Nutrient uptake by a deposit-feeding enteropneust: nitrogenous sources
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ABSTRACT: We measured carbon, nitrogen, protein, bacterial and microalgal abundance, and mineral-specific surface area in sediments from the feeding zone of undisturbed Saccoglossus kowalewskyi, as well as in their fresh egesta. Comparison of results using surficial material (> 1 mm) and the top 3 mm of sediments indicated ingestion of surficial material by the enteropneusts. Assuming the surficial sediment as a food source results in apparent absorption efficiencies of 15% for TOC, 35% for TON, 60% for protein and 86% for microalgae. The C:N ratio of the apparently absorbed material was 4.2, consistent with an amino acid-rich diet. Protein-nitrogen uptake, however, accounted for only about 28% of total nitrogen absorption, indicating a dominant use of non-protein nitrogen. Bacterial and microalgal contributions to dietary nitrogen uptake were no more than 3% and 4% respectively. Active worms maintain 2 foraging areas with an average total foraging volume of 0.9 cm3 and a volume ingestion rate of 0.06 to 0.12 cm3 ind⁻¹ h⁻¹. If the preferred feeding zone of these enteropneusts is the nitrogen-enriched surficial layer, we estimate that their feeding activities will deplete the available food resources every 8 to 16 h and they may rely on biological and tidal redistribution of surface material.

INTRODUCTION

Detritus food chains are commonly thought to be limited by the availability of nutritionally accessible nitrogen (e.g. Newell 1965, Fenchel & Jørgensen 1977, Tenore 1977, Tenore 1983, Tenore et al. 1979, Tenore & Chesney 1985). Yet little is known about the nature and source of nitrogenous materials used by heterotrophs in these food chains, particularly for marine deposit feeders. While non-living materials are clearly important to meet overall caloric needs (Cammen 1980, López & Levinton 1987), it is not clear whether they are necessary for nitrogenous needs (Rice et al. 1986). Further, if non-living organic nitrogen is important, it is not known if the nutritionally available materials are fresh proteins, protein degradation products, partially humified materials, or other compounds (Rice 1982, Rice & Hanson 1984, Mayer 1989). Bowen (1980) found that non-protein amino acids constituted the nitrogen source for detritivorous fish and raised the question of whether such material would be important for invertebrate deposit-feeders. In this paper we report results of field experiments that suggest that detrital non-protein nitrogenous compounds may be the dominant dietary nitrogen source of a surface deposit-feeding enteropneust.

At our sampling site, the enteropneust Saccoglossus kowalewskyi ingests surficial sediment from at least 2 areally distinct foraging areas and egests the sediment in an easily collected coil on the surface (for observations on coil production and collection see Carey & Farrington 1989). This feeding behavior facilitates the analysis of absorption efficiencies of undisturbed worms feeding on natural sediments. It leaves in question, however, the exact sediment fraction ingested by an individual worm, necessitating a population-level approach to analyse absorption efficiencies. After initial trials evaluating uptake of individual worms, we designed a sampling scheme to measure the levels of nutrients in 2 distinct fractions of the surface sediments available to a population of S. kowalewskyi and in fresh egesta collected from a sample of the same population.

METHODS

Sampling was conducted on an intertidal mudflat in Lowes Cove, Maine, USA, (43° 56'N, 69° 35'W). Several
aspects of the sediments and their organic matter have been described previously (Anderson et al. 1981, Mayer et al. 1985). After cleaning relict egesta, we sampled surface sediments using 2 sampling methods and then collected fresh egesta as they appeared from 2 specific areas (2500 cm²) for several hours. On the following day, the methods of sampling were switched between the sites and surface sediments and egesta were sampled again.

Each sampling method was performed by a single person (collector) and collectors were then switched between sites. One collector used a plastic pipette held at an acute angle to the surface, collecting as thin a layer as possible – less than 1 mm (‘surface layer’); the other collector used an identical plastic pipette held perpendicular to the sediment surface, which was used to homogenize and collect the top 3 mm of surface sediment. Fresh egesta were carefully pipetted off of the sediment surface; if they are collected immediately after egestion the discrete coils can easily be separated from the surface layer. Individual pipettings of surface sediments and egesta were separately pooled in preweighed 15 ml centrifuge tubes until about 5 ml of sediment was contained in a single tube – the amount needed for the analyses we performed.

