Reverse vertical migration and hydrographic distribution of *Anomalocera ornata* (Copepoda: Pontellidae) in the US South Atlantic Bight
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ABSTRACT: The vertical and horizontal abundance of juvenile and adult stages of the pontellid copepod *Anomalocera ornata* was determined on cruises of the ‘Oregon II’ during February 1991 and 1994 at coastal, shelf and Gulf Stream-influenced stations in Onslow Bay, North Carolina, USA. The presence and patterns of diel vertical migration (DVM) in this species, as well as its hydrographic habitat, were inferred from these data. Juveniles exhibited twilight vertical migration with residence in the upper water column at night, whereas adults had a reverse vertical migration pattern with residence in surface water during the day. The hydrographic distribution of *A. ornata* is primarily limited to the colder waters of coastal and shelf regions, with very few copepods present in warmer water influenced by the Gulf Stream. Given the hydrographic structure of Onslow Bay during the winter months, undergoing any DVM pattern could aid in retaining this species inshore.
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INTRODUCTION

Copepods of the family Pontellidae are common members of zooplankton assemblages in continental shelf waters. Despite their seasonal abundance, there are few distributional records for these animals in shelf regions of the United States except for the Gulf of Mexico (e.g. Fleming 1956, Turner et al. 1979, Turner & Collard 1980). Many pontellid species seem to have distinct temperature-salinity associations (Bowman 1971). Accordingly, their surface distribution appears to parallel surface hydrographic features, leading to the suggestion that these copepods can be used as biological indicators of water masses (Sherman 1963, 1964). Pontellid distributions have been used effectively to delineate the region of mixing between coastal and oceanic surface waters (Sherman & Schaner 1968), but the general utility of this approach is limited due to a lack of information on the hydrographic preferences of pontellid species.

Pontellids are typically classified as neustonic copepods (Wilson 1932, Hempel & Weikert 1972, Turner et al. 1979, Turner & Collard 1980), but a few observations have documented diel vertical migration (DVM) behavior in some pontellid species. Sherman (1963) found substantial day–night variation in the abundance of *Labidocera* spp., *Pontella* spp., *Pontellopsis* spp., and *Pontellina* spp. in the central north Pacific. In a survey of pontellid copepods in the neuston of the eastern Gulf of Mexico, Turner et al. (1979) noted an apparent DVM for several species: *Calanopia americana*, *Labidocera scotti*, *Pontella atlantica*, *Pontellina perspicax* and *P. plumata*. While most of these species were only present in night samples, *Pontella atlantica* was only collected during the day.

The most common pattern of DVM is an ascent in the water column to minimum depth around sunset and descent to maximum depth around sunrise, termed nocturnal or normal DVM (Forward 1988). However, it is reverse DVM, an ascent to shallow water at sunrise...
followed by a descent to deeper water at sunset, which could explain the observations of ‘neustonic habitat’ for some of the Pontillidae. A third pattern, twilight DVM, involves an ascent to the surface at sunset, a descent to deeper water around midnight (i.e. the ‘midnight sink’), followed by a second ascent to the surface and then descent to deeper water at sunrise. There is variability in the pattern expressed for any given species at a particular place and time, which is probably related to plasticity in the behavioral responses copepods exhibit under variable ambient predation pressures (e.g. Bollens & Frost 1989a,b, Ohman 1990) or environmental conditions.

*Anomalocera ornata* Sutcliffe (1949) is a large (~4.0 mm), blue-pigmented, omnivorous pontellid copepod (Turner 1991) capable of feeding on phytoplankton, zooplankton, and fish eggs and larvae (Her- ring 1965, Turner et al. 1985). It is a patchily distributed, but abundant, component of the winter neuston of the US South Atlantic Bight (SAB) and Gulf of Mexico (Bowman 1971, Turner & Collard 1980), where it forms large aggregations (Christmas 1968). It has a distinctly seasonal occurrence; adults are abundant between February and March. Females can either produce subitaneous eggs, which hatch immediately, or produce eggs that undergo a summer diapause cycle, hatching the following September (McCrary 1978).

