Influence of zeaxanthin on quantum yield of photosynthesis of *Synechococcus* clone WH7803 (DC2)*
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ABSTRACT: *Synechococcus* clone WH7803 (DC2) was grown on a 12:12 h light-dark cycle of either blue-green fluorescent, white fluorescent or daylight-filtered tungsten light. Integrated irradiance for each culture was set at 15 µEin m⁻² s⁻¹. Subsequent measurements of absorption, pigmentation and carbon action spectra were used to examine wavelength-dependence of photosynthetic quantum yield. Comparison of directly-measured and reconstructed absorption spectra suggests that pigment packaging effects are minimal in *Synechococcus*. Spectral quality had a marked effect on pigmentation and quantum yield. Cellular concentrations of chlorophyll a, β-carotene and phycoerythrin were all ~2-fold lower in daylight-grown *Synechococcus* relative to blue-green and white light-grown cells; ratios of β-carotene- and phycoerythrin-to-chlorophyll a were markedly constant for all 3 illuminations. Blue-green light grown *Synechococcus* cells had a ~2-fold higher zeaxanthin content than those grown under white light or daylight illuminations. These results indicate that cellular zeaxanthin content is not an implicit constant and its concentration is dependent on irradiance levels of blue-green light. Zeaxanthin probably serves an important function as a photoprotectant pigment in *Synechococcus*, and as such, can also produce significant decreases (20 to 40 %) in the apparent quantum yield for photosynthesis in the blue-green region of the visible spectrum. In contrast, highest quantum yields were routinely measured between 525 and 650 nm suggesting that light absorbed by phycobilins (phycoerythrin and phycocyanin) drive the majority of carbon fixation in DC2-like coccoid cyanobacteria. In calculating the spectral quantum yield for natural phytoplankton populations, it is suggested that (1) carbon action spectra be determined under 'enhanced' conditions and (2) photosynthetically absorbed radiation for phytoplankton be estimated using spectral reconstruction techniques where absorption contributions by non-photosynthetic chromophores are removed from whole cell absorption signatures.

INTRODUCTION

Coccoid marine cyanobacteria (*Synechococcus* spp.) comprise an abundant and ecologically important group of phototrophs found in coastal and open-oceanic waters (for review see Glover 1985). Maximum abundances of *Synechococcus* spp. range from ~10⁷ to 10⁸ cells ml⁻¹ and are typically found at depths corresponding to or shallower than the 1 % light level (Murphy & Haugen 1985, Glover et al. 1986 a, Iturriaga & Mitchell 1986, Glover et al. 1988a, Iturriaga & Marra 1988, Prézelin et al. 1989). In general, *Synechococcus* spp. are more susceptible to photoinhibition than eukaryotic ultraplanktonic algae and display I₈ (intensity of light at which photosynthesis is light-saturated) ranging from 50 to 150 µEin m⁻² s⁻¹ (Barlow & Alberte 1985, Glover et al. 1987, Kana & Gilbert 1987, Prézelin et al. 1989, Boucher, Schofield & Prézelin unpubl.). Thus, it is not surprising that the highest primary production contributions by *Synechococcus* spp. occur (1) early in the morning/late in the day; (2) on cloudy days; or (3) at intermediate depths in the water column (Glover et al. 1985, 1986a, Prézelin et al. 1986, 1989). Numerous field studies have documented that *Synechococcus* spp. account for ~50–95 % of the total daily primary production in open-oceanic waters (Iturriaga & Mitchell 1986, Iturriaga & Marra 1988, Prézelin et al. 1989). *Synechococcus* spp. production has been shown...
to be closely coupled to microzooplankton grazing and therefore is thought to be a significant source of carbon and new nitrogen for higher trophic levels (Glover et al. 1986a, Iturriaga & Mitchell 1986, Glover et al. 1988b).

