Physiological responses to heat stress on a tropical shore: the benefits of mushrooming behaviour in the limpet *Cellana grata*
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ABSTRACT: Sub-lethal responses to heat stress were investigated in the limpet *Cellana grata*. During summer low tides, foot temperatures were hotter than rock temperatures, but positively correlated with, heart rate, air and rock temperatures. Hotter limpets showed mushrooming behaviour, raising their shell from the rock. Over 30% of monitored limpets were not relocated during the subsequent daytime low tide. Missing animals were mostly situated on horizontal surfaces, were smaller than those recaptured, and had higher body temperatures, mushrooming heights and heart rates. A laboratory protocol was designed to resemble on-shore thermal stress conditions. Firstly, small and large limpets were held on a hot plate for 60 min and either constrained or allowed to mushroom. Secondly, unconstrained, large animals were held on the hot plate for 120 min. Unconstrained limpets were able to mushroom and had lower foot temperatures but higher heart rates than those constrained, suggesting mushrooming is an active response. Small animals had higher heart rates than large individuals. Mantle water could not be collected from most small, mushrooming limpets but was from constrained animals, and was more concentrated in small limpets. Small and constrained limpets had more concentrated haemolymph than large or mushrooming animals. Mantle and haemolymph osmolalities were positively related, except at high mantle water osmolalities. Smaller animals lost relatively more water, and constrained limpets more than those allowed to mushroom. Large limpets on the hot plate for 120 min showed similar mushrooming heights and heart rates but had hotter foot temperatures, higher haemolymph concentrations, lost all their mantle water and nearly twice as much water than those held for 60 min. Mushrooming behaviour appears to be a short-term, high-risk strategy that allows limpets temporary relief from stressful conditions and may increase their chance of survival until the next tidal immersion.
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In an extensive study on mobile species in the Bay of Panama, Garrity (1984) demonstrated a series of responses in these species which appeared to have adaptive benefits, such as (1) being only active whilst awash, (2) aggregation of individuals and (3) raising the shell from the rock surface to aid evaporative cooling. Garrity was able to demonstrate the benefits of such behaviours, in terms of alleviating water loss and high body temperatures, and ultimately increasing their survival value, by preventing animals from performing them. He did not, however, isolate the underlying physiological causes of these benefits. McMahon et al. (1991) specifically measured physiological changes (body temperature, heart rate and haemolymph chemistry) in the chiton Chiton stokesii during emersion periods under laboratory conditions. They concluded that a combination of physiological and behavioural adaptations allowed the chiton to tolerate 3 times its normal emersion regime.

Hong Kong shores experience a strongly seasonal climate, dictated by the local monsoons. Winter is cool and dry (mean air temperature 15°C, sea temperature 17°C), whereas summer is hot and wet (mean air temperature 28°C, maximum 36°C, sea temperature 27°C, see Kaehler & Williams 1996 for details of climate). In summer, tides are lower than in winter (by ~0.5 m, maximum tidal range ~2.5 m) and fall during the afternoon when rock temperatures can exceed 50°C (Williams 1994). This combination of factors results in extremely stressful conditions for intertidal organisms and mass mortalities can occur during calm, spring low tides (Williams 1994, Williams & Morritt 1995, Williams & McMahon 1998), and their heart rate increases with temperature, although bradycardia may occur (Cheazzi et al. 1999). Ultimately, animals may die if such conditions do not ameliorate (i.e. the incoming tide) and this is usually preceded by the animals showing mushrooming behaviour. The physiological benefits of this behaviour remain poorly understood and are rarely related to local shore conditions. This paper examines the physiological state (body temperature, water content, heart rate and osmotic concentrations of the body fluids and mantle water) of animals performing mushrooming behaviour, observed naturally on the shore and experimentally induced in the laboratory. The responses of experimentally stressed individuals, that were either allowed to mushroom or were prevented from mushrooming, were examined to identify the possible physiological effects, and hence possible adaptive benefits, of this behaviour.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Measurements of thermal stress on the shore. Environmental temperatures, body temperatures and heart rates of Cellana grata were measured on the shore at Cape d’Aguilar Marine Reserve (Cape d’Aguilar, Hong Kong, 22° N, 114° E) in the afternoon, during a summer low water spring tide (considered a stressful period, Williams & Morritt 1995) on 21 June 2001. Individual limpets were selected in the sequence they were encountered along a defined path and care was taken not to measure any animals that were visibly disturbed by the presence of the recorders. The approximate tidal height (~0.25 m above CD) of the limpets was recorded with reference to fixed tidal height
markers (see Williams 1993) and notes made of the habitat type occupied (approximate slope of rock, shaded or unshaded). Air temperatures (±0.1°C) were measured by holding a K-Type thermocouple (Omega) ~2 cm above the rock surface and within a 2 cm radius of the animal. Rock surface temperatures were measured by holding the thermocouple to the rock surface within 1 cm of the limpet (see Williams & Morritt 1995 for further details of temperature measurements and habitat definitions).

