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Pieter T. Visscher, Mara R. Diaz, Barrie F. Taylor

Division of Marine and Atmospheric Chemistry, Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway, Miami, Florida 33149-1098, USA

ABSTRACT: Populations of dimethylsulfoconipropionate (DMSP) degrading bacteria were enumerated in Caribbean Sea waters using Most Probable Number (MPN) methodology. DMSP and 3-methiolpropionate (MMPA), an intermediate in the demethylation pathway of DMSP degradation, were used as enrichment substrates. Two metabolically distinct populations were obtained: DMSP cleavers, which produced dimethyl sulfide (DMS) from DMSP, and demethylators of DMSP, which produced 3-mercaptopropionate (MPA) and/or methanethiol (MSH) from DMSP or MMPA. Demethylating populations were distinct from DMS producers and formed 5 to 66% of total DMSP degraders. DMSP utilizers comprised about 10% of the total bacteria (MPNs on glucose-acetate) indicating the potential of DMSP as a carbon source for bacteria in the euphotic zone.

Dimethylsulfoconipropionate (DMSP) is synthesized by certain phytoplankton, most notably by some species of dinoflagellates and coccolithophores in which it functions as an osmolyte (Keller et al. 1989). There is interest in the environmental fate of DMSP because it is the principal precursor of dimethyl sulfide (DMS). Quantitatively, DMS is the most important volatile sulfur compound entering the marine atmosphere (Andreae 1990). Evidence from culture studies suggest that DMSP might be released from phytoplankton into seawater by a variety of mechanisms (Dacey & Wakeham 1986) where it is enzymatically cleaved to DMS and acrylate by bacteria (Dacey & Blough 1987, Kiene 1992).

Comparisons of the kinetcs of DMSP and DMS turnover in surface oceanic and estuarine waters have indicated alternative fates for DMSP other than DMS production (Kiene & Service 1991, Kiene 1992). Indeed, alternative catabolic routes for DMSP are known. In anoxic coastal sediments DMSP was demethylated to 3-methiolpropionate (MMPA) and thence to 3-mercaptopropionate (MPA) (Fig. 1) (Kiene & Taylor 1988a, b). A marine bacterium, isolated from a culture of the coccolithophore Emiliania huxleyi, aerobically demethylated DMSP to MMPA, which was mainly demethylated to yield methanethiol but also demethylated MMPA to MPA (Fig. 1) (Taylor & Gilchrist 1991).

Fig. 1. Pathways of DMSP metabolism in the marine environment. Shaded boxes indicate products measured in the present study.
population, respectively (Table 1).
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tative measure for bacterial metabolism, was observed
by gas exchange. Substrates tested were DMSP, MMPA,
both at a final concentration of 360 µM, and glucose
plus acetate added to a final concentration of 180 µM
each. Incubations (3 replicates per treatment) were
carried out in the dark and the tubes were vortexed 3
times per day to replenish the medium with oxygen.
Controls either contained no substrate and an inocu-
lum, or no inoculum and substrate.

Heterotrophic growth on glucose plus acetate was
checked by turbidity, while growth on sulfur com-
pounds was followed by production of the volatile
gases DMS, MSH and dimethyldisulfide (DMDS). A
headspace sample was removed aseptically from each
tube and analyzed for these gases with a Shimadzu
GC-Mini 2 gas chromatograph with flame ionization
detector and Carbopak BHT column (Supelco,
Bellefonte, PA, USA). The column temperature was
100 °C and carrier gas flow was 60 ml min⁻¹. Detection
limits were 3 nmol (1 µM) for DMDS and
and the culture liquid for MPA after which the popula-
tion was evaluated as described above.

Counts of bacterial cells in water samples were made
using epifluorescence microscopy. Slides were pre-
pared aboard ship, after fixing samples (5 ml) in
formaldehyde (final concentration 2 % v/v). Cells were
colored with acridine orange (final concentration: 0.01 % w/v), filtered onto 0.2 µm black-stained Nucleo-
pore filters (Hobbie et al. 1977), and kept refrigerated
until counted.

