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ABSTRACT: Fate and effects of cadmium on the development of North Sea coastal plankton communities, enclosed in plastic bags containing 1.5 m³ natural water, were studied in 2 experiments. Both experiments were carried out in summer-autumn and lasted 11 and 7 weeks respectively. Cadmium chloride was added to different bags in single doses of 1, 5 and 50 µg Cd l⁻¹; in the first experiment additional doses of 100 and 250 µg Cd l⁻¹ were added in the middle of the experiment to 2 of 6 bags. At the end of the experiments 4–9 % of the added cadmium was recovered in the sediment, the remainder was still in the water phase. Addition of 5 or 50 µg Cd l⁻¹ resulted in slightly higher phytoplankton concentrations in one experiment. The mortality of copepods was increased by addition of 250 or 100 µg Cd l⁻¹; addition of 50 µg Cd l⁻¹ resulted in a much lower zooplankton biomass. Due to differences in the sensitivity of different species, addition of 50 µg Cd l⁻¹ caused a change in species composition of the zooplankton community compared to controls. Growth of Plesiobrachia pileus was inhibited at 5 and 1 µg Cd l⁻¹, leading to differences in the numbers of copepods between controls and treated bags. At concentrations of 50 µg Cd l⁻¹ or higher no P. pileus were found. Concentrations of 1–5 µg Cd l⁻¹ occur locally in polluted waters. The first experiment lasted more than 3 months and showed that nutrient regeneration rates in the bags are large enough to enable the system to persist without artificial nutrient additions.

INTRODUCTION

In nature large and little-understood variations frequently occur in ecologically important parameters such as population density and species composition. It is therefore difficult to detect long-term effects of environmental stress in the field. Much of our knowledge of the influence of individual pollutants on the marine ecosystem comes from actual dumping practice and from tanker and other disasters.

Although laboratory experiments are indispensable and yield useful information, extrapolation of their results to field conditions is at present difficult, if not impossible. In order to assess the value of experiments in the laboratory, there is a need for experiments with more complex systems that can be regarded as approximating field conditions more closely (Ringelberg, 1973; Menzel and Case, 1977).

To bridge the gap between the laboratory and the aquatic environment, several investigators use large plastic bags suspended in natural water (e.g. Strickland and Teshune, 1961; Menzel and Case, 1977; Davies and Gamble, 1979). This type of research, using Dutch coastal water plankton communities, was started in 1974. When it had been shown that the method of enclosing a plankton community in a plastic bag could be used for toxicological research (Kuiper, 1977, cf. Takahashi et al. 1975), further investigation was aimed at developing the method and at determining the impact of pollutants in low concentrations on the development of the enclosed system (Kuiper, 1977b, 1981). This paper describes 2 experiments in which cadmium chloride was used as model pollutant. Cadmium was chosen because of its increasing importance as a pollutant in the field (Abdullah et al., 1972; Preston, 1973; CEC, 1974; Ketchum et al., 1975).

Apart from studying fate and effects of cadmium on the development of plankton communities in bags, the first experiment was also used to test the hypothesis that nutrient regeneration rates in the bags are large enough to enable the ecosystem to persist over considerable time without artificial nutrient additions, which are necessary in much larger, stratified enclosed water
plankton sampler was also used to collect the samples in bags. After 6 hours of incubation (9 a.m. to 3 p.m.), the contents of the sampler were filtered through a 55-Ltm net, and the retained material was at once fixed and preserved in a 4% solution of formaldehyde in filtered seawater.

During the first experiment the (non-metallic) zooplankton samples were taken at depths of 0.5 and 2.0 m in the water column (0-2.5 m). Each sample (15.7 l) consisted of 5 lowerings of the pipe into each bag. The content of the addition of cadmium were expected, an additional dose was given on Day 10 to give initial concentrations of 1, 5 (2 bags) and 50 µg Cd l⁻¹. As in the first experiment 2 bags served as controls. Because on Day 22 no clear effects of the addition of cadmium were expected, an additional dose was given on Day 23 to 2 bags to give concentrations of 100 and 250 µg Cd l⁻¹ respectively. In the second experiment cadmium chloride was added on Day 3 (the start of an experiment) to 4 bags to give initial concentrations of 1.5 (2 bags) and 50 µg Cd l⁻¹. Two bags were treated identically without addition of cadmium and served as controls. Because on Day 22 no clear effects of the addition of cadmium were expected, an additional dose was given on Day 23 to 2 bags to give concentrations of 100 and 250 µg Cd l⁻¹ respectively. In the second experiment cadmium chloride was added on Day 10 to give initial concentrations of 1.5 (2 bags) and 50 µg Cd l⁻¹. As in the first experiment 2 bags served as controls.