As limpets often lift their shells from the rock surface (= mushrooming), this height was measured using vernier calipers (±0.1 mm). After this, the temperature of the foot was measured by inserting the thermocouple onto the foot at the rock surface and, where possible, the upper body temperature measured by inserting the thermocouple high into the apex of the shell. Heart rate (beats s⁻¹) was monitored using the non-invasive method developed by Depledge & Andersen (1990). An infrared sensor was fixed to the shell directly above the heart with Blue-Tac (Bostick) to ensure the alignment between the heart and the sensor was not disturbed by wind and light reflection. After ~5 min (which is sufficient for the heart rate to stabilise following sensor attachment, Santini et al. 2000), the infrared reflection of the heart activity was amplified, filtered and the signal obtained visualised and recorded on a Portable Oscilloscope (Fluke 192, see Chelazzi et al. 1999 for further details). The mean value of 3 records was used as an estimate of heart rate. At the end of this procedure, the shell length of the animal was measured (vernier calipers ± 0.1 mm). Animals were numbered with indelible ink and attempts were made to locate these individuals on the subsequent daytime low tide to determine potential loss rates.

**Laboratory measurement of thermal stress.** Limpets were collected from southerly facing shores at Cape d’Agual. Two size ranges, representing animals approaching 1 yr old (2000 cohort) and animals in their second year (the 1999 cohort), designated small and large animals (25 to 29 and 34 to 39.5 mm respectively, see Williams & Morritt 1995), were collected. To minimize damage, animals were collected by hand at the end of their normal foraging period whilst still awash on the ebbing tide. Individuals were immediately placed on the lids of Petri dishes (diameter = 55 mm, lip depth = 8 mm) and transported to the Swire Institute of Marine Science (SWIMS, a distance of ~400 m). In the aquarium at SWIMS, animals in their individual Petri dishes were placed on an inclined surface and washed with seawater (~25°C and 25‰) for 30 min. This phase was defined as awash, and allowed animals to replenish mantle water lost during collection and to settle onto the Petri dishes. After this period, the wet weights of the animals (+ dishes) were recorded (±0.001 g). Six randomly selected animals in each size class were removed and the osmotic concentration (= osmolality, mosM kg⁻¹) of the water retained in the mantle cavity (subsequently referred to as mantle water) and the haemolymph were measured for baseline estimations.

Limpets were carefully removed from the Petri dishes in order to avoid damage to the foot. Mantle water was sampled from the nuchal cavity immediately above the head of the animal using a siliconised glass capillary. The remaining excess mantle water was then carefully removed and discarded. Once the mantle cavity was clear of excess water, the haemolymph sample was obtained by direct puncture of the pallial vein. All mantle water and haemolymph samples were temporarily stored over ice in Eppendorf microcentrifuge tubes prior to the measurement of 10 µl samples using a vapour pressure osmometer (Wescor 5520, Wescor). The osmometer was regularly calibrated against Wescor 290 and 1000 mosM kg⁻¹ NaCl standards.

