Particle processing mechanisms in the archaic, peri-hydrothermal vent bivalve *Bathypecten vulcani*, inferred from cilia and mucocyte distributions on the gill
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ABSTRACT: The autobranch bivalve *Bathypecten vulcani* is a peri-hydrothermal vent ‘living fossil’; as such, it is of particular interest both with respect to its trophic strategy and with respect to its particle processing mechanisms. These aspects are investigated through a study of the gill using scanning electron microscopy and mucocyte mapping. *B. vulcani* possesses a flat, homorhabdic gill, which lacks the morphological specializations associated with endosymbiont presence. Abfrontal and latero-frontal cilia, frontal tract differentiation, organic inter- or intrafilamentar junctions, an ascending lamella on the external demibranchs, and ventral particle grooves are all absent. The lack of latero-frontal cilia in this homorhabdic gill points to direct particle capture on the frontal tracts, a novel mechanism in adult bivalves. The abundant mucus-particle masses on the ventralmost frontal surfaces, which first come in contact with incoming water currents, together with an extremely high density of acid (AM) and acid-dominant (ADM) mucopolysaccharide-secreting mucocytes, suggest that particle capture is initially effected in this zone. The presence of antero-posteriorly oriented mucus cords in the gill arch indicate that transport on the gill is first dorsal, then anteriorward to the buccal region. An increasing postero-anterior gradient in mucocyte numbers and volumes suggests that transfer to the labial palps occurs via an exposed mucus bridge. These findings are the first substantiated representations of primitive autobranch particle processing, prior to the evolution of ventral particle grooves, latero-frontal cilia, or the heterorhabdic condition. It thus serves as a starting point for the understanding of the evolution of particle processing mechanisms in the basalpectinid condition, as well as in contemporary autobranch bivalves.
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INTRODUCTION

The adaptations and physiology of hydrothermal vent organisms have been the objects of considerable research over the past 2 decades (see Tunnicliffe 1991, Le Pennec et al. 1995, Le Pennec & Beninger 2000, Van Dover 2000 for recent reviews and references). In particular, the conspicuous bivalve fauna surrounding hydrothermal vents has been intensively studied, and has come to symbolize metazoan life in vent environments (Childress et al. 1987).

A range of trophic strategies is observed in hydrothermal vent bivalves, from complete reliance on endosymbionts to mixotrophy, in which both endosymbiotic and suspension-feeding strategies are used (Page et al. 1991, Le Pennec et al. 1995). The gills of mixotrophic vent bivalves can capture detritus and particles suspended in vent waters, including phytoplankton trans-
ported along advective currents around smokers (Enright et al. 1981, Le Pennec et al. in press). Of the documented species of bivalves at hydrothermal vents, the small (<10 mm) pectinacean Bathypecten vulcani may be the only one without chemosymbionts. While the original report (Le Pennec et al. 1988) of few bacteria in the gill filaments of this species requires unambiguous confirmation, the uncharacteristically small-diameter filaments argue against a normal endosymbiont presence. The observation of abundant particles on the gills and in the stomach of B. vulcani (Le Pennec et al. in press) indicates that suspension-feeding provides an important part of its trophic requirements. No determinations of stable isotope ratios or enzyme activities have been made on this species to date.