Samples, except those of zooplankton, were taken daily, as a rule at 9 a.m. Zooplankton samples were collected by means of a pipe (length 3 m, diameter 4 cm) with a ball valve at the end, sampling nearly the whole water column (0-2.5 m). Each sample (15.7 l) consisted of 5 lowerings of the pipe into each bag. The contents of the sampler were filtered through a 55 µm net, and the retained material was at once fixed and preserved in a 4% solution of formaldehyde in filtered seawater.

During the first experiment the (non-metallic) zooplankton sampler was also used to collect the samples for the other analyses (integrated sample 0-2.5 m depth). During the second experiment samples for phytoplankton, nutrients, etc. were collected with a non-metallic sampler consisting of 2 chambers that could be opened at any desired depth. To investigate the variation of selected parameters with depth, samples were always taken at depths of 0.5 and 2.0 m.

During the first experiment the ctenophore Pleurobrachia pileus developed in considerable numbers. These numbers were estimated as follows: a Secchi disc (diameter 15 cm) was lowered to a depth of 1.5 m in the bags. During a period of 30 min the number of P. pileus in the water column above the Secchi disc was recorded every minute. The average number recorded, multiplied by 47 (= total depth of bag/1.5 times area bag/area disc) gives an estimate to the total number of P. pileus in the bag. The reproducibility of the measurement itself is good (s.d. of a single measurement was estimated to be 10%), but no information about the error of the estimate was obtained. The advantage of this method is that it gives an estimate of the number of P. pileus without killing them. The bags are too small to allow an estimate of their number by sampling.

At the end of the experiment the sedimented material was collected from the bottom of the bag by SCUBA divers using a large, non-metallic injection syringe.

Analytical Methods

The chlorophyll concentration was measured according to Strickland and Parsons (1968). The samples of phytoplankton were preserved with Lugol’s iodine (Vollenweider, 1969) and examined with a Zeiss inverted microscope (Utermöhl, 1958). The main species were identified, where possible using nomenclature given by Ingram Hendey (1964) and Drebes (1974).

Concentration and size distribution of suspended particulate matter were measured in unpreserved samples with a Coulter Counter, Model TA II fitted with a population accessory, using a 100 µm or a 280 µm tube or both (Sheldon and Parsons, 1967, Gamble et al., 1977).

Primary production was measured during the second experiment employing Steemann Nielsen’s (1952) ¹⁴C method. Samples of 100 ml were added to 1 ml of NaH[¹⁴ClO₄] solution (ampoules with an activity of 3.6 µCi ml⁻¹ were supplied by the International Agency for ¹⁴C determination, Horsholm, Denmark) in 125 ml light and dark bottles. One light and one dark bottle were suspended at depths of 0.5 and 2.0 m in the bags. After 6 hours of incubation (9 a.m. – 3 p.m.) the
bottles were taken to the laboratory in a dark box, and their contents filtered.

Each filter was put in a counting vial containing 10 ml of a scintillation solution (Anderson and Zeutschel, 1970; Pugh, 1973). The vials were counted with a Packard Tricarb liquid scintillation counter. The inorganic carbon content of the water was determined by titration according to Strickland and Parsons (1968).

The concentrations of orthophosphate, ammonia, nitrate, nitrite and reactive silicate were measured with a Technicon autoanalyzer according to Strickland and Parsons (1968) and Technicon procedures.

The zooplankton was counted, identified and measured by the procedures described by Fransz (1976); nauplii and copepodites of each species were divided into at least 6 size classes and the adults separated by sex. Subsamples of the 15.7 l sample were examined with a microscope until at least 150 organisms had been counted. Changes in population densities at the various stages of copepod development - copepods always form the major part of zooplankton biomass in the bags - were used to estimate development and mortality rates of selected species, using multiple regression analysis of abundance of size classes (stages) at the various sampling dates (Fransz, 1976). Production of organic matter by copepods was estimated by multiplying the means between zero and the upper limit of the 95% confidence interval of development rates by the mean density and the weight increment for each time interval (Fransz, 1976). Dry weights of the copepods were derived from regression of dry weight on cephalothorax length given by Robertson (1968) and Nassogne (1972).

Other parameters measured include water temperature, salinity, Secchi-disc visibility, oxygen concentration, pH and global radiation (Molígorczyński radiation meter). Unfiltered water samples for cadmium analysis were preserved with nitric acid (supra pur, samples pH = 2). Cadmium was extracted from the samples and analyzed by atomic absorption spectrometry following procedures described by Fonds and Estrof (1973). Cadmium concentrations in the sediment were measured by neutron activation analysis according to Tjoe et al. (1973).