In the present study, we enumerated *Anomalocera ornata* in depth-stratified field samples collected throughout the diel light cycle from sites in coastal, shelf and Gulf Stream-influenced regions. The vertical distribution of adult and juvenile copepods in the water column was analyzed with respect to time of day and hydrographic location. These data were used to infer the presence of reverse DVM in adult *A. ornata* and twilight DVM in juveniles, as well as to identify the hydrographic habitat of this species as primarily limited to coastal and shelf waters.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Plankton samples were collected on the NOAA vessel ‘Oregon II’ cruises at 12 stations between 5 and 10 February 1991 and 14 stations between 1 and 6 February 1994 in the region of 33°47’ N to 34°18’ N, 76°29’ W to 76°58’ W in Onslow Bay, North Carolina, USA (Fig. 1). These stations were selected as they spanned a hydrographic gradient: inshore coastal water, frontal shelf water, and offshore water influenced by the Gulf Stream, as determined during the cruises by sea surface temperature (advanced very high resolution radiometry, AVHRR) imagery and CTD casts.

The catches of large pontillid copepods were incidental to the main purpose of the cruises. The sam-

![Fig. 1. Sea surface temperature (AVHRR) images for Onslow Bay, North Carolina, overlaid with coastal (green circles), shelf (red squares), and Gulf Stream (blue triangles)-influenced stations sampled during (A) 1991 and (B) 1994 cruises of ‘Oregon II’. Dashed lines in (B): transect lines from coastal water to the Gulf Stream used for analysis of *Anomalocera ornata* horizontal distribution](image-url)
pling design used during the cruises was optimized to examine the flux of winter-spawned larval fishes across the western Gulf Stream front south of Cape Hatteras, USA (see Govoni & Spach 1999). However, because dense surface patches of large blue copepods, identified as Anomalocera ornata, were noted during these winter cruises, the copepods were sorted from the cruise samples after the larval fishes had been removed. The physical structure of the water column was reconstructed from temperature and salinity profiles obtained with a temperature, salinity, and depth recorder (Applied Microsystems). The cruise designation of stations as coastal-, shelf- or Gulf Stream-influenced was reevaluated based on σ, values calculated from temperature and salinity measurements made at 5 m depth. Values for σ, were relatively constant with depth and, by 5 m, surface anomalies from the instrument were no longer apparent. Water types were compared statistically using 1-factor ANOVAs for 1991 and 1994 data. Post hoc tests were done using the Newman-Keuls method for unequal sample sizes (Zar 1999). For a general summary of the wind conditions during the sampling periods, hourly averages of wind speed, wind direction, air temperature, and atmospheric pressure for the days of each cruise were obtained from the NOAA National Data Buoy Center (NDBC) (www.ndbc.noaa.gov) and were used to calculate wind stress (Large & Pond 1981, Blanton et al. 1989). The closest NDBC station to our sampling sites with data for both February 1991 and 1994 was Cape Lookout, North Carolina (Stn CLKN7) (Fig. 1). For a finer scale assessment of wind conditions during sampling, wind speed and direction were obtained from the bridge log of the ‘Oregon II’. Statistical comparisons between years were done for the bridge log data; wind speed was compared using a t-test, and wind direction was compared using Watson’s F-test (Zar 1999).

During the 1991 cruise, 4 stations were sampled within each hydrographic region (coastal, shelf and Gulf Stream-influenced). At each station, MOCNESS tows (333 µm mesh; Wiebe et al. 1976) were made at 5 m depth intervals throughout the water column (0–35 m) at 1 of 4 times during the diel light cycle (7:00, 12:00, 18:00, and 24:00 h). Tow volumes ranged from 98 to 400 m³ (average = 146 m³). In 1991, each hydrographic region was sampled during each quarter of the diel light cycle (approximate times of sunrise and sunset were 7:00 and 17:45 h, respectively). During the 1994 cruise, stations were sampled along 2 cross-shelf track lines at various times. Tow volumes ranged from 90 to 617 m³ (average = 142 m³). Only data from 1991 were used to analyze DVM behavior as these samples were evenly distributed among hydrographic regions over the diel light cycle. The 1994 data were used to evaluate differences in copepod abundance among hydrographic regions by Kruskal-Wallis 1-factor ANOVAs; Dunn’s method was used for post hoc tests, as group sizes were not equal (Zar 1999). A non-parametric test was used as the assumptions of normality and equal variance could not be met.