The experimental protocol adopted was set up to simulate thermal stress conditions recorded on the shore during the same period as the field survey. Animals in each size class were transferred to an oven with air temperature at 35°C and 60% humidity for 60 min to simulate the drying period as the tide recedes when animals would normally become inactive on the shore. After this period, the wet weights of the animals were recorded (±0.001 g) and 3 animals in each size class were removed, and the osmolality of mantle water and haemolymph measured as described above. These individuals were not used in any further experiments. Three limpets in each size range were then randomly assigned to treatments, either with a wire mesh cage (5 x 5 mm mesh size) attached to the Petri dish and just touching the limpets’ shells (= constrained) or with a wire mesh attached to the dish but raised ~10 to 15 mm above the animals’ shells (= unconstrained). The wire mesh in the constrained set of animals prevented the limpets from raising their shells and therefore mushrooming, whereas the unconstrained animals were able to perform this behaviour. Due to limitations in the size of the hot plate and the handling capacity for processing the measurements, experiments were repeated twice for each size group, using different individuals, to achieve 6 replicates (n = 6) for each treatment.

Animals in their Petri dishes were then placed on a hot plate maintained at a surface temperature of 40°C and an air temperature of 35°C for 60 min to simulate stressful conditions on the shore (see field data and also Williams & Morritt 1995, Williams & McMahon 1998). After 60 min, the heart rate (beats s⁻¹) of each individual was measured using the non-invasive...
method described above but, in the controlled laboratory environment, this was achieved without touching the animal by suspending the infrared sensor ~5 mm above the animal’s shell, directly above the heart. The wire mesh was then removed and the height that the shell was raised off the dish surface measured (±0.1 mm). Foot temperature was then recorded (±0.1°C) and mantle water samples taken where possible. Animals were then dried to constant weight at 70°C to establish overall, water content and hence, percentage loss at different times.

A final experiment was performed to investigate responses to more extreme stress. Large limpets, which had not previously been used in experiments, were allowed to mushroom under the same experimental procedure as above, except that they were kept on the hot plate for a period of 120 min. The responses of these animals were compared with those of the large limpets that were allowed to mushroom and were maintained on the hot plate for 60 min.

For the shore data, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was employed to compare heart rate and foot temperature between mushrooming and non-mushrooming animals, using shell length as a covariate. For the laboratory experiments, potential differences between treatments were tested using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with size-class and treatment as fixed, orthogonal effects. In some cases, sample sizes were unbalanced as some measurements were not possible (e.g. mantle water). Where this occurred, General Linear Models (GLMs) were used. Assumptions of homogeneity of variances were tested using Cochran’s test (for ANOVA) and Levene’s test (for GLMs). As treatments were repeated for different size groups, ANOVAs were run using time as a random factor to test for a possible temporal effect. As this temporal effect proved insignificant, to increase power, the data were pooled in subsequent tests and did not include time as a factor. Two sample Student’s t-tests were used to compare (1) recaptured and not recaptured limpets and (2) mushrooming and non-mushrooming groups from the field measurements; and (3) for the laboratory experiments, for differences between large and small limpets after initial transfer from the awash and oven phases of the experiment, and to compare large limpets maintained on the hot plate for 60 and 120 min. Where data had unequal variances (tested using an F-test), the Student’s t-test which does not require homogenous variances was used.

RESULTS

Shore measurements of thermal stress

Rock temperatures reached a maximum of 40.9°C and limpet body temperatures 43.5°C (mean values are shown in Table 1). Of the 25 limpets sampled, 76% showed a mushrooming response (Table 1) with an average height of 4.2 ± 1.5 mm SD (max. = 8.4 mm). Although there was no difference in limpet size with tidal level (t-test, t = 1.13, df = 17, p = 0.273), the height of mushrooming was related to tidal level; limpets 25 cm lower on the shore (and thus subjected to shorter emersion times) had lower elevations (2.14 ± 1.89 mm, n = 14) than those higher on the shore (4.54 ± 1.98 mm, n = 11; t = 3.081, df = 23, p = 0.005). Heart rate, foot and body temperatures, and air and rock temperatures, however, did not vary with tidal height (t-tests, p > 0.05). Foot temperatures did not vary with size or with whether animals were mushrooming or not (ANCOVA, p > 0.05). Heart rate, however, interacted with limpet size (ANCOVA, F = 6.22, df = 1, 21, p = 0.021); decreasing with size and being fastest in small, mushrooming animals. Heart rate was also higher in mushrooming than non-mushrooming limpets (ANCOVA, significant difference between slopes, F = 3.549, df = 2, 21, p = 0.047); however, as the sample

