to be taken up. If the initial substrate sample is taken 2 min after the addition, then the $V$ vs $S$ curve is more hyperbolic because the rapid uptake which occurs in the first 2 min is omitted (Fig. 3A). But the consequence of this is shown in Fig. 3A (open circles) where it is seen that the maximal uptake rate is underestimated. Variations of Method 1 were used in earlier studies (Eppley & Coatsworth 1968, Eppley & Thomas 1969, Eppley et al. 1969, Carpenter & Guillard 1971, Eppley & Renger 1974, Underhill 1977, Serra et al. 1978, Halterman & Toetz 1984), but the method has been used less frequently in recent years. If the time course of disappearance of the limiting nutrient from the medium is non-linear, then this method should not be used to determine $V_m$.

The results from Method 2 are shown in Fig. 3B. If one were using Method 2 to determine $V_m$, 2 min (or even shorter) incubations should be conducted. We have included longer incubations in the same figure to show clearly the decrease in $V_m$ as incubation time increases (i.e. $V_m^{0.5}$, $V_m^{0.17}$, $V_m^{0.26}$ < $V_m^{0.2}$). $V_m$ decreases rapidly as the incubation time is increased from 2 min to 30 min (Table 1). The rate of this decline is species-specific and may be due to feedback inhibition from the filling of an internal pool (Conway et al. 1976, Rhee

![Ammonium uptake rates for NH$_4^+$-limited *Pseudopedinella pyriformis* using: (A) Method 1 - variable incubation times, with true initial substrate concentration (•) and the substrate concentration after mixing for 2 min (○); (B) Method 2 - short, constant incubation times of 2, 5, 17, and 30 min; and (C) Method 3 - different sized perturbations (5.8 (●), 2.8 (○), 2 (●), 1.3 ( ● ) and 0.75 ( ● ) μM NH$_4^+$ resulting in variable past history and constant uptake intervals.](image)
drops quickly after the first few minutes of incubation (by the cells in less than 30 min), assuming these appears to be relatively constant. The uptake rate silicate were added (i.e. the pulses would be taken up meters change with the size of the nutrient addition. V,, C. debilis may outcompete costatum that is completely free of feedback inhibition for these perturbations in Fig. 3C to show how the uptake para-
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method was first used by Caperon & Meyer (1972) and it is still frequently used with some variations in the exact procedure (Conway et al. 1976, Conway 1977, Conway & Harrison 1977, Harrison & Davis 1977, Burmaster & Chisholm 1979, Turpin & Harrison 1979, Collos 1980, 1983, 1984, Dortch et al. 1982, Lehman & Sandgren 1982, Quarmby et al. 1982, Parslow et al. 1984a, b, c, Rainbault 1984, Rainbault & Mingazzini 1987). This method has the advantage of estimating V,. If a series of perturbations are conducted at different substrate concentrations and the sampling interval is short, then accurate measurements of V_m can also be obtained.

The importance of considering V_t along with V_m as a useful kinetic parameter in explaining species competition for the limiting nutrient is shown in Fig. 4. Skeletonema costatum and Chaetoceros debilis were grown in Si-limited chemostats and perturbed with 6 μM Si. Si uptake rates were determined according to Method 3. During the first 30 min, S. costatum had a higher uptake rate than C. debilis: this pattern was repeated in a second experiment (Fig. 6A, B). It is apparent that S. costatum may outcompete C. debilis under conditions of silicate limitation if small pulses of silicate were added (i.e. the pulses would be taken up by the cells in less than 30 min), assuming these

### Table 1. V_m values for ammonium for Pseudopedinella pyrifor- 

mis as determined for different incubation times using Method 2, in which incubation time is constant at all ammonium concentrations (n = 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incubation time (min)</th>
<th>V_m ± 1 SD (h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-2</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-11</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-17</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-30</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1978). Parslow et al. (1985a) have shown that feedback may occur on a scale of seconds (not minutes) but it is difficult to resolve uptake on this time scale with the AutoAnalyzer®. Probably the best way to estimate feedback inhibition is to determine an inhibition constant (Rhee 1974). The K_s values have not been reported due to the lack of data in the region of K_s and the insensitivity of the AutoAnalyzer® at these low ammonium concentrations. If the slope, α, of the linear portion of the rectangular hyperbola (i.e. α = V_m/K_s) were not as steep as it is in this data set, then it is easy to see that by decreasing V_m, K_s would automatically decrease, even though the slope was the same. The problem of relying only on K_s values to explain species competition at low substrate concentrations has been pointed out by Healey (1980) (i.e. a decrease in V_m causes K_s to decrease also, even though α is constant).

