Fine-scale three-dimensional spatial use by diving, lactating female Weddell seals *Leptonychotes weddellii*
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ABSTRACT: Despite the importance of fine-scale spatial use in understanding an animal’s foraging ecology, these data cannot readily be collected for free-ranging marine mammals. We used an acoustic positioning system to quantify, for the first time, the fine-scale 3-dimensional (3D) spatial use of free-ranging Weddell seals swimming under ice. Unlike many other phocid species, lactating Weddell seals spent up to 25% of their time diving. Given the limited foraging range imposed on the seals by the fast ice upon which they breed, this could lead to prey depletion and even inter-specific competition. The seals focused their underwater activity on a relatively small region associated with a steep bottom contour, using the entire water column, with very little time spent at the bottom. This behaviour is consistent with feeding on benthic-pelagic prey such as *Pleurogramma antarcticum*. The 3D profile of individual dives consisted of the seals making simple, directed dives which gradually converged with the ocean floor. There was some variation from this pattern, usually associated with increased searching time. Such focused foraging activity may result in local prey depletion and intra-specific competition.
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INTRODUCTION

Fine-scale habitat use is fundamental to understanding many key aspects of an animal’s ecology. Even animals with large home ranges often concentrate their activities on small patches where they locate food, mates and shelter. When large numbers of animals overlap in range, these patches are likely to be subject to high levels of use, and therefore potential sites of competition. Obtaining quantitative measures of fine-scale habitat use is therefore the first step to evaluating the extent of intra-specific resource competition.

For marine mammals, quantifying fine-scale habitat use has proven extremely difficult, for 2 reasons.

First, many species live most of their lives submerged in open water, where they are effectively invisible to observers. As a result, movements are generally recorded remotely using some form of instrumentation. Of the methods currently used to locate free-ranging animals, satellite telemetry is the preferred method (e.g. Hull et al. 1997). Satellite telemetry has a resolution of 1000s of metres, which precludes habitat analysis at all but meso-scale and above (McConnell et al. 1992a, 1999, Sjoberg & Ball 2000). Finer-scale studies of habitat use by marine mammals are currently limited to Harbour seals *Phoca vitulina*, where researchers have used VHF telemetry (Stewart et al. 1989, Thompson et al. 1991, Tollit et al. 1998), which has higher resolution than satellite telemetry, but these studies must assume that the surface location of the seal corresponds to the habitat it exploits.
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This leads to a second problem: whereas for most terrestrial mammals, quantification of fine-scale habitat use requires information from only 2 dimensions, marine mammals live in 3-dimensional (3D) habitats (Davis et al. 1999, Harcourt et al. 2000, Simpkins et al. 2001a,b). Zamon et al. (1996) have shown that biologically important features of prey patchiness cannot be addressed within the limitations of a 2-dimensional analysis. They reported that associations of penguins to krill abundance were significant only for 30 to 40 m depth aggregations and were masked when density over the whole column was integrated. As some marine mammal species, such as elephant seals Mirounga spp. (Hindell et al. 1992) and northern bottlenose whales Hyperoodon ampullatus (Hooker & Baird 1999), reach depths in excess of 1000 m and stay submerged for over 1 h, surface location may give little information on the use of the water column or the benthic region.

Recently a small number of studies have successfully quantified true 3D diving behaviour of seals, focusing on the form and function of individual dives, rather than on details of overall spatial use (Wartzok et al. 1992a, Davis et al. 1999, 2001, Harcourt et al. 2000, Simpkins et al. 2001a,b). True 3D data are those where the 2 horizontal and the vertical coordinates are collected simultaneously while the animal is swimming. While they constitute a major advance in studies of marine mammal diving, these studies generated new analytical problems, such as increased number of variables and visual representation of data.

