Ammonia excretion rate of *Clytia* spp. hydro-medusae (Cnidaria, Thecata): effects of individual dry weight, temperature and food availability
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**ABSTRACT:** In order to estimate the in situ growth budget of *Clytia* spp. hydro-medusae, ammonia excretion rates were measured for a variety of individual weights at 4 temperatures (15, 18, 21 and 25 °C), using 4 food concentrations (7, 15, 25 and 50 copepods l⁻¹). Excretion rate increased with medusa dry wt and was directly correlated with temperature and food availability. Excretion values ranged between 0.04 and 0.936 μg-at. N-NH₄ d⁻¹ ind⁻¹. Weight-specific excretion was independent of medusa dry weight (W) and ranged between 1.9 and 18.1 μg-at. N-NH₄ mg⁻¹ d⁻¹, according to experimental conditions. The daily nitrogen turnover (only considering ammonia) ranged from 6 % at the lowest temperature and food concentration to 33 % at 21 °C and the highest food concentration. The calculation of the growth budget, which represents the difference between quantity of nitrogen in food ingested and nitrogen lost through ammonia excretion extrapolated to the natural environment, showed high values during the spring, up to 55 μg N d⁻¹ ind⁻¹, and slightly negative values during the rest of the year.

**INTRODUCTION**

Zooplankton excretion plays an important role in the nitrogen cycle of marine ecosystems; it represents a loss in nitrogen or phosphorus from zooplanktonic populations, but also a feedback of nutrients to primary producers and bacteria (Harris 1959, Jawed 1973, Bámstedt 1985). Regenerated nitrogen including that excreted by zooplankton can be very important for phytoplankton growth (Martin 1968, Eppley et al. 1973, Dagg et al. 1980). Ammonia constitutes most of the nitrogen released by zooplankton (Corner & Davies 1971, Mayzaud & Dalot 1973, Kremer 1975, 1977) and is prefer to other forms of nitrogen by phytoplankton (Strickland et al. 1969, Maclsaac & Dugdale 1972, Bámstedt 1985). The excretion by different zooplankton groups has been well studied. Copepods as well as ctenophores and total zooplankton have received considerable attention (Corner & Davies 1971, Ikeda 1974, 1985, Nival et al. 1974, Kremer 1975, 1977, 1982, Kremer et al. 1986, Youngbluth et al. 1988).

The excretion rate of an organism increases allometrically with individual length (Nival et al. 1974, Ikeda 1985) while the excretion rate per weight unit decreases with (Corner et al. 1965) or is independent of individual length (Kremer 1977, Morand et al. 1987).

Despite the recent increase in publications on gelatinous zooplankton metabolism, knowledge of hydro-medusa ammonia excretion is still limited. Biggs (1977) showed the importance of total gelatinous predators as a nitrogen source in the North Atlantic Ocean, where their excretion is sufficient to supply 39 to 63 % of the phytoplankton nitrogen requirements. Similarly, the large number of trachymedusae *Aglantha digitale* in a productive zone of the Northeast Atlantic Ocean was presumed by Williams & Conway (1981) to be an important source of nitrogen for the phytoplankton production.

The goal of the present study was to provide data on ammonia excretion of hydro-medusae of the genus *Clytia* in order to better understand their ecology by calculating their growth budget as a function of envi-
ronmental variables. Food availability and temperature are 2 of these important environmental factors. By suitable preconditioning in controlled food regimes and temperatures which might occur in nature, we estimated the rate of ammonia excretion by *Clytia* spp. and determined the effect of body mass, temperature and food availability on excretion. Constant food availability and temperature are not realistic, however, in describing what animals may be exposed to in the field, where there is undoubtedly a patchy distribution of prey (Hamner et al. 1975, Harbison et al. 1978, Alldredge et al. 1984). But, according to Kremer (1982), if we are to understand how animals function *in situ* we need to go beyond investigation of metabolic rates and products under stable conditions, and to describe the dynamics of the changes in metabolic rates in response to various temperatures and food availabilities.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Excretion experiments.** Among the gelatinous predators in the Bay of Villefranche-sur-Mer (France), hydromedusae form an important group which can be seasonally abundant (Goy 1968, Matsakis 1990). *Clytia* spp. is an important component of the macroplankton in the Bay. The taxonomy of this genus is confused (Kramp 1961, Cornelius 1982). Goy (1968) described 7 *Clytia* spp. hydrouzoa in the Bay of Villefranche-sur-Mer, but only 3 are known to release medusae: *Clytia gravior* (Kramp 1961, Cornelius 1982). Because of the difficulty of determining hydromedusan species, we refer to *Clytia* spp. to indicate the animals used in this study.