*Synechococcus* spp. are chromatically suited for efficient photosynthesis and growth at the blue-green to green wavelengths of light which predominate in the upper water column (Wood 1985, Glover et al. 1986b, Glover et al. 1987, Prezelin et al. 1989, Boucher et al. unpubl.). The major pigments identified in *Synechococcus* spp. include chlorophyll *a*, zeaxanthin, phycocyanin (PC), phycocerythrin (PE) and β-carotene (Alberte et al. 1984, Guillard et al. 1985, Wood et al. 1985). Three subgroups of *Synechococcus* spp. have been designated based on phycobilin pigmentation: (1) PE-rich containing phycoerythrobilin, phycourobilin and phycocyanobilin chromophores (Type I); (2) PE-rich containing phycocerythrobilin and phycocyanobilin chromophores (Type II); and (3) PE-lacking containing phycocyanobilin chromophores. The latter 2 subgroups are inefficient in absorbing blue-green light for photosynthesis and are primarily restricted to neritic waters (Glover 1985, Wood 1985, Olson et al. 1988). The first subgroup, Type I *Synechococcus* spp., can be further subdivided depending on the relative contents phycocerythrobilin (PEB, $\lambda_{\text{max}} \approx 550$ nm) and phycourobilin (PUB, $\lambda_{\text{max}} \approx 495$ nm). PEB-rich (e.g. WH7803, Kilpatrick 1985) and PUB-rich (e.g. WH2033, Ong et al. 1984) *Synechococcus* spp. are typically found in green coastal and blue open-oceanic waters, respectively (Vernet et al. 1986, Campbell & Iturriaga 1988, Glover et al. 1988a, Olson et al. 1988, Bidigare et al. 1989).

The ecological importance of *Synechococcus* spp. in the marine environment has prompted investigations into the factors which control the carbon fixation rates of these ultraplanktonic algae. Recent studies utilizing carbon-based action spectra have shown that marine cyanobacteria display conspicuously low α (mgC mgChl⁻¹ h⁻¹ (μEin m⁻² s⁻¹)⁻¹) and quantum yield (molC Ein⁻¹) values within the blue waveband where chlorophyll *a* absorbs (Lewis et al. 1986, Lewis et al. 1986, Boucher et al. unpubl.). Lewis et al. (1988) invoked 2 possible mechanisms to explain these observations: (1) an energy imbalance in light distribution between PSI and PSII (i.e. a restricted Emerson enhancement effect) and (2) the absorption of light by pigments which are inefficient in photosynthetic energy transfer. The first mechanism was recently investigated by Boucher et al. (unpubl.) using PEB-rich *Synechococcus* sp. clone WH7803 (DC2). Most interestingly, blue-green light enhanced carbon action spectra gave 3-fold higher quantum yield values for the blue-absorption peak of chlorophyll *a* than those determined from unenhanced spectra. But even with enhanced carbon spectra, the photosynthetic efficiency of blue light absorption was lower than that measured for phycoerythrin and phycocyanin light absorption at longer wavebands (Boucher et al. unpubl.). It is here postulated that zeaxanthin ($\lambda_{\text{max}} \approx 460$ nm), being inefficient in photosynthetic energy transfer in *Synechococcus* (Kana et al. 1988, Boucher et al. unpubl.), is a potential agent for lowering quantum yield in the blue region of the visible spectrum (where it can dominate cell absorption). The primary goal of the present study is to assess quantitatively the influence of zeaxanthin on estimates of the apparent photosynthetic quantum yield of marine *Synechococcus* clone WH7803 (DC2). Toward this objective, measurements of wavelength-dependent α (α(λ)), absorption (A(λ)) and cellular pigmentation were performed on batch cultures grown under 3 different light qualities of equal integrated growth irradiance. The major light absorbing components of *Synechococcus* were identified using spectral reconstruction techniques. These results were used to examine the wavelength-dependence of photosynthetic quantum yield (φ(λ)) in relation to cellular zeaxanthin concentrations and the spectral quality of growth irradiance.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Culture.** Unialgal batch cultures of *Synechococcus* Clone WH 7803 (DC2) were grown on a 12:12 h light-dark cycle of either (1) blue-green fluorescent light (GE F20T12-CW, 20 Watt; Lee 118 transparent blue photographic filter) or (2) white fluorescent light (GE F20T12-CW, 20 Watt) or (3) daylight provided by a tungsten lamp (filtered with a Cool Lux [LC-7000] coated pyrex photographic filter). Procedures for measuring the spectral output of growth irradiances have been previously described (Prezelin et al. 1989). The integrated irradiance for each culture was set at 15 μEin m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 1). Growth was sustained in a modified f/2 medium (Guillard & Ryther 1962), where inorganic nitrate was replaced by a mixture of 0.3 ml⁻¹ each of 15 % NaNO₃ and 15 % NH₄Cl, the metal mixture lacked CuSO₄, and the EDTA was increased to 0.005 % in the 1.26 % ferric sequestrene (Guillard & Keller pers. comm.).

**Cell enumeration.** Cell densities of the *Synechococcus* cultures were determined by epifluorescence microscopy (Glover et al. 1986a).

