INTRODUCTION

American eels *Anguilla rostrata* exhibit high temporal and geographic variability in many life history traits (Tesch 1977, Helfman et al. 1987, Oliveira 1999). Such plasticity in biological characteristics and environmental requirements may be fundamental to the success of this panmictic and semelparous species. It spawns in the Sargasso Sea and ranges geographically from Venezuela to Greenland. The Gulf Stream distributes the leaf-like larvae, leptocephali, along the Atlantic coast of North America. The larvae metamorphose to glass eels in continental waters and migrate inshore to coastal waters, estuaries and streams, where they become pigmented elvers. Most American eel elvers probably migrate into freshwater, as do Japanese and European eel elvers. A variable but unknown proportion of elvers may remain in coastal and estuarine waters for times ranging from 1 to several years, or for their entire juvenile life, before beginning sexual maturation and the spawning migration (Smith & Saunders 1955, Tsukamoto et al. 1998, Tzeng et al. 2000, in press).
Patterns in the strontium (Sr) to calcium (Ca) ratio of otoliths, in combination with age data, have been used to elucidate the environmental history of fish, particularly the habitat use and seasonal migration for various fishes, including *Anguilla* spp. (Otake et al. 1994, Tzeng & Tsai 1994, Tzeng 1996, Tzeng et al. 1997, 1999, 2000, in press, Secor 1999, Secor & Rooker 2000). The variability in migratory behaviours associated with habitat selection has recently been investigated for leptocephali metamorphosing to glass eels over the continental shelf (Cheng & Tzeng 1996, Wang & Tzeng 1998, 2000, Arai et al. 2000, Shiao et al. 2001) and for estuarine- and freshwater-resident yellow and silver eels (Tzeng et al. 1997, 2000, in press). Otolith microconstituents are measured precisely along a radius between the nucleus and edge of the otolith so as to reconstruct a chronology of environmental conditions related to age and life stage. A positive relationship exists between otolith Sr:Ca ratio and ambient salinities among marine, estuarine and freshwater fishes (Tzeng 1996, Kawakami et al. 1998, Secor & Rooker 2000).

Runs of juvenile eels of varying age, based upon their size composition, into and up rivers during spring are well known, but autumnal estuarine-to-freshwater migrations and spring freshwater-to-estuary migrations of juvenile American eels may also occur (Smith & Saunders 1955, Medcof 1969). Upstream migrations of 450 to 1200 juvenile eels of about 70 to 200 mm total length (TL) occurred concurrent with and following the annual elver run (elvers are typically 50 to 70 mm TL; Jessop 1998) into the East River, Chester, Nova Scotia, Canada, between 1996 and 2000 (e.g. Jessop 1997). The age composition and residence history of these juveniles is uncertain. We hypothesise that the eel stock within an estuary and associated river watershed is composed of 1 or more of the following behavioural groups: (1) coastal or estuarine resident, (2) entrance to freshwater as an elver, (3) coastal or estuarine resident for 1 yr or more before entering freshwater as a juvenile eel, and, after freshwater entrance, (4) continuous residence in freshwater until exiting as a silver eel and (5) freshwater resident for 1 yr or more before migrating periodically and irregularly between the river and estuary until exiting as a silver eel.

In the absence of definitive age information, size and pigmentation may not always be sufficient to distinguish elvers from small juveniles. Jessop (1998) hypothesised that the elver-sized (less than about 70 mm), heavily pigmented eels early in the run are small juveniles rather than elvers that have pigmented particularly rapidly.

The observed annual spring migration of juvenile eels of various ages from the estuary to the river should be evident in the otolith environmental history of silver eels from the river, consistent with the observations by Smith & Saunders (1955) and Medcof (1969) of seasonal migrations between river and estuary. In addition, the proportion of silver eels showing a history of river entrance as an elver or as a juvenile should indicate the relative importance of each group’s contribution to the development of the river stock. The high mortality rate (M) of elvers during their first summer in freshwater (M > 0.99; Jessop 2000) and the size of the juvenile run lead to the hypothesis that a substantial proportion of silver eels would show evidence of a period of estuarine residence as a juvenile prior to entering the river.

This study examined the Sr and Ca deposition patterns in the otoliths of American eels in relation to their age and migratory history (upstream-migrant juveniles, downstream-migrant silver eels) for evidence in support of hypotheses that (1) a complex variety of migration and habitat residence patterns occurs; (2) elver-sized, heavily pigmented eels early in the run are small juveniles; and (3) the observed pattern of juvenile eel migration is evident in the otolith environmental history of silver eels.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area.** The East River (near Chester, Nova Scotia) has a watershed area of 134 km² and drains into Mahone Bay, which is located slightly south of the midpoint along the Atlantic coast of Nova Scotia (Fig. 1). A small falls (0.6 m) occurs at the outlet of the river just upstream of the high-tide mark. The river ranges in pH from 4.7 to 5.0; conductivity averages 24 µmho cm⁻¹ (Watt et al. 1995). The Sr:Ca ratio of the river water at site B of Fig. 1 was measured as 5.6 × 10⁻³ in April 2001. River water temperature ranges from about 1–2°C in the winter to 23–25°C in the summer. River discharge ranges from <5 m³ s⁻¹ during the summer to perhaps 35 m³ s⁻¹ during the spring flood.