*Clytia* spp. were collected with a Regent type net (1 m diameter, mesh size 680 to 690 μm) at Point 'B' in the Bay (Fig 1) towed horizontally for 15 min at a depth of 30 m. Within 1 h the medusae were transferred to 5 l rearing beakers, placed in thermostatted baths. The medusae were then preconditioned for 1 wk to the experimental conditions of temperatures and food concentrations: food and rearing water were renewed every 2 d; food was added every 12 h. During that period, we used an arbitrary density of 1 medusa l⁻¹. Medusae were fed with the most abundant copepod species in the size class of 250 to 550 μm. Copepods were collected with a 200 μm mesh net (WP II type), screened and transferred to 5 l rearing beakers before being given to the medusae.

Excretion measurements were done between July and November 1989 at 15, 18, 21 and 25 °C; these values cover the annual range of temperatures in the Bay. Medusae 2 to 11 mm in diameter (corresponding to between 5 and 145 μg individual dry wt), were grouped in 16 rearing beakers, each corresponding to a combination of 1 of the 4 temperatures and J of 4 food concentrations, 7, 15, 25 and 50 copepods l⁻¹, used for growth experiments (see Matsakis 1990). After this rearing period, medusae were washed in filtered seawater (0.2 μm mesh), sorted by size and distributed in experimental flasks (250 ml) capacity of filtered seawater by size; the number of individuals per flask varied from 1 to 5 for medusae ≥5 mm diameter and up to 12 for smaller medusae. Ammonia concentration was determined after 4 h incubation; a longer period led to damage of the smaller individuals. Prior to the experiments all incubation flasks were acid-washed and thoroughly rinsed with distilled water. Three control flasks (containing only filtered seawater) were used to take into account any contamination by ammonia before each measurement series. Ammonia analyses were carried out with a Technicon II autoanalyzer using the method of Koroleff (1969), following Tréguer & Le Corre (1975) sampling the flasks directly; three 2.5 ml samples were analysed per flask. A calibration curve was used for each experiment. The precision of measuring 0.1 μg-at. N-NH₄ l⁻¹ was ± 4 %.
Clytia spp. dry weights were not measured during the experiments, but were estimated from the relationship between dry wt and medusae diameter determined for Clytia spp. (= Phialidium spp.) by Matsakis & Nival \(1989\): \(W = 15.65D - 26.8\) \((n = 36, r = 0.80, p < 0.05)\), where \(W\) = dry weight (\(\mu g\ \text{ind.}^{-1}\)); and \(D\) = diameter (mm).

Calculations. The relationships between excretion rate \((E; \mu g\text{-at. N-NH}_4\ \text{ind.}^{-1}\ h^{-1})\), individual dry weight \((W; \mu g\ \text{ind.}^{-1})\), and temperature \(T\) (\(^\circ C\)) are usually as follows (Nival et al. \(1974\)) for a given food regime and at constant temperature:

\[
E(W) = aW^b;
\]

and for a given food regime and at constant weight:

\[
E(T) = cd^T;
\]

where \(a, b, c\) and \(d\) are constants. These values can be determined by simple regression analysis:

\[
\log E = \log a + b\log W
\]

\[
\log E = \log c + T\log d;
\]  

(1)

where \(\log\) is the base-10 logarithm.

