Evidence for avoidance of flushing from an estuary by a planktonic, phototrophic ciliate
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ABSTRACT: The vertical distribution of the planktonic, phototrophic ciliate *Mesodinium rubrum* was monitored during a red-water event in daylight hours, over a complete tidal cycle. Theoretical considerations based upon the hydrodynamics of the estuary, and upon the behaviour of the ciliate described to date, suggest that the population should not be able to resist flushing losses from the estuary. However, field observations reveal a pattern consisting of aggregation close to the surface during flood tide and high water, but dispersion away from the surface on the ebb tide, thus minimizing flushing losses due to the strong superficial currents. Evidence is presented suggesting that the major cue for such migration may be the turbulence generated by shearing of surface currents, or gravitational (static) instability of surface water.

INTRODUCTION

Tidal flushing is accepted to be a fundamental factor controlling the development of plankton populations in estuaries (Ketchum 1954). Several bloom-forming dinoflagellate species reduce flushing losses indirectly, through surface avoidance, by combinations of motile responses to various abiotic parameters, such as light, temperature, salinity and nutrients (e.g. Eppley et al. 1968, Anderson & Stolzenbach 1985, Chang & Carpenter 1985). Although the role of ciliates in marine ecosystems has also attracted much recent interest as an important component of the microplankton (e.g. Beers et al. 1980, Smetacek 1981), the adaptive significance of their considerably greater motile capacity has received only limited attention to date (e.g. Dale 1987, Jonsson 1989). Moreover, recent observations on the abundance of mixotrophic and phototrophic ciliates (Stoecker et al. 1987, 1989, Crawford 1989) suggest that significant quantities of planktonic chlorophyll may be packaged in forms with much greater mobility than previously anticipated.

The planktonic ciliate *Mesodinium rubrum* (Lohmann) Hamburger & Buddenbrock forms non-toxic red-water blooms around the world in coastal and upwelling ecosystems (Taylor et al. 1971, Lindholm 1985). *M. rubrum* is enabled to be an obligate, functional phototroph (Ryther 1967, Barber et al. 1969) by the presence of an algal (cryptomonad) endosymbiont (Hibberd 1977). It is thought to be the most strongly integrated association known between host and photosynthetic cytobiont (Taylor 1982), and has been responsible for some of the highest rates of planktonic primary productivity on record (Taylor 1982, Lindholm 1985). Thus, often erroneously grouped within the microzooplankton, *M. rubrum* is functionally a member of the phytoplankton (Sieburth et al. 1978, Lindholm 1985, Crawford 1989), and has been seriously neglected as such in routine surveys to date (Crawford 1989). *M. rubrum* attains phenomenal swimming speeds of over 8 mm s⁻¹ (ca 200 body lengths s⁻¹; Lindholm 1985, Jonsson & Tiselius 1990), an order of magnitude greater than the majority of dinoflagellates (Smith & Barber 1979) and several times quicker than most ciliates (Sleigh & Blake 1977, Dale 1987). Such swimming speed enables *M. rubrum* to perform diurnal vertical migrations of some 40 m in the Peru upwelling zone (Smith & Barber 1979).

*Mesodinium rubrum* causes recurrent red-water events each summer and autumn in Southampton Water, England (Williams 1980, Soulsby et al. 1984), an estuary characterised by a peculiar tidal regime...
consisting of a double high water, some 2 h apart, followed by a short ebb tide with near-surface currents reaching 0.5 to 1.0 m s\(^{-1}\) (Dyer 1973). \textit{M. rubrum} is usually described as forming discrete surface or subsurface (1 to 2 m) maxima during the day, apparently in response to light (Bary & Stuckey 1950, Smith & Barber 1979), and swimming down or dispersing at night (Smith & Barber 1979, Soulsby et al. 1984). However, this behaviour pattern would clearly place the bulk of the population in a zone of intense near-surface currents during daytime ebb tides. Here, we examine evidence for a mechanism by which \textit{M. rubrum} could maintain itself in the estuary when faced with such potential flushing losses.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Surveys were conducted during the summers of 1985 and 1986 from a small research launch, anchored at Eling buoy, at the head of the River Test/Southampton Water estuary (Fig. 1). In such a dynamic estuary, it is impossible to track a body of water over the tidal cycle, using a drifting boat for example; the strong surface currents carry the boat swiftly downstream on the ebb tide, giving no advantage over the anchored boat.