The main branch of the East River (East Branch) was limed because it had a slightly higher natural pH (about 5.0) than did the Canaan River tributary (4.6 to 4.7) and would require less lime to attain a desired pH (Watt & White 1992, Watt et al. 1995). Four lakes (about 53% of the total watershed lake area) in the East Branch had about 350 t of powdered calcite applied annually between 1986 and 1996 (Watt & White 1992). The calcite dissolution rate during the first year following application was about 35%, and large amounts of calcite remained in the lakes that were limed. The lowermost lake that was limed is 11.6 km from the river mouth. The Sr:Ca ratio of the water in the East River upriver of the junction with the Canaan River averaged 4.1 × 10⁻³ in July 2001. The Canaan River and Barrys
Fig. 1. Maps of the East River (Chester, Nova Scotia, Canada) showing location along the Atlantic coast of Nova Scotia, tributary rivers and elver trap sites at (A) river mouth and (B) Highway 103 culvert on main stem.
Brook tributaries contain about 47% of the watershed lake area and were not limed. The Canaan River has low calcium concentrations (mean 930 µg l⁻¹) and little buffering capacity (alkalinity 0.0 ppm) with marginally better conditions in the East Branch. After 5 yr of liming, pH values in the East Branch increased from about 5.5 to 6.7 but have since returned to previous levels.

The salinity in Mahone Bay about 2 km from the river mouth varies seasonally and with depth from ~27 to 31.5‰ (M. Dadswell, Acadia University, Wolfville, NS, pers. comm.). In general, winter surface (0 to 60 m) salinities in the coastal zone are 31 to 32‰ and summer salinities are 30 to 31‰. Water temperatures in the East River estuary are about –1 to 2°C during winter and rise to about 18 to 20°C during the summer but are often in the range 12 to 17°C. The estuary is well mixed, with a maximum tidal range of ~2 m and an average range of 1.5 m.

**Sample collection.** Length, weight, pigmentation stage and sex (from silver eels) were obtained from elvers, juvenile or yellow eels and silver eels randomly collected from the East River (Table 1). Otoliths were removed for age determination and microchemistry analysis. Elvers are defined here as young-of-the-year (YOY) or age-0 eels with pigmentation stage from glass eel to fully pigmented (stage VIB of Elie et al. 1982 or stage 7 of Haro & Krueger 1988). Although the term juvenile eel includes elvers, the term juvenile eel in most contexts refers to larger, older (yellow) eels prior to the start of sexual maturation (silvering) and migration to the sea to spawn.

TLs were measured fresh, after anaesthetisation in MS-222, to 0.1 for eels <100 and to 1.0 mm for larger eels. Weights were measured to 0.01 g for eels less than 10 g and to 0.1 g for larger eels. Elver pigmentation was classified as stages 1 to 7, following Haro & Krueger (1988). Older juvenile eels, often readily identified as yellow eels, were classified as stage 8, an extension of the system used by Haro & Krueger (1988) and equivalent to stage VII of Elie et al. (1982). Elvers and juvenile eels were then preserved in 95% ethanol.

The length distributions of juvenile eels selected for otolith extraction from the different sample groups were matched with the length distributions of those groups when freshly measured. The matched cases were used to develop a linear conversion equation for application to other cases: PL = 3.681 + 0.908 FL, where PL is preserved length and FL is fresh length in mm over the range from 60 to 180 mm (n = 36, r² = 0.998, p < 0.001).

The silver eels were frozen fresh and stored in a sealed bag, then thawed prior to length measurements. American eels of about 400 mm length shrink by about 1.6% after freezing and thawing (W. Morrison, Chesapeake Bay Biological Laboratory, Solomons, MD, USA, pers. comm.), while European eels of similar size shrink about 2.5% in length and 2.7% in weight (Wickstrom 1986). The sex of the silver eels was evaluated macroscopically and later compared with the generalisation that male silver eels are less than 400 mm long (Krueger & Oliveira 1997). The heads were then removed and preserved in 95% ethanol for later removal of the otoliths. Proctor & Thresher (1998) found little difference between preservation methods such as freezing and ethanol immersion on otolith Ca concentration, and variability in Sr:Ca ratio was due largely to variability in Sr concentration.

Four groups of eels were examined (Table 1):

- **Group 1**—upstream-migrant juvenile eels collected from elver traps situated just upstream of the head of tide between May 5 and June 19, 2000 (Site A, Fig. 1). Up to 6 eels, as available, were collected for each 5 mm length interval between 70 and 150 mm or more. These eels were fully pigmented (stage 8), with the larger eels appearing as yellow eels.