If we consider dry weight \((W)\) and temperature \((T)\) as 2 independent variables, we can describe simultaneously their effect on the excretion rate:

\[
a = cd^T
\]

\[
E(W, T) = cW^bd^T
\]  

(2)

A multiple regression analysis can be used to determine the value of the constants \(b, c\) and \(d\):

\[
\log E = \log c + b\log W + T\log d.
\]  

(3)

This calculation assumes that the constant \(b\) is independent of temperature and \(d\) is independent of individual dry weight.

Excretion rate is also affected by food concentration \(F\) (copepods \(l^{-1}\)). We assume that only the value of the constant \(c\) (Eq. 3) is affected by \(F\). Thus, excretion rate of Clytia spp. can be expressed by the following equation:

\[
E = pr^Fd^TW^b
\]  

(4)

The values of the constants \(p, r, d\) and \(b\) can be determined by a multiple regression analysis:

\[
\log E = \log p + F\log r + T\log d + b\log W,
\]  

(5)

where \(E\) = ammonia excretion rate (\(\mu g\text{-at. N-NH}_4\ h^{-1}\ \text{ind.}^{-1}\)), \(W\) = dry weight (\(\mu g\ \text{ind.}^{-1}\)), \(F\) = food concentration (copepods \(l^{-1}\)); \(T\) = temperature (\(^\circ C\)); and \(p, r, d\) and \(p\) are constants.

As an index to describe the temperature effect on biological rate, \(Q_{10}\) is commonly used (Ikeda \(1985\)). It can be estimated from the value of the coefficient \('d'\) (in Eqs. 1, 2 & 5):

\[
Q_{10} = d^{10}.
\]

RESULTS

All significant relationships found between excretion rate and individual dry weight (Eq. 1) for each temperature and each food concentration are given in Table 1. Excretion rates of Clytia spp. increased significantly with weight, except at 15 and 21 \(^\circ C\) with a food concentration of 15 copepods \(l^{-1}\), for which the slopes of the regressions \((b)\) were not significantly different from zero.

Comparison by a Reeve's test (Reeve \(1940\)) for equality of slopes, with \(F_{max} (p = 0.05)\) for each temperature, of all the regression lines showed that at 15, 18 and 21 \(^\circ C\) the values of the slopes \(b\) are not significantly different, but that their origins \((\log a)\) are significantly different. We can therefore assume that at 15, 18 and 21 \(^\circ C\) the allometric coefficient \(b\) is independent of food availability. At 25 \(^\circ C\), the slopes of the 4 regressions (Table 1) were significantly different as were their origins.

Table 1. Clytia spp. Regressions of excretion rate \((E; \mu g\text{-at. N-NH}_4\ \text{ind.}^{-1}\ h^{-1})\) vs individual dry wt \((W; \mu g)\) using \(\log E = \log a + b\log W\) (Eq. 1) at the experimental temperatures and food concentrations (copepods \(l^{-1}\)). \(ns\): not significant; * \(p < 0.05\); ** \(p < 0.001\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp. Food conc.</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 (^\circ C)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>-4.207</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>-3.612</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>-4.011</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 (^\circ C)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>-3.819</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.884</td>
<td>-3.785</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.412</td>
<td>-4.443</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>-3.150</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 (^\circ C)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.002</td>
<td>-4.065</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.369</td>
<td>-3.881</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.319</td>
<td>-3.892</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 (^\circ C)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>-3.328</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>-3.753</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.468*</td>
<td>-3.296</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.555</td>
<td>-4.883</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This is the only slope significantly different from 1
Considering all regression lines, we performed a conformity test (Sokal & Rohlf 1981), for which we proposed the null hypothesis that the slope b = 1, and observed that the slopes were not significantly different from 1, except for the equation obtained at 25 °C and 25 copepods l⁻¹ (Table 1).