| Table 1. Cellana grata. Shore measurements for 25 limpets divided into those either firmly attached (= non-mushrooming) or lifting their shells from the rock surface (= mushrooming); and those that were recaptured or not found on the subsequent tide 24 h later |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Non-mushrooming (n = 6 ± SD)</th>
<th>Mushrooming (n = 19 ± SD)</th>
<th>Recapture (n = 8 ± SD)</th>
<th>Not recaptured (n = 17 ± SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shell length (mm)</td>
<td>33.6 ± 3.5</td>
<td>35.2 ± 4.5</td>
<td>32.3 ± 2.9</td>
<td>36.1 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mushroom height (mm)</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.5</td>
<td>2.7 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock temperature (°C)</td>
<td>32.9 ± 2.5</td>
<td>35.3 ± 2.7</td>
<td>37.3 ± 2.1</td>
<td>33.5 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air temperature (°C)</td>
<td>32.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>33.5 ± 1.8</td>
<td>34.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>32.5 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot temperature (°C)</td>
<td>35.7 ± 2.9</td>
<td>37.5 ± 2.6</td>
<td>39.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>35.9 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body temperature (°C)</td>
<td>Not measured</td>
<td>37.6 ± 2.3</td>
<td>39.3 ± 1.6</td>
<td>36.4 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats s⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
size for non-mushrooming animals was small \((n = 6)\), this result should be viewed with caution. Heart rate was best predicted by foot temperature (linear regression, \(\log [\text{heart rate}] = [\text{foot temperature} \times 0.0303] – 0.819; F = 5.696; df = 1, 15, p = 0.031; r^2 = 0.275, \text{Fig. 1}\)), which was positively correlated with limpet body temperature, rock and air temperature (Pearson’s correlations, \(p < 0.05, r = 0.95, 0.91 \text{ and } 0.77 \) for foot temperature versus body, rock and air temperature, respectively).

Of the 25 limpets measured, 8 animals (32%) were not relocated the following day, and it is assumed that these animals had either died or been washed from the rock surface. The missing limpets were significantly smaller and had hotter foot and body temperatures and faster heart rates than animals that were recaptured the following day (Table 1, \(t\)-tests: \(t = –2.55, p = 0.02, df = 19; t = 4.22, p = 0.001, df = 14; t = 3.36, p = 0.005, df = 14 \) for shell length, foot and body temperatures, respectively). Most of the limpets (6 out of 8) that were not recaptured had been located on horizontal rock surfaces where environmental conditions were also more severe. Air and rock temperatures were significantly hotter (\(t\)-tests: \(t = 3.17, p = 0.009, df = 11 \text{ df}; t = 4.28, p = 0.001, df = 14 \) for air and rock temperatures, respectively) than in habitats (e.g. vertical surfaces) occupied by limpets that were relocated on the next day. The majority of limpets that were not recaptured were also mushrooming (7 of the 8) and, in general, the height of mushrooming was greater in these animals than in those that survived until the next day (Table 1), although this difference was not significant (\(t\)-test, \(p > 0.05\)).