Based on shell characters only, Bathypecten vulcani was initially placed in the family Pectinidae (Schein-Fatton 1985) (Superfamily Pectinacea), later considered to be an archaic species preceding the divergence of the families Propeamussiidae and Pectinidae (Schein-Fatton 1988), and finally to belong to a subfamily of the Pectinidae (Schein 1989). In these studies, B. vulcani is consistently considered a relict species, or ‘living fossil’, basal to the pectinid and propeamussid forms. Initial research has shown that the gill of B. vulcani is flat and homorhabdic (i.e. has only 1 type of filament; heterorhabdic gills have 2 types) and lacks latero-frontal cilia (Le Pennec et al. 1988). Such an assemblage of gill characteristics is unknown among adults of the well-studied pectinids, and indeed among the Bivalvia as a whole; it is therefore probable that feeding processes are also unique in this species. Due to the small size and pressurized habitat of this species, direct observation of gill function using videendoscopy (e.g. Ward et al. 1991, 1993, Beninger et al. 1992) has not been possible. However, the distributions of cilia and mucocytes on the feeding organs provide considerable information which may be used to infer how particles are captured and treated (Beninger & Dufour 1996, Beninger & St-Jean 1997a,b). This approach has been used in the present study of the gill organization and particle processing mechanisms of B. vulcani.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling and fixation. Specimens of Bathypecten vulcani were collected in February 1996 and May 2000, during vent cruises to 9 and 13° N along the East Pacific Rise. They were either brought back as by-catch along with other vent fauna, such as tubeworms and mussels, or specifically collected after identification at 2 sites: Tubeworm Pillar (9°49.6’ N, 104° 17.38’ W; depth: ~2540 m) and Marker 141 (9°49.8’ N, 104° 17.4’ W; depth: ~2530 m) along the transect established by Shank et al. (1998). When seen, the bivalves were located at the periphery of active smokers or vent fields, coexisting with limpets and serpulid polychaetes.

Upon arrival on board, the 4 specimens collected in February 1996 were fixed in buffered seawater with 10% formalin and preserved in 100% ethanol. Two specimens collected in May 2000 were placed whole in a solution of 2.5% glutaraldehyde in a slightly hyperosmotic (1058 mOsm), 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (Beninger et al. 1995a), and 5 specimens were fixed in aqueous Bouin’s fixative (Martoja & Martoja 1967).

General anatomy. Three specimens from 1996 were studied under a dissecting microscope in order to ascertain the general anatomical organization (such information has been lacking to date for this species).

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Entire specimens (1 from 1996 and 2, glutaraldehyde-fixed, from 2000) were prepared for SEM observation and photography by removing the right valve and mantle and dehydrating, critical-point drying, and sputter-coating the left valve and tissues. In addition, gill portions (anterior or posterior half of inner and outer demibranchs) of 2 specimens from 1996 were similarly prepared and observed.

Histological and whole mount staining. The specimens placed in Bouin’s fixative (shell-less, the acetic acid in the fixative having dissolved the calcium carbonate of the extremely thin shell) were either sectioned or stained as whole mounts. In the first case, 1 whole specimen was embedded in paraffin, and 7 µm thick transverse sections were stained with periodic acid-Schiff-Alcian Blue (PAS-AB; Beninger et al. 1993, Beninger & St-Jean 1997a,b), with modified staining times: 25 min in Alcian Blue, 2 min in periodic acid, and 15 s in Schiff’s reagent. Additional sections of the same individual were stained with modified Masson’s trichrome (Beninger et al. 1995b), with the following optimal staining times: trioxymatepin, 1 min 30 s; 0.5% Acid Fuchsin, 30 s; and 2% Fast Green, 3 min. In the second case, 4 specimens were stained as whole mounts. Entire animals were placed in staining capsules and stained with PAS-AB as in Beninger et al. (1993), optimal staining times being 5 min in Alcian Blue 8GX, 2 min in periodic acid, and 10 s in Schiff’s Reagent. From the stained specimens, the demibranchs were removed and the ascending and descending lamellae were separated. The latter were divided into 3 portions along the antero-posterior axis, and each portion was mounted on a slide in aqueous medium (Gel Mount, Biomeka). Standard color equivalents were assigned using the Pantone™ 2001 universal color guide (Beninger et al. 1993).
Mucocyte counts. The whole mount preparations of the anterior, median and posterior gill regions were used for mucocyte counts, following the procedure of Beninger et al. (1993). From these preparations, 3 counting zones were determined along the dorso-ventral axis: dorsal, median, and ventral. Counts of each type of mucocyte along a length of 370 µm (the diameter of the optical field at 200×) were made on 10 filaments chosen at random in each different zone, and average mucocyte densities per zone were determined. To allow for comparisons with previously published data, the results are presented as mucocyte number along a filament length of 100 µm. Non-parametric ANOVAs (Kruskal-Wallis, 1-factor analysis on ranks), and Dunn’s multiple comparisons test were used to determine whether mucocyte numbers differed significantly among the antero-posterior and dorso-ventral axes.