- **Group 2**—upstream-migrant juvenile (pigment stage 8) eels collected by elver trap just upstream of the head of tide (Site A, Fig. 1) between May 5 and 22

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Sampling Site</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>River mouth</td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>Undiff.</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>101.9 ± 25.84</td>
<td>1.42 ± 1.650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>River mouth</td>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>Undiff.</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>66.0 ± 2.94</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.3 km upriver</td>
<td>Elver/ Juvenile</td>
<td>Undiff.</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>70.9 ± 6.05</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.3 km upriver</td>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10–25</td>
<td>355 ± 18.0</td>
<td>78.9 ± 10.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>f</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11–29</td>
<td>468 ± 86.6</td>
<td>207.6 ± 176.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Otoliths from 2 silver eels were unmatched with biological data due to data processing error.

### Table 1. Sample data for microchemistry analysis of otoliths of American eels collected from the East River, Nova Scotia. Undiff.: undifferentiated gonads.
2000, that were <70 mm long, i.e. the size of larger elvers (Jessop 1998).

- Group 3—upstream-migrant juvenile eels collected on June 28, 2000, from elver traps located 1.3 km upstream from the river mouth (Site B, Fig. 1).
- Group 4—downstream-migrant silver eels collected from a weir located 1.3 km upstream from the river mouth (Site B, Fig. 1) during September 28 to 30, 1998.

**Microchemical analysis.** The otoliths were prepared for electron probe microanalysis of the weight ratio of Sr:Ca by the methods described in Tzeng et al. (1997). The Sr and Ca concentration from the primordium to the otolith edge was measured by an electron probe microanalyser (EPMA; JXA-8800R, JEOL) with a wavelength dispersive spectrometer (WDS). The electron beam was defocused at intervals of approximately 10 µm on an area of about 5 µm diameter by beam conditions of 15 keV and 3 nA. The wavelength dispersive spectrum was measured for 120 s at peak positions and 20 s at background positions for Sr Lα and at 20 s and 10 s for Ca Kα. The beam power density of 2.3 W m² was within the range of 0.5 to 3 W m² suggested by Gunn et al. (1992), and the counting time was sufficient to ensure effective measurement. Calcite (CaCO₃; NMNH 136321) and strontiantite (SrCO₃; NMNH R10065) were used as standards. The weight ratio of Sr:Ca was calculated after correction with the ZAF method (Z, atomic number effect; A, absorption of X-rays within the specimen; F, fluorescence effects; Goldstein et al. 1984). After microprobe analysis, the otoliths were repolished and etched with 5% EDTA to enhance the annuli (Tzeng et al. 1994).

All of the eel otoliths analysed for Sr:Ca ratio were aged and the distances were measured (µm) from the core to various life stage markers: metamorphosis check at the transition from leptocephalus larvae to glass eel, elver check (nominally the freshwater check) at the transition from glass eel to elver and the annuli marking age in years. Mean (±SD) otolith Sr:Ca ratios were calculated at each of the various life stage markers for the juvenile eels of Groups 1 to 3 and the silver eels of Group 4. The Sr:Ca ratio at the otolith edge was assumed to reflect the recent environmental history of the eel and the salinity of its place of capture. The environmental history of each eel was interpreted by examining the temporal pattern of Sr:Ca ratios along the otolith transect with respect to the estuarine-freshwater decision criteria (see ‘Results’), the location of otolith checks representing life history transitions such as the metamorphosis from leptocephalus to glass eel, age determined from

**RESULTS**

**Discrimination of estuarine and freshwater eels by Sr:Ca ratios**

Otolith Sr:Ca ratios varied consistently at various life history stages and in response to different environmental salinities (Fig. 2A). For 56 juveniles of Groups 1 to 3, the Sr:Ca ratio averaged $9.82 \pm 2.01 \times 10^{-3}$ at the

![Fig. 2. *Anguilla rostrata.* (A) Mean Sr:Ca ratios and distances (±SD) along the otolith radius from the primordium (P), metamorphosis check (M), elver check (E), and the first (1) and second (2) annuli for freshwater- (n = 18) and estuarine- (n = 24) resident juvenile eels; (B) frequency distribution of the mean of the final 3 Sr:Ca ratios at the otolith edge for freshwater- (n = 18) and estuarine- (n = 24) resident juvenile eels; (C) frequency distribution of Sr:Ca ratios at the first otolith annulus for freshwater- (n = 26) and estuarine- (n = 25) resident eels; and (D) frequency distribution of the mean of the final 6 Sr:Ca ratios at the otolith edge for downstream-migrating silver eels (n = 64)
primordium, 13.63 \times 10^{-3} \pm 2.29 \times 10^{-3} at the metamorphosis check and 5.42 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.22 \times 10^{-3} at the elver check (P, M and E, respectively, in Fig. 2A). The Sr:Ca ratios of these life history stages did not differ significantly between those eels that entered freshwater as elvers and those that did not (all p > 0.16). Thus, these eels experienced a similar environmental history before the elver stage. At the first annulus, elvers that migrated directly into the river had significantly lower Sr:Ca ratios (2.38 \times 10^{-3} \pm 0.99 \times 10^{-3}) than those that remained in the estuary for a year (7.28 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.09 \times 10^{-3}) (t = 17.0, df = 1,50, p < 0.001). The mean distance from the core of the otolith to the elver check was 148.4 \pm 4.5 \mu m. At age-1, elvers that migrated to the river grew less than those that remained in the estuary, as measured by otolith transect distances (238.4 vs 272.6 \mu m; t = 2.5, df = 1,50, p = 0.015). At age-2, the growth of the eels in freshwater did not differ from that in the estuary (333.4 vs 360.6 \mu m; t = 0.7, df = 12, p = 0.50), mainly because of the high variability in otolith growth rate at this age and the small sample size.