For lactating Weddell seals Leptonychotes weddellii, fine-scale habitat use is of particular importance. During the breeding season female Weddell seals haul out in groups of 10 to 100 individuals on fast ice often many kilometers from the nearest open water (Barth et al. 1992). The females face considerable energetic demands from their pups, which increase in mass from 30 kg at birth to over 150 kg at weaning in the space of 50 to 55 d (Teddman & Bryden 1981, Tedman & Green 1987). A common lactation strategy by phocid seals is for females to fast during lactation, and to wean pups quickly, usually in 2 to 3 wk (Costa 1991). As female Weddell seals have an extended period of lactation and high absolute energy transfer requirements, it is generally believed that they break this pattern and forage during lactation in order to meet their energy requirements (Costa 1991).

In some situations, seals breeding on fast ice may only have access to the water through a single tide crack. Foraging will therefore be restricted to the immediate vicinity of the colony, within a radius that is determined by how far the animals can travel on a single breath hold dive before they return to their point of origin (or near to it) (Davis et al. 1999). This is likely to be in the order of 1 to 2 km, based on aerobic capacity and swimming speed of seals of this size (Kooyman et al. 1980, Ponganis et al. 1993, Burns & Castellini 1996). Up to one hundred, 300 to 500 kg lactating animals feeding within such a small region over several weeks may cause prey depletion and may therefore generate intra-specific competition between individuals.

The first step in understanding these issues is to investigate in detail how the seals are utilising the limited space available. This study therefore had 2 specific aims. The first was to quantify the 3D fine-scale habitat use of free-ranging, lactating Weddell seals. For the purposes of this study we regard ‘fine-scale’ as less than 100 m. The second aim was to describe individual dive trajectories in 3D.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site and bathymetry. The study was conducted at Turtle Rock, McMurdo Sound (77.727° S, 166.85° E), which supported a Weddell seal colony of approximately 25 males and 45 females (Harcourt et al. 2000). The seals gained access to the water through a tide crack that ran in an approximately north-south direction just to the west of the rock, and the colony extended over an area of 300 to 400 m.

The bathymetry of the surrounding area was measured using a LORAN JFC-20 echo sounder with 0.5 m resolution. All measurements were made through 80 mm diameter holes drilled through the ice. The sea-ice thickness averaged 2 m throughout most of the study site. For the area immediately around the colony, depths were measured on a 50 m × 50 m grid extending for 450 m along a northerly axis and 250 m on a westerly axis. Depth was recorded up to 850 m to the south and east of Turtle Rock, but these determinations were less systematic and up to 200 m apart. Full bathymetric coverage of the area was generated using a linear interpolation routine in SAS (SAS 1988).

Study animals. A total of 5 lactating Weddell seals were immobilized by an intra-muscular injection of Ketamine/Diazepam at a dose rate of approximately 2.0 mg (100 kg)−1 Ketamine: 0.4 mg (100 kg)−1 Diazepam; 3 seals were captured during the 1998 breeding season, and 2 during the 1999 breeding season. We glued a cylindrical depth-modulated acoustic transmitter (Vemco V16P, individual frequencies from 60 to 76.8 kHz, 16 × 115 mm, mass 33 g), and a VHF radio transmitter (Sirtrack 28 × 15 × 60 mm, antenna 240 mm, mass 28 g) to the dorsal surface of each seal using quick-dry Epoxy (Araldite no. 2017).

Three-dimensional locations. Movements under the ice were monitored using a Cabled Acoustic Positioning System manufactured by Vemco Limited, de-
scribed in detail in Harcourt et al. (2000), similar to the system used by Wartzok et al. (1992a,b). A triangular array of 3 omni-directional hydrophones (Vemco VH65, 50–80 kHz), each connected to an Ultrasonic Acoustic Receiver (Vemco VR20), was placed around the colony on the southern side of Turtle Rock. The distance between receivers in 1998 was A–C: 461 m, C–B: 508 m and B–A: 478 m and in 1999 was A–C: 435 m, C–B: 469 m and B–A: 476 m. Each receiver was connected via communication cables (length 308 m) to a central base station. Hydrophones were placed through the sea-ice (thickness 1.4 to 2.2 m) via 80 mm diameter holes and suspended in 3 m long double-walled PVC pipes. Each hydrophone was held securely at a depth of 3 m below sea level or between 0.8 and 1.6 m below the undersurface of the ice. Locations of each animal under the ice were measured using the software POSITION V3.07 (Vemco©) that calculated the x,y coordinates of each transmitter based on differences in the arrival time of pulses at each of the hydrophones, and determined depth (±1 m) from modulating changes in pulse interval with depth. Each transmitter had been calibrated previously by Vemco and had a depth range of 0 to 680 m.