DMSP was either obtained through Research Plus
(Bayonne, NJ, USA), or synthesized (Chambers et al.
1987) and MMPA was obtained by alkaline hydrolysis
of its methyl ester supplied by Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI,
USA).

Results and discussion. In shipboard incubations,
production of sulfur gases, which was used as a qualita-
tive measure for bacterial metabolism, was observed
within 24 h in lower dilutions (10² to 10³) of all samples. Typically, the DMSP-cleaving population took 10 to
14 d to fully develop, while the MMPA utilizing popula-
tion grew more slowly and peaked after 16 to 20 d. The dominant volatile sulfur species detected from
MMPA was MSH, while its oxidation product DMDS
was only found at much lower concentrations. The
glucose-acetate population fully developed within 4
to 6 d.

At lower dilutions both DMSP and MMPA media
supported growth, with DMS and MPA production in
DMSP media contrasted by MSH and DMDS produc-
tion in MMPA media. The results indicate the presence of mixed populations carrying out cleavage, demethyl-
ation and demethylation. At higher dilutions the products were either DMS or MSH and MPA indicating
the dominance of either cleavers or demethylators/demethiolators.

The results of MPN (Table 1) showed no difference
in population size between DMSP (2.0 × 10⁴ to 2.0 ×
10⁵ cells ml⁻¹) and MMPA utilizers (4.0 × 10³ to 5.0 ×
10³ cells ml⁻¹) based on 95 % confidence intervals,
although on average the DMS producers seemed to be
present in slightly higher numbers. Except for MMPA
incubations from Stn 2 at the chl a maximum, the MPA-
producing populations in DMSP and MMPA media
were smaller than the DMS and MSH-producing
population, respectively (Table 1).
In the surface sample of Stn 1, ca 6 % of the total heterotrophic population used DMSP and/or MMPA (Fig. 2). At the chl a maximum, this was 10 % for both stations, whereas at Stn 2, below the chl a maximum, the population of DMSP and/or MMPA utilizers slightly exceeded the glucose-acetate population. Total counts with epifluorescence showed that 20 to 50 % of the total bacterial population was retrieved with the MPN incubation containing glucose plus acetate (Fig. 2). The results suggest that DMSP may be an important carbon source for bacteria in seawater, as previously suggested for sediments (Kiene & Taylor 1988b). However, further quantitative studies will need to be done to address this question.

When the positive cultures from DMSP media which produced only DMS were transferred to MMPA medium, no thiol production or growth was detected. On the other hand, when populations in which originally both DMS and MPA were detected (so-called double positives), were transferred to an MMPA-medium, none produced DMS or MSH but nearly all produced MPA. Exceptions were one of the highest double positives of Stn 1, at the chl a maximum, and one of the highest double positives of Stn 2, below the chl a maximum, which showed no growth on MMPA or production of MPA from MMPA (20 and 25 % of the original total populations, respectively).

Conversely, when MMPA utilizers were incubated in the presence of DMSP, all except two of the highest positive tubes of Stn 1, at the chl a maximum, used DMSP and produced MPA and/or MSH but not DMS (40 % of the total population of Stn 1, at the chl a maximum, did not use DMSP). Production of MPA by populations originally enumerated on MMPA occurred on the average within 24 to 48 h as opposed to 72 h by populations obtained on DMS.

Although the cleavage pathway is conspicuous, because of the importance of DMS in atmospheric chemistry and climate, a major fraction of the DMSP in the water column is probably degraded by other routes (Kiene 1990, 1992, Kiene & Service 1991). In estuarine waters less than 30 % of the DMSP was converted to DMS (Kiene & Service 1991). With sea water samples from the Sargasso Sea, near Stn 2 of our study, Kiene (1992) found less than 25 % of added DMSP was converted to DMS, even when DMS consumption was inhibited by CHCl₃.