Upon returning to the laboratory, we rinsed the samples with 2 parts distilled, filtered (0.2 μm) water and 1 part filtered (0.2 μm) seawater to reduce the salt content. The samples were vortexed with this wash and then centrifuged. The supernatant was pipetted off and subsamples were frozen and then freeze-dried. Protein analysis was carried out by the method of Mayer et al. (1986), which measures larger polypeptides amenable to protease hydrolysis. Protein is thus analytically defined here as enzymatically hydrolyzable polypeptides larger than 7 to 15 amino acid residues in length. Total organic carbon and nitrogen were analyzed with a Carlo Erba Model 1106 CHN analyzer after vapor phase acidification with HCl to remove calcium carbonate. Bacteria were counted by epifluorescence microscopy after (diamidinophenylindole) staining (DeFlaun & Mayer 1983). Mineral-specific surface area was measured by nitrogen adsorption, after removal of organic coatings by hydrogen peroxide/sodium pyrophosphate treatment, using the 1-point BET method with a Quantachrome Monosorb analyzer (Mayer et al. 1988).

Subsamples of the centrifuged sediment were preserved with Lugol’s solution and kept refrigerated in the dark. Six of these samples were suspended in 5 ml distilled water and subsampled for direct microscopic counts and volume measurement of microalgal cells. Subsamples were dried and weighed to calculate cell abundance and biovolume per sediment dry weight.

RESULTS

Total organic carbon and nitrogen pooled for both sites were 24 and 27 % higher, respectively, in the surface layer than in the top 0 to 3 mm (Fig. 1 A, B). The C:N ratios (wt/wt) of the 2 sediment collections were not significantly different (x = 10.3 ± 0.8, n = 8).

Protein was enriched by 23 % in the surface layer (Fig. 1C). Protein concentrations were at the low end of the range for surface cm samples from various parts of Lowes Cove (Mayer unpubl.). The protein measured by our method in the surface layer accounted for 17 % of the total nitrogen in this layer (assuming the standard relationship: protein-nitrogen = 0.16 × protein). This ratio is as high as we have measured for Gulf of Maine sediments (Mayer et al. 1988) indicating relatively high nutritional quality despite the low bulk organic content (Mayer 1989).

The 2 sediment zones were significantly different at both collection sites for carbon and nitrogen (Table 1A). The consistency of the difference across zones indicates reproducibility of our collection methods. Protein was not significantly different at one site (Table 1A) which suggests that at this site, protein is less enriched in the surface layer than non-protein nitrogen. However the pooled results for both sites show similar enrichment for protein and nitrogen (Fig. 1). Surface sediments (< 1 mm) were significantly different from fresh egesta for nitrogen and protein at both sites (Table 1B). Organic carbon was significantly higher in the egesta than in the 0 to 3 mm layer (Table 1C).

The minimum absorption efficiencies, defined here as the difference between egesta and food source divided by the food source, for carbon and nitrogen were 15 and 35 %, respectively. This calculation assumes that the enriched surface layer is ingested by Saccoglossus kowalewskyi exactly like our collection method (Fig. 1). With this assumption, the material removed during gut passage has C:N = 4.2. Although the minimum absorption efficiency of protein by S. kowalewskyi was 60 %, the protein-nitrogen absorption averaged only 28 % of the total nitrogen lost during gut passage.

