Nutrient release from an exposed intertidal sand flat
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ABSTRACT: We studied pore water seepage and associated nutrient release in the Janssand intertidal sand flat (North Sea) during exposure at low tide. The hydraulic gradient developing at ebb tide between the pore water level in the elevated sand flat and the water level in the tidal gully generated interstitial water flows toward the seepage zone with velocities ranging from 0.5 (March) to 0.9 cm h⁻¹ (July). Pore water was discharged from an approximately 20 m wide zone near the seaward margin of the flat at rates of 2.4 (March) and 4.2 l m⁻² d⁻¹ (July). Nutrient and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) concentrations of the seepage water exceeded those measured in the pore water of the upper section of the flat by 10- and 5-fold, respectively. Nutrient effluxes through seepage reached 1100 and 7600 µmol m⁻² d⁻¹ for NH₄, 280 and 2500 µmol m⁻² d⁻¹ for PO₄ and 140 and 1700 µmol m⁻² d⁻¹ for Si(OH)₄ in March and July, respectively. Benthic flux chambers revealed that nutrients and DIC were released from the still submerged sediment as soon as the ebb tide exposed the upper section of the elevated flat. A conservative estimate based on our measurements suggests that 168 000 l (March) to 294 000 l (July) pore water are discharged each day from the sandy northeastern margin of the Janssand (3.5 km length, 70 000 m²). Nutrients contained in this water corresponded to 6–25 kg d⁻¹ (90 to 350 mg m⁻² d⁻¹) carbon mineralized during March and 42–223 kg d⁻¹ (600 to 3200 mg C m⁻² d⁻¹) during July. Our study indicates that the Janssand intertidal flat does not accumulate organic matter but releases mineralization products that can account for all the organic matter that is potentially filtered through the permeable beds during a tidal cycle. Nutrient fluxes associated with seepage exceeded 5- to 8-fold those fluxes caused by the combined effects of diffusion, advection and bioirrigation during inundation, emphasizing the importance of sand flat drainage to the nutrient cycles in the Wadden Sea.
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INTRODUCTION

Fine to medium sands prevail in the intertidal regions of the Wadden Sea, covering a total area of ca. 13 000 km² along the Dutch, German and Danish coasts (Flemming & Ziegler 1995, van Beusekom & de Jonge 2002). The relatively high permeability of these sands (10⁻¹² to 10⁻¹¹ m²) allows inflow of water through the interstices as soon as pressure gradients caused by currents or water level changes are present. During inundation, the pore water exchange transports solutes (Huettel et al. 1998) and particles (Huettel et al. 1996, Pilditch et al. 1997) into and out of the upper layers of permeable sediment. Through the enhanced exchange of organic matter, electron acceptors and metabolic products (Ziebis et al. 1996, Huettel et al. 1998), such permeable sands become sites of high organic matter turnover (Huettel & Rusch 2000, D’Andrea et al. 2002). During ebb tide, pressure gradients develop between the pore water level within elevated, exposed sand flats and the decreasing water level of the Wadden Sea. In these sands, the pore water table drops slower than the seawater level (Nielsen 1990) because of the sediment’s hydraulic impedance and capillary forces, and the ensuing pressure gradient leads to water release that fills the numerous drainage channels typi-
cal of intertidal flats (Nielsen 1990, Horn 2002). Such discharge of pore water has been recognized as an ecologically important process in studies covering coastal, submarine, groundwater discharge (Simmons 1992, Moore 1996, Taniguchi & Iwakawa 2004), wave dominated beaches (McLachlan & I llenberger 1986, Uchiyama et al. 2000, Ullman et al. 2003) and salt marshes (Howes & Goehringer 1994, Osgood 2000, Jahnke et al. 2003). For tide dominated intertidal flats, however, studies on drainage and associated solute releases are scarce. Le Hir et al. (2000) estimated from runnel-runoff velocities that intertidal mud flats on the French and British coasts discharged roughly 10 l m–2 tide–1. In a tidal flat in Tokyo Bay, drainage has been suggested as a possible mechanism causing a drop in sedimentary water content during exposure (Usui et al. 1998). In contrast, Kuwae et al. (1998) concluded that pore water release in another intertidal flat in Tokyo Bay was small because the sediment remained nearly saturated during exposure. Likewise, Drabsch et al. (1999, 2000) suggested that tidal pumping and total water flux in a tidal flat (Manukao Harbour, New Zealand) was small because pore water flow velocities ranged only between 0.2 and 4.0 cm d–1. However, visible pore water seepage from intertidal sand flats in the North Sea indicates that drainage may produce significant pore water release in more steeply sloping flats. Therefore, we initiated the present study, which investigated the magnitude of pore water release and implications of this drainage for sedimentary nutrient and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) release in permeable intertidal sand flats. Because benthic microalgae act as biological nutrient filters at the sediment–water interface (Sundbäck et al. 2000), we also assessed the effect of these organisms on nutrient release during inundation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site. The study was conducted during 2 field campaigns (July 2003 and March 2004) on the northeastern margin of the intertidal Janssand sandflat situated landward of the barrier island of Spiekeroog, North Sea (53° 44' 07" N, 007° 41' 57" E) (Fig. 1). Tides in this area are semi-diurnal, and the Janssand (11 km² area) is covered by approximately 1.5 to 2 m of water during high tide, becoming exposed to air for about 6 to 7.5 h during low tide. The Janssand tidal flat is almost level, except at the margin where the sediment surface slopes (1.6 cm m–1 over ca. 80 m, Fig. 2). We chose 4 study sites (A to D in Fig. 2), with most measurements conducted at the ‘lower sand flat’ site (Site D) near the edge of the Janssand and at the ‘upper sand flat’ site (Site A) about 45 m upslope from the mean low water line. All measurements and samplings are listed in Table 1, together with their respective locations on the tidal flat and associated tidal range. The Janssand is characterized by well sorted (σ < 0.38 phi), fine quartz sands with a mean grain size of 176 µm (assessed through dry-sieving). On the upper sand flat, permeability of the sediment surface layer (upper 15 cm), determined by constant head permeametry, is 7.2 x 10–12 m² (SD ± 0.6 x 10–12 m², n = 6, July 2003), which permits advective pore water flows (Huettel et al. 2003). At the lower sand flat site, the same layer is less permeable (5.2 x 10–13 m² [SD ± 0.3 x 10–13 m², n = 6]), because of imbedded mud lenses. Macrofaunal abundances are relatively low at the study site (Hertweck 1995). The Spiekeroog tide gauge within 2 km of the study site provided the data on water level changes.