A total of 164 samples were preserved in 95% buffered ethanol. Anomalocera ornata were sorted either from the entire sample, or a 1:1 split was made using a Folsom plankton splitter. Copepodes (CI–CV) and adults (CVI) were separated, sexed (late-stage copepodes–CVI), and counted. No naupliar stages of this species were found. It is likely that A. ornata nauplii fail to be retained by the 333 µm mesh; alternatively they may have been absent from the water column during both sampling periods.

#### RESULTS

### Diel vertical migration

A reverse DVM pattern was observed for adults (Fig. 2A). No differences in the vertical distribution of males and females were found within each ontogenetic group; therefore both sexes were combined for subsequent analysis. The abundance of adults at each depth was low (0 to 0.78 copepods m⁻³). Adult Anomalocera ornata were only found at coastal stations, with the exception of 7 individuals in the 5–10 m depth range of the 12:00 h shelf station (<2% of the total copepods collected at that time at all stations). Accordingly, the following analysis is limited to coastal stations. At sunrise (7:00 h), adult A. ornata were concentrated between 10 and 20 m. During the day, copepods appear to rise to the surface, as the adult population distribution at noon (12:00 h) was narrow and centered between 0 and 5 m. At sunset (18:00 h), 2 peaks in abundance were evident: 1 at the surface (0–5 m) and one at depth (10–15 m). At midnight, adult A. ornata were deep in the water column (>10 m).

Juvenile abundance at each depth was greater than for adults (0 to 3.02 copepods m⁻³). While copepodes were found in all hydrographic regions, coastal stations had the greatest number. In contrast to the reverse DVM pattern exhibited by adult Anomalocera ornata, either a nocturnal or a twilight pattern was present in juveniles (Fig. 2B). At sunrise (7:00 h), juvenile abundance was greatest in the upper portion of the water column (>10 m). By noon (12:00 h) juveniles were found deep in the water column, primarily between 5 and 20 m. At sunset (18:00 h), juvenile abundance in coastal water was greatest in the upper 5 m, while in shelf water abundance was greatest at depth (25–30 m). Juveniles were distributed broadly throughout the water column at midnight (24:00 h).
Differences in $\sigma_t$ values were found among coastal-, shelf- and Gulf Stream-influenced sites sampled in 1991 ($F_{2,10} = 76.925, p < 0.001$). There was little distinction hydrographically between the coastal and shelf stations during the 1991 cruise, when $\sigma_t$ values were between 26.0 and 26.5 mg m$^{-3}$ for both coastal and shelf water types (Neuman-Keuls, $q = 1.217, p = 0.410$). Stations located in Gulf Stream-influenced water had significantly lower $\sigma_t$ values, between 25.0 and 25.5 mg m$^{-3}$, relative to coastal and shelf stations ($q = 15.513, 15.315, p < 0.001$) (Fig. 3A). In 1994, coastal, shelf and Gulf Stream-influenced water had significantly different $\sigma_t$ values ($F_{2,10} = 24.269, p < 0.001; q = 9.506, 7.053, 3.829, p < 0.05$) (Fig. 3B).

**Hydrography of sampling area**

Differences in $\sigma_t$ values were found among coastal-, shelf- and Gulf Stream-influenced sites sampled in 1991 ($F_{2,10} = 76.925, p < 0.001$). There was little distinction hydrographically between the coastal and shelf stations during the 1991 cruise, when $\sigma_t$ values were between 26.0 and 26.5 mg m$^{-3}$ for both coastal and shelf water types (Neuman-Keuls, $q = 1.217, p = 0.410$). Stations located in Gulf Stream-influenced water had significantly lower $\sigma_t$ values, between 25.0 and 25.5 mg m$^{-3}$, relative to coastal and shelf stations ($q = 15.513, 15.315, p < 0.001$) (Fig. 3A). In 1994, coastal, shelf and Gulf Stream-influenced water had significantly different $\sigma_t$ values ($F_{2,10} = 24.269, p < 0.001; q = 9.506, 7.053, 3.829, p < 0.05$) (Fig. 3B).