**Statistics**

Most statistical analyses (Analysis of variance, Student's-t, sign-test, Wilcoxon test) were performed on the CDC 6400 computer of IWIS-TNO, The Hague. Computations for the zooplankton analyses were conducted with the CDC 6600 of the Nuclear Centre at Petten (with the help of Dr. H. G. Fransz of the Netherlands Institute of Sea Research, Texel). In all cases where no confidence level is given P < 0.05 was tested.

**RESULTS**

**Phytoplankton During the First Experiment**

The water that was used to fill the bags was relatively clear (Secchi disc visibility 2.6 m) and had a salinity of 31 %. S. The temperature during the first 60 days was around 14 °C, thereafter temperatures increased to 20 °C. Fig. 1 shows the cadmium concentrations in the different bags during the experiment.
variation coefficient of the chlorophyll measurement from Day 4–22 (5%). Using this variation coefficient it could be shown (Student's t test) that chlorophyll concentrations were higher after addition of 5 μg Cd l⁻¹ (P ≤ 0.02) and 50 μg Cd l⁻¹ (P ≤ 0.05). In a short additional experiment, addition of 5 or 50 μg Cd l⁻¹ again led to higher chlorophyll concentrations in the bags (Kuiper, 1980). On Day 23 additional doses of 100 and 250 μg Cd l⁻¹ were added to one 5 μg Cd l⁻¹ bag and one control bag respectively. A clear influence of these additions could not be detected.

Mineralization in the bags was apparently large enough to supply the phytoplankton with nutrients necessary for growth. It is also clear that later in the experiment (after Day 25) only a few species of small μ-flagellates were able to survive in the bags. The comparability with the natural system was by this time low. After nearly 3 months the material of which the bags were made began to distegrate, especially at the water surface. The first and second phytoplankton bloom (1st: flagellates, 2nd: diatoms) depleted the available nutrients until some nutrient reached growth rate limiting values. The nutrient which limited growth of the flagellates is not clear, it was probably not one of those measured. The growth of Lauderia borealis was probably limited by lack of silicate (Van Bennekom et al. 1975; Kuiper, 1977a).

Phytoplankton During the Second Experiment

The bags were filled on Day 0. The salinity of the water was 31°/o S. Secchi disc visibility 1.5 m. Temperatures were around 15°C throughout the experiment. As in the first experiment, the added cadmium remained in the water phase throughout the experiment.

Fig. 3 shows the chlorophyll concentrations in the bags as a function of time. At the start of the experiment the community consisted of diatoms (Chaetoceros spp., Leptocylindrus danicus, Coscinodiscus sp., Asterionella japonica) and flagellates (Prorocentrum spp. and μ-flagellates). Different diatoms started growing after the filling of the bags, Chaetoceros sp. being the dominant species. These produced a chlorophyll maximum on Day 4; a minimum was reached on Day 10 (the day of addition of cadmium). After Day 10, chlorophyll concentrations stayed relatively low in all bags. A second maximum, caused by growth of dinoflagellates and μ-flagellates (Prorocentrum redfieldi being the dominant species), was reached on different days in the different bags. An influence of the addition of cadmium on the development of chlorophyll concentrations could not be shown. A detailed microscopical analysis of the phytoplankton could not show any significant differences in the species composition between the different bags (test of Wilcoxon).

The carbon assimilation was measured from Day 1-16. It appeared that most of the differences between the measurements on a single depth could be attributed to differences in biomass. Therefore the result-
ing values were divided by the chlorophyll concentrations. This relative carbon assimilation at depths of 0.5 and 2.0 m is presented in Fig. 4. No large differences were found between the bags. Depth, i.e. light regime had a large influence on relative carbon assimilation. Interestingly, after Day 10, the relative carbon assimilation was relatively high, but the phytoplankton biomass did not increase much, indicating that a factor other than nutrients limited the phytoplankton increase during this period.

The development of the concentrations of the nutrients is shown in Fig. 5. The first phytoplankton peak depleted the silicate and nitrogen compounds. After this peak, nutrient concentrations remained below the detection limit (Si < 1 µg at l⁻¹, NH₄-N < 0.2 µg at l⁻¹, NO₂-N < 0.1 µg at l⁻¹ and NO₃-N < 0.1 µg at l⁻¹) with the exception of phosphate concentrations which stay around 0.6 µg at P⁻¹. Nutrients necessary to make phytoplankton growth possible after Day 5 were generated by mineralization of organic matter and used directly (cf. Podamo, 1974).