From these results we could estimate the influence of dry wt and temperature on excretion at each food concentration (Eqs. 1 & 2) by calculating multiple regressions (Table 2). A t-test showed that the regression coefficients for weight (b) and those for temperature (d) were significantly different from zero (p < 0.05) at food concentrations of 7, 15 and 25 copepods l⁻¹, but not at 50 copepods l⁻¹.

When the allometric coefficient b (Eq. 1) is 1, the specific excretion rate $E_s$ (µg-at. N-NH₄ h⁻¹ µg⁻¹) can be calculated by dividing the excretion rate by the dry wt: $E_s$ is then independent of dry wt:

$$E_s = cd^T$$

$$\log E_s = \log c + T \log d$$

A simple regression line was thus determined for each food concentration (Fig. 2). Considering linear regressions over the whole range of temperatures (15 to 25 °C), only those for the food concentrations of 7 and 15 copepods l⁻¹ were significant (p = 0.05). For other food concentrations, the relation was significant (p = 0.05) only for temperatures between 15 and 21 °C.

Table 2. Clytia spp. Multiple regressions of excretion rate ($E$, µg-at. N-NH₄ h⁻¹ ind⁻¹) vs individual dry wt ($W$, µg) and temperature ($T$, °C) using $\log E = \log c + b \log W + T \log d$ (Eq. 3) at the experimental food concentrations (copepods l⁻¹). $t_{(d)}$, $t_{(b)}$: values of the t-test for the coefficients d and b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food concentration</th>
<th>$T$</th>
<th>$\log c$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$\log d$</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>$t_{(d)}$</th>
<th>$t_{(b)}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15-25</td>
<td>-4.186</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.835</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>2.726</td>
<td>8.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15-25</td>
<td>-3.905</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3.597</td>
<td>6.443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>15-21</td>
<td>-4.444</td>
<td>1.239</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.796</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.987</td>
<td>9.372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>15-25</td>
<td>-4.34</td>
<td>1.199</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>6.783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Clytia spp. Specific excretion rate as a function of temperature (°C) for each food concentration (F, copepods l⁻¹) used during the experiments.
The \( Q_{10} \) varied with food concentrations (Table 3) from 1.54 at \( F = 7 \) copepods \(^{-1}\) to 2.04 at \( F = 25 \) copepods \(^{-1}\); at \( F = 50 \) copepods \(^{-1}\) the \( Q_{10} \) value was only 1.32. A linearity test on the Eq. (2) showed that the hypothesis of a constant \( Q_{10} \), over the entire temperature range (15 to 25 °C) could not be accepted at a food concentration of 25 copepods \(^{-1}\); it could only be accepted when temperatures ranged between 15 and 21 °C.

**Table 3. Clytia spp. \( Q_{10} \) values and confidence intervals (CI, \( p = 0.05 \)) for individuals reared at the experimental food concentrations (copepods \(^{-1}\)) and different temperature ranges (°C)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food concentration</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>( Q_{10} )</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15–25</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>(1.12, 2.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15–25</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>(1.28, 2.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>15–21</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>(0.98, 4.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>15–25</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>(0.72, 2.39)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results also revealed differences between excretion measurements at each food concentration. A temperature of 25 °C is critical for Clytia spp.; medusae did not feed, decreased in size and died quite rapidly (see Matsakis 1990). The increase in Clytia spp. weight specific excretion rate was significant only at 15, 18 and 21 °C and Eq. (5) was thus fitted only for this temperature range: log \( P = -4.829 \), log \( r = 0.009 \), log \( d = 0.047 \) and \( b = 0.962 \) (\( r = 0.75 \), \( p = 0.05 \)). In this case, regression coefficients for \( T \), \( F \) and \( W \) were significantly different from zero, \( p < 0.05 \) (\( t_{(a)} = 4.374 \), \( t_{(b)} = 5.711 \), \( t_{(b)} = 9.644 \)).