Examination of the whole mounts showed the presence of 2 different mucocyte types: ellipsoid-shaped, acid-dominant mucopolysaccharide-secreting mucocytes (ADM), and spherical, acid mucopolysaccharide-secreting mucocytes (AM; see Beninger & St-Jean 1997a for functional correlates of mucocyte types). To take into account the size difference between these 2 mucocyte types, a total mucocyte volume per 100 µm filament length was determined for each type and in each counting zone; this gives a better idea of each type’s contribution to the mucus, and its consequent viscosity, at different sites on the gill (Dufour & Beninger 2001). The mean maximal length (l) and width (w), or radius (r) was determined from 30 mucocytes of each type, and the mean volume (v) was calculated from those values, by approximating mucocytes to either spheres (v = 4/3πr³) or ellipsoids (v = 4/3πlw², where l is the length of the major axis and w is the length of the minor axis). The mean number of mucocytes of a given type per 100 µm of filament length was then multiplied by the corresponding mean mucocyte volume to obtain the total mucocyte volume.

RESULTS

Bathypecten vulcani anatomy

Visible features of the gross anatomy of Bathypecten vulcani included: a mantle with a row of tentacles and velum, a small adductor muscle, gonads, a digestive gland and short digestive tract, a foot with byssal threads, labial palps, and a gill with a straight axis. The position and anatomical relationships of these features are shown in Fig. 1. The pericardium and heart were not observed, as they are normally thin, transparent, and collapsed in fixed specimens (Beninger & Le Pennec 1991).

Gill structure

Bathypecten vulcani gills are homorhabdic and flat, consisting of approximately 50 filaments per demibranch. When viewed transversely, the gills do not present the typical W shape of most bivalve gills; rather, they are N-shaped, having a reflected inner demibranch and a non-reflected outer demibranch (Fig. 2). The ascending filaments of the inner demibranch are approximately 2/3 the length of the descending filaments. The inner demibranchs of both gills are joined together by cilia at the paddle-shaped extremities of the ascending filaments (Fig. 2a), and the similarly paddle-shaped extremities of the descending filaments of the outer demibranchs are fixed to the mantle by ciliary junctions (Fig. 2c).

Upon dissection, the filaments were tangled and disjoined, indicative of a low degree of inter-filamentar cohesion; indeed, SEM examination revealed that the intact portions presented widely spaced filaments. The inter-filamentary junctions were limited to the ventral bend of the inner demibranchs (Fig. 2b).
SEM observations revealed that ciliation patterns along a filament are the same in the anterior, median and posterior gill regions, as well as on inner and outer demibranchs. Each filament possessed 3 rows of cilia: a row of 6 µm long frontal cilia, and 2 rows of 20 µm long lateral cilia, 1 on either side of the filament (Figs. 2a–c & 3a). The latter were long enough to reach and partly cover the frontal cilia (Figs. 3b,d & 5g). No laterofrontal or abfrontal cilia or cirri were found in any of the specimens observed. Mucus and particles were seen among or atop the frontal cilia (Fig. 3c–e), as well as in the densely ciliated dorsal arch (Fig. 3f,g), the ventral bend of the inner demibranchs (Fig. 4a), and the ventral extremity of the outer demibranchs (Fig. 4c). Of these sites, only the dorsal arch contained well-defined mucus cords (Fig. 3f,g).

The frontal surface of the ventral bend of the inner demibranchs was covered with 6 µm long cilia. On the lateral surfaces of the ventral bend, longer (20 µm), J-tipped cilia (Fig. 4e) formed junctions between filaments (Fig. 4b). Similar J-cilia were found on the abfrontal surface of the paddle-shaped extremities of the outer descending filaments (Fig. 4d), as well as at the point of attachment of the inner demibranchs to one another (Fig. 4f). Inter-lamellar junctions were absent throughout the gill.