Therefore, α is a much more useful and reliable parameter than K_s to apply to nutrient competition between species because α is really an estimate of uptake rate at low substrate concentrations. Method 2 has been frequently used to determine V_m and K_s (Paasche 1973, Tilman & Kilham 1976, Rhee 1978, Burmaster & Chisholm 1979, Goldman & Gilbert 1982, Terry 1982). If the incubation times are short (e.g. 2 min or even shorter), then this method is the best one to measure K_s and V_m. However, for fast-growing species, feedback begins to occur on a time scale of seconds and therefore it is difficult to measure membrane transport that is completely free of feedback inhibition for these species.

For Method 3, the results of 5 different sized perturbations are given in Fig. 3C. If one were using the perturbation technique to estimate V_t, then only one large nutrient addition would normally be made. This would give a series of uptake rates, V⁰⁻T₃, V⁰⁻T₂, V²⁻T₃, etc. at different values of S. We have included 5 perturbations in Fig. 3C to show how the uptake parameters change with the size of the nutrient addition. V_m appears to be relatively constant. The uptake rate drops quickly after the first few minutes of incubation to a specific uptake rate of about 0.2 h⁻¹. This rate has been termed V_n, the internally controlled uptake rate, and it may approximate the rate of incorporation of the substrate into amino acids and other compounds of low molecular weight. If one joins the uptake rates that were measured during the first few minutes, a rectangular hyperbola is formed (Fig. 3C) that is very similar to the one obtained for the 2 min incubations using Method 2 (Fig. 3B). On the other hand, if one omits the first 2 min of uptake of each of the 5 perturbations in Fig. 3C, a rectangular hyperbola may be fit to the data to obtain estimates of V_t (Table 2). Parslow et al.

### Table 2. V_t values for ammonium for Pseudopedinella pyrifor- 

mis determined by the perturbation technique (Method 3) at different perturbation concentrations (n = 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perturbation (µM NH₄⁺)</th>
<th>V_t ± 1 SD (h⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1985b) also found that the slope of the V vs S curve (α) was constant and independent of the exposure time to a pulse of the limiting nutrient. The perturbation technique was first used by Caperon & Meyer (1972) and it is still frequently used with some variations in the exact procedure (Conway et al. 1976, Conway 1977, Conway & Harrison 1977, Harrison & Davis 1977, Burmaster & Chisholm 1979, Turpin & Harrison 1979, Collos 1980, 1983, 1984, Dortch et al. 1982, Lehman & Sandgren 1982, Quarmby et al. 1982, Parslow et al. 1984a, b, c, Rainbault 1984, Rainbault & Mingazzini 1987). This method has the advantage of estimating V_t. If a series of perturbations are conducted at different substrate concentrations and the sampling interval is short, then accurate measurements of V_m can also be obtained.
From the results shown in Fig. 2, one might expect the uptake rate to slow with time (depending on the cell density in the culture). It is interesting to note that for *S. costatum* the slope, $\alpha$, of the linear portion of the rectangular hyperbola was not affected by the repeated additions; this is less clear for *C. debilis* (Fig. 5 inset). This has also been clearly shown for *Thalassiosira pseudonana* that repeated small nutrient additions did not affect $\alpha$ (Parl et al. 1985b).