The water used to fill the bags contained various zooplankton species; of these, calanoid copepods formed the major part of the biomass. Temora longicornis was the main species, followed by Acartia clausi, Centropages hamatus and Pseudocalanus elongatus. In addition to copepods, larvae of bivalves and worms, and nauplii and cyprids of barnacles were also found. Adult barnacles lived on the bottom of the bag at the end of the experiment.

Figs. 6 and 7 show the development of Temora longicornis and Centropages hamatus during the experiment. From Day 0-23 the average for duplicate bags is presented (Controls 1 and 5; 5 µg Cd l⁻¹ no’s 2 and 4). The 2 aforementioned species, and also Acartia clausi,
greatly increased in numbers during the first 40 days of the experiment. Although no eggs were counted, they were definitely produced, since the development time from egg to small nauplius is 1-2 days. These nauplii developed to copepodes and adults in the bags. During the first 4 weeks there appeared to be continuous production of eggs and a subsequent increase of the numbers of small nauplii. Numbers of nauplii of *T. longicornis* reached a maximum in the controls on Day 22, copepodes on Day 30 and adults on Day 24. For *C. hamatus* maximum numbers of nauplii, copepodes and adults were found on Days 32, 36 and 29 respectively. These data indicate that no clear cohorts could be followed throughout their development. After the addition of 5 μg Cd l⁻¹ the total number of copepods (all species, all stages) was on the average 19 % higher than in the controls from Day 7-22. After addition of 50 μg Cd l⁻¹ numbers were similar to those in the controls. It was not until Day 35 that the cause of these unexpected observations was revealed. It appeared that *Pleurobrachia pileus* had developed in the controls; this ctenophore is a predator on copepods (Fraser, 1962; Greve, 1970). Fig. 8 shows the number of *P. pileus* in the bags. It is clear that addition of cadmium influences the development of *P. pileus* significantly (test of Wilcoxon, P ≤ 0.05). The number of *P. pileus* after addition of 1 μg Cd l⁻¹ was, until Day 42, a factor 10 lower than in the control. After addition of 5 μg Cd l⁻¹ only 1 ctenophore was found. In bags with cadmium concentrations higher than 5 μg Cd l⁻¹, *P. pileus* was never detected. Addition of 250 μg Cd l⁻¹ killed most *T. longicornis* and all *C. hamatus*. Addition of 100 μg Cd l⁻¹ killed *C. hamatus*; mortality rates of *T. longicornis* (and also of *Acartia clausi*) increased.

### Zooplankton During the Second Experiment

The water used in the second experiment contained a zooplankton community in which the dominant species was *Acartia clausi*, followed by *Centropages hamatus*, *Tetora longicornis*, *Euterina acutifrons*, larvae of bivalves and worms, nauplii of barnacles, zoeae of *Carcinus* sp. and *Oikopleura* sp. Figs. 9 and 10 show the development of nauplii, copepodes and adults of *A. clausi* and of *C. hamatus* respectively. *T. longicornis* was also present in considerable numbers during the experiment. In the controls the calanoid copepods developed from nauplii to adults. Around Day 20 the nauplii reached maximum densities, A.

![Fig. 6. *Tetora longicornis*. Number of individuals in different bags during first experiment. (a) small nauplii; (b) large nauplii; (c) copepodes; (d) adults](image)
clausi being the dominant species. In this experiment continuous reproduction also occurred throughout and clear cohorts could not be identified. Addition of 1 or 5 \( \mu g \) Cd l\(^{-1} \) did not influence the development of the copepods. The number of nauplii of *C. hamatus* was significantly lower than in the controls and the number of copepodites of *C. hamatus* and *A. clausi* was reduced after addition of 50 \( \mu g \) Cd l\(^{-1} \). *T. longicornis* and *E. acutifrons* appeared not to be influenced by 50 \( \mu g \) Cd l\(^{-1} \). These differences in sensitivity to cadmium led to shifts in species composition of the zooplankton community. After addition of 50 \( \mu g \) Cd l\(^{-1} \), *T. longicornis* and *E. acutifrons* were relatively more important than in the controls. Fig. 11 shows the situation in all bags on Day 34.

---

**Fig. 7. Centropages hamatus.** Number of individuals in different bags during first experiment. (a) nauplii; (b) copepodites; (c) adults. Averages of replicate bags.