---

**Table 1.** Viable count (cells ml⁻¹) of DMSP-utilizing bacteria and MMPA-utilizing bacteria (95 % confidence interval in parentheses). DMSP: dimethylsulfoniopropionate; MMPA: 3-methylpropionate; DMS: dimethyl sulfide; MPA: 3-mercaptopropionate; nd: not determined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>DMS from DMSP</th>
<th>MPA from DMSP</th>
<th>MSH from MMPA</th>
<th>MPA from MMPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stn 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>4.0 × 10⁴</td>
<td>5.0 × 10¹</td>
<td>2.1 × 10³</td>
<td>1.1 × 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[2-21 × 10⁴]</td>
<td>[2-24 × 10¹]</td>
<td>(0.8-6.4 × 10³)</td>
<td>(0.3-48 × 10³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl a max (-50 m)</td>
<td>2.0 × 10⁴</td>
<td>5.0 × 10¹</td>
<td>2.0 × 10³</td>
<td>2.0 × 10³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1-14 × 10⁴]</td>
<td>(2-24 × 10¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stn 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl a max (-100 m)</td>
<td>2.0 × 10⁴</td>
<td>4.0 × 10²</td>
<td>4.0 × 10²</td>
<td>1.1 × 10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1-14 × 10⁴]</td>
<td>[2-21 × 10²]</td>
<td>[2-24 × 10²]</td>
<td>(0.3-48 × 10³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below chl a max (-150 m)</td>
<td>2.0 × 10⁵</td>
<td>4.0 × 10³</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[1-14 × 10⁵]</td>
<td>(2-21 × 10³)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Depth profiles of microbial populations using DMSP, glucose + acetate (Glu/Ac; error bars indicate the 95 % confidence interval) and Acridine Orange Direct Counts (AODC; error bars indicate standard deviation). The chlorophyll a maximum was at 80 and 100 m depth at Stns 1 & 2, respectively.
These findings indicated alternative pathways of DMSP degradation in oxic environments and our findings provide direct evidence for the presence of bacteria involved in demethylation and/or demethiolation transformations (Fig. 1).

In this study, we enumerated viable populations on DMSP and on MMPA. The latter is an intermediate in the demethylation and demethiolation routes (Fig. 1). Our data show that with the same inoculum, populations using all 3 pathways were present. Transfer of populations which only cleave DMSP to DMS (highest positives tubes) to medium containing MMPA showed that these consisted of DMS-producers only. Similarly, all but 2 populations obtained on MMPA demethylated and/or demethiolated DMSP, while no cleavage was detected. Aerobic demethylation of DMSP has not previously been documented in any environment. Our data confirm the idea that DMSP demethylion and MMPA catabolism is linked and carried out by the same microbial population, which is distinct from that responsible for DMSP cleavage (Taylor & Gilchrist 1991). MMPA degradation with methanethiol formation needs investigation under in situ conditions since methanethiol is not usually detected in surface oceanic waters. As previously suggested (Taylor & Gilchrist 1991) the aerobic demethylation of MMPA may dominate at elevated MMPA concentrations. MMPA levels are probably low in natural environments, certainly lower than used in the MPN determinations, and demethylation may dominate over demethiolation. The occurrence of organisms that preferentially demethylate rather than demethiolate MMPA is indicated by the enrichments which produced DMS and MPA from DMSP but on transfer to MMPA gave MPA but not methanethiol.

The composition of the medium, especially the growth substrate, gives the MPN method its selectivity, and as noted above, it would be preferable to use levels that are closer to the natural situation (10 to 100 nM). In spite of its limitations the MPN results allow an estimate that the total DMSP-consuming population was composed of 33 to 95 % cleavers and 5 to 66 % demethylators/demethiolators. These percentages indicate the populations recovered and do not indicate in situ activities. The contribution of each metabolic group depends on population size, biochemical and physiological characteristics, and environmental factors such as fluctuations in DMSP levels. Our data emphasize the need for studies of environmental turnover rates for key intermediates and the physiology of pure cultures to understand the fate of DMSP in marine regions.
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