Laboratory measurement of thermal stress

The experimental protocol adopted was designed to resemble the thermal stress conditions recorded on the shore during the same period as the field survey. Both the small and large limpets removed from the shore, placed on Petri dishes and washed with seawater for 30 min in the aquarium, replenished their mantle water (authors’ pers. obs.). Both large and small animals had similar haemolymph osmolalities (\(\text{Fig. 2}\) after the awash phase and after being in the oven for 60 min (\(t\)-tests, \(p > 0.05\)). Limpets undergoing experimental treatments on the hot plate had more concentrated haemolymph (~22% higher) than those which were awash or held in the oven. Small animals held on the hot plate had significantly more concentrated haemolymph than large animals (Table 2) as did constrained limpets (i.e. limpets not able to lift their shells from the dish surface) as compared to those that were able to mushroom, but this was not significant (\(\text{Fig. 2, Table 2}\)).
Large animals, in contrast, had more concentrated mantle water concentrations than small animals after both the awash (Fig. 3, $t = -4.88$, df = 10, $p = 0.001$) and oven phase (Fig. 3, $t = -3.75$, df = 7, $p = 0.007$). It proved very difficult to collect mantle water from small, unconstrained, mushrooming animals after they had spent time on the hot plate (Fig. 3). Mantle water was, however, collected from some small, constrained limpets, and this was much more concentrated (~24% higher) than for large animals (Fig. 3, Table 3). In the case of large limpets, it was possible to collect mantle water from most experimental animals and there was no difference between constrained or unconstrained animals. Mantle water osmolality of animals held on the hot plate was, however, consistently higher than those rehydrated or maintained in the oven for 60 min (Fig. 3).

In general, mantle water and haemolymph osmolalities across all treatments showed a strong positive correlation (Fig. 4; Pearson’s correlation, $r = 0.917$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 37$), although in small constrained limpets, mantle water concentration was much more concentrated than haemolymph concentration (Figs. 2 & 3).

Limpets that were unconstrained showed mushrooming behaviour, with large animals raising their shells higher than small animals (small = 3.25 ± 0.49 mm SD, large = 4.47 ± 1.0 mm, $t$-test: $t = 2.66$, df = 7, $p = 0.033$), whereas animals that were constrained could only lift their shells by ≤1 mm. When scaled for body size, however, there was no difference between the size classes in the relative height of mushrooming ($t$-test, $p > 0.05$) as mushrooming height was positively, but weakly, related to shell size (Pearson’s correlation, $r = 0.668$, $p = 0.018$, $n = 12$). Foot temperatures also showed a treatment effect that was not related to size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>80333</td>
<td>35.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2275</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SNK tests:
Small, constrained > large, constrained = large, mushroom

Table 3. Cellana grata. One-way GLM to investigate variation in mantle water osmolality (mosM kg$^{-1}$) in small and large C. grata prevented (= constrained) and allowed to mushroom under conditions of heat stress ($n = 3$ to 6). Note that the design did not include small animals which were allowed to mushroom as mantle water could only be obtained from 1 individual. Variances were homogenous, Levene’s test = 1.17. SNK tests were used to further separate significant factors.
Table 4. *Cellana grata*. Two-way GLM to investigate variation in foot temperature (°C) in small and large *C. grata* prevented and allowed to mushroom under conditions of heat stress (n = 3 to 6). Variances were homogenous, Levene's test = 0.35. SNK tests were used to further separate significant factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>SNK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>mushroom &gt; constrained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size class</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat × Size</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. *Cellana grata*. Two-way GLM to investigate variation in heart rate (beats s⁻¹) in small and large *C. grata* prevented and allowed to mushroom under conditions of heat stress (n = 5 to 6). Variances were homogenous, Levene's test = 0.792. SNK tests were used to further separate significant factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>SNK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>mushroom &gt; constrained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size class</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>small &gt; large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat × Size</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. *Cellana grata*. Two-way ANOVA to investigate the variation in percent water loss in small and large *C. grata* prevented and allowed to mushroom under conditions of heat stress after 60 min on a hot plate. Data for large *C. grata* constrained on a hot plate for 120 min are also shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>SNK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.15</td>
<td>05.11</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0 constrained &gt; mushroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size class</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43.55</td>
<td>21.94</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>small &gt; large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat × Size</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. *Cellana grata*. Two-way ANOVA to investigate the variation in total percent water loss in small and large *C. grata* prevented and allowed to mushroom under conditions of heat stress after 60 min in an oven plus hot plate treatment. Data for large *C. grata* constrained on a hot plate for 120 min are also shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>SNK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>27.43</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>constrained &gt; mushroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size class</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treat × Size</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. *Cellana grata*. Mean (+SD, n = 6) heart rates for small and large constrained and unconstrained *C. grata* after 60 min on a hot plate. Data for large *C. grata* constrained on a hot plate for 120 min are also shown.