**Overall spatial use of the area.** As the equipment recorded no locations while the seals were out of the water, the seals’ time budget during the study could be divided into time spent hauled out, and time spent in the water on the basis of the acoustic location data. The raw 3D locations in the water were filtered to remove aberrant locations due to echoes when the seals were in shallow water or close to sub-surface ice ridges. This was done by calculating the speed required for the seal to move between subsequent locations, and removing any locations where this speed was greater than 4.5 m s\(^{-1}\) (Harcourt et al. 2000).

The times that the seals spent in each 50 \(\times\) 50 \(\times\) 50 m cube of space within the study area were then calculated. This was done by interpolating x, y and z positions at 5 s intervals between all consecutive locations made on each at-sea period. The number of these interpolated locations (each 5 s apart) was then calculated for each 50 \(\times\) 50 \(\times\) 50 m cube in the study area. The total spent (to the nearest second) in each grid was derived by multiplying this value by 5.

**Individual dive profiles.** The complete 3D tracks were determined for 58 dives with a minimum of 10 fixes. They cannot be regarded as a truly random selection, and so we have made no attempt to use these data to examine the relative frequencies of different dive behaviours, but rather used them to indicate the range of behaviours the seals were exhibiting. Once suitable dives were identified, 19 variables were measured for each dive (Table 1). The variables were chosen to discriminate between directional (as opposed to ‘looping’) dives, benthic and mid-water dives, sit-and-wait and continuous search dives and dives with many changes in direction (Simpkins et al. 2001). These variables were then analysed using Multi-Dimensional Scaling (MDS) to identify similarities between the dives. The MDS was based on a Bray-Curtis similarity matrix, and ran on 99 random starts (PRIMER). The variables that were the most significant contributors to the observed pattern were determined by correlation of the MDS scores with the original values for each variable (Belbin 1993).

**RESULTS**

**Overall spatial use of the area**

The seals spent most their time hauled out in the company of their pups (55.1 ± 8.1%, Table 2). Despite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum depth (m)</td>
<td>261.8 ± 68.4</td>
<td>386.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (min)</td>
<td>26.14 ± 10.92</td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from start to end point (m)</td>
<td>72.7 ± 74.4</td>
<td>326.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum distance from start point in the horizontal plane (m)</td>
<td>564.5 ± 217.9</td>
<td>1219.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum overall distance (MOD) from start point (m)</td>
<td>616.6 ± 222.2</td>
<td>1273.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total distance (TD) covered during the dive (m)</td>
<td>1507.3 ± 554.3</td>
<td>3098.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linearity index 1 (TD/MOD) (m)</td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.43</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linearity index 2 (TD/[TD – MOD × 2]) (m)</td>
<td>83.27 ± 13.01</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum distance to the ocean floor during the dive (m)</td>
<td>54.7 ± 35.4</td>
<td>131.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of fixes within 20 m of the ocean floor</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean speed (m s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.33</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum speed (m s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>2.67 ± 1.03</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum speed (m s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum speed when within 20 m of ocean floor (m s(^{-1})) (^a)</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.52</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean acceleration (m s(^{-2})) (^b)</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.33</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal angular velocity (deg s(^{-1})) (^b)</td>
<td>1.90 ± 1.42</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal directionality (deg)</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical angular velocity (deg s(^{-1})) (^b)</td>
<td>2.19 ± 1.73</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D angular velocity (deg s(^{-1})) (^b)</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.69</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meander (deg s(^{-1})) (^b)</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.47</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Excluded from MDS due to missing values
\(^b\) from Simpkins (2001a)
this, all 5 seals made numerous long dives away from the breeding colony. A total of 5618 3D locations were obtained (after filtering) while the seals were making these dives. The furthest location from the tide crack was 1.3 km, which will have required the seal to make a minimum 2.6 km round trip on a single breath hold. Most locations were however within 700 m of the tide crack primarily to the northwest of the tide crack and to a lesser extent to the southeast (Fig. 1a). The NE quadrant of the region was occupied by Turtle Rock, and was unavailable to the seals, but the SW quadrant, which was freely accessible to the animals, was not used by our seals at all.