Fig. 1. Location of the study site, near the island of Spiekeroog, North Sea, Germany
To assess fluctuations of the pore water level within the sediment with change in the tidal water level, 4 acrylic pipes (20 cm long, 36 mm diameter) were vertically inserted into the sediment on a transect (A–D in Fig. 2) from the upper sand flat site (A) toward the lower sand flat site (D). The open lower ends of the pipes were covered with nylon mesh (63 µm) and their open upper ends were level with the sediment surface. The water table in the pipes was measured to the nearest 1 mm with a ruler throughout exposure of the respective sites, at 1 to 60 min intervals (Table 1).

Pore water flow velocity. The horizontal flow velocity of pore water was measured at the upper sand flat site by following the passage of a fluorescent dye tracer through the sediment with a buried linear array of 6 fiberoptic sensors, as described in Precht & Huettel (2004) (Fig. 3). The tracer solution was prepared by adding fluorescein dye to filtered seawater to an end concentration of 100 mg l−1 and adjusting it to the local pore water density. Prior to the first measurement, fluorescein dye solution was injected with a syringe into the sand, and subsequently dug out to visually determine the main flow direction of the pore water. Then a small incision was cut into the sediment at low tide to the desired depth, and the setup was carefully inserted and pushed horizontally several centimeters into the undisturbed part of the sediment. The sensor array orientation was horizontal to the sediment surface and roughly perpendicular to the low water line; other orientations did not show any measurable pore water flow. During the July 2003 campaign, pore water flow velocities were measured at 2, 5 and 10 cm sediment depth throughout exposure. These measurements were extended by four 10 cm intervals to a sediment depth of 50 cm during the March 2004 campaign. An additional measurement was conducted at 5 cm sediment depth about 30 m upslope on the upper sand flat site (+6 cm height) during the March campaign. Some measurements were continued during inundation of the tidal flat until re-exposure. All pore water flow velocity measurements were conducted during the transition from mean tide to neap tide for both campaigns. The average pore water flow velocity was calculated for all measurements from the time interval between the geometric centroids of the signal curves at consecutive sensors (Fig. 3). The average and standard deviation of flow velocity were derived for each deployment from individual velocity calculations between adjacent sensors in the 6-sensor array.