The grand mean of the means of the final 3 Sr:Ca ratios at the otolith edge was 6.98 \times 10^{-3} (n = 24, range 5.38 \times 10^{-3} to 8.73 \times 10^{-3}) for upstream-migrant juvenile eels (Group 1, Site A, Fig. 1) and 2.65 \times 10^{-3} (n = 18, range 1.74 \times 10^{-3} to 3.82 \times 10^{-3}) for juveniles caught upstream later in the year (Group 3, Site B, Fig. 1). For estuarine and freshwater eels, the means of the Sr:Ca ratios differed significantly (t = 19.7, df = 1,40, p < 0.001) and their ranges did not overlap (Fig. 2C). However, 5 eels from Group 1 were excluded on the basis of patterns in their Sr:Ca ratios between the freshwater check and otolith edge that were more consistent with eels that had spent the past year in the river rather than in the estuary (see following section). One eel from Group 3 was excluded on the basis that its otolith history was consistent with residence in the estuary during the previous year. The Sr:Ca ratios at the first annulus also comprised 2 groups, ranging from 0.5 \times 10^{-3} to 3.8 \times 10^{-3} and from 5.0 \times 10^{-3} to 8.8 \times 10^{-3} (Fig. 2B). On the basis of the Sr:Ca ratios at the otolith edge and the distribution of values at the first annulus, we considered Sr:Ca ratios less than 4.0 \times 10^{-3} to indicate freshwater residence and values greater than 5.0 \times 10^{-3} to indicate estuarine residence.

The mean Sr:Ca ratios in the final 50 \mu m nearest the edge of otoliths from 64 silver eels ranged from 0.38 \times 10^{-3} to 7.46 \times 10^{-3}, with modes at 1.0 \times 10^{-3} to 1.5 \times 10^{-3} and 5.0 \times 10^{-3} to 5.5 \times 10^{-3} (Fig. 2D). Of the 9 eels with Sr:Ca ratios between 4.01 \times 10^{-3} and 4.99 \times 10^{-3}, the Sr:Ca ratios declined sharply in 5 eels as the otolith edge was approached.

Four of 14 putative freshwater-resident juvenile eels had 1 probe spot with an Sr:Ca ratio greater than 4.0 \times 10^{-3} and less than 5.0 \times 10^{-3} out of 78 to 124 spots along the transect from the first annulus to the otolith edge, while 2 eels had 2 disjoint spots. The transition in silver eel otoliths of Sr:Ca ratios to below 4.0 \times 10^{-3} to above 5.0 \times 10^{-3} was not always sharp, and runs of 2 to 5 intermediate values sometimes occurred. The possible meaning of single spots is discussed later, but 2 or more contiguous spots greater than 4.0 \times 10^{-3} or less than 5.0 \times 10^{-3} were considered to represent a change in habitat, with intermediate values representing tran-
sitional conditions. The context of particular Sr:Ca ratios relative to associated values must be considered. Although the residence classification criteria for Sr:Ca ratios is disjunct, the incorporation in the otolith of evidence of a habitat shift is a continuous process. The potential benefits in interpreting the trend of smoothing the data by a moving average with, perhaps, a window width of 3 and the possibility of obscuring important variability were not examined.

**Sr:Ca ratios in otoliths of juvenile eels of Groups 1 and 2 at the river mouth**

**Group 1**

Upstream-migrant juvenile eels of Group 1 had a mean length of 101.9 mm (range 72.4 to 180.1 mm) and ranged in age from 1 to 4 yr or more (Table 1). Juvenile eel lengths increased with age, but length-at-age was highly variable. The otoliths from 29 eels of Group 1 showed 4 main types of habitat residence and movement patterns: (1) entrance to freshwater as an elver (Fig. 3A, Table 2), either rapidly (Fig. 3B, B2-12) or delayed (Fig. 3B, B1-5); (2) coastal or estuarine residence for 1 yr or more before entering freshwater as a juvenile (Fig. 3C,D,E); and, after river entrance, (3) continuous freshwater residence (Fig. 3A) or (4) a return to the estuary (Fig. 3B). During estuarine residence, juvenile eels may move periodically between zones of higher and lower salinity in the estuary or river mouth (Fig. 3D,E).

Twelve eels (41%) entered the river directly from the estuary as elvers, of which 5 eels (17%) remained in freshwater, as inferred from the pattern of Sr:Ca ratios in their otoliths (Table 2). After the eels entered freshwater, as determined by the position of the otolith edge following capture, the Sr:Ca ratio averaged 2.02 ± 0.37 × 10⁻³ in the autumn between ages 1 and 2, and by the Sr:Ca ratio of fish B2-13 from 9.0 ± 0.37 × 10⁻³ to 3.8 ± 10⁻³ in the autumn between ages 1 and 2, and by the change from 9.1 ± 0.37 × 10⁻³ to 4.3 ± 10⁻³ to 8.6 ± 10⁻³ during the spring at age-3.