**Pigment analyses.** Ten ml aliquots of culture were filtered onto 0.4 μm Nuclepore filters and extracted in 5 ml 90 % acetone for 48 h (−20°C) to ensure good extraction efficiencies. Following extraction, pigment samples were centrifuged for 5 min to remove cellular debris. All filters yielded pink cell residues after extraction.
Acetone-extractable pigments were analyzed in triplicate by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (Bidigare 1989). Pigments were separated using a Spectra-Physics Model SP8700 liquid chromatograph equipped with a Radial-PAK C18 column (0.8 x 10 cm, 5 μm particle size; Waters Chrom. Div.) at a flow rate of 6 ml min⁻¹. Prior to injection, 1 ml aliquots of the standards and Synechococcus extracts were mixed separately with 300 μl of ion-pairing solution (15 g of tetrabutylammonium acetate and 77 g ammonium acetate diluted to 1 l with distilled water; 0.91 White Light 0.92 Daylight) was used to separate the various pigments extracted from Synechococcus. After injection (500 μl sample), mobile phase A (80 : 15 : 5; methanol · water · ion-pairing solution) was ramped to mobile phase B (methanol) over a 12 min period. Mobile phase B was then pumped for 18 min for a total analysis time of 30 min. Individual peaks were detected and quantified (by area) with a Waters Model 440 Fixed Wavelength Detector (436 nm) and a Hewlett-Packard Model 3392A integrator, respectively. The identities of the peaks obtained from the Synechococcus extracts were determined by comparing their retention times with those of pure standards and extracts prepared from 'standard' plant materials of known pigment composition (Zea mays and Phaeodactylum tricornutum). On-line diode array spectroscopy (HPLC/DAS; 350 to 550 nm for carotenoids and 400 to 700 nm for chlorophyllα) was performed using a Hewlett Packard Model HP8451 Diode Array Spectrophotometer to confirm the identities of the carotenoids and chlorophyllα.

Pigment standards were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (chlorophyllα and β-carotene) or purified from Zea mays (zeaxanthin) by thin-layer chromatography (Jeffrey 1981). Concentrations of the pigment standards were determined spectrophotometrically in 1 cm cuvettes using published extinction coefficients in the appropriate solvent systems (Table 1). Known pigment quantities were injected and resultant peak areas were used to calculate individual standard response factors (ng pigment area⁻¹). Pigment concentrations (ng pigment ml⁻¹) of the Synechococcus extracts were calculated with these response factors and knowledge of the injection volume. Accessory pigment-to-chlorophyllα ratios (weight: weight) were calculated from the pigment concentrations determined for each clone.

Phycocerythrin concentrations were calculated from the difference spectra obtained between measured and reconstructed (chlorophyllα + zeaxanthin + β-carotene, see below) and an extinction coefficient of 25.51 g⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (Kilpatrick 1985). Phycocyanin is present in Synechococcus clone WH7803 at relatively low con-

Table 1. Extinction coefficients (l g⁻¹ cm⁻¹) and wavelength shifts used for producing the in vivo specific absorption coefficients for the major pigments of clone DC2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pigment</th>
<th>λmax (solvent)</th>
<th>E/l g⁻¹ cm⁻¹</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>λ shift</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyllα</td>
<td>664 nm (90 % acetone)</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>&gt; 550 nm: +16 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>432 nm (90 % acetone)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 550 nm: +8 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeaxanthin</td>
<td>450 nm (EtOH)</td>
<td>254.0</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>&gt; 375 nm: +12 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-carotene</td>
<td>449 nm (EtOH)</td>
<td>262.0</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>&gt; 375 nm: +13 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phycocerythrin</td>
<td>547 nm (Pi buffer)</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>no shift</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References: (1) Jeffrey & Humphrey (1975); (2) Davies (1976); (3) Kilpatrick (1985)
centrations (Alberte et al. 1984, Wyman et al. 1985) and cellular levels could not be estimated by the methods used in this study.