Bacteria and microalgae contribute negligibly to the standing stock of protein in these sediments, and do not account for a significant fraction of the assimilated protein or total nitrogen (compare Fig. 1C with 1D and 1E). Assuming Rublee’s (1982) conversion factor of 0.033 gN (ml biovolume)-1, and an average cell volume of 0.2 μm³ (consistent with DeFlaun & Mayer 1983), bacteria comprise no more than 5 to 10 % of the protein-nitrogen. The inconsistent apparent net loss of bacteria during gut passage (Fig. 1D) in some of the experiments accounted for no more than 9 % of the assimilated protein-nitrogen, or 3 % of the assimilated total nitrogen.
Fig. 1. All nutrients measured in surface sediments (<1 mm, 0–3 mm) and egesta of Saccoglossus kowalewskyi in Lowes Cove, Maine, USA. Bars represent 1 standard deviation. (A) Total organic carbon. (B) Total nitrogen. (C) Scale on left: protein. Scale on right: protein-nitrogen (= 0.16 × protein). (D) Scale on left: bacterial abundance. Numbers on bars refer to abundance means from 4 samples. Scale on right: nitrogen calculated from cell numbers assuming 0.66 × 10⁻¹⁴ g N cell⁻¹. (E) Scale of left: biovolume of microalgae. Scale on right: nitrogen calculated from biovolume measurements, biomass:biovolume ratio (Eppley et al. 1970) and a C:N ratio of 6. Microalgal biovolume calculated from measurements of cell sizes and direct counts of microalgae. Numbers on bars refer to nitrogen means for 4 samples.

Microalgal biomass calculated from measured biovolumes (Eppley et al. 1970: log pg C = 0.76 × log V − 0.352) comprised 8% of the protein-nitrogen (Fig. 1E). The apparent loss of microalgae during ingestion was substantial (Fig. 1E, mean of 87% from 4 paired samples of surface layer and egesta) but accounted for less than 13% of the assimilated protein-nitrogen, or about 4% of the assimilated total nitrogen. The apparent loss of algae may also have been due to selection against the relatively large diatom cells, as empty frustules counted in one egesta sample could not account for the loss of live cells from the paired surface sample.

DISCUSSION

Surface layer ingestion

The higher carbon contents of the egesta compared to the 0 to 3 mm zone would appear to exclude intake of the top 3 mm of sediment as a bulk food source for Saccoglossus kowalewskyi during these experiments (Fig. 1A). In steady state, it is unlikely that this enteropneust produces carbon during gut passage. While we have not demonstrated conclusively that our surface samplings are congruent with the ingested fraction, the bulk nutrient concentration of this layer is sufficient to support a positive uptake of carbon and nitrogen. This zone of feeding is also consistent with the feeding mechanism of the animal, which employs a ciliated proboscis that is extended out of the burrow onto the sediment surface. The proboscis is then dragged back into the burrow with particles that adhere to the mucociliary epithelium of this muscular feeding organ (Knight-Jones 1953, Carey 1989).

Alternate possibilities to explain these data are that the enteropneust is showing particle selection from a thicker layer of sediment of mixing enriched surface layers with subsurface sediment; while both of these may occur they are not consistent with laboratory observations with marked sediments. Laboratory and field observations (Carey 1989, unpubl.) show that marked sediments placed sequentially in 1 mm layers on the surface are egested sequentially without mixing. In addition, surface area measurements for mineral grains from a top 3 mm-egesta collection pair gave
Table 1. Two-way Analyses of Variance. Summary of F statistics. (A, B) Calculated on each site separately; (C,D) calculated on each collector separately. * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001; NS: not significant

(A) Factor 1: Surface Layer (<1 mm) versus 0-3 mm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site 1</th>
<th>Site 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>F_s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.025*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>21.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>15.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) Factor 2: Both surface zones versus Egesta

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site 1</th>
<th>Site 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>F_s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>&gt;0.10 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>28.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>76.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C) Factor 1: Surface Layer versus Egesta

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site 1</th>
<th>Site 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>F_s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>&lt;0.10 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>43.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>60.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(D) Factor 2: Site 1 versus Site 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site 1</th>
<th>Site 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>F_s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>&lt;0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>8.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interaction term significant because significantly lower carbon values at Site 1 for 0-3 mm collection.

Values of 10.3 and 9.7 m² g⁻¹ dry sediment, respectively, indicating that any selection of mineral grains from the top 3 mm was not on the basis of particle size. This finding is consistent with other reports of non-selectivity in enteropneusts (Knight-Jones 1953, Dobbs & Guckert 1988).