![Table 2. Anguilla rostrata. Life history behaviour patterns of juvenile and silver American eels as interpreted from the Sr:Ca ratios along a transect from otolith nucleus to edge and the location and timing of capture. The decision criterion for freshwater residence was an Sr:Ca ratio ≤4.0 × 10⁻³; for estuarine residence it was ≥5.0 × 10⁻³](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behaviour pattern</th>
<th>Juvenile eels (%)</th>
<th>Silver eels (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 1 (n = 29)</td>
<td>Group 2 (n = 8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enter river as elvers</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous freshwater residence</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular movement(s) between river and estuary</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enter river as juveniles</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous freshwater residence</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular movement(s) between river and estuary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
between the elver check and annulus was 124.4 µm (n = 9, SD = 41.26, range 60 to 179 µm).

**Group 2**

The small (<70 mm), highly pigmented, early arriving juvenile eels of Group 2 had a mean length of 66.0 mm (range 62.0 to 69.8 mm) and all were age-1 (Tables 1 & 2). Six of the 8 juveniles examined had Sr:Ca ratios that averaged 2.22 ± 1.15 × 10⁻³ (range 0.5 to 3.7 × 10⁻³) at the position of the annulus, indicating freshwater residence (eel B4-1, Fig. 4A). Two juveniles had overwintered in the estuary, as indicated by Sr:Ca ratios at the annulus of 6.9 × 10⁻³ and 8.4 × 10⁻³ (eel B4-10, Fig. 4A). When aged, eel B4-7 (Fig. 4B) showed very little growth after the elver check and no annulus; all other aged juveniles of this group showed slight plus growth beyond the annulus. The mean otolith growth between the elver check and first annulus was 68.7 µm (n = 7, SD = 22.4, range 49 to 110 µm) and was significantly smaller than for the age-1 juveniles longer than 70 mm (t = −3.21, df = 1.14, p = 0.006).

**Sr:Ca ratios in otoliths of juvenile eels of Group 3 from upstream**

Otoliths were examined from 19 juvenile eels of Group 3 (Tables 1 & 2). All were age-1 or age-2 and ranged in length from 63.5 to 84.0 mm with a mean of 70.9 mm. For 18 eels, the mean Sr:Ca ratio at the first annulus was 2.51 × 10⁻³ ± 0.46 × 10⁻³ (range 0.7 × 10⁻³ to 3.8 × 10⁻³), indicating that they had remained 1 yr in the lower river before migrating further upstream e.g. eel B3-18 (Fig. 4C). Eel B3-1 had an Sr:Ca ratio at the first annulus of 7.7 × 10⁻³, indicating estuary residence for a year before entering the river and migrating upstream (Fig. 4C). Growth after the first annulus was evident in this eel, but there was no indication of a decline in Sr:Ca ratio indicative of freshwater residence. Assuming that this juvenile entered the river at the peak of the juvenile run on May 22, then a change in environmental salinity may take more than 31 d to register in the otolith at mean daily water temperatures that increased from 13.8 to 23.0°C over the period of upstream migration. All (n = 14) age-1 juvenile eels of this group possessed an annulus or plus growth, as did 80% of age-2 juveniles.

**Sr:Ca ratios in otoliths of silver eels of Group 4 from upstream**

Otoliths of the 64 silver eels of Group 4 showed the same variety of temporal, life history patterns in the Sr:Ca ratio as the juvenile eels (Table 2, Fig. 5). The Sr:Ca ratio means at the primordium, metamorphosis check and elver check did not differ significantly from those for juvenile eels (all p > 0.01). Forty-eight of 64 eels (75%) showed otolith Sr:Ca ratios declining to ≤4 × 10⁻³ during the year of continental arrival, suggesting direct entry as elvers into the river (Table 2). For example, Sr:Ca ratios for eel Z87 (Fig. 5A) averaged 4.15 × 10⁻³ ± 0.42 × 10⁻³ (range 3.1 × 10⁻³ to 5.4 × 10⁻³) between the elver check and the first annulus and 1.70 × 10⁻³ ± 0.17 × 10⁻³ (range 0.0 to 3.5 × 10⁻³) after the first annulus. Comparable values for eel Z68 were 5.57 × 10⁻³ ± 0.94 × 10⁻³ (range 2.9 × 10⁻³ to 7.0 × 10⁻³) and 2.27 × 10⁻³ ± 0.21 × 10⁻³ (range 0.0 to 4.8 × 10⁻³, with 1 of 96 values exceeding 4.0).