**Carbon action spectra.** Carbon action spectra \( \alpha, \text{mgC mgChl}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1} [\mu \text{Ein m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]^{-1} \) were measured on a spectral photosynthetron (Lewis et al. 1985a) as modified by Boucher et al. (unpubl.). Two identical photosynthetrons were equipped individually with nine 75 Watt Cool Lux FOS8 tungsten halogen lamps, each centered in a light-tight fitting beneath a 2” square glass bandpass interference filter (PTR Optics, Waltham, MA and Corning Optics, Hollington, MA). Thirteen of these experimental slots were fitted with different colored spectral filters, with wavebands centered at 400 to 700 nm in 25 nm increments (half-band width = 12.5 nm). Above each interference filter, a water-cooled plexiglass chamber was aligned with a light-tight reflecting cone. Within each chamber was a sample holder comprised of 5 copper tubes with inner diameters equivalent to the outer diameter of 20 ml glass vials, the latter being used as incubation containers for carbon uptake measurements. The spectral integrity of the light reaching each sample location was verified with a Li-Cor LI-185 B spectroradiometer. Irradiance levels within each sample location were modified by using various combinations of neutral density plexiglass disks between the glass vials and the base of the incubation chambers. Irradiance was measured with a Li-Cor LI-185 A light meter and an attached underwater quantum sensor which fitted into each sample chamber so that light measurements could be made at the chamber base without light leaks from above. Drift in mean irradiance measurements during incubation was < 5%.

In experimental runs, 140 ml of each culture was inoculated with NaH\(_4\)CO\(_3\) (final activity of \( \sim 0.38 \mu \text{Ci ml}^{-1} \)) and 1 ml aliquots were dispensed into 20 ml acid-washed glass scintillation vials. One hundred vials were used for the determination of carbon action spectra. Glacial acetic acid:methanol mix \(1:30\) (GAM) was immediately added to 4 “time-zero” samples. Remaining vials were placed in the spectral photosynthetrons for 45 min, after which the incubations were terminated with GAM. Samples were heat-dried and 1 ml of double deionized water was added to resolubilize the salts. Nine ml of Liquiscint scintillation solution was added and the samples were counted on a LKB 1217 Scintillation Counter. Quench was corrected using an internal standard and all samples were corrected for uptake in the “time-zero” samples. Photosynthetic rates were calculated as described in Strickland & Parsons (1972). For each waveband, the photosynthetic rate was determined as a linear function of irradiance (Lewis et al. 1985a). Respiration was assumed to be light-dependent and constant. The wavelength-dependent slope, \( \alpha (\lambda) \), was estimated by linear regression and plotted to show ± one standard error of the estimate (Lewis et al. 1985a).

**Absorption measurements.** Absorption spectra of unfiltered cell suspensions were measured in 1 cm quartz cuvettes with a DW-2a spectrophotometer. Opal glass was inserted between the sample/reference cuvettes and the scattering attachment directed > 90% of the transmitted light to the photomultiplier tube. A 0.4 \( \mu \text{m} \) Nuclepore filter prepared from the cell suspensions was used as the reference. The spectra presented here represent cell suspensions where self-shading was minimized, evidenced by the linearity of the dilution series and the added criterion that all cell suspensions have an optical thickness of < 0.05 cm\(^{-1}\) (Morel et al. 1987). For the purpose of calculating spectral quantum yield, the directly-measured spectra were transformed into chlorophyll-specific absorption coefficients \( \alpha, (\lambda), \text{m}^2 \text{mg}^{-1} \text{Chl, base e} \) by dividing the absorption spectra by the volume-based chlorophyll \( \text{a} \) concentrations.

**Spectral reconstruction.** Absorption spectra \( A(\lambda) \) of *Synechococcus* cultures were reconstructed from knowledge of the in vivo spectral absorption coefficients of the individual pigments \( \alpha, (\lambda), \text{m}^2 \text{mg}^{-1} \text{pigment, base e} \) and their volume-based concentrations \( C, \text{mg m}^{-3} \) (cf. Mann & Myers 1968, Bidigare et al. 1987):\[ A(\lambda) = \Sigma a, (\lambda) \cdot C \]

Absorption spectra were obtained from pure standards, wavelength-shifted to match their in vivo maxima, and normalized to the \( E_{\text{max}} \) values given in Table 1. The individual pigment-specific absorption coefficients \( \alpha, (\lambda), \text{m}^2 \text{mg}^{-1} \text{pigment, base e} \) used for spectral reconstruction are shown in Fig. 2.