**Fig. 8. Pleurobrachia pileus.** Number of individuals in different bags during first experiment.

**Fig. 9. Acartia clausi.** Number of individuals in different bags during second experiment. (a) nauplii; (b) copepodites; (c) adults.
Secondary Production During the Second Experiment

Total secondary production by the calanoid copepods was estimated with the model of Fransz (1976). During the first 3 weeks, secondary production increased from 2 to 20 mg dry weight m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\) (average of all bags, except Bag 6; 50 µg Cd l\(^{-1}\)). Thereafter, secondary production declined to an estimated average of 10 mg m\(^{-3}\) d\(^{-1}\). Fig. 12 shows the total biomass as a function of time in the different bags as computed from the total numbers of different size classes and length-dry weight relations given by Robertson (1968) and Nassogne (1972). Addition of 1 and 5 µg Cd l\(^{-1}\) did not influence the biomass development, but after addition of 50 µg Cd l\(^{-1}\) biomass was significantly lower (P < 0.01, test of Wilcoxon). Secondary production estimates and biomass data were used to compute the P/B ratio (production per day divided by the mean biomass) in the different bags. The P/B ratios in the different bags as a function of time are also presented in Fig. 12. During the first bloom (Day 0-6) maximum P/B ratios were found (average 0.21 ± 0.08, N = 11).

From Day 10-40 P/B ratios were around 0.11 (standard deviation 0.03, N = 47). Addition of 50 µg Cd l\(^{-1}\) did not influence the P/B ratio. During the first experiment the same analysis was done using zooplankton data from Day 0-42. During this period the P/B ratio had 2 maxima. The first maximum was around Day 5.

Fig. 10. Centropages hamatus. Number of individuals in different bags during second experiment. (a) nauplii; (b) copepodites; (c) adults.
(P/B = 0.22 ± 0.04, N = 5). The second on Day 21 (P/B = 0.15 ± 0.09, N = 4). A minimum (P/B = 0.07 ± 0.02) was around Day 12; following the second maximum, P/B ratios declined in all bags and from Day 30-40 P/B was 0.07 ± 0.03. In both experiments maximum P/B ratios occurred during periods of maximum phytoplankton biomass.

Daily primary production during the second experiment (mg C m⁻² d⁻¹) was estimated to be twice the primary production measured at a depth of 0.5 m or roughly to be 10 times the chlorophyll concentration assuming an average relative carbon assimilation over the water column of 5 mg C (mg chl a)⁻¹ (6 h)⁻¹ (Fig. 4). At the beginning of the experiment, primary production was much larger than secondary production, but during the second half of the experiment they were of the same order of magnitude (primary production, 30-50 mg C m⁻² d⁻¹; secondary production 10 mg C m⁻² d⁻¹).

Using filtration rates given by Sonntag and Parsons (1979), the volume filtered by the copepods per day can be computed from the densities of the copepods. Table 1 shows the results of these computations. During the period with maximum densities of nauplii and copepodites (Day 20-30) the water in the bags was filtered totally more than once per day. The estimates of secondary production and filtration rates, indicate the large influence of grazing zooplankton in this experiment. During the second half of the experiment development of phytoplankton biomass appeared to be prevented by the grazing pressure.

**Table 1. Total volumes filtered by copepods in different bags during second experiment. Filtration rates: nauplii, 0.5 ml d⁻¹; small copepodites, 10 ml d⁻¹; large copepodites, 25 ml d⁻¹; adults, 40 ml d⁻¹.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>1 µg Cd⁻¹</th>
<th>5 µg Cd⁻¹</th>
<th>50 µg Cd⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Concentrations of cadmium in sediment of different bags at end of experiments.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bag no.</th>
<th>Cd added to bag (mg)</th>
<th>Cd concentration (mg kg⁻¹) wet weight basis</th>
<th>% of added Cd in sediment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st experiment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>440.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1110.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>362.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd experiment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>lost during analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

**Fate of the Added Cadmium Chloride**

Fig. 1 shows cadmium concentrations in the water during the first experiments; similar results were obtained in the second experiment. Most of the added cadmium remains in the water phase. Table 2 lists the cadmium concentrations in the sediment on a wet weight basis, and the amount of cadmium present in the sediment at the end of the 2 experiments. In the first experiment 7.3 % of the added cadmium was found in the sediment on Day 93, in the second, 4.6 % on Day 48.

The cadmium added to the bags remained in the system and accumulated very slowly in the sediment due to adsorption and subsequent settling of suspended particles (abiotic particles, phytoplankton cells, dead zooplankton, etc.). Adsorption to walls was negligible. Adsorption of cadmium to particles is much less pronounced in sea water than in fresh water. This is probably due to the formation of stable CdCl₂ in sea water (Hahne and Kroontje, 1973; Bryan, 1976; Raspor et al., 1977). Preston et al. (1972) state that 18 % of the total cadmium concentration found in a series of samples from British coastal waters was bound to the particulate fraction, a high estimate compared with those of other authors. Eaton (1976) found that on the average less than 0.4 % of the total cadmium was bound to the particulate fraction (> 0.45 μ). In our experiments unfiltered water samples were analyzed, so that no information on the distribution of cadmium in the water is available.