**Fig. 2. Bathypecten vulcani.** Schematic drawing of the gill, indicating the 3 antero-posterior counting regions (A: anterior, M: middle, P: posterior) and the 3 dorso-ventral counting zones (D: dorsal, M: middle, V: ventral). The visible frontal surfaces of the filaments are indicated by asterisks. The areas (a), (b) and (c) are enlarged to show the position of J-cilia. AL, ascending lamella; DB, dorsal bend; DL, descending lamella; GA, gill arch; ID, inner demibranch; OD, outer demibranch; VB, ventral bend; VE, ventral extremity. (a) Ciliary junctions between ascending filaments of the inner demibranchs at the dorsal bend. FC, frontal cilia; JC, J-cilia; LC, lateral cilia. (b) Inter-filamentar junctions at the ventral bend of the inner demibranchs. (c) Junctions between the ventral extremity of the descending filaments of the outer demibranchs and the mantle. MA, mantle

**Fig. 3. Bathypecten vulcani.** Scanning electron micrographs of gill filaments. (a) Lateral view of a gill filament, showing absence of latero-frontal cilia. AS, abfrontal surface; FC, frontal cilia; LC, lateral cilia; LFS, latero-frontal surface. (b) Lateral view of a gill filament, with lateral cilia extended and reaching the frontal surface. (c) Lateral view of gill filament, with mucus-particle masses (P) on the frontal surface of the filament. Frontal cilia of adjacent filaments are visible above and below the filament. (d) Frontal view of 3 gill filaments, with dehydrated mucus balls (M), probably the vestiges of mucus rafts, visible among the frontal cilia. (e) Higher magnification of the frontal surface, showing dehydrated mucus balls along the frontal cilia. (f) View of frontal surface of gill arch (GA), in which are seen mucus-particle masses and a dehydrated mucus cord (MC). DF, descending filaments. (g) Higher magnification of the dorsal arch, showing dense ciliation
Mucocyte distribution

After the gills were stained with PAS-AB, 2 mucocyte types were identified: those secreting acid mucopolysaccharides, stained in blue, and those secreting acid-dominant mucopolysaccharides, stained in purple (Table 1). Although both mucocyte types were located at the frontal surface of the filaments (Fig. 5a), the shape and position of the 2 differed: AM were spherical and situated at the frontal crest of the filaments, whereas ADM were curved ellipsoids, situated deeper within the filaments, at a latero-frontal position (Fig. 5b,f). The mean volume of the 2 mucocyte types also differed, averaging 1173 µm³ for AM and 450 µm³ for ADM.

Throughout the gill, ADM were more abundant than AM by an average factor of 14.5:1. In the whole mounts, the highest densities of mucocytes were found in the ventral bend (Fig. 5c) and the ventral extremities of the outer descending filaments (Fig. 5d). In these areas, mucocytes overlapped extensively, precluding mucocyte counts. No mucocytes were seen at the extremities of the ascending inner filaments (Fig. 5e).

Fig. 6 shows the distribution of both mucocyte types, as an average number and as a total mucocyte volume per 100 µm of filament length, in each counting zone. Along the antero-posterior axis, the general trend of the AM and ADM mucocyte densities decreased toward the posterior zones. The non-parametric ANOVAs revealed significant differences in mucocyte densities along both the dorso-ventral and antero-posterior axes (Fig. 6). Highly significant (p ≤ 0.001) differences in mucocyte densities were found for ADM along the antero-posterior axis, and for AM along the dorso-ventral axis; significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) were also found for ADM along the dorso-ventral axis. The multiple comparisons tests revealed that densities of ADM were significantly higher in the dorsal zones than in either the median or ventral zones. There were no significant differences in AM densities along the antero-posterior axis.

The total mucocyte volumes give an estimate of the potential quantity and quality of mucus secreted in the different gill areas. The most acidic mucus (highest AM:ADM ratio) is secreted dorsally and anteriorly, whereas the least acidic mucus (lowest AM:ADM ratio) is secreted ventrally.