Larger multiple additions of silicate to Si-limited *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chaetoceros debilis* did cause the uptake rate to slow down over time (Fig. 6). The main difference for *S. costatum* was that the rapid or enhanced silicate uptake rate that occurred over the first 30 min during the first Si addition was absent during the second and third additions (Fig. 6A). The $V_i$ portion was, on average, lower during the second and third additions. There was no change in $\alpha$ during the 3 additions (data not shown). *C. debilis* showed similar results to *S. costatum* but with a more pronounced effect on $V_i$. For the second and third additions, the $V_i$ values continued to decrease rapidly even when silicate concentrations in the medium were not limiting or mildly limiting (e.g. 1 to 2 $\mu$M Si). Therefore, *C. debilis* may outcompete *S. costatum* for one large Si pulse (because of its higher $V_i$ value), but exposure to repeated Si pulses decreases the competitive ability of *C. debilis* ($V_i$ decreases markedly). Therefore, it is important to know $V_i$ values for a species in addition to $V_m$ in order to explain how species compete for nutrient pulses.

The initial rapid uptake of silicate by Si-starved cells has been ascribed to the Si-starvation cell synchrony effect (Sullivan 1977, Paasche 1980). A Si spike to the culture may induce rapid Si uptake and burst of valve formation. After valve formation, Si uptake slows until another set of valves are formed when the cells go through the whole cell cycle again. This Si-starvation synchrony effect may be an alternate explanation for the successively smaller $V$ values during the multiple Si additions, shown in Fig. 6A, B.

There are few examples in the literature of multiple sequential nutrient additions to phytoplankton. Lehman & Sandgren (1982) found that 3 additions of 0.5 or 1.0 $\mu$M PO$_4$ to lake phytoplankton did not affect uptake rates. However, if 3 sequential additions of 2 $\mu$M PO$_4$ were added, phosphate uptake rates for the second and third additions slowed significantly (see their Fig. 7). Their results agree with our observations that several repeated small additions had no effect on the uptake kinetics, but when fewer, and larger silicate additions were made to Si-limited cultures, the silicate uptake rates of the second and third Si additions slowed down. Unfortunately we did not do large and small nutrient spikes for both silicate and ammonium.

Multiple sequential additions

These experiments are really just an extension of Method 3 to determine how cells respond to several small nutrient additions compared to one large nutrient addition in a perturbation experiment. Small (~2 $\mu$M) multiple sequential additions of ammonium to NH$_4^+$-limited *Skeletonema costatum* and *Chaetoceros debilis* did not affect the rate of decrease of ammonium from the medium (Fig. 5) and the uptake rate (Fig. 5 inset).
and therefore interpretation of our data is not as clear as Lehman & Sandgren's data. However, one must remember that the culture density and temperature as well as the size of the nutrient addition are important because all these factors determine how long cells are exposed to elevated nutrient concentrations.

Differences among nutrients in the extent of a variable $V_m$

Phosphate and ammonium starved or limited cells show uptake rates that are several orders of magnitude higher than their maximal growth rates ($\mu_m$). For example, for phosphate $V_m/\mu_m = 5$ to 50 (Cembella et al. 1984) and for ammonium $V_m/\mu_m = 2$ to 20 (Parslow et al. 1984c). This means that for the high uptake rates of phosphate, the cells can take up enough of the nutrient in a few hours to double their cell quota. The disappearance of these 2 nutrients is generally non-linear with time and Methods 2 and 3 are recommended for determining uptake kinetic parameters. A superscript, which includes the time interval over which the maximal uptake rate was determined, should be placed on the $V_m$ term.

In order to accurately measure $V_m$ for nutrients such as ammonium and phosphate which often show pronounced enhancement of uptake rates, very short incubation times are required. A new technique of loading cells on a filter has allowed Parslow et al. (1985a) to determine $V_m$ over a 15 s interval before it begins to slow down. $V_m$ may exhibit mild enhancement when the algae are grown in chemostats under moderate nitrate or silicate limitation (e.g. $V_m/\mu_m = <$). However 24 h starved nitrate or silicate cells often exhibit a reduction or lag in nutrient uptake for several hours after the limiting nutrient has been added. This type of slow response is more amenable to using point sampling where the frequency of sampling can be regulated by the response of the organism. This initial lag in uptake rate is also an example of non-linear disappearance; only in this example, uptake rate speeds up with increasing incubation time, rather than slowing down as in the case of enhanced uptake rates.