---

**Fig. 2.** *Anomalocera ornata*. Diel vertical distribution during 1991 cruise. Abundance of (A) adult and (B) juvenile copepods m$^{-3}$ for each MOCNESS depth range at 4 sampling times: 7:00, 12:00, 18:00, and 24:00 h, showing profiles for coastal, shelf, and Gulf Stream-influenced stations. Adult *A. ornata* were only found at coastal stations, with the exception of the 12:00 h shelf station in the 5–10 m depth range.

**Fig. 3.** Temperature and salinity plot of sites sampled during (A) 1991 and (B) 1994 cruises. Values plotted are from 5 m depth. Contour lines represent $\sigma_t$ isopycnals (mg m$^{-3}$). Hydrographic delineations (coastal, shelf, Gulf Stream-influenced) were based on CTD and sea surface temperature (AVHRR) imagery.
Wind conditions differed significantly between 1991 and 1994 (Fig. 4). Wind speed at the sampling stations was greatest in 1994 ($t_{23} = 2.587, p < 0.05$), and the mean direction from which the wind blew shifted ($F_{21} = 5.37, p < 0.05$), as northwesterly wind from the shore occurred in 1991 (mean angle = 285°, $p < 0.05$, Rayleigh’s test) while the wind was more westerly in 1994 (mean angle = 235°, $p < 0.05$, Rayleigh’s test).

Copepod abundance among hydrographic regions

Two transects from coastal water to the Gulf Stream (1994) provided the data for horizontal distribution of juvenile and adult *Anomalocera ornata*. Copepod abundance was significantly different among coastal, shelf and Gulf Stream-influenced stations. Adults were significantly more concentrated in coastal water than in either shelf or Gulf Stream-influenced water.
DISCUSSION

While most pontellid copepods are assumed to be non-migratory surface dwellers (e.g. Turner et al. 1979), the vertical distribution of *Anomalocera ornata* during the 1991 cruise (Fig. 2) suggests that adults were undergoing reverse diel vertical migration (DVM). Since the expression of DVM by zooplankton is variable (e.g. Bollens & Frost 1989b), these organisms may exhibit other migration patterns, or lack this behavior, at other locations and times. However, adult *A. ornata* are consistently found in daytime flood-tide plankton samples inshore of Beaufort Inlet (North Carolina), during winter months, yet are absent from similar samples collected during nighttime flood tides (J. Cohen pers. obs.), suggesting that reverse DVM may be a common behavior for this species.

An alternative hypothesis that could explain differences in vertical abundance of copepods at different phases of the diel light cycle is that copepods rapidly respond to turbulence cues created by short periods of high wind stress (Haury et al. 1990, Incze et al. 2001). Incze et al. (2001) reported that copepodites of several coastal species rapidly descended in the water column in response to a short (<10 h) wind event with wind speeds of 10 m s⁻¹. During the majority of sampling events in 1991 (8 of 12), wind speed was 4 m s⁻¹ or less. On only 2 occasions was the wind speed near 10 m s⁻¹, both occurring during sampling at coastal sites (Fig. 4). During these 2 windy periods, the vertical distribution of *Anomalocera ornata* (7:00 and 12:00 h) did not appear to be affected by the wind. Juveniles were close to the surface during 1 wind event (7:00 h), and deeper during the other (12:00 h), while adults had the opposite vertical distribution during these wind events (deep at 7:00 h and shallow at 12:00 h) as would be predicted by the migration patterns described.

There is increasing laboratory and field evidence that DVM in zooplankton is induced rapidly by the presence of fishes, and therefore is an inducible defense that serves primarily in the avoidance of these visual predators (Bollens & Frost 1989a,b, Hays et al. 1994, Ringelberg 1999, Forward & Rittschof 2000). Since *Anomalocera ornata* is a relatively large copepod (~4 mm), and fishes tend to feed selectively on larger zooplankton (e.g. Brooks & Dodson 1965), this species could be quite vulnerable to predation by fishes at the surface during the day. Accordingly, we reviewed the possibilities of either adult or larval fish predation. The most abundant fishes in coastal water of the SAB during winter are estuarine-dependent species migrating offshore to spawn (Miller et al. 1984): spot *Leiostomus xanthurus*, Atlantic croaker *Micropogonias undulatus*, pinfish *Lagodon rhomboides*, and Atlantic menhaden *Brevoortia tyrannus*. Menhaden, unique among these fish species in being primarily planktivorous feeders, have been the most extensively studied (Reintjes 1969, Lewis & Peters 1994). Menhaden do eat copepods, but they actively avoid large crustacean zooplankton in favor of smaller copepod nauplii and adults (Reintjes 1969, Durbin & Durbin 1975). Given the size and speed of planktonic *A. ornata*, it is unclear as to the extent to which adult fishes offshore would prey on these copepods, espe-
cially in view of Hempel & Weikert’s (1972) findings that adult fishes primarily feed in lower light conditions of deeper water.