In July 1985, sampling was undertaken over a complete tidal cycle. Water samples were taken with a Van-Dorn bottle at 4 depths (1, 4, 7, and 10 m), staggered at 15 min intervals, each depth sampled once every hour. Subsamples of 125 ml were preserved with 1 \% Lugol’s iodine (see Crawford 1989) immediately after sampling, and stored in amber glass bottles in the dark for subsequent cell counts. \textit{Mesodinium rubrum} was enumerated (after concentration by sedimentation, when necessary) in a Sedgewick-Rafter counting chamber, using an Olympus BH2 microscope. Vertical profiles of physical parameters were taken at 1 m intervals every 20 min using a NBA CTU-1 profiling CTD and NBA current meter.

In July 1986, \textit{Mesodinium rubrum} distribution was monitored over ca 8 h, using \textit{in situ} chlorophyll fluorescence as an index of abundance; approximately every 2 h at 1 m intervals, water samples were pumped through a Turner Designs flow-through fluorometer. Only changes in relative fluorescence are shown; peaks represent chlorophyll levels in excess of 100 mg m\(^{-3}\) and were dominated by \textit{M. rubrum} (100 mg m\(^{-3}\) chlorophyll \(\alpha\) represents approximately \(10^9\) cells m\(^{-3}\)).

**RESULTS**

Tidal advection past the fixed anchor station revealed significant horizontal fluctuations in the abundance of \textit{Mesodinium rubrum}. To account for this, vertical distribution of \textit{M. rubrum} (Figs. 2 & 3) was represented as percentages of the total numbers integrated from the surface to 10 m; cell numbers (m\(^{-3}\)) were first expressed, at any given 1 m depth interval, as a percentage of the total integrated population (cells m\(^{-2}\)). The depth, from the surface, of the 50 \% ‘centre of gravity’ of the population (thick solid line) was then derived, together with the 20 and 80 \% levels (stippled area between upper and lower dashed lines) to show degree of aggregation. This representation of population distribution is likely to considerably underestimate the actual degree of aggregation, since only 4 depths were sampled; this species aggregates to such an extent that a sampling interval of 0.5 to 1 m is preferable (Crawford 1989, Lindholm & Mörk 1990), though not always logistically feasible.

Fig. 2 shows the relationship, over a tidal cycle, between vertical distribution of \textit{Mesodinium rubrum}
Fig. 2. Relationship between vertical distribution of *Mesodinium rubrum* and contours of physical parameters of the water column over a tidal cycle during a red-water event at Eling buoy in July 1985. Fine line contours represent: (a) tidal height, m; (b) temperature, °C; (c) salinity, ‰; (d) density, g l⁻¹; (e) current speed, cm s⁻¹. Data points represent frequency of physical measurements. The thick solid line represents the depth, from the surface, of the 50 % ‘centre of gravity’ of the integrated population of *M. rubrum*; the degree of aggregation is indicated by the stippled area between upper and lower dashed lines, representing the 20 % and 80 % levels respectively (see text for further explanation).

(as described above) and physical properties of the water column. Use of contours allows visual separation of migrational and advective phenomena, i.e. whether population contours followed those of water mass properties. It was evident that during the ebb tide, between 15:00 and 18:00 h, the population deepened considerably, and thus was not exposed to the near-surface flow, where flushing is greatest. Some coherence was apparent between the population maximum and the physical parameters during the ebb tide. The relationship with the 17 °C isotherm appeared strongest and could represent either a migration, with temperature as a cue, or an artifact resulting from advective changes, with the population residing at a preferential optimum temperature. However, neither interpretation is supported by the sharp but temporary downward displacement without apparent cue, which occurred approximately be-
between 1st and 2nd high waters (12:00 to 14:00 h). During this period, the population maximum crossed contours of temperature, salinity and density, while current speed remained relatively stable. Salinity, and density in particular, showed evidence of a mixing event, although the slope of contours of population displacement was much sharper than those of either factor.

To further investigate the role of mixing, density and current speed profiles were transformed into an index of dynamic stability, represented by the Richardson Number \((Ri)\), as shown in the contour plot in Fig. 3:

\[
Ri = \frac{-g/\rho (\partial \rho/\partial z)}{(\delta u/\delta z)^2}
\]  

(Dyer 1973)

where \(g\) = gravitational constant; \(\rho\) = density; \(u\) = current velocity; \(z\) = depth. \(Ri\) is a dimensionless index of the stabilizing effect of buoyancy, generated by the density profile, against the destabilizing effect of vertical current shear. The critical threshold value below which shear forces generate turbulence is \(Ri = 0.25\) (Dyer 1973), though in estuaries this is accepted to be closer to \(Ri = 1\). Below \(Ri = 0\), turbulence results from static instability.