Sixteen silver eels (25%) had otolith Sr:Ca ratios that remained above 4 × 10⁻³ for the first 1 or 2 yr (rarely more), indicating a period of residence in the estuary and delayed entry into the river (Table 2, Fig. 5A,D). The mean Sr:Ca ratios between the elver check and...
first annulus of juvenile eels that remained at least a year in the estuary before entering the river were slightly higher but not significantly different ($t = 0.6$, $df = 1,18$, $p = 0.55$) from those of silver eels that behaved similarly (juvenile mean $6.41 \times 10^{-3}$, range $5.20 \times 10^{-3}$ to $7.46 \times 10^{-3}$, $n = 13$; silver eel mean $6.11 \times 10^{-3}$, range $4.15 \times 10^{-3}$ to $9.28 \times 10^{-3}$, $n = 7$).

After the initial entry to low salinity or freshwater, 2 additional behavioural groups were evident from the otoliths of the 64 silver eels examined: (1) continuous residence in freshwater (Table 2, Fig. 5A) and (2) irregular movements of variable duration (1 to 10 yr) between fresh and estuarine water of varying salinities (Table 2, Fig. 5B–E). Of the 48 eels that entered the river as elvers, 30 (63%) showed Sr:Ca ratios greater than 5 after 1 yr or more in the river, suggesting a return to estuarine waters. Of the 23 eels (36% of the total number of silver eels) that evidently remained in freshwater until silvering and migrating downstream, 18 (78%) entered the river as elvers and 5 (12%) entered as juveniles. Forty-one (64% of the total) silver eels showed a pattern of irregular movement between low and high salinity waters, of which 14 (34%) returned to freshwater from 1 to 11 yr before silvering. The seasonal timing and direction of such movements could be interpreted as ranging from spring to fall or even winter, and upstream and downstream. In the final 50 µm of the Sr:Ca transect, 26 (41% of the total and 60% of the migratory group) of silver eel otoliths had mean Sr:Ca ratios exceeding $4.5 \times 10^{-3}$, often greater than $6 \times 10^{-3}$ (Fig. 4D).

Male eels ranged in length between 326 and 412 mm, and female eels ranged between 378 and 740 mm. After adjusting for 2.0% shrinkage in length due to freezing and thawing, all female eels but one exceeded 400 mm, the length that typically separates female from male American eels in this region (Krueger & Oliveira 1997). Of the 64 silver eels whose otoliths were examined, 35 were male, 27 were female and 2 were of unknown (due to a data processing error) sex. The sex ratios of all behavioural groups were similar.

Relative origin of silver eels

Although most (75%) silver eels from the East River derived from elvers that entered the river from the estuary shortly after continental arrival, 25% derived from juvenile eels that spent 1 yr or more in the estuary before entering the river. The relative contribution to silver eel production by elvers and estuary-derived juvenile eels was estimated with the following assumptions: an annual elver run to the river of 850 000 elvers (1996 to 2000 mean of 845 000 elvers; Jessop in press), an average run of 750 juvenile eels from the estuary (1996 to 2000 mean of 750 juveniles) and an annual mortality rate of elvers in the river of 99.5% (Jessop 2000). The size of the annual run of silver eels is unknown. If 850 000 elvers produce 75% of the silver eel run and 750 juveniles produce 25% of the run, then the relative production of silver eels was 380 times higher for juvenile eels that delayed entrance to the river than for elvers that entered the river directly.

DISCUSSION

Migration patterns as inferred from otolith Sr:Ca ratios

Juvenile American eels migrate seasonally between estuary and river (Smith & Saunders 1955, Medcof
1969), but detailed validation and quantitative studies are lacking. Temporal patterns in the Sr:Ca ratio along a radius in the otoliths of juvenile American eels migrating into and silver eels migrating from the East River were consistent with a variety of movement patterns among habitats of varying salinity (Secor et al. 1995, Tzeng 1996, Tzeng et al. 1997, Campana 1999, Secor & Rooker 2000). The Sr:Ca ratios less than 4.0 × 10⁻³ were consistent with residence in freshwater, and those greater than 5.0 × 10⁻³ indicated estuarine residence. Occasional single values of the Sr:Ca ratio between 4.0 × 10⁻³ and 5.0 × 10⁻³ in a sequence of smaller or larger values perhaps resulted from minor analytical errors due to variation in the otolith crystalline structure, in surface preparation or in growth rate (Campana 1999), rather than from a change in habitat. In freshwater, reported Sr:Ca ratios have varied from 3 × 10⁻³ to 4 × 10⁻³ (Tzeng & Tsai 1994) and 4 × 10⁻³ (range 2 × 10⁻³ to 7 × 10⁻³) (Tzeng 1996) for Japanese eels to 3 × 10⁻³ for European eel (Tzeng et al. 1997). In estuarine waters, Sr:Ca ratios have varied from 7 × 10⁻³ (range 4 × 10⁻³ to 9 × 10⁻³) at 25% salinity for Japanese eel (Tzeng 1996) to 6 × 10⁻³ for European eel in 23 to 25% salinity (Tzeng et al. 1997). A similar study by Kawakami et al. (1998) also reported Sr:Ca ratios in Japanese eel elvers that averaged about 4.5 × 10⁻³ in freshwater and 8.2 × 10⁻³ in full seawater. The minor differences among studies may result from differences in analytical methods, sampling sites and species.