Fig. 7. *Cellana grata*. Mean (+SD, n = 6) percentage water loss for small and large constrained and unconstrained *C. grata* after 60 min in an oven (below horizontal line) and 60 min on a hot plate (above horizontal line). Data for large *C. grata* constrained on a hot plate for 120 min are also shown.
lar mushroom heights and heart rates to those main-
tained on the hot plate for 60 min (t-test: \( p > 0.05 \), Fig. 6). Foot temperatures were, however, higher
(t-test: \( t = -3.21, df = 10, p = 0.015 \), Fig. 5) and these
limpets lost nearly twice as much water whilst on the
hot plate compared with animals held for 60 min (t-test,
equal variances: \( t = 6.86, df = 7, p < 0.001 \), Fig. 7)
although similar loss rates were recorded in the oven
(t-test: \( p > 0.05 \), Fig. 7). It was not possible to sample
mantle water from any of the limpets maintained on
the hot plate for 120 min, but the haemolymph osmo-
lality of these limpets was also much higher than in
limpets maintained for 60 min on the hot plate (t-test:
\( t = -7.57, df = 10, p < 0.001 \), Fig. 2).

In general, therefore, limpets of both size classes
experienced a similar thermal regime on the hot plate
in terms of foot temperature. Unconstrained limpets
were able to mushroom, and larger animals were able
to lift their shells further from the surface than small
individuals. Constrained animals, which were unable
to mushroom, had lower heart rates, but higher foot
temperatures and haemolymph osmolalities and, in the
case of small animals, higher mantle water osmolality.
Small animals lost a higher proportion of water, and
also had higher haemolymph concentrations and heart
rates than large animals. Small animals also lost man-
tle water on the hot plate, especially if they were able
to mushroom. Large animals kept for longer periods of
time on the hot plate showed similar mushroom
heights and heart rates as those maintained for a
shorter period, but had higher foot temperatures, lost
all their mantle water and nearly twice as much of their
total water content, and had much higher haemolymph
osmolality.

**DISCUSSION**

During low tide periods at Cape d’Aguilar, Hong
Kong, the limpet *Cellana grata* experiences heat and
desiccation stress. Animals that rest in unprotected
habitats (horizontal rock surfaces, west-facing slopes)
can experience high rock temperatures (>50°C, Wil-
liams & Morritt 1995) and can be exposed for ~6 h to
to potentially stressful low-tide periods with extended
periods of insolation. In the present study, tempera-
tures were not extreme (max. rock temperature > 40°C)
al though maximum limpet body temperatures
reached 43.5°C. It is not unusual for animal tempera-
tures to be higher than the rock temperature (Lewis
1963, Vermeij 1971, Williams & Morritt 1995), proba-
bly as a result of a reduction in cooling of the limpet
foot, which will be directly heated by insolation and
conduction from the bed rock, as compared to the
open rock surface, which will be cooled by air move-
ment. Limpet foot temperature was related to rock, air
and limpet body temperatures, and was a good pre-
dictor of heart rate. Chelazzi et al. (1999) have shown
that the heart rate of *C. grata* increases with tempera-
ture over the range 28 to 40°C. Above this tempera-
ture, however, this relationship is not always linear
and intermittent bradycardia is sometimes observed,
which Chelazzi et al. (1999, 2001) have argued is a
means by which *C. grata* may attempt to regulate
body temperature and reduce metabolism.