**Spatial use with respect to depth**

The seals used the entire water column, spilling outwards and downwards over the bathymetric contour throughout the 3D space available to them (Fig. 1b). Nevertheless, the seals did not use the water column in a uniform manner (Table 2), as 4 of the 5 individuals spent most of their time (49.2 ± 22.4%) diving in the uppermost 50 m of the water column. Although one seal (Y1343) spent most of her time in the 50 to 99 m depth stratum, the general pattern was for the proportion of time spent in a stratum to decrease with increasing depth.

In order to quantify the relative use of the region in 3 dimensions, the proportion of the total amount of time spent diving was calculated for all 50 m depth strata by each of the 5 seals (Fig. 2). These data were then examined in successive 50 m depth strata (Fig. 2).
The seals spent most of their underwater time in the uppermost 50 m of the water column in the region immediately around the tide crack (Fig. 2) within 200 to 300 m of the colony. They were never within 50 m of the surface when further away from the colony. In the 50 to 99 m layer of the water column, the seals were somewhat to the northwest of the colony. When in the 100 to 149 m strata they were even further from the tide crack, but still in a northwesterly direction. This pattern continued with increasing depth, until in the final 350 to 399 m layer there was a restricted arc of activity within a 1000 m radius of the tide crack.

### Spatial use with respect to the ocean floor

The distance to the ocean floor was calculated for each location for each seal, and then these values were averaged for each 50 × 50 m square in the horizontal dimension. An overall mean value for each square of each of the 5 seals was then calculated and examined as a contour plot (Fig. 3). The locations furthest from the ocean floor were those associated with the tide crack. The distance to the ocean floor decreased with increasing distance from the crack. At the extremities of the seal’s distribution all locations were within 25 m of the bottom. This indicates that the seals were not
immediately descending to the bottom, and then following it as they moved further from the colony. Rather, they converged on the bottom at a distance at approximately 700 m from the colony. However, the seals rarely went completely to the bottom, even in the relatively shallow water in the SE quadrant of the study area. Only 10 of the total 5618 locations were within 10 m of the bottom.

**Individual dive profiles**

The number of fixes used to quantify the 3D shape of individual dives ranged from 10 to 42 (mean = 16) at a rate of 0.19 to 2.19 fixes min\(^{-1}\) (mean = 0.74 fixes min\(^{-1}\)). The general form of all 58 dives was similar, and consisted of the seals making long excursions from the tide crack and diving to between 100 and 400 m. The seals covered on average a total distance of 1501 m on these dives, moving on average 529 m in a horizontal direction from the crack. They returned to within 10 m of their departure point on 15.5% of the dives. The mean dive duration was 26.1 min (overall maximum 62.0 min), with a mean speed of 1.33 m s\(^{-1}\).

There were however, consistent differences between the dives. The MDS analysis obtained a stress level of 0.04 using only 2 dimensions indicating strong structure in the data. A plot of these 2 axes (Fig. 4) shows a continuum from dives with high meander, high 3D angular velocity, lower mean speed and a higher proportion of fixes within 20 m of the bottom, to dives with low meander, low 3D angular velocity, low mean speeds and low horizontal angular velocity. More simply, there were (1) highly directional dives in which the seal, upon reaching her maximum, depth returned immediately to the surface, retracing the outward path while maintaining a relatively high speed (e.g. Fig. 5b); (2) dives that tended to trace a loop where the outward and return legs followed different paths, with more frequent changes in direction in all planes and relatively low overall speed, where the seal approached the ocean floor (e.g. Fig. 5a); and (3) a range of dives between these 2 extremes.

On the majority of dives (80%), the seals did not get to within 20 m of the ocean floor, and when they did, they only spent 2% of the time there. Further, the swimming speed of the animals during the time that they were at or close to the ocean floor was higher than the minimum speed recorded for that dive. Swim speed did not drop below 0.1 m s\(^{-1}\) at any time in the dives examined.