Ketchum et al. (1975) have also reported very constant cadmium levels after addition of cadmium to marine micro ecosystems containing sediments. Kremling et al. (1978) performed 2 experiments with plankton communities enclosed in 68 m³ bags to which
1.3 μg Cd l⁻¹ was added. Cadmium concentrations in the water were nearly constant and at the end of the experiments less than 1 % was found in the sediments. In our experiments the amount of cadmium recovered in the sediment was higher; this is probably due to the longer duration of the experiments and the higher productivity of the enclosed plankton community.

**Effects of Cadmium on Phytoplankton**

Addition of cadmium chloride to the enclosed micro ecosystem had the following impact on the phytoplankton: 1 μg Cd l⁻¹ did not influence the phytoplankton; 5 and 50 μg Cd l⁻¹ led to higher chlorophyll concentrations in the first experiment. In the second experiment, 5 and 50 μg Cd l⁻¹ did not influence the phytoplankton. The species composition of the phytoplankton was not changed by addition of 1.5 or 50 μg Cd l⁻¹. Between the 2 experiments a short (11 d) experiment was performed, using the same methods, in which higher chlorophyll concentrations were found after addition of 5 or 50 μg Cd l⁻¹, due to growth of Chaetoceros spp. (Kuiper, 1980).

In model ecosystems, higher chlorophyll concentrations can be the result of less removal from the water due to less predation by herbivores, lower sinking rates (e.g. caused by a different species composition of the community) or can be caused by increased growth. Lower sinking rates seem improbable since the species composition was the same in all systems, and since physical factors (turbulence, light) were the same in different bags. After addition of 50 μg Cd l⁻¹ the grazing pressure may have been lower, resulting from inhibition of the development of the copepods. In the short, lower numbers of copepods were found after addition of 50 μg Cd l⁻¹. Lower grazing pressure after addition of 5 μg Cd l⁻¹ seems improbable, since in the bags concerned even higher numbers of copepods were found than in the controls, due to less grazing by *Pleurobrachia pileus*. The possibility that addition of 5 μg Cd l⁻¹ stimulated phytoplankton growth cannot therefore be excluded, although the working mechanisms are unclear.

Tkachenko et al. (1974) found stimulation of phytoplankton growth, measured as carbon assimilation, after addition of 1–10 μg Cd l⁻¹ to natural phytoplankton assemblages. Berland et al. (1977) report increased growth rates of *Skeletonema costatum* during the first day after addition of 25–100 μg Cd l⁻¹; other investigators have also reported growth stimulation after addition of cadmium to diatom cultures (Canterford et al., 1978) or natural phytoplankton assemblages (Patin et al., 1972; Ibragim and Patin, 1975). On Day 23 of the first experiment additional doses of 100 and 250 μg Cd l⁻¹ were added to 2 bags. Because the frequency of sampling was much lower after Day 24 than before, and because the interactions between the different trophic levels became increasingly complicated (see section on Zooplankton), it was not possible to show a significant influence of the addition of these higher cadmium concentrations on the chlorophyll concentrations. The third maximum in the control and the bags to which 1, 5 or 50 μg Cd l⁻¹ was added, occurred on Day 42, in the bag to which 250 μg Cd l⁻¹ was added on Day 46. The fact that this maximum occurred earlier than addition of 1–10 μg Cd l⁻¹ might be a result of mineralization of dead zooplankton or reduced grazing. The lowest concentrations influencing the growth of phytoplankton may have been lower, resulting from inhibition of the development of the copepods. In the