We conclude that Saccoglossus kowalewskyi is likely feeding primarily on a very loose layer of particles about 1 mm thick. Some selection may occur, most likely through variations in mechanical or chemical adherence to the feeding organ (Self & Jumars 1978, 1988). While we have no evidence to support either enrichment or dilution of organic content in the ingested fraction relative to the sampled fraction, common sense leads us to suspect that the sampled material most likely represents a minimum value for nutritional quality. This food source is also consistent with the regression of carbon ingestion rates relative to body size of polychaetes (Cammen 1986), assuming a mean body weight for S. kowalewskyi of 100 mg ind⁻¹ (Carey unpubl.) and mean bulk ingestion rates of 720 mg ind⁻¹ d⁻¹ (Carey & Clough unpubl.). To the extent that we have measured the food quality correctly, there are interesting implications of the apparent quality of the assimilated material. We emphasize, however, that the actual food quality ingested may be dominated by some preferentially selected material, obviating our interpretations.

The apparently low contribution of protein nitrogen to the total nitrogen apparently assimilated by Saccoglossus kowalewskyi indicates the extensive use of non-protein nitrogenous compounds. We have found the same pattern of loss of protein and total nitrogen in downcore diagenesis of nitrogen (Mayer unpubl.). Furthermore, in spite of different methods of protein measurement, our finding is also in accord with Bowen's (1980) conclusion that the detritivorous fish Sarotherodon mossambicus receives most of its diet from non-protein amino acids. The bulk of the nitrogen absorption that we observed may be in the form of shorter polypeptide compounds. Mayer (1989) has shown that adsorbed or dissolved monomeric amino acids are unlikely to provide a significant food source for detritivores and our protein technique measures peptides larger than 15 amino acid residues in length. These short polypeptides are likely to be degradation products of proteins.

Also of note is the apparently low C:N ratio of the assimilated material (4.2), which would represent a
food very rich in nitrogen. This finding is consistent with data of Kristensen & Blackburn (1987) data showing that material of C:N = 4 was the immediately bioavailable substrate in sediment organic matter decomposition experiments. Nitrogen is often considered to be the limiting nutrient in detritivore nutrition (Newell 1965, Fenchel & Jorgensen 1977, Tenore 1977, Tenore et al. 1979, Tenore & Chesney 1985). If correct, this assimilation stoichiometry suggests that Saccoglossus kowalewskyi may act as a deposit feeder, but that these deposit feeders subsist on a diet that is as rich in nitrogenous compounds as that of a carnivore.

If actively feeding worms confine the majority of their intake to the nitrogen-enriched top mm of sediment, they will exhaust their immediate foraging volumes in 4 to 8 h. We estimate nitrogen consumption rates of 21 mg m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) for average field densities of Saccoglossus kowalewskyi in Lowes Cove (100 ind. m\(^{-2}\)) based on sediment processing rates measured during summer low tides (720 mg ind. d\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\), Carey & Clough unpubl.). The mean foraging volume of Lowes Cove worms is estimated at 0.45 cm\(^3\) (foraging area = 4.5 cm\(^2\), Carey unpubl. obs.; foraging depth = 1.0 mm) and we calculate a volume ingestion rate of 0.06 to 0.12 cm\(^3\) ind.\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) (assuming a surface sediment dry weight range of 250 to 500 mg cm\(^{-2}\)). Unless organic matter input to the feeding zone keeps pace with this rate, the worms must either extend their foraging radius or depth (Miller et al. 1984). If surface feeders establish several foraging areas, they can increase foraging radii through burrow extension without increasing the risk of predation on overextended feeding organs.

In this habitat, many individuals of Saccoglossus kowalewskyi simultaneously maintain 2 foraging areas on the sediment surface (Carey & Clough unpubl. obs.). If surface sediments are a preferred food source, this behavior may represent a response to sediment renewal frequencies (Miller et al. 1984). Surface sediment must be renewed (including deposition, wave and tidal redistribution, biological mixing and in situ production) every 8 to 16 h to permit maintenance of 2 foraging areas at these population densities, egestion rates, and feeding depths. These renewal times are similar to tidal exchange frequencies, and although we have only measured nitrogen uptake in Lowes Cove at low tide, this renewal frequency may represent a limit on the nitrogen uptake of these surface feeding worms in this environment.
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