A hypothetical plot of nitrate or silicate uptake rate over time is shown in Fig. 7A for NO$_3$ or Si-starved
Multiple sequential additions of about 6 to 10 μM silicate were made to Si-limited (A) Skeletonema costatum and (B) Chaetoceros debilis, and the decrease of silicate from the medium (●) and silicate uptake rates (○) over time are shown.

The sequence usually is: no uptake, followed by an inducement period, and then maximal uptake rate several hours after the nitrate or silicate addition. The results of V vs S plots for the 3 methods are shown in Fig. 7B to D and raise the obvious question, when do you measure nitrate and silicate uptake rates in order to measure maximal rates?

Ecological implications

Enhanced uptake of the limiting nutrient has also been observed in the field. Natural phytoplankton assemblages off the east coast of the USA showed a 5 min rate that was 4 times higher than the 2 h uptake rate (Glibert & Goldman 1981). For Chesapeake Bay phytoplankton, where relatively severe nitrogen stress was demonstrated, Glibert & McCarthy (1984) found that the uptake rate of nitrate was only mildly enhanced, whereas ammonium uptake rates were enhanced 5- to 10-fold relative to longer incubations. Other examples of significant enhancement in ammonium uptake rates were in the surf zone along the northwest coast of USA (Collos & Lewin 1974), an estuary in North Carolina (Fisher et al. 1981), Chesapeake Bay (Wheeler et al. 1982), in the Canadian Arctic (Harrison 1983) and in a freshwater lake (Priscu 1987). Rapid ammonium uptake has also been observed in intertidal seaweeds grown under field conditions (Thomas & Harrison 1987). Results from laboratory experiments indicate that it may not be possible to do short enough incubations with 15N to obtain V_m in the field. There are only a few examples of enhanced phosphate uptake in marine waters (Lemasson et al. 1980, Berman 1983), but there are numerous examples for lakes (Lean & White 1983) and cultures of freshwater phytoplankton (Suttle & Harrison 1988) where short-term uptake of phosphate may be 10 to 100 times higher than maximum growth rates.
A lag in nitrate uptake rate has been observed in the field (MacIsaac & Dugdale 1969). If time courses are not run for $^{15}$N field experiments, then it is difficult to interpret nitrate uptake rates because rates may increase with increasing incubation time (Fig. 7A).

It is clear from our results and discussions that all limiting nutrients do not show a similar pattern of response to the addition of the limiting nutrient. Dorch et al. (1982) have made a similar observation and they have divided the nutrients into 2 groups, the recycled nutrients, ammonium and phosphate, and the advected/upwelled nutrients, nitrate and silicate. The recycled nutrients show an immediate uptake response to nutrient additions while the advected nutrients may exhibit a lag in uptake rate especially if the cells have previously been nutrient-starved. It is important to realize that the maximal uptake of nutrients is frequently not constant under nutrient-limited conditions, and that a time course incubation must be run to determine the complete pattern of response of the limiting nutrient to a nutrient addition.

**Recommendations**

(1) A time course of the disappearance of the limiting nutrient must be run to determine if it is linear or non-linear, particularly if nutrient limitation is suspected. In the field it may not be possible to do short enough incubations (e.g. 2 min or less) with $^{15}$N to obtain $V_{m}$ for ammonium, under N-limited conditions.

(2) It is important to realize that non-linear disappearance of the limiting nutrient can occur in 2 patterns, as an enhancement of uptake or as a lag in uptake. If the disappearance is non-linear then: (a) $V_{m}$ must be determined using Method 2 (very short incubation times at a range of substrate concentrations). The incubation time interval should be denoted as a superscript on the $V_{m}$ term; (b) the initial substrate concentration at $T = 0$ should be determined by adding a known volume of substrate to culture filtrate or to a dilute culture; (c) Method 3 (a perturbation technique) should be used to determine $V_{i}$. Consequently for non-linear disappearance, 3 kinetic parameters should be reported. $V_{m}^{0-2}$, $V_{i}$ and $a$; (d) when nitrate- or silicate-starved cells exhibit a lag in nitrate or silicate uptake rate, a series of $V_{m}$ values should be reported (e.g. $V_{m}^{0-0.5}$, $V_{m}^{0-15}$, $V_{m}^{0-60}$) in order to document the time series increase in $V_{m}$.
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