### Table 3. Minimum concentrations exerting effects on marine animals, based on the sources listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Concentration (μg Cd l⁻¹)</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tigriopus japonicus</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Time to reach F2 generation more than doubled</td>
<td>D’ Agostino and Finney (1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uca pugilator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Decreased swimming activity of zoea</td>
<td>Vornberg et al. (1974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleurobrachia pileus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Blood anemia</td>
<td>Larson (1975)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homarus americanus</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Increased oxygen consumption</td>
<td>Thurberg et al. (1977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morone saxatilis</td>
<td>0.5–5</td>
<td>Depressed oxygen consumption</td>
<td>Calabrese et al. (1977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palaeonectes rugio</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50% mortality</td>
<td>Sunda et al. (1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eurypanopeus depressus</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Decreased development rate</td>
<td>Mirkes et al. (1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleuronectus platessa</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Reduced growth</td>
<td>Westernhagen et al. (1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mysis sprattiflava</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Reduced survival; reduced formation of brood pouches</td>
<td>Nimm et al. (1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mytilus edulis</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Reduced development of Trochophora</td>
<td>Lehner and Theede (1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamellina edulis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Irreversible retraction of hydrants</td>
<td>Theede et al. (1979)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudodiaptomus coronatus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Reduced feeding rates</td>
<td>Sick and Baptist (1979)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
fresh water phytoplankton found in the literature vary from 2-50 µg Cd l⁻¹ (Hutchinson, 1973; Bartlett et al., 1974; Klass et al., 1974; Conway, 1978). Berland et al. (1976) investigated the influence of cadmium chloride on 18 marine species. Growth rate-inhibiting concentrations varied from 5-500 µg Cd l⁻¹ (mean 85 µg Cd l⁻¹), lethal concentrations for 16 of the 18 species were equal to or higher than 250 µg Cd l⁻¹. Li (1978) and Hollibaugh et al. (1980) recorded growth rate inhibition after addition of 100 µg Cd l⁻¹. Tkachenko et al. (1974) found inhibition of several marine phytoplankton at a concentration of 100 µg Cd l⁻¹. Generally, inhibition of phytoplankton appears to occur at lower cadmium concentrations in fresh water than in the marine environment. The differences in speciation of cadmium in fresh and salt water are probably responsible for this difference. Due to the much lower adsorption in seawater, the amount of cadmium which reaches the cell is lower in seawater than in fresh water with the same cadmium concentration and cell density.

The differences in the response of the phytoplankton in the 2 experiments could be due to any of a number of factors. Firstly, starting conditions differed widely between experiments (species composition, nutrient concentrations, etc.). Secondly, in the first experiment cadmium was added before large phytoplankton blooms had occurred and nutrients were not depleted; in the second experiment cadmium was added just after a bloom. Under these circumstances dying phytoplankton probably supplied large amounts of organic compounds able to complex the added cadmium. Hardstedt-Roméo and Gnassia-Barelli (1980) showed that organic substances produced by phytoplankton cells can decrease the amounts of cadmium taken up by phytoplankton.

Effects of Cadmium on the Zooplankton

During the first experiment addition of cadmium inhibited the development of Pleurobrachia pileus at all concentrations (1-250 µg Cd l⁻¹). After addition of 1 µg Cd l⁻¹ the numbers of this ctenophore were 10 times lower in the control; after addition of 5 µg Cd l⁻¹ only 1 P. pileus was found. In the bags with cadmium concentrations of 50 µg Cd l⁻¹ or more P. pileus was never found. Unfortunately, by the time P. pileus was observed, no replicate bags were available, because of the addition of 100 and 250 µg Cd l⁻¹ on Day 23. However, the fact that during the first period numbers of copepods in the 2 controls were lower than in the bags to which 5 µg Cd l⁻¹ had been added (the numbers were on average even lower in the control bag which was later sacrificed), indicates that also during the first period the grazing pressure of P. pileus was more intense in controls than in bags with 5 µg Cd l⁻¹.

The increased mortality rate of copepods in the controls due to grazing Pleurobrachia pileus makes demonstration of a possible increase in mortality rates resulting from addition of cadmium more difficult (cf. Gibson and Grice, 1977). Addition of 250 µg Cd l⁻¹ killed most Temora longicornis and all Centropages hamatus. Addition of 100 µg Cd l⁻¹ killed C. hamatus and increased mortality rates of T. longicornis (and also of Acartia clausi). At the beginning of the experiment, numbers of copepods, following addition of 100 µg Cd l⁻¹, were comparable to or lower than in the controls. In the controls a certain grazing pressure existed because of developing P. pileus. It may therefore be concluded that addition of 50 µg Cd l⁻¹ results in increased mortality of copepods or a decreased development rate.

In the second experiment the effects of cadmium were more clear. Addition of 50 µg Cd l⁻¹ resulted in a much lower biomass of copepods than in the controls. Not all species of copepods were influenced; this led to changes in species composition, compared to controls. In nature such shifts in species composition may exert important effects on higher trophic levels via selective feeding.