DISCUSSION

Some of the present observations confirm those of Le Pennec et al. (1988): both studies showed that the gill of Bathypecten vulcani is homorhabdic and has only frontal and lateral cilia, but no ventral groove or inter-lamellar fusion. However, the present data contrasts with that of Le Pennec et al. (1988) as follows: the present study reports an N-shaped rather than a W-shaped gill, and shows the mucocytes to be abundant throughout the gill instead of only at the dorsal and ventral extremities. In addition, 2 types of mucocytes are documented, with differing distributions.

Particle processing in Bathypecten vulcani

Gill currents

Due to the ciliary junction of the extremities of the filaments with either the mantle (outer demibranch) or the other gill (inner demibranch), the mantle cavity can be separated into infra-branchial and supra-branchial chambers. Assuming that the lateral cilia behave as they do in other bivalves, and that the integrity of the

Table 1. Bathypecten vulcani. Pantone™ standard colors, visual colors, and corresponding mucocyte secretion types of gill mucocytes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pantone™ standard colors</th>
<th>Visual colors</th>
<th>Mucocyte secretion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2685c, 2726c</td>
<td>Purple</td>
<td>Acid-dominant mucopolysaccharide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>299c, 300c, 3005c</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>Acid mucopolysaccharide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
gill structure is not compromised by the lack of interfilamentary junctions, the lateral cilia create a flow of water from the infra-branchial to the supra-branchial chambers. This is consistent with gill through-currents in other autobranch bivalves (Atkins 1938a, Aiello & Sleigh 1972, Jørgensen 1990).

Particle capture

The morphological and histochemical data of the present study suggest that suspended particles entrained by the frontal-abfrontal water flow are transported along the frontal mucociliary tracts in *Bathypecten vulcani* (Fig. 3c). Latero-frontal cilia are a consistent feature of bivalve gills, presenting various degrees of development (see Dufour & Beninger 2001 for schematic diagram). In all autobranchs examined to date which possess homorhabdic gills, particle interception occurs through direct or hydrodynamically coupled contact with the latero-frontal cirri, diverting the particle’s path to the frontal ciliary tract, where it becomes caught in the ventralward flow of water and mucus (Silverman et al. 1996, 1999). In bivalves having simple latero-frontal cilia, such as the Pectinidae, the mechanism of particle capture is not yet clear. The gills of *B. vulcani*, however, have no latero-frontal cilia at all; the particles must simply come in contact with the frontal mucus rafts and become trapped in them. This would constitute a novel particle capture mechanism in the adult Bivalvia. It is possible that the lack of latero-frontal cilia in *B. vulcani* is representative of a primitive state, in which frontal cilia eventually differentiate and give rise to latero-frontal cilia and cirri. This scenario had been proposed as an explanation for the origin of latero-frontal cilia by Atkins (1938b), who did not know of any bivalve gills lacking latero-frontal cilia, but realized that there is much variability in the degree of differentiation of latero-frontal cilia from frontal cilia. The gill of *B. vulcani* may now provide evidence for the existence of this state in latero-frontal cilia evolution.

Particle transport on the gill

Once particles are captured on the gill, they are most likely incorporated into mucus cords on the frontal surface of the filaments. The dominance of AM and ADM follows the rule established for particle transport on an exposed surface (Beninger & St-Jean 1997a), although the direction of transport with respect to current flow is not yet certain. Two possibilities for initial transport are theoretically possible: dorsalward and ventralward (there is no possibility for bi-directional transport or selection because the gills are homorhabdic and lack frontal tract dif-
ferentiation). On the scanning electron micrographs, mucus and particles were seen in the dorsal arch, on the ventral bend, and on the ventral extremities of the outer demibranch (Figs. 3f,g & 4a,c); although it is not possible to ascertain whether these positions are representative of those in live animals, the consistency of the positions both within and between individuals strongly suggests that these are natural. In all homorhabdic bivalves studied to date, initial transport on the gill is ventralward; however, such systems present a ventral particle groove which ensures that the anteriorly moving particle-mucus cords are not dislodged by the pallial water currents. Bathypecten vulcani lacks such a groove. Mucociliary transport in a grooveless ventral bend occurs in the heterorhabdic gill of Placopecten magellanicus, but in this species it is the particles destined for rejection that are directed to the ventral bend, where they travel for some distance anteriorly but eventually become dislodged and fall on the mantle prior to rejection (Beninger et al. 1999). The dorsal arch thus appears to be a more likely location for mucociliary transport than the ventral bend, given that the dorsal arch forms a semi-enclosed tract (as in Beninger et al. 1992 for P. magellanicus). The most convincing argument in favor of dorsalward transport on the gill is the presence of well-defined vestiges of dehydrated mucus cords in this region (Fig. 3f,g). Such cords, extending along the antero-posterior axis, demonstrate the existence of a transport function in the gill arch. Since bidirectional transport on this type of gill is not possible, dorsalward transport is thus the most probable initial trajectory for particles trapped on the frontal surface. The large numbers of particles trapped in mucus on the ventral gill extremities could indicate the principal site of initial particle capture, as explained below.