From Eq. (5) we could determine the specific excretion rate \( Es \) (μg-at. N-NH\(_4\) d\(^{-1}\) mg\(^{-1}\)) of Clytia spp. as a function of temperature \( T \) (°C) and food concentration \( F \) (copepods \(^{-1}\)) (Table 4):

\[
Es = pr^F d^T
\]

where \( p \), \( r \) and \( d \) are constants.

Using the relationship between nitrogen weight of Clytia spp. and diameter determined by Matsakis & Nival (1989), we could estimate the nitrogen specific excretion rate and corresponding daily nitrogen turnover (Table 5). The daily turnover increased with food concentration and temperature and ranged between 6.14 and 33.60 % (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Numerous studies deal with excretion rate of zooplankton, but data on excretion of hydromedusae are still limited. Thus comparisons of the present data with those of other studies are very restrained and only try to establish whether hydromedusan metabolic rates are very different from those of other gelatinous carnivores. Excretion rates for Clytia spp. reared in different experimental conditions ranged between 0.040 and 0.936 μg-at. N-NH\(_4\) d\(^{-1}\) ind\(^{-1}\). These values are in the same range of those measured by Morand et al. (1987) for ephyrae of scyphomedusae Pelagia noctiluca which ranged between 0.099 and 1.180 μg-at. N-NH\(_4\) d\(^{-1}\) ind\(^{-1}\). Larger gelatinous predators such as the ctenophores Mnemiopsis leidyi and Mnemiopsis mccradyi have lower ammonia excretion rates, 0.01 to 0.04 μg-at. N-NH\(_4\) d\(^{-1}\) ind\(^{-1}\) (Kremer 1977, 1982).

The values of the exponent \( b \) determined in our experiments (0.5 to 1.5) are in the same range of the values reported for other gelatinous zooplanktonic species (Biggs 1977, Morand et al. 1987, Youngbluth et al. 1988, Schneider 1989) but higher than those of total zooplankton (0.76 to 0.83, Ikeda 1985). These variations are dependent in part on the range of weights explored and on the behaviour and ecology of each group. Thus active species, such as the scyphomedusan Pelagia noctiluca and lobate ctenophores, which are active

**Table 4. Clytia spp. Specific excretion rates (Es, μg-at. N-NH\(_4\) d\(^{-1}\) mg\(^{-1}\)) at the experimental food concentrations (copepods \(^{-1}\)) and temperatures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Food concentration</th>
<th>Es</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 °C</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 °C</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 °C</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^* b = 0; \text{see Table 1}\)

**Table 5. Clytia spp. Daily nitrogen turnover (% N-NH\(_4\) d\(^{-1}\)) at the experimental temperatures and food concentrations (only ammonia is considered)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Food concentrations ( (\text{°C}, \text{ copepods} , \text{ } \text{ }^{-1}) )</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>9.28</td>
<td>16.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>23.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>12.66</td>
<td>15.16</td>
<td>19.05</td>
<td>33.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
swimmers, have respiration and excretion rates 1 to 3 times higher than those of passive species (Biggs 1977). Ammonia excretion rate of *Clytia* spp. is more important when medusae are reared at 21 °C; at this temperature they are also more active (Matsakis 1990). It is therefore expedient to look at some possible sources of error that might have caused us to over- or underestimate these excretion data. *Clytia* spp. medusae possess traits which both unite them with, and distinguish them from, other gelatinous predators, so they can show the same behavior patterns as ctenophores and change their excretion rates by pulsatile excretion (Kremer & Kremer 1988). Also, when medusae are fed in small rearing tanks, consumption rates may lead to rapid changes in prey densities. We tried to reduce these changes by using low predator densities (1 medusa l⁻¹), which also reduced interactions between predators, and by adding new prey twice a day.