**Photosynthetic quantum yield.** Spectral quantum yields \( \phi(\lambda), \text{molC Ein}^{-1} \) values were calculated by

![Fig. 2. In vivo pigment-specific absorption coefficients (m² mg⁻¹ pigment, base e) used for the reconstruction of *Synechococcus* absorption spectra.](image-url)
dividing the carbon action spectra by the chlorophyll-specific absorption coefficients as follows (Lewis et al. 1985b, Boucher et al. unpubl.).

\[
\phi(\lambda) = \frac{\alpha(\lambda)}{\alpha_c(\lambda)}
\]

### RESULTS

#### Pigmentation

Volume-based pigment concentrations and cell count data (Table 2) were used to calculate cell-based pigment concentrations for *Synechococcus* clone WH7803. Cellular concentrations of chlorophyll *a*, \(\beta\)-carotene and phycocerythrin were all \(~ 2\)-fold lower in daylight grown *Synechococcus* relative to blue-green and white light grown cells. For chlorophyll *a* and phycocerythrin, values ranged from 6.6 to 14.8 and 51.3 to 109.4 fg pigment cell\(^{-1}\), respectively (Table 3). While these values are generally higher than those reported for *Synechococcus* clone WH7803 grown under continuous illumination provided by cool-white fluorescent lamps (Cuhel & Waterbury 1984, Barlow & Alberte 1985, Kilpatrick 1985, Kana & Gilbert 1987), they are in good agreement with cellular concentrations measured for natural populations of *Synechococcus* spp. sampled near the base of the euphotic zone. Glover et al. (1988a) found that the chlorophyll *a* and phycocerythrin concentrations of *Synechococcus* spp. sampled in the Sargasso Sea increased with optical depth, and values within in the euphotic zone ranged from \(~ 4\) to \(~ 24\) and \(~ 20\) to 100 fg pigment cell\(^{-1}\), respectively.

Ratios of \(\beta\)-carotene- and phycocerythrin-to-chlorophyll *a* (\(w:w\)) were markedly constant for all 3 growth illuminations, and averaged 0.16 \(\pm\) 0.02 (\(n = 3\)) and 7.68 \(\pm\) 0.72 (\(n = 3\)), respectively (Table 3). Blue-green light grown *Synechococcus* cells had \(~ 2\)-fold higher zeaxanthin content than those grown under white light or daylight growth illuminations. The corresponding zeaxanthin-to-chlorophyll *a* ratios calculated for blue-green and daylight grown *Synechococcus* averaged 0.52, and were \(\sim 2\)-fold higher than those calculated for white light grown *Synechococcus*. These values are in general agreement with the results of Kana et al. (1988) who measured \(\beta\)-carotene-to-chlorophyll *a* and zeaxanthin-to-chlorophyll *a* ratios (\(w:w\)) of 0.14 and 0.40, respectively, for *Synechococcus* clone WH7803 grown under low growth irradiances of white fluorescent light.

#### Spectral absorption measurements

Directly-measured absorption values were appreciably higher than those estimated from reconstruction techniques, especially in the blue region of the visible spectrum (Fig. 3). To investigate this discrepancy, as well as estimating phycocerythrin concentrations for each culture condition (see 'Materials and methods'), difference spectra were calculated between directly-measured absorption values and those estimated from reconstruction techniques, especially in the blue region of the visible spectrum.

### Table 2. Volume-based pigment concentrations and cell count data for *Synechococcus* clone WH7803 (DC2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Light source(a)</th>
<th>Cell counts (cells ml(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Zeaxanthin (ng pigment ml(^{-1}) culture)</th>
<th>Chlorophyll *a(b) (ng pigment ml(^{-1}) culture)</th>
<th>(\beta)-Carotene (ng pigment ml(^{-1}) culture)</th>
<th>PE</th>
<th>Car. Chl: Chl (w:w)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue-green</td>
<td>(9.390 \times 10^6)</td>
<td>64.1 (\pm) 5.8</td>
<td>122.9 (\pm) 8.8</td>
<td>21.5 (\pm) 1.1</td>
<td>1024.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>(2.064 \times 10^7)</td>
<td>59.8 (\pm) 0.9</td>
<td>306.4 (\pm) 2.0</td>
<td>42.6 (\pm) 2.1</td>
<td>2112.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daylight</td>
<td>(9.930 \times 10^6)</td>
<td>33.7 (\pm) 2.8</td>
<td>65.6 (\pm) 3.1</td>
<td>9.5 (\pm) 1.4</td>
<td>509.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) 15 \(\mu\)Ein m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\);

\(b\) Includes minor contributions by allomerized chlorophyll *a* + chlorophyll *a’*

### Table 3. Cell-based pigment concentrations and pigment ratios for *Synechococcus* clone WH7803 (DC2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Light source(a)</th>
<th>Zea. (fg pigment cell(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Chl *a(b) (fg pigment cell(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\beta)-Car. (fg pigment cell(^{-1}))</th>
<th>PE</th>
<th>Zea.: Chl</th>
<th>Car. Chl (w:w)</th>
<th>PE: Chl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue-green</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>109.4</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>102.3</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>6.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daylight</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>7.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) 15 \(\mu\)Ein m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\);