Even under these relatively mild stressful conditions,
most animals showed a mushrooming response, rais-
ing their shells from the rock surface. Animals that
did not show this response were found in cooler habitats
(vertical and shaded surfaces), and had lower foot tem-
peratures and lower heart rates, suggesting they were
not as stressed as those animals that did mushroom.
Limpets that did mushroom had elevated foot tempera-
tures and heart rates, and were found in habitats with
higher rock temperatures than those that did not
mushroom, although this relationship was not signifi-
cant, possibly due to the small sample size for animals
that did not lift their shells. Limpets higher on the shore
(by ~25 cm), and therefore emersed for longer time
periods, had greater mushroom heights than those
lower on the shore, suggesting a clear effect of dura-
tion of aerial exposure as well as of overall tempera-
ture effects on this behaviour.

A 68% recapture rate was achieved on the day
after the field survey. Limpets not recaptured may
have died, or been lost to the observers. Recapture of
non-stressed animals is usually >85% (Williams &
Morritt 1995) and so it seems likely that a large pro-
portion of those not recaptured did die. Periodic mass
mortalities of *Cellana grata* do occur during calm,
spring low tides (Williams & Morritt 1995) and mor-
tality rates vary greatly depending on the specific day
to day conditions (A. Ngan unpubl. data). Retrospec-
tive comparison of records for the lost animals with
those which were recaptured, showed that these
limpets were smaller, had higher mushrooming
heights, higher foot and body temperatures, and in
particular higher heart rates compared to those that
were recaptured. This suggests that these animals
were experiencing more severe stress than those
which survived and were recaptured the next day,
and may account for the elevated loss rate. The shore
measurements, therefore, suggest a relationship
between thermal stress and mushrooming, which
varies with duration of exposure (tidal height) and
thermal regime experienced (micro-habitat). This
relationship will determine, on a given day, the rela-
tive survival of limpets on the shore (Williams & Mor-
ritt 1995) as also proposed for mussels (Helmut &
Hofmann 2001).
What is the benefit of mushrooming?

The laboratory experiments attempted to mimic sub-lethal thermal stress and were conducted at the same time of day as limpets would normally experience these conditions on the shore so as to control for any potential endogenous rhythm. Animals were collected whilst awash, prior to their inactive phase when they would be stationary on the shore and exposed to stressful emersion conditions. Prior to placement on the hot plates, different-sized limpets rehydrated by the awash phase in the aquarium and then dried in the oven, showed similar haemolymph osmolalities. Large animals, however, had more concentrated mantle water osmolalities after both the awash and the oven phase, although the reasons for this difference are unclear.

After the desiccation period of 60 min in the oven at 35°C and while subsequently being held on the hot plate (40°C) for either 60 or 120 min, unconstrained animals exhibited mushrooming behaviour. The height of the shell lifted from the surface was greater for large than for small limpets, although the relative height was proportional to size. The constrained animals could only lift their shells very slightly. There were a variety of different responses shown by the large and small animals under these 2 treatments. In general, constrained animals had higher foot temperatures and haemolymph osmolalities, but lower heart rates than limpets allowed to mushroom. Overall, water loss was greater in small animals, much of this loss being from the mantle water.

After 60 min on the hot plate, small animals that were able to mushroom had lost their mantle water and this could only be sampled from 1 animal. Loss of extra-corporal water (= mantle water) after 1.5 h was also recorded for small Collisella pelta (Lowell 1984). Mantle water osmolality was more concentrated in small animals that were unable to mushroom than in the single mushrooming individual. Mantle water could be sampled from the large limpets, but not from those allowed to mushroom on the hot plate for 120 min. Mantle water osmolality was greater in small, constrained limpets than in large animals, which showed similar concentrations between those mushrooming and those constrained. Haemolymph samples could, however, be collected from all animals. This is in contrast to the work of Segal & Dehnel (1962) who were unable to collect blood (= haemolymph) samples from severely desiccated limpets (Acmaea) but could still collect extra-visceral fluids (mantle water). Their techniques were, however, different to the ones employed in this study, which may account for this difference. Constrained limpets had slightly higher haemolymph concentrations than those allowed to mushroom, and the concentrations in small animals were significantly more concentrated than in large animals. Large limpets allowed to mushroom and maintained on a hot plate for 120 min also had higher haemolymph concentrations than individuals maintained for only 60 min.