The period between the 2 high waters was characterised by surface turbulence extending down to 3 m, principally generated by gravitational instability (Fig. 3). The population maximum appeared either to avoid or be mixed downward by this increased turbulence. The coherence between the slope of \(Ri\) contours with those of the population distribution is remarkable. Since the bulk of the population remained within a zone of 'stable' water \((Ri > 1)\), and depth regulation is possible even by weakly motile phytoplankton when \(Ri = 0.5\) to 1.0 (Ganf 1974), this would suggest that the majority of Mesodinium rubrum cells were not subject to vertical mixing, but rather may have been actively aggregating away from surface turbulence. At about 12:00 h, the population even appeared to be 'squeezed' between turbulence close to the surface and a turbulence zone (probably shear-generated) at about 2 to 3 m. Over the tidal cycle as a whole, the population maximum tended away from turbulent mixing zones, except briefly during ebb tide, where contours of \(Ri < 1\) were crossed. On the ebb tide, turbulence is principally generated by the shearing of surface currents.

Fig. 3. Relationship between vertical distribution of Mesodinium rubrum (as indicated in Fig. 2) and dynamic stability of the water column, represented by the Richardson Number (see text for definition). The black shaded 'silhouettes' below emphasize more graphically the vertical distribution of \(M.\ rubrum\) at certain points during the tidal cycle; vertical distribution is represented as percentage of total integrated population.
Fig. 4 shows vertical profiles of *Mesodinium rubrum* from the field survey undertaken to confirm the observed changes described above. Again a similar pattern emerged, with aggregation closer to the surface on the flood tide, and a deeper, more dispersed population on the ebb tide. A dispersed population was again apparent between the 2 high waters, but unfortunately a profile was not taken to confirm whether re-aggregation occurred on the 2nd high water. Although a small proportion of the population appeared to remain at the surface during ebb tide, it should be noted that Fig. 4 represents *in situ* fluorescence, thus other species may be represented. However, Figs. 2 & 3 also show some cells of *M. rubrum* at the surface during ebb tide; if our observations do indeed represent a response to turbulence, then a few cells at the surface would be expected, since avoidance could be upward away from subsurface shearing currents, thus 'trapping' some cells close to the surface.

**DISCUSSION**

Our data clearly show that the population maximum of *Mesodinium rubrum* in Southampton Water, at least during red tides, is deeper and more dispersed on the ebb than on the flood tide or during slack water. In terms of estuary dynamics, and perhaps in retrospect, such a finding was almost inevitable; a behaviour pattern governed simply by phototactic aggregation close to the surface, as previously suggested (see Lindholm 1985 for review), would result in the advection by near-surface currents of the bulk of the population at least 5 km downstream on each daytime ebb tide. In contrast, the red-water typically maintains itself most intensely at the head of the estuary for several weeks without evidence of extensive flushing losses; the mouth of the estuary is characterised by very low numbers of *M. rubrum*. The observations are further supported by data obtained during a survey of the estuary using the airborne thematic mapper to determine the spatial distribution of the bloom (Purdie & Garcia 1988); surface chlorophyll throughout the upper estuary was shown to be significantly reduced on the ebb tide, suggesting a downward migration (C. A. E. Garcia pers. comm.).

The implications of these observations are critical to the development and retention of red tides within the estuary; the ability of *Mesodinium rubrum* to concentrate close to the surface under optimal light conditions, but then avoid flushing losses on the ebb tide, is a crucial advantage over other members of the phytoplankton. *M. rubrum* has also been similarly shown to utilise a diurnal vertical migration of 40 m (Smith & Barber 1979) in a 2-layered flow to negate offshore displacement and thus maintain and concentrate the population in the Peru upwelling system (Barber & Smith 1981).

The mechanism by which *Mesodinium rubrum* could avoid surface flushing is less clear but particularly interesting. Although it cannot be firmly established from this field study that such changes in vertical distribution directly resulted from active avoidance of turbulence, the evidence presented is suggestive. Although the abundance maximum showed some coherence with temperature, salinity and density over a portion of the tidal cycle, only contours of Richardson Numbers (*Ri*) provided an explanation for the vertical displacements throughout tidal cycle. Surface incident light intensity was not determined; however, the survey was undertaken on a cloudless sunny day with insufficient variation in light intensity to result in vertical migration of several meters at midday. The return of the population toward the surface in decreasing light, in the evening after the ebb tide (Figs. 2 to 4), also suggests that light was not a major factor during daylight hours. However, this does not necessarily argue for light-independence for the vertical migration of *M. rubrum*; on the contrary, it appears to be strongly phototactic (see Lindholm 1985 for review) and has been reported to exhibit a diurnal migration pattern in Southampton Water (Soulsby et al. 1984) with near-surface accumulation during the day, and downward dispersal during darkness. Such a pattern would, to some extent, reduce flushing losses in itself when ebb
tide occurs during dark hours. Our study provides considerably greater temporal resolution than achieved previously however, and we suggest that an active response to turbulence could be superimposed upon the diurnal pattern i.e. when positive phototaxis draws the population into unstable near-surface waters.