In most of our measurements, the values of b were not significantly different from 1, indicating the absence of significant difference between weight specific excretion rate of young *Clytia* spp. and of adults. Allometric coefficients equal to 1 were also found for the ctenophores *Pleurobrachia bachei*, *Mnemiopsis me'cradyi* and *Mnemiopsis leidy* (Hirota 1972, Kremer 1977, 1982), and for the salps *Salpa fusiformis* (Andersen & Nival 1986). The constancy of the specific metabolic rate of gelatinous organisms could be related to their continuous growth, even during the reproduction period, and also to their low amount of living organic tissue (Kremer 1977, Larson 1985, Matsakis 1990). The linear dependence between excretion rate and organism size is not typical for most gelatinous zooplankton, especially in ctenophores, as reported previously (Ikeda 1970, Miller 1979, Baker 1973).

Specific excretion rates of gelatinous predators generally range between 0.01 and 1.50 µg-at. N-NH₄ mg⁻¹ d⁻¹ (Jawed 1973, Kremer 1975, 1977, 1982, Purcell & Kremer 1983, Schneider & Weisse 1985, Morand et al. 1987, Youngbluth et al. 1988). Results for *Clytia* are higher and ranged between 1.9 and 18.1 µg-at. N-NH₄ mg⁻¹ d⁻¹ (Table 6). Measurements of specific excretion rates were done on immature individuals. The dry weight of *Clytia* spp. seems to contain more organic matter than other medusae, and therefore that higher amount leads to higher weight-specific excretion rates. If b = 1, differences in dry weight and carbon content may perhaps lead to increased excretion rates in mature medusae.

An exponential increase of specific excretion rate with temperature is generally observed, as is the case for copepods (Nival et al. 1974), *Salpa fusiformis* (Andersen & Nival 1986) and *Mnemiopsis leidy* (Kremer 1975). For the higher food concentrations, *Clytia* spp. specific excretion rate increased with temperature up to 21 °C. A temperature of 25 °C inhibited *Clytia* spp. feeding (see Matsakis 1990) and thus metabolic rates, and represented a critical temperature.

The Q₁₀ values are on the order of those reported for other gelatinous zooplankton (Kremer 1977, Andersen & Nival 1986, Morand et al. 1987), ranging from 1.32 to 2.04 and tending to increase with food availability (Table 3). This suggests a decrease of metabolism and a lower sensitivity to thermal variation at low food concentrations.

### Table 6. Daily nitrogen turnover of some gelatinous predators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Nitrogen turnover (NH₄ % N d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gelatinous zooplankton</td>
<td>7.5–23</td>
<td>23–29</td>
<td>Biggs (1977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hydromedusae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clytia</em></td>
<td>6.14–33.60</td>
<td>15–21</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scyphomedusae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pelagia noctiluca</em></td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Biggs (1977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pelagia noctiluca</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ephyrae</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Morand et al. (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>Morand et al. (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Morand et al. (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Morand et al. (1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ctenophores</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Mnemiopsis leidy</em></td>
<td>4–10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Kremer et al. (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Siphonophores</em>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Agalma okeni</em></td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Biggs (1976)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sphaeronektes gracilis</em></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Purcell &amp; Kremer (1983)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One of the few studies considering the effect of controlled food level on the metabolic rate of carnivores (Reeve et al. 1978) showed higher respiration and excretion rates in Pleurobrachia bachei reared with concentrations of 10 vs 100 prey l⁻¹. Kremer (1982) reported for Mnemiopsis mccradyi an increase by a factor of 1.4 in respiration rate and 1.7 in excretion rate when food concentration increased from 5 to 50 prey l⁻¹. A similar increased was observed by Purcell & Kremer (1983) for the siphonophore Sphaeronectes gracilis when food concentration increased from 5 to 20 prey l⁻¹. The excretion rate of Clytia spp. increased by factors of 6.60, 2.62, and 8.27 when food availability increased from 7 to 25 copepods l⁻¹ at 15, 18 and 21 °C respectively.