**DISCUSSION**

This study is the first quantitative description of the fine-scale 3D spatial use by a marine mammal. Earlier studies have described larger-scale spatial use patterns in 2 dimensions, e.g. in southern elephant seals (McConnell & Fedak 1996), northern elephant seals (Le Boeuf et al. 2000), grey seals (McConnell et al. 1992b, 2002) and spotted dolphins (Davis et al. 1996), usually with spatial resolutions of 1 km or more. Other studies have quantified patterns of use in the vertical dimension, but most often these studies have dealt...
have been unable to address questions relating to spatial use at the scale of 10s to 100s of meters. As this is the scale at which patches of marine prey tend to occur (e.g. Zamon et al. 1996), we lack a complete understanding of how marine mammals interact with and exploit their prey.

**Overall spatial use of the area**

The diving activity of lactating Weddell seals is unique among marine mammals. The extensive fast ice surrounding the breeding colonies means that access to open water, and therefore air at the end of a dive, is confined to the tide crack running through the colony (Fig. 1). Dives are therefore restricted to the distance that the seals can travel on a single breath. The furthest recorded distance from the colony in our study was 1.3 km. This suggests that all of the diving and foraging activity of the 40 females in the colony was confined to an area of approximately 3.9 km$^2$ (the area of a circle of 1.3 km radius less the area of Turtle Rock).

The 5 seals in this study exhibited both horizontal and vertical preferences. In the horizontal dimension, the seals concentrated their diving activity to an area to the northwest of the colony, towards the head of Erebus Bay. To a lesser extent, 1 seal (F971) also used the area to the southeast towards Hut Point Peninsula, but the area to the southwest, towards McMurdo Sound itself was never used. The absence of diving to the southwest may result from the small sample size or may indicate variations in food availability. The principle prey of Weddell seals in McMurdo Sound is the pelagic silverfish *Pleuragramma antarcticum* (Castellini et al. 1992, Burns et al. 1998), which makes up 90% of the fish biomass in the Sound; it has a patchy distribution and may be found anywhere in the water column (Knox 1994). The seals also take large *Dissostichus mawsoni* from deep in the water column (Davis et al. 1999). Elsewhere in Antarctica, Weddell seals feed on a range of prey including pelagic *P. antarcticum*, *Trematomus* spp., decapod crustaceans and cephalopods (Clarke & MacLeod...
The diet varies spatially and temporally (Green & Burton 1987), suggesting that the seals are opportunistic feeders exploiting a large range of prey and habitats. Most of the prey species reported at other locations are also available to seals in McMurdo Sound (Knox 1994). Weddell seal pups from Hutton Cliffs, a colony less than 5 km from the Turtle Rock colony, feed primarily on young *P. antarcticum* (Burns et al. 1998). Yearlings also feed on *P. antarcticum*, but within this age group, larger individuals feed on benthic-pelagic prey, such as *D. mawsoni* and *Trematomus* spp. (Burns & Testa 1997).

The seals used the water column (vertical dimension) in a non-uniform manner. Most of the time spent diving (as opposed to being in the water resting or interacting with their pups) was spent in the top 50 m. However, almost all of the time spent in the top 50 m was also spent in the area immediately adjacent to the colony. The seals may be feeding in this region, perhaps on under-ice *Pleuragramma antarcticum* (Davis et al. 1999). This may also have been what the seals were doing during the small amount of time that they spent in the shallow water to the southeast. The seals also spend some time in the shallow water near the tide crack when they start and finish their dives, but they must also have used this region for other, non-feeding activities close to the colony. For example, they may be with their pups, which are starting to swim (Burns & Testa 1997), or they may be interacting with breeding males, which compete for access to the breathing holes associated with breeding colonies (Bartsh et al. 1992).