The distribution of mucocyte types on the gills of Bathypecten vulcani is not as homogenous as in other homorhabdic gills (Beninger et al. 1993, Beninger & Dufour 1996): densities of AM and ADM were found to vary significantly between gill sites. In the absence of direct observations of particle processing in this species, it is not possible to relate these differences to observed aspects of processing. However, the viscosity of the secreted mucus is likely to be similar throughout the gill, due to the numerical dominance of ADM, and the functional similarities of acidic and acid-dominant mucus (Beninger & St-Jean 1997a). The significantly larger amount of highly viscous MPS-secreting mucocytes (AM and ADM) in the anterior region and in the dorsal zones would be consistent with the use of an exposed mucus bridge for transfer between the gill and the labial palps, as has been shown in several bivalve particle-processing systems (Ward et al. 1993, Beninger & St-Jean 1997b, Beninger et al. 1997). Assuming the B. vulcani gill creates similar far-field currents to those of the Pectinidae, with water entry all around the ventral pallial margin, the very high density of mucocytes in the ventralmost gill extremities coincides with the site of initial encounter with particle-laden water currents from the external environment. This configuration supports the hypothesis of direct particle capture in frontal mucus rafts.

Comparison with other pectinacean gills

Although it may have been convenient, based on shell characters alone, to resolve the position of the genus Bathypecten, as well as the relationship between propeamussid and pectinid forms by creating 2 subfamilies within the Pectinidae (Schein 1989), the result places a homorhabdic (propeamussids) and a heterorhabdic (pectinids) group within the same family. The scale of the anatomical and functional differences between these 2 gill types argues against such an association, and in the present discussion, the term ‘pectinid’ is restricted to members of the pectinid, rather than the propeamussid, form.

The gill structure of Bathypecten vulcani is much simpler than all known pectinid gills, with the exception of Hemipecten forbesianus, which has a homorhabdic gill with no inter-lamellar junctions (Yonge 1981). The gills of B. vulcani are also very different from propeamussid gills; in early descriptions of gills by Ridewood (1903), 3 species of Propeamussium sensu lato (Waller 1972), Amussium dalli, A. meridionale and A. lucidum had ascending lamellae in both demibranches, whereas B. vulcani has N-shaped gills such as those present in the non-pectinacean bivalve genus Lasea (Ridewood 1903) and in the Anomalodesmata (Morton 1980). The only other previous detailed description of gill structure in the Propeamussiidae is of Propeamussium lucidum (Morton & Thurston 1989). In this species, the gill has been described as completely devoid of both latero-frontal clia or cirri and of ascending lamellae; the 2 descending lamellae of each gill have fused ventrally, creating a gill system incapable of particle capture, and therefore the species is assumed to be carnivorous. The gill of B. vulcani possesses ascending filaments on the 2 inner demibranchs, and its frontal surfaces are free to trap particles, which were often observed under SEM. These inconsistencies call for a re-examination of propeamussid gills, in order to ascertain eventual homologies or adaptive specializations. In particular, the status of Hemipecten forbesianus should be clarified, as it is to date the only pectinid form with a homorhabdic gill.
The length of the frontal cilia in *Bathypecten vulcani* (6 µm) is similar to that reported for the contemporary pectinid *Placopecten magellanicus* (Beninger et al. 1988), and contrasts with the much longer frontal cilia of the mytilid *Mytilus edulis* (approximately 15 µm above the microvillar surface; Silverman et al. 1999). The physiological significance of the different cilia types and lengths in bivalves is a recent field of investigation (e.g. Beninger & Veniot 1999, Beninger et al. 1999, Beninger & Dufour 2000, Dufour & Beninger 2001), and it is likely that much may be learned of bivalve particle processing and the evolution of the pallial surfaces through further detailed study of the types and distributions of cilia.