We acknowledge the limitations of our study due to the lack of repeated surveys when tidal and diurnal cycles are in a different phase, i.e. when ebb tide occurs during darkness or early morning. Thus, the afternoon descent of Mesodinium rubrum described here could simply represent a phenomenon typical of many migrating dinoflagellates. Nevertheless, the sharp downward displacement between 12:00 and 14:00 h (Figs. 2 & 3), apparently with only turbulence as a cue, and the return of the population toward the surface after ebb tide (Figs. 2 to 4) support our contentsions. We cannot discount the possibility that the observed distributions may represent the resultant pattern of a combination of interacting factors; however, we feel that the relationship with turbulence is of sufficient novel interest to merit attention.

Experimental verification of turbulence avoidance has not been feasible at this stage; Mesodinium rubrum has not yet been cultured, is extremely fragile, and does not respond well to laboratory containment (Lindholm 1985). However, the interpretation of aggregation away from turbulence is supported by other published evidence. By means of rapid swimming, in response to small scale water movements, M. rubrum avoids isolation by micropipette (Taylor et al. 1971, Lindholm 1985, Crawford unpubl.) and substantially reduces capture efficiency by the feeding appendages of the copepod Acartia tonsa (Jonsson & Tiselius 1990). Since the motile behaviour of M. rubrum alternates between rapid 'jumps' and periods of motionlessness (Lindholm 1985, Crawford 1989, Jonsson & Tiselius 1990), an increase in the frequency of 'jumps' in response to turbulence would result in a net aggregation in more stable zones of the water column (i.e. a directional response is not required). The apparent upward 'squeezing' of the population by turbulence from below at 12:00 h supports this contention. Such increased 'escape' responses under turbulent conditions has recently been documented for the marine copepod Centropages hamatus (Costello et al. 1990). The mechanism by which so small an organism as M. rubrum (15 to 70 μm cell diameter) could sense fluid deformations is more difficult to resolve. Detection of microscale shear currents must be suspected, though this would be on a scale (<100 μm) poorly understood by hydrodynamicists. The smallest turbulent length scale shown to stimulate dinoflagellate bioluminescence is on the order of 33 μm (Rohr et al. 1990), thus small scale shear could feasibly act as a cue. Sensing of acceleration forces using statocyst-like bodies, as described in the ciliate Loxodes sp. (Fenchel & Finlay 1986), is also conceivable, though no such structures have been described in ultrastructural studies on M. rubrum to date. Jonsson (1989) presented a hypothesis for the surface accumulation of oligotrichs and tintinnids based upon net upward swimming or passive geotaxis, which depended on the asymmetry of cell morphology. This seems unlikely to be a major factor explaining migration in M. rubrum, which is both a more symmetrical cell (equatorial band of cilia and cirri) and capable of much more extended diurnal migrations than other species (see Lindholm 1985).

The role of turbulence in red tide ecology has clearly been underestimated, and is only now becoming fully apparent. Turbulence has been shown to interfere with growth (White 1976), cell division (Pollinger & Zemel 1981) and motility (Thomas & Gibson 1990a, b) in some dinoflagellates. Further research is imperative, since turbulence is not a routinely quantified parameter in plankton studies. Other studies have already documented the retention of dinoflagellates in estuaries through surface avoidance; however, the mechanisms appear to have been different, although turbulence was not measured. Anderson & Stolzenbach (1985) suggested that Alexandrium (Coryneclax) tamarenseis and Heterocapsa triquetra reduced flushing losses by means of avoidance of high surface light intensities. Using a dye-injection technique in a small estuarine embayment, Garcon et al. (1986) demonstrated that A. tamarenseis, whose growth rate approximated the rate of tidal flushing, could only bloom by such avoidance of outflowing surface waters.

More generally, the implications of our observations may be far-reaching. Our study is quite atypical in providing an opportunity to simultaneously examine the vertical distribution of a motile microplankter, together with the physical properties defining water column stability on a scale relevant to the organism. Intuitive or empirical estimates of static stability are often derived from density gradients during plankton studies, but velocity profiles, allowing direct assessment of dynamic stability, are rarely measured (Heaney & Butterwick 1985). Mechanoreceptor-induced escape reactions are a widespread phenomenon among the macro- and microplankton; however, the potential combined role of turbulence with such responses as a factor influencing microscale patchiness surely merits further investigation.
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