Our data suggest that the seals did not forage immediately under the ice at distances greater than 300 m from the colony. Indeed, the seals used a narrow depth range, which increased as a function of distance from the colony. This pattern is consistent with the seals traversing the same path, or set of paths, on all dives. Although relatively little time was spent in the 350 to 399 m depth class, the cells that were used at that depth displayed a pronounced arc around the colony. The points used when the seals were at their maximum depths were all approximately 1100 m from the colony. This would require a 2.3 km round trip (assuming there is no meandering and allowing for a maximum depth of 350 m), and at the average swimming speed of seals in the study this would take the seals approximately 51 min. It seems likely that this distance is the maximum attainable on a single breath-hold for actively swimming Weddell seals.

These end points were not on the ocean floor, but close to it, generally to within 50 m. Even when foraging in relatively shallow waters to the southeast, the same pattern was apparent, with the most distant points occurring within 50 m of the bottom, but not actually on the bottom. This is consistent with the seals exploiting benthic-pelagic prey species, such as *Disso-stichus mawsoni*, rather than epi-pelagic species, as has been reported for other pinniped species (DeLong & Stewart 1991, Hindell et al. 1991, Parrish et al. 2000). Plotz et al. (2001) found that Weddell seals in the Weddell Sea in February did dive to the ocean floor, where they fed on adult *Pleuragramma antarcticum* which migrated to deeper depths during daytime. There was no apparent diurnal variability in the diving patterns of the seals in this study, perhaps due to the 24 h light regime during the time of the study.

The 3D use of space below the ice by Weddell seals from this colony suggests that the seals are searching for prey throughout their dives. On each dive they swim downwards towards the sloping ocean floor, gradually getting closer to it. This pattern suggests that they are searching within the water column rather targeting a specific depth. Further, the consistent horizontal displacement during the dives may accord with the notion that local prey are depleted near the colony and the tide crack.

### Individual dive profiles

The transmission rate of the transmitters permitted a maximum rate of fix acquisition of 7.5 fixes min⁻¹, or once every 8 s for each seal. In our study, several seals were usually being monitored simultaneously, which meant that the individual location rate was generally lower than this. With subsequent filtering to remove aberrant locations due to under-ice or bottom reflections, the mean location rate for the seals was less than 1 per minute. The low rate of fixes per individual was not a problem for the overall spatial use analysis, which calculated time spent in 50 m³ cells, but it did limit the number of dives that could be included in the individual dive profile analysis.

Our minimum requirement of 10 fixes per dive meant that the dives used for the individual dive profile analyses were likely to be a biased representation of the dives made by the seals. For example, the shorter dives of less than 10 min were not included. The individual dives examined do, however, include the long dives throughout the seals’ preferred area of activity. The individual dive profiles support the conclusion that the seals make long searching dives with little bottom time. The main distinguishing characteristics of these dives were the amount of meander (searching), swimming speed and proximity to the bottom.

The 58 dives examined in this study could not be assigned to discrete behavioural groups on the basis of the 19 parameters used. This is in contrast to studies...
using 2-dimensional data (i.e. depth and time) which have described discrete behavioural categories (Schreer et al. 1998, 2001). The dives in our study formed a continuum from relatively fast, directional dives with little time spent at the maximum distance to slow, meandering dives. It is always difficult to assign a function to any particular dive without independent data, such as stomach temperature implants to indicate feeding events. One interpretation, which can be tested using video recording of prey capture (e.g. Davis et al. 1999), is that the more direct dives represent successful foraging attempts, where the seals have descended along a search path, encountered and captured prey, and returned quickly to the surface. At the other extreme would be unsuccessful dives where the seal continues searching throughout.

All of our seals foraged in the same area, suggesting that prey may not uniformly distributed, and that they may be in highest densities over the steeply sloping bottom in the NW region of the study site. Fish may be concentrated by the topography, which may lead to upwelling and enhanced productivity (Dewitt 1970). Focused foraging activity provides an important, but not necessarily essential, condition for local prey depletion and intra-specific competition. The 3D diving behaviour of the seals suggests that they are primarily exploiting benthic-pelagic prey such as Pleurogramma antarcticum, which may move through the area (Plotz et al. 2001) thereby avoiding long-term prey depletion. However, the degree of intra-specific competition can only be assessed by comparing the rate at which prey are consumed with the rate at which they arrive, and these data are currently unavailable.
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