The temporal patterns in movement comprised, in varying degree of frequency of occurrence, 4 of 5 hypothesised behavioural groups: (1) entrance to freshwater as an elver, (2) coastal or estuarine residence for 1 yr or more before entering freshwater as a juvenile, (3) continuous residence in freshwater until exiting as a silver eel, and (4) residence in freshwater for 1 yr or more before migrating periodically and irregularly between river and estuary until exiting the river as a silver eel. Most (64%) eels in the East River evidently engaged in periodic movement of varying duration between river and estuary. The proximate causes of such movements and their timing can only be speculated about. The sampling program was not designed to observe coastal or estuarine residents. Some elvers probably settled and remained in coastal waters or the estuary until sexual maturation. Such behaviour has been reported for European and Japanese eels (Tzeng et al. 2000, in press).

Not all elvers that enter the river migrate rapidly upriver. Age-1 and age-2 juvenile eels with Sr:Ca ratios indicative of residence in the lower 1.3 km of the river prior to further upstream migration were abundant in 2000. About 1200 juvenile eels were caught at the river mouth, yet 12,200 juvenile eels were counted at the upriver site. The year 2000 was unusual in this respect; previous years had roughly similar numbers of juveniles at both river mouth and upriver sites. About 95% of the juvenile eels at both river mouth and upriver sites were less than 85 mm long, and 95% of the juvenile eels caught upriver were age-1 and had spent the previous year in the lower river (as represented by the Group 3 eel sample). Small numbers of elvers may, after entering the river, drop back to the estuary, where they may remain from days (Jessop 2000) to a year or more (this study). Some juvenile eels resident in the lower river may also briefly drop back to the upper estuary, most likely flushed out during the spring freshet, but others may remain there for an extended time.

The small (<70 mm TL), highly pigmented, early arriving eels were confirmed as slow growing age-1 juveniles, as had previously been hypothesised (Jessop 1998). These small juveniles were probably late arrivals during the preceding year because the mean length of elvers decreases over the run, and small quantities of elvers may continue to arrive in the estuary at least as late as mid-August (Jessop 1998). Of the 8 elver-sized juveniles examined, 75% had entered the river as elvers. Their capture below the falls at the river mouth indicates that they had been flushed downstream to the upper estuary during the spring runoff. One eel was highly pigmented but showed little growth and no annulus. It may have been a heavily pigmented elver, indicating that it may sometimes be difficult to separate elvers of pigment stage 7 from juveniles of pigment stage 8, or more likely a very slow growing juvenile that had arrived in the estuary very late in the previous year.

Annulus formation in the otoliths of juvenile eels is a progressive process that evidently begins before early May and is completed more quickly in faster growing age-1 eels than in slower growing age-2 eels, for which annulus completion may take until mid-June or later. Similarly, a change in the otolith Sr:Ca ratio in response to a change in habitat salinity may take over 30 d to become evident, even in relatively fast growing young eels and perhaps much longer in slower growing, older eels such as silver eels.

Hypotheses to explain the Sr:Ca ratio variability in silver eel otoliths

Once in the river, about 36% of eels remained there until migrating downstream as silver eels. Although most (64%) silver eels appeared to have made irregular movements of variable duration (1 to 10 yr) between fresh and estuarine waters, about 34% of these freshwater-estuarine migrants evidently returned to the river several years prior to silvering. Sharp changes
in Sr:Ca ratios to below $4.0 \times 10^{-3}$ or above $5.0 \times 10^{-3}$ were readily interpreted, but extended fluctuations about these values were problematic. They perhaps reflected a series of quick movements between estuary and river or residence in the river-influenced upper estuary, in conjunction with the physiological lag in incorporating into the otolith the evidence of a habitat shift. However, it is puzzling why 41% of the silver eel total had Sr:Ca ratios greater than $4.5 \times 10^{-3}$ at the otolith edge, which suggests estuarine residence, when they were collected in freshwater 1.3 km upstream from the river mouth and migrating downstream. Several hypotheses can be proposed: (1) the results are an artefact of otolith preparation and analysis; (2) shortly, perhaps a year, before silvering, estuarine-resident eels re-entered the river for a brief (no evidence of freshwater residence was yet evident in the otoliths) period of freshwater residence before migrating downstream as silver eels; and (3) once in the river, the eels had remained there and the variation in Sr:Ca ratio was due to varying environmental conditions in the river, such as low pH, the annual liming of one tributary of the river between 1986 and 1996 or variable annual growth rates.