\(b\) Includes minor contributions by allomerized chlorophyll *a* + chlorophyll *a’*
measured and reconstructed absorption spectra (Fig. 4). Each of the resulting curves revealed a PEB-rich phycoerythrin signature superimposed upon an exponentially decaying 'background' absorption spectrum which resembled that of particulate detritus (Iturriaga & Siegel 1988, Bidigare et al. 1989). These background spectra (minus the phycoerythrin contributions) lacked any well defined peaks which might be attributable to photosynthetic pigments, including phycocyanin, with the possible exception of the small peaks near 440 and 675 nm (chlorophyll a). The chromophores responsible for these absorption spectra are not known at this time, but we speculate that they may be related to the UV-absorbing compounds characteristic of certain blue-green algae (cf. Shibata 1969). After subtraction of the background absorption spectra, both the magnitude and shape of the directly-measured and reconstructed spectra agreed reasonably well. These results suggest that 'pigment packaging' effects are minimal in *Synechococcus* grown under these conditions (cf. Morel & Bricaud 1986).

The chlorophyll a-specific absorption spectra calcu-
lated for each growth condition are shown in Fig. 5 (upper curve in each panel), and possess several prominent absorption maxima corresponding to chlorophyll a (440 and 680 nm) and phycoerythrin (547 nm). To assess the contribution of zeaxanthin to cellular absorption, volume-based zeaxanthin concentrations (Table 2) were multiplied by the specific absorption coefficients (375 to 725 nm) estimated for zeaxanthin (Fig. 2) to yield a wavelength-dependent zeaxanthin absorption contribution. These values were then divided by volume-based chlorophyll a concentration and subtracted from the chlorophyll a-specific absorption to yield specific absorption coefficients minus the zeaxanthin contribution (Fig. 5, lower curve in each panel).

Carbon action spectra and quantum yield

Carbon action spectra (mgC mgChl\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) [\(\mu\text{Ein m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\)]\(^{-1}\)) measured for *Synechococcus* grown under blue-green light, white light and daylight are shown in Fig. 6. The shape and magnitude of these spectra are similar to those reported by Lewis et al. (1986) and Boucher et al. (unpubl.) for the same strain of *Synechococcus*. Highest alpha values were measured between 525 and 550 nm; they coincide with the spectral region where phycoerythrin absorption dominates. This is especially true for the white-light and daylight grown cells which displayed high alpha values in both the 525 and 550 wavebands, the latter of which corresponds to the relatively high outputs of the white fluorescent and daylight-filtered tungsten lamps in this spectral region (Fig. 1).

Highest quantum yields were measured between 525 and 650 nm, indicating that phycobilins (phycoerythrin

\[\text{Carbon action spectra (mgC mgChl}^{-1}\text{ h}^{-1}\text{ [}\mu\text{Ein m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\]}^{-1}\text{) measured for *Synechococcus* grown under blue-green light, white light and daylight are shown in Fig. 6. The shape and magnitude of these spectra are similar to those reported by Lewis et al. (1986) and Boucher et al. (unpubl.) for the same strain of *Synechococcus*.

\[\text{Highest alpha values were measured between 525 and 550 nm; they coincide with the spectral region where phycoerythrin absorption dominates. This is especially true for the white-light and daylight grown cells which displayed high alpha values in both the 525 and 550 wavebands, the latter of which corresponds to the relatively high outputs of the white fluorescent and daylight-filtered tungsten lamps in this spectral region (Fig. 1).}

\[\text{Highest quantum yields were measured between 525 and 650 nm, indicating that phycobilins (phycoerythrin}

\[\text{**Fig. 5.** Chlorophyll-specific absorption spectra (m}^2\text{ mg}^{-1}\text{ Chl, base e) for *Synechococcus* grown under (A) blue-green light, (B) white light and (C) daylight. The upper curves (+zeaxanthin) were calculated by dividing the measured absorption spectra by the volume-based chlorophyll a concentrations determined for each culture. The lower curves (-zeaxanthin) represent the chlorophyll-specific absorption spectra minus the absorption contributions produced by zeaxanthin.}