As the limpets experienced progressive stress, they lost their mantle water or it became increasingly concentrated. This would result in the gills drying up and impede gas and metabolite exchange via the mantle cavity (see Williams & McMahon 1998). Haemolymph concentrations also showed a similar trend. Presumably, whilst mantle water is available (i.e. not lost via evaporation), it can be used to exchange respiratory waste products but will also become more concentrated as water evaporates (see the 1 example of the small limpets where a sample was obtained). Constrained animals were better able to maintain their mantle water but the osmolality became increasingly higher, presumably as exchange between body fluids and mantle water was maintained.

There was a linear, positive relationship between haemolymph and mantle osmolality, as recorded for Acmaea (Segal & Dehnel 1962). This relationship held true until haemolymph osmolalities reached ~950 mosM kg⁻¹ when haemolymph values stabilised, despite increasing mantle water concentrations, although this is based on relatively few observations of small constrained individuals. This suggests some active maintenance of haemolymph values below a certain threshold, or the accelerated concentration of ions in water in the mantle cavity as this fluid evaporates. A dynamic equilibrium has been proposed between mantle and limpet body fluids, resulting in differential passage of solutes depending on the osmotic concentration of these 2 fluid reservoirs (Segal & Dehnel 1962, Williams & Morritt 1995). The maintenance of a ‘water jacket’ of mantle water has been suggested to help minimize dehydration and the subsequent concentration of body fluids (Segal & Dehnel 1962). As this water is lost, however, body fluids will start to evaporate (cooling the animal) and haemolymph osmolality increases, as has been described in the highshore littorinid Cenchritis muricatus during extended desiccation stress (Emson et al. 2002). The current results for C. grata, however, suggest that under severe stress, there may be some evidence for short-term regulation of the haemolymph relative to the mantle water. Whilst such osmoregulation is unusual in molluscs, there are some instances where this may occur during stressful periods, e.g. aestivating Pomacea spp. (Little 1968).

An increase in body fluid osmolality has been recorded in numerous limpet species with increasing desiccation (Segal & Dehnel 1962, Wolcott 1973,
In exceed the limpets’ tolerance levels over a 3 yr period. shore thermal conditions that were never observed to loss (>70%, recorded in laboratory conditions) and on-
Wolcott recorded as tolerating high degrees of water limits. This may be true for species, as water loss, in his study, rarely reached lethal fluid. That concentration of the body fluids that ultimately caused mortality in Acmaea species, as water loss, in his study, rarely reached lethal level (~30%, Williams & Morritt 1995). Wolcott recorded as tolerating high osmotic concentration (~70%, Wolcott 1973) observed lifting of the shell at high temperatures, which are controlled by environmental conditions, especially as a result of habitat choice. Small animals and those in stressful habitats (horizontal rock surfaces, high on the shore) exhibit prolonged mushrooming and can die. Mushrooming appears to be an active process, involving increased heart rates. This behaviour cools the limpets, probably due to decreasing heat conduction from the rock and increas-
ing heat loss by convection with the air. There is no evidence that this behaviour enhances evaporative cooling, as there was no difference in overall water loss in mushrooming animals compared to those limpets which could not lift their shells. Loss of mantle water is, however, more rapid and may play a cooling role, but also results in subsequent physiological impacts on the animals (lack of gaseous exchange, haemolymph concentration and acidification) and this may be associated with increased circulation rates. This suggests evaporative cooling does not affect body fluids initially and it is only after longer durations (>2 h) of emersion that this occurs. Brief periods (1 or 2 h) of mushrooming, therefore, appear to have short-term positive benefits and it is only under very stressful conditions or prolonged emersion that the consequences of this response become fatal, especially for smaller animals. Mushrooming behaviour is, therefore, proposed to be a short-term gamble, involving an energetic cost which allows limpets temporary physiological relief from stressful conditions and perhaps increases their chance of surviving until the next tidal immersion.
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