Larval fishes, however, need more light to capture prey and are commonly present near the surface during the day. Govoni & Spach (1999) found that the total larval fish abundance in the same 1991 trawl samples that are used here to report Anomalocera ornata numbers, was low (<0.13 larvae m⁻³ at 12:00 h above 20 m). Their data indicated that larvae were most abundant around 20 m at night (<1.0 larvae m⁻³), not at the surface during the day.

Additionally, based on studies of larval fish gut contents (e.g. Govoni et al. 1983, Baier & Purcell 1997), it is unlikely that larval fishes in coastal water can consume prey as large as adult Anomalocera ornata. In fact, there is laboratory evidence that the opposite predation scenario may occur: adult A. ornata are capable of grasping and completely consuming yolk-sac and first-feeding Brevoortia tyrannus larvae in less than 4 min (Turner et al. 1985). Predation on fish larvae by A. ornata in nature has not been reported, but invertebrate predation has been suggested as a potentially important source of larval fish mortality (Lillelund & Lasker 1971, Bailey & Yen 1983).

Reverse migration is generally thought of as a defense against vertically migrating predators, often invertebrates, which themselves are undergoing nocturnal DVM as a defense against diurnal fish predators. This situation has been most clearly documented in the marine environment for the copepod Pseudocalanus spp., which undergoes reverse DVM when predatory copepods, chaetognaths, and euphausiids are present and are migrating nocturnally (Ohman et al. 1983). Chaetognaths, not visual predators, may be a factor in the reverse DVM pattern seen in adult Anomalocera ornata in the SAB. Here, the winter chaetognath abundance can be as great as 30 to 40 chaetognaths m⁻³ and the dominant chaetognath Sagitta enflata (61 %) is also the largest species in the region, (up to 14 mm; Coston-Clements 1980). Based on S. enflata head width, this species should be capable of capturing prey in a size range of 0.1 to 1.1 mm body width, which would include A. ornata adults.

While adult Anomalocera ornata had a distinct reverse diel vertical migration pattern, the distribution of juvenile A. ornata differed markedly from adults in that either nocturnal or twilight DVM behavior was observed. Juveniles were most abundant in deep water during the day, were relatively evenly distributed at sunset and throughout the night, and then rose to the surface again at sunrise. If A. ornata copepodites were undergoing nocturnal DVM, abundance in surface waters should increase at sunset and remain high throughout the night. Because copepods were present throughout the water column at midnight, it is possible that twilight DVM was occurring, with this distribution indicative of a ‘midnight sink’. Ontogenetic changes in zooplankton DVM, both in the overall presence of the behavior as well as in the specific pattern exhibited, are common (e.g. Cronin & Forward 1986, Osgood & Frost 1994, Hays 1995). As copepods develop, the suite of predators that can successfully feed on them changes, and therefore predator avoidance behaviors such as DVM may change accordingly. While adult A. ornata appear too large and fast for larval fishes to capture and ingest, juvenile copepods may be vulnerable to these predators. From an ultimate evolutionary perspective it is unlikely that a predator avoidance behavior such as DVM would remain fixed during development to the adult stage (Neill 1992). From a proximate physiological perspective, morphological and physiological changes that could influence DVM often occur with ontogeny (e.g. photo-behavior, Forward & Costlow 1974).