The structure of the gill arch of *Bathypecten vulcani* can be compared with that of other known pectinacean gills. In *B. vulcani*, the filaments are well-defined and abundantly ciliated throughout the gill arch (Fig. 3f,g); in *Placopecten magellanicus*, the filaments in the gill arch have the same aspect but are somewhat less abundantly ciliated (Beninger et al. 1988). The gill arch of *Propeamussium lucidum* (although seemingly not used in particle processing) appears to consist of a ciliated groove which is separate from the gill filaments (Morton & Thurston 1989); this differs from the condition in *B. vulcani*.

The gills of *Bathypecten vulcani* show many similarities with early ontogenetic stages of pectinid gills. In *Pecten maximus* and *Placopecten magellanicus*, developing gills are first flat and homorhabdic, then flat and heterorhabdic, and finally plicate and heterorhabdic (Beninger et al. 1994, Veniot et al. in press). In early stages of *P. maximus* measuring up to 4 µm, the gill axis is straight, and there are no latero-frontal cilia (Beninger et al. 1994). Given such great similarity in gill structure, it may be assumed that the feeding mechanisms of these 2 species in different stages of development are similar. This is especially significant in light of the uncertainty of the evolutionary position of the relict pectinacean *B. vulcani* with respect to the contemporary Pectinidae, such as *P. maximus*. These similarities in gill structure between *B. vulcani* and the early ontogenetic stages of *P. maximus* support a phylogenetic link between *B. vulcani* and modern pectinids.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The present observations of the structure and ultrastructure of the gills of *Bathypecten vulcani* allow us to infer probable particle processing mechanisms on the gills of this species. It is clear that the gills cannot function as pectinid gills since they are not heterorhabdic. They cannot function as most homorhabdic gills either, due to their lack of a ventral groove and latero-frontal cilia. Following particle capture, dorsalward transport on the gill is the most probable initial transport path, to the gill arch and thence anteriorward to the labial palps. Particle capture is the most enigmatic aspect of feeding in *B. vulcani*: the most plausible mechanism is that particles are simply caught in the frontal mucus tracts, most probably at the ventral gill extremities, without prior ciliary interception. This primitive mode of feeding, reminiscent of that in postlarvae of *Pecten maximus*, is probably not very efficient in the capture of small particles (poor development of latero-frontal cilia is related to poor retention of small particles; Mohlenberg & Riisgård 1979, Riisgård 1988). However, given the small size of the animal, the *B. vulcani* gill is extremely large, such that it offers a comparatively large surface for the capture of larger particles, often observed on the ventral extremities of the gill.

The small body size, large gill (with few filaments), and rarity of *Bathypecten vulcani* are typical features of deep-sea suspension-feeding bivalves without endosymbionts (Allen 1979, Oliver 1979). Although the distribution of *B. vulcani* appears to be limited to the fringes of hydrothermal vents, the trophic strategy of this species appears to be the processing of seston. Its reliance on the vent habitat may be related to the opportunity for byssal attachment, as well as to the relatively high concentrations of particulate matter in these environments (Enright et al. 1981, Gage & Tyler 1991).

*Bathypecten vulcani* thus appears to be dependent on the hydrothermal vent system for habitat, but contrary to the other sympatric bivalve species, appears not to present an endosymbiont-based trophic strategy.

These observations constitute the first, albeit indirectly, substantiated representations of primitive auto-branch particle processing, prior to the evolution of ventral grooves, latero-frontal cilia, or the heterorhabdic condition. This information may thus serve as a starting point for the understanding of the evolution of particle processing mechanisms in the diverse array of contemporary autobranch bivalves.
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