Because of its watery composition, Clytia spp. is deceptively large for its organic content. Thus the excretion rate, which does not seem important when described as a function of size, represents in reality a very rapid turnover of nitrogen. According to Kremer (1977), ctenophores of Narragansett Bay (Rhode Island, USA) 'pump' nitrogen very rapidly through food consumption without binding these elements in their structure. We can suppose that the same phenomenon occurs in Clytia spp. which has a daily nitrogen turnover of >10% (Table 6). This high metabolic rate is confirmed by the rapid shrinkage of unfed medusae (Laval 1971, Matsakis 1990). Apparently, as food becomes scarce and medusae are unable to grow, a substantial part of their body tissue is involved in maintenance. The daily nitrogen turnover of hydro-medusae is generally higher than that for other gelatinous zooplankton (Table 6).

Using the present data on ammonia excretion of Clytia spp., I attempted to estimate the potential daily growth budget for Clytia spp. in the Bay of Villefranche-sur-Mer. The Clytia spp. abundance at Point B of the Bay was determined from March 1987 to February 1988 from zooplankton samples. The Clytia spp. were present in the Bay throughout the year with highest densities from March to June (ca 25 ind. 100 m⁻³); they became relatively scarce from July to the end of August (<5 ind. 100 m⁻³) and after this time their population increased again (Fig. 3A). During autumn and winter, the population consisted only of small size individuals (≤5 mm diameter). To calculate the mean individual growth budget we assumed that the average medusan diameter was about 5 mm during winter, and 7 mm during the rest of the year.

During the same sampling period (March 1987 to February 1988), zooplankton gathered at Point B in the Bay (using a 200 µm mesh net daily towed vertically from ~75 m to the surface) documented a concentration of potential prey for Clytia spp. of more than 15.63 × 10³ m⁻³ during the spring bloom to 0.01 × 10³ m⁻³ during winter months (Fig. 3B) (Matsakis 1990).

The growth budget G (µg N d⁻¹ ind⁻¹) is the difference between the quantity of assimilated materials and the material lost by ammonia excretion. It can be expressed by:

\[ G = A - E, \]

where \( E \) = the daily ammonia excretion rate (µg-at. N-NH₄ d⁻¹ ind⁻¹) from Eq. 5; and \( A \) = the quantity of materials assimilated daily and thus available for the organism maintenance. \( A \) corresponds to the product of the predation rate by assimilation coefficient. The assimilation coefficient is assumed to be 0.8 for gelatinous predators (see review in Larson 1985).
Predation rate \( I \) (prey \( \text{ind}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1} \)) of Clytia spp. increases linearly (slope = 0.065) with prey concentration up to a concentration of about 140 prey \( \text{L}^{-1} \) (Matsakis & Nival 1989). We can assume that the mean nitrogen content of a prey is 0.6 \( \mu \text{g} \) (Matsakis & Nival 1989).

Temperature and prey concentration in the Bay of Villefranche-sur-Mer vary throughout the year (Fig. 3B, C). Assuming that one can extrapolate the equation determined from experimental results to the natural environment, it is possible to estimate the in situ growth budget of medusae, and to find out whether it is in agreement with the abundance periods of Clytia spp. in the Bay. Fig. 3 shows that when prey are abundant, \( G \) is positive, at this time, the temperature at 30 m depth increases from 12.8 to 15°C. As temperature increases, the potential prey concentration decreases and daily rations become lower. At this time, prey concentration is very low and does not allow hydromedusa growth (Matsakis 1990), and \( G \) becomes slightly negative. This period coincides with the low abundance of Clytia spp. in early summer. We suggest here that, in order to survive from June to July, the medusae must shift their predation to other prey types. The disappearance of Clytia spp. in August and early September may be related to a reduction in medusa production by benthic hydrozoans of Clytia spp., or it could be related to the appearance of predators, perhaps the Trachymedusidae Liriope tetraphylla, which became very abundant at that time (Matsakis 1990).
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