In both experiments no significant influence on the development of copepods after addition of 1 and 5 µg could be shown. Recent literature reveals a decrease of no-effect levels with time. Eisler (1971), reviewing the toxicity of cadmium to marine organisms, reports that some crustacean species were most sensitive, having 96h LC 50 values of 320-420 µg Cd l⁻¹. According to Pavic and Jarvanpaa (1974) the development of Mytilis galloprovincialis veligers was inhibited by 80 µg Cd l⁻¹. Rosenberg and Costlow (1976) reported that 50 µg Cd l⁻¹ decreased the survival and development rate of some development stages of 2 estuarine crabs; 50 µg Cd l⁻¹ was the lowest concentration exerting adverse effects on marine animals. Reviews by Taylor (1977) and Davies (1978) also list very few effects from cadmium concentrations lower than 50 µg Cd l⁻¹. Taylor (1977) concludes that the range containing 90 % of the literature data in sublethal effects to marine organisms was 50-60 000 µg Cd l⁻¹. Table 3 lists additional effects of cadmium concentrations on marine animals. In fresh water, adverse effects of cadmium seem to occur at lower concentrations (Biesinger and Christensen, 1972; Pascoe and Mattey 1977; Taylor, 1977). The different speciation of cadmium in fresh water is probably also here the key factor for causing this difference. The cadmium concentrations influencing the development of copepods and Pleurobrachia pileus in the present report are among the lowest
reported in the literature. Other investigators reporting effects of cadmium at concentrations < 5 μg Cd l⁻¹ mostly employed flow-through systems, in which cadmium was refreshed and probably remained in a noncomplexed form. In the bags part of the cadmium was presumably complexed by organic compounds, and therefore less available for the biota. The importance of speciation of metals in relation to their toxicity and bioaccumulation is increasingly acknowledged and has also been shown for cadmium. For example, Premazzi et al. (1978) documented a 5 fold increase of the EC 50 of cadmium to Selenastrum if EDTA was present, and Sunda et al. (1978) showed that the free cadmium is mainly responsible for toxic effects.

Concentrations of 1–5 μg Cd l⁻¹ are comparable to those occurring locally in the field; Bryan (1976) gives 0.04 μg Cd⁻¹ as the mean concentration in the N. E. Atlantic Ocean and 0.41 μg Cd l⁻¹ for the North Sea. Boyden et al. (1979) found concentrations around 0.4 μg Cd l⁻¹ in a Cornish estuary, Nürnberg and Valenta (1979) list 53 ng Cd l⁻¹ as average concentration for the North Sea, being 10 times lower than our figures and those of Bryan (1976); this probably can be attributed to differences in the analytical method used. Eaton (1976) found a mean of 60 ng Cd l⁻¹ in the Atlantic Ocean and 230 ng l⁻¹ in the Gulf of Maine. In polluted coastal waters, such as the Bristol Channel or Scheldt estuary, concentrations can be as high as 10 μg Cd l⁻¹ (Abdullah, 1972); Holmes et al. (1974) even measured 78 μg Cd l⁻¹ in an estuary in Texas; maxima of 20–80 μg Cd l⁻¹ were recorded by Kneip (1977) near New York; Wong et al. (1980) found 53 μg Cd l⁻¹ in Hong-Kong waters.

CONCLUSIONS

The cadmium added to the bags remained in the experimental system and accumulated very slowly (< 1 % week⁻¹) into the sediment, which collected on the bottom of the bags. Addition of single doses of 5 and 50 μg Cd l⁻¹ resulted in higher phytoplankton biomass compared to controls in the first experiment. In the second experiment, no effects on phytoplankton were detected. In both experiments the species composition of the phytoplankton was not influenced after addition of 1, 5 or 50 μg Cd l⁻¹.

During the first experiment, addition of cadmium inhibited the development of Pleurobrachia pileus at all concentrations (1–250 μg Cd l⁻¹). At concentrations of 50 μg Cd l⁻¹ or higher P. pileus did not develop in the bags. The presence of P. pileus influenced the development of the copepods in such a way that the densities of copepods after addition of 1 and 5 μg Cd l⁻¹ were higher than in the controls. In the second experiment addition of 1 and 5 μg Cd l⁻¹ did not influence the zooplankton. Addition of 50 μg Cd l⁻¹ resulted in lower zooplankton biomass and a different species composition as compared with the controls. Addition of 100 and 250 μg Cd l⁻¹ increased the mortality rate of the copepods.

Although the single dose of cadmium, added to the bags, was probably complexed during the experiment, so that only a limited amount of 'biologically active' cadmium was present in the waterphase, concentrations influencing the development of copepods and Pleurobrachia pileus are among the lowest reported in literature. These concentrations are comparable to those occurring locally in polluted water, indicating that in these waters cadmium may already have a detrimental influence on the ecosystem.
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