The hypothesis that the high Sr:Ca ratios at the edge of some silver eel otoliths is an artefact of otolith preparation and analysis rather than a reflection of the most recent environmental history of the eel is perhaps the most plausible. The transect of Sr:Ca ratios along the otolith radius may not always reach the exact edge of the otolith, the radius analysed may not be the longest one, with consequent compression of the temporal pattern of otolith composition at the edge, and the polished plane of the otolith may be slightly rounded at the edge. If the final Sr:Ca ratio is not exactly at the otolith edge, the evidence of recent habitat change may be absent. Given that otolith growth is much reduced at older ages, the time to incorporate Sr and Ca may be increased and slower growth may magnify Sr levels (Kalish 1989). If it takes about a month to incorporate evidence of habitat change in the otoliths of fast growing juvenile eels, it may take much longer in slow growing silver eels. If Sr persists in the bloodstream for a while after a move from estuary to river, Sr:Ca ratios intermediate between those in freshwater and estuary may arise (Howland et al. 2001). The sharp decline in otolith Sr:Ca ratio spot values near the otolith edge in most silver eels with mean ratios between $4.50 \times 10^{-3}$ and $4.99 \times 10^{-3}$ supports the interpretation of a lag in the manifestation of habitat change within the otolith. The time period represented in the otolith chronology by a microprobe spot of a given size also tends to increase as the otolith edge is approached, blurring the determination of the time at which a habitat shift occurred. Consequently, the final readings along the outermost edge of the Sr:Ca ratio transect may not reflect the environmental history of the eel at the time of capture, particularly for those with a history of recent migration between river and estuary. Perhaps as much as a year or two may be obscured in this manner.

There is no obvious biological reason for estuarine-resident maturing eels to enter freshwater for a relatively brief period prior to silvering and beginning the spawning migration. Although the timing of such a return to the river is uncertain due to the potential problems associated with estimating the Sr:Ca ratio near the otolith edge, even if periods up to 1 or even 2 yr are obscured, the question remains unanswered as to why some estuarine-resident eels return to the river a relatively short time prior to silvering. There is no evidence that estuarine-resident eels such as those in the Baltic Sea or those in Mikawa Bay, Japan, enter freshwater just before migration (Tzeng et al. 2000, in press). Whether some river-to-estuary migrants remain in the estuary during silvering is unknown.

The hypothesis that the silver eels remained in the river as juveniles and that freshwater environmental conditions, such as low pH and the liming of one tributary of the river, may account for the varying annual patterns of low and high Sr:Ca ratio depends on the Sr and Ca concentrations in the calcite, their availability relative to background environmental levels, and the effect of low or varying pH on element uptake. The effect of low or changing pH on the uptake of Sr and Ca from the environment is poorly understood (Campana 1999). Ambient concentrations of Sr and Ca are reflected in otolith composition, with the molar ratio of Sr:Ca more relevant to relative rates of uptake in fish than are the absolute concentrations (Campana 1999). Although the concentration of Sr is about 100 times higher in salt water than in freshwater, the molar ratios differ by about 4.8 times ($8.6 \times 10^{-3}$ vs $1.8 \times 10^{-3}$). The molar ratio of Sr:Ca in the calcite was 0.05 $\times 10^{-3}$ (T. Goodwin, Nova Scotia Department of Natural Resources, Halifax, pers. comm.). Thus, liming of the East Branch greatly increased the available Ca but not Sr. The increased availability of Ca in the limed area may also have had little effect because otolith Ca does not, under normal conditions, respond to variability in water concentrations (Campana 1999). The high Sr and low Ca concentrations in the river water reflect the relatively high Sr (till sample means of 69 to 81 ppm and bedrock means of 22 to 104 ppm) and low Ca concentrations in the granite bedrock and overlying tills of the watershed (Graves et al. 1988). Five years after the liming ceased, the molar ratio of Sr:Ca in the water near the river mouth was $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ or 53 times that in the calcite, and the Ca concentration was similar to that in the unlimed Canaan River (mean Ca of 930 µg l$^{-1}$ in the
Canaan River versus 900 µg l⁻¹ at the river mouth. Thus, liming of the East River is unlikely to account for the variable Sr:Ca ratios observed in some silver eels or for the high Sr:Ca ratios at the otolith edge in others.

The timing of the observed movement of small, young juvenile eels into the East River during spring was readily interpretable from the Sr:Ca ratio patterns along a transect from nucleus to edge in their otoliths. The silver eel otolith data indicated that larger, older juvenile eels move between estuary and river, as was noted by Smith & Saunders (1955) and Medcoff (1969), who reported movements downstream during the spring and upstream during the autumn. However, the timing of such movements, as interpreted from the silver eel otoliths, is more variable than previously reported. A temporal lag in incorporating evidence of habitat change in the otolith, slow growth in older eels or inaccuracies in determining annulus position relative to Sr:Ca ratios may affect the reliability of interpretations of silver eel movements from otoliths.

Relative origin of silver eels

Groups of fish exhibiting different migration behaviours or habitat use within a genetic population have been termed contingents (Clark 1968, Secor 1999). Contingent behaviours may result from early life decisions about the energetic trade-offs between maintenance and growth in relation to mortality. Diverse contingent migratory tactics reflect population-specific reaction norms in relation to ontogeny, population density and habitat distribution. The variety of migratory behaviours observed in American, European and Japanese eels is consistent with contingent theory. Such contingents likely reflect phenotypic plasticity in eel behaviour and habitat selection (Helfman et al. 1987, Vøllestad 1992) in response to the wide variety of environments that they inhabit. A production of silvers in the adaptation by American eels to the variety of techniques for studying age and stock discrimination.

This study has illustrated a variety of migratory behaviours by American eels, behaviours that require consideration when estimating and utilising data on population size and structure and incorporating such data into models of eel life history, mortality and production.
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