\[\text{**Fig. 6.** Carbon action spectra (mgC mgChl}^{-1}\text{ h}^{-1}\text{ [}\mu\text{Ein m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\]}^{-1}\text{) for *Synechococcus* grown under (A) blue-green light, (B) white light and (C) daylight. The error bars indicate ± one standard error of the wavelength-dependent alpha estimate.}
and phycocyanin are important light harvesting pigments for photosynthetic carbon fixation in *Synechococcus* (Fig. 7). Similar results were reported by Lewis et al. (1988) for a natural population of *Trichodesmium* sp. which displayed an average quantum yield value of 0.086 molC Ein⁻¹ between 475 and 650 nm. The importance of phycoerythrin in driving photosynthesis in *Synechococcus* was further investigated by computing rates of wavelength-dependent production, weighted by the photosynthetically available radiation for each culture condition (Fig. 8). Photosynthesis in the 525 and 550 nm wavebands accounted for 40, 50, and 60% of the total carbon fixed (400 to 700 nm wavebands) by *Synechococcus* grown under blue-green, white, and daylight-filtered light.

Quantum yield values were also calculated without absorption contributions by zeaxanthin to assess the influence of this photosynthetically 'incompetent' carotenoid on the quantum yield of *Synechococcus*. A comparison of values measured between 425 and 500 nm (+/- zeaxanthin) indicates that zeaxanthin produces 20 to 40% reductions in photosynthetic quantum yield (Table 4). Furthermore, a direct comparison between white light grown cells and zeaxanthin-rich blue-green light grown cells reveals that the latter has 30 to 50% lower quantum yield values in the wavebands where zeaxanthin absorbs (425 to 500 nm).

**DISCUSSION**

The use of conventional methods for investigating the physiological ecology of marine ultraphytoplankton has been limited by their small cellular dimensions, fragility and poor preservation properties. In the past decade, the routine use of sophisticated analytical techniques such as flow cytometry, spectral P vs I incubations, high-performance liquid chromatography, single cell microphotometry and immunofluorescence has provided new insights into the classification, distributions and photoadaptive strategies of marine ultraphytoplankton. The application of these methods to laboratory cultures and natural populations has une-
Table 4. Spectral quantum yield values (mol C·Ein⁻¹, 425–500 nm) for Synechococcus grown under blue-green light, white light and daylight-filtered tungsten light. Quantum yield values were calculated by dividing the αₚ values by the corresponding measured chlorophyll-specific absorption (+zeaxanthin) and the measured chlorophyll-specific absorption minus zeaxanthin absorption contributions (−zeaxanthin).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>Quantum yield of photosynthesis (mol C·Ein⁻¹)</th>
<th>Φ₊zeax/Φ₋zeax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue-green light</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>475</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White light</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>475</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daylight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>475</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The emerging picture resulting from such studies is that phytoplankton are heterogeneously distributed in the upper ocean and chromatically adapted for rapid growth in an environment whose light field is highly variable in both time and space, as well as spectral composition. It is now generally accepted that it is necessary to take into account (1) the intensity, spectral composition and angular distribution of light and (2) the wavelength-dependent absorption and physiologival properties of phytoplankton to accurately model primary production rates in oceanic waters (Lewis et al. 1985b, Collins et al. 1986, Bidigare et al. 1987, Morel et al. 1987, Collins et al. 1988, Platt & Sathyendranath 1988, Sathyendranath & Platt 1988, 1989, Smith et al. 1989). Thus, such models require knowledge of the functional linkages between the availability of spectral irradiance, absorption properties of the ‘photosynthetically active’ pigments and the efficiency at which absorbed light energy is used for carbon fixation (cf. Bidigare et al. 1987). Toward the objective of developing accurate production models, our laboratory studies have focused on these relationships in the major spectral types of marine phytoplankton, most notably the golden-brown, green and blue-green algae. The results obtained with a representative of the latter group, Synechococcus clone WH7803, are presented here and elsewhere (Boucher et al. unpubl.).

Variations in growth illumination quality produced significant differences in the chlorophyll a-specific absorption, photosynthetic efficiency and quantum yield of Synechococcus (Figs. 5 to 7). Light quality not only affected the magnitudes of these parameters, but their spectral dependencies as well. Chlorophyll a-specific absorption coefficients at 680 nm, however, were similar and averaged 0.028 ± 0.008 m²·mg⁻¹ (n = 3). Absorption contributions by other cellular components were probably responsible for increasing the magnitude of this coefficient above the maximum ‘unpackaged’ value documented for chlorophyll a (0.0202 m²·mg⁻¹, cf. Haardt & Maske 1987). In contrast, chlorophyll a-specific absorption coefficients at 440 nm varied by a factor of 2 (0.06 to 0.11 m²·mg⁻¹, Fig. 5) and were dependent on variable absorption contributions by accessory pigments (zeaxanthin, β-carotene and phycoerythrin) and other cellular components.