Reverse DVM places adult copepods in shallow water during the day, vulnerable to photo-oxidative damage by UV light as well as visual predators. Carotenoid pigments may afford Anomalocera ornata some protection from harmful wavelengths of light (e.g. Hairston 1979). Indeed, many neustonic species appear to have a similar blue coloration, although high pigmentation is not always correlated with surface residence (Hempel & Weikert 1972, Hays et al. 1994). In addition to protection from photo-oxidation, its blue-green pigmentation may also serve to camouflage A. ornata from predators by reflecting wavelengths similar to those that dominate in their coastal habitat (500–550 nm; Forward et al. 1988), reducing the contrast of the copepods against upwelling and horizontal background light. Seabirds, particularly zooplanktivorous phalaropes (Dodson & Egger 1980), may prey on adult A. ornata in surface waters. Haney & McGillivary (1985) reported phalaropes to be most abundant in the SAB during the winter months, where they occur primarily on the shoreward side of frontal zones. This spatio-temporal distribution is similar to that found for adult A. ornata in the present study (Fig. 5). By employing a mechanism of camouflage that reduces contrast when viewed from above, adult A. ornata may have some ability to hide from avian predators (e.g. Hamner 1996).

Apart from the effects of biological factors on the distribution and abundance of Anomalocera ornata, physical models and empirical data for layered water circulation in Onslow Bay during winter months suggest that any DVM pattern (nocturnal, reverse or twilight) would facilitate retention of zooplankton in coastal water and prevent their being transported offshore, provided migration occurs between surface and deep currents (Miller et al. 1984, Checkley et al. 1988,
Govoni & Pietrafesa 1994). It is possible that adult A. ornata are able to avoid transport offshore while also taking advantage of surface irradiance for vision during the day through reverse DVM. A. ornata have well-developed naupliar eyes with lens optics to focus light on retinular cells (e.g. Vaissière 1961). The wavelengths of light to which A. ornata respond behaviorally are limited to the blue-green region; this spectral sensitivity matches the ambient wavelengths that occur during the times of migration (twilight) in coastal water (Cohen & Forward 2002). That these copepods have highly evolved lens optics, with distinct sexual dimorphism in the presence and size of the lenses (J. Cohen pers. obs.), suggests an important role for vision in A. ornata behavior (e.g. DVM, feeding, and mate-recognition). The observed reverse DVM pattern would place these copepods in a light environment adequate for vision during the day, while also allowing them to maintain their horizontal position over the continental shelf without being transported too far inshore or offshore. Juvenile A. ornata undergoing twilight DVM would also be retained in coastal water, but by avoiding surface waters during the day would gain a refuge from visual predators.

It has been suggested that pontellid copepods can be used as water mass indicators because their surface distributions appear to parallel surface hydrographic features (Sherman 1963, 1964). During the 1994 cruise, both juvenile and adult Anomalocera ornata were more abundant in coastal water than in Gulf Stream-influenced water, with large numbers of juveniles also being found in shelf water. In 1994, stations clearly fall into 3 distinct water types based on their temperature-salinity relationships (coastal, shelf and Gulf Stream-influenced). Coastal water was the coolest and least saline; Gulf Stream influenced water was the warmest and most saline, while shelf water was intermediate in terms of temperature and salinity. A. patersoni, a congener of A. ornata, has likewise been reported most commonly in coastal water, with abundance decreasing across a frontal region in the Gulf of Maine (Sherman & Schaner 1968). Sherman & Schaner (1968) used the surface distribution of pontellids to infer incursions of the Gulf Stream over their study area. Our data suggest that adult A. ornata, as well, may be a useful indicator species for coastal water.

The presence of adult Anomalocera ornata primarily in coastal water is consistent with their summer diapause cycle. If adult copepods inhabited shelf- and Gulf Stream-influenced water masses, then there would be increased risk of diapause eggs being advected away from the shelf region by Gulf Stream currents. Interestingly, A. patersoni also produces over-summering diapause eggs (Ianora & Santella 1991). By having hydrographic distributions limited to coastal water, these congeneric species probably produce diapause eggs that sink to the sediments of the adult habitat and are available to hatch the following winter.

While these data clearly suggest adult Anomalocera ornata is a reverse migrator with a hydrographic distribution limited to coastal water masses, further observational studies would aid in confirming these vertical and horizontal patterns. The proximate physiological mechanisms underlying DVM have never been reported for a reverse migrator. A. ornata would be a useful species for both laboratory studies attempting to elucidate these mechanisms, and field studies correlating vertical distribution with environmental variables (e.g. light) that stimulate DVM-related swimming behavior.
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