Highest photosynthetic efficiencies [G+] were measured at the blue-green to green wavelengths of light which dominate in the coastal waters where DC2-like Synechococcus are most common (Vernet et al. 1986, Bidigare unpubl., Boucher et al. unpubl.). Photosynthetic efficiencies were found to be highly wavelength dependent and up to 2-fold variations were observed between cultures at wavelengths corresponding to maximum phycoerythrin absorption (500 to 550 nm).

Spectral quantum yield also displayed significant wavelength-dependent differences between the three experimental growth conditions (Fig. 7). Quantum
yield values between 525 and 650 nm averaged 0.05, 0.09 and 0.03 mol C m⁻² s⁻¹ for Synechococcus grown under blue-green light, white light and daylight-filtered tungsten light, respectively (Fig. 7). These between-culture differences are probably growth rate-dependent (i.e. nutrient-dependent uncoupling of photosynthetic efficiency; Boucher et al. unpubl.) and not attributable to enhancement effects since only minor variations in the phycoerythrin-to-chlorophyll a ratio were observed (Table 3). For Synechococcus cells grown under white light, quantum yield values in this spectral region were close to the maximum value of 0.10 mol C m⁻² s⁻¹. Quantum yield estimates for natural populations of Synechococcus spp. samples in the oligotrophic North Pacific Ocean, in comparison, averaged 0.08 ± 0.03 (Iturriaga & Mitchell 1986), and fall within the range of values determined for the blue-green and white light grown cells.

The low quantum yield values measured between 400 and 500 nm are in part attributable to the absorption of light energy by photosynthetically incompetent pigments. Boucher et al. (unpubl.) found that enhancement effects were greatest at 425 nm and that a quantum yield minimum was still evident at the wavelengths where zeaxanthin absorption contributions are greatest (425 to 500 nm, Fig. 2). In addition, chlorophyll a excitation spectra performed with high-light grown Synechococcus clone WH7803 also revealed no significant activity in the spectral region where this carotenoid absorbs (Kana et al. 1988). Results from this study indicate that zeaxanthin alone can produce a 20 to 40 % reduction in quantum yield in this spectral region, and 30 to 60 % reductions if absorption contributions by β-carotene are included.

There is increasing evidence which suggests that zeaxanthin functions as a photoprotective pigment in cyanobacteria (Pärl et al. 1983, Gieskes & Kraay 1986, Kana et al. 1988) and higher plants (Demmig et al. 1987). Carotenoids function as photoprotectants by interacting in photochemically induced oxidation reactions which occur in the presence of high light (Type I reactions) or high light and oxygen (Type II reactions). Specifically, carotenoids are capable of quenching (1) the triplet state of photosensitizing molecules; (2) singlet oxygen; and (3) free radical intermediates, all of which are potentially destructive (Rau 1988).

For Synechococcus clone WH7803, the ratio of zeaxanthin-to-chlorophyll a has been shown to increase with increasing growth irradiance (Kana et al. 1988). Kana et al. (1988), however, found that cellular zeaxanthin concentration remained constant over a wide range of white fluorescent growth irradiances and that decreases in cellular chlorophyll a at high growth irradiances were responsible for elevated zeaxanthin-to-chlorophyll a ratios. In this study, blue-green light grown Synechococcus cells had a ~2-fold higher zeaxanthin content than those grown under white light or daylight growth illuminations. These results indicate that cellular zeaxanthin content is not an implicit constant and its concentration is dependent on light quality alone and/or the irradiance level of specific blue-green wavebands.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that light quality has a marked effect on the spectral absorption properties and quantum yield of Synechococcus clone WH7803. For this reason, physiological experiments should be conducted with cultures pre-adapted to the light conditions characteristic of the environment in which they were isolated. Wavelength-dependent variations in quantum yield can, in part, be explained by (1) differences in pigmentation resulting from photo-adaptive responses to spectral irradiances and (2) an energy imbalance in photosynthetically absorbed light distribution between PSI and PSII (cf. Boucher et al. unpubl.). To minimize this variability for use in bio-optical models, we recommend that spectral quantum yield values for cultures and natural phytoplankton populations be calculated from (1) carbon action spectra determined under ‘enhanced’ conditions when possible and (2) phytoplankton absorption spectra estimated using spectral reconstruction techniques without absorption contributions by chromophores (e.g. photosynthetically incompetent carotenoids, particulate detrital matter) which are inefficient in photosynthetic energy transfer.
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