Table 1. Sampling and in situ measurements made during July 2003 and March 2004 field campaigns. Also shown are sites A to D where measurements were made, their tidal range, and their respective positions on the tidal flat. Positions of the sites A to D relative to mean sea level were +30, +23, +13, and −29, respectively (Fig. 2). Seepage measurements were made using open and rhombic meters; chamber measurements assessed solute fluxes and benthic primary production during inundation. Further details in ‘Materials and methods’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (dd.mo.yr)</th>
<th>Tidal range (m)</th>
<th>Sediment characteristics</th>
<th>Site characteristics</th>
<th>Pore water flow velocity</th>
<th>In situ measurements</th>
<th>Seepage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Topography: A–D</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A: 2 cm depth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.07.2003</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.07.2003</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A: 10 cm depth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.07.2003</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A: 5 cm depth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.07.2003</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.07.2003</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Grain size: A</td>
<td>A, B, C, D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.07.2003</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Permeability: A, D</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.07.2003</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Chl a: A</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.07.2003</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Chl a: D</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.03.2004</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>High tide</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.03.2004</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.03.2004</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.03.2004</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.03.2004</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.03.2004</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Janssand tidal flat topography, as surveyed during July 2003, showing positions (cm) of Study Sites A–D relative to mean sea level. Shading indicates the mean high water; dashed line at zero water height marks the position of mean low water line during July 2003. An additional 10 to 15 m of the tidal flat was exposed during the March 2004 campaign due to wind conditions.
Pore water seepage. Pore water discharge from the sloping margin of the tidal flat was quantified in March during exposure by measuring the volume of fluid collected at the end of 2 flow barriers (open seepage meter) that guided draining pore water into a container (Fig. 4). The open seepage meter consisted of two 5 cm wide plastic laths (100 cm length) inserted to a depth of 2 cm vertically into the sediment, with the 2 laths forming an angle of 130°. The resulting surface-water flow-barrier collected seepage water from a 1.8 m wide upslope section of the flat. At the meeting point of the 2 plastic laths, a plastic funnel with tubing was attached to collect the seeped pore water into a graduated cylinder. The amount of collected pore water was quantified to the nearest 1 ml, and filtered samples were transferred to plastic vials and kept frozen for later nutrient analysis. Up to 3 of these seepage meters were established along a transect (Sites A, C, D) and were ready for measurement within 20 min of exposure. The zones of pore water discharge upslope of the seepage meters could be identified from the ‘glassy’ appearance of the surface of the water saturated sediment, and their areal dimensions were recorded throughout the measurements. In order to verify the seepage measurements with the open seepage meter, an additional measurement was conducted by inserting 2 additional plastic laths opposite to the open seepage meter, isolating a rhombic area of 0.78 m² (Fig. 4). A good agreement between the seepage rates m⁻² measured with the rhombic and open seepage meters was obtained for discharge zones extending less than 6 m above the open seepage meter. Discharge rates were calculated by dividing the collected pore water volume per unit time by the respective seepage area (Fig. 4) of the open or rhombic seepage meter.

Chamber flux measurements. During the July 2003 campaign, in situ measurements with stirred cylindrical chambers (19 cm inner diameter) were carried out to measure advective fluxes of oxygen, DIC and nutrients across the sediment–water interface. At the lower sand flat site, the chambers were also used to assess drainage discharge of solutes from the sediment. The chamber measurements were conducted on 2 consecutive days at the upper and lower sand flat sites. We deployed 3 transparent and 3 opaque chambers at each site, permitting assessment of benthic primary production during inundation. At the lower sand flat site, chamber incubations were longer (8 h) and water...
depth deeper (2.3 m), than at the upper flat (4 h incubations, 1.5 m water depth). During the incubation period, water temperature varied by ≤1°C, while concentrations of nutrients, DIC and oxygen in the ambient seawater remained relatively constant. During low tide, the chambers were gently inserted to a sediment depth of 19 cm, and neoprene collars (20 cm diameter) were placed around them to prevent erosion. Upon inundation, the chambers were sealed with acrylic lids, each enclosing a water volume of 3.4 l and a sediment area of 0.028 m². Inside the chambers, a rotating disc (15 cm diameter, 20 rpm) producing a radial pressure gradient of 0.1 Pa cm⁻¹ caused flushing of the upper sediment layer, thus mimicking natural advective pore water exchange (Huettel & Gust 1992, Huettel & Rusch 2000). Advective tracer and solute fluxes caused by this very low pressure gradient (corresponding to a gradient created by flow of 10 cm s⁻¹ at 10 cm above the bed interacting with a sediment ripple of 0.5 cm height) should be considered conservative. Each lid had a sampling port and a small opening with a 1 m Tygon™ tubing coil attached to it to allow pressure equilibration between chamber and surrounding water and inflow of discharged pore water into the chambers. Oxygen concentrations inside each chamber were monitored every 2 min for 20 s with fiberoptic optodes (Klimant et al. 1995) inserted through the chamber lid. After closing the chambers, 20 ml of a 3 mol l⁻¹ NaBr inert tracer solution was injected into 1 dark and 1 light chamber for the assessment of the depth of advective fluid exchange between overlying water and sediment (Forster et al. 1999). After the bromide tracer had mixed with the chamber water for 15 min, all chambers were sampled at hourly intervals (when water level and currents permitted). At each sampling, samples of ambient seawater were taken, and a total of 80 ml of water was drawn with a syringe from each chamber, of which the first 20 ml were discarded to compensate for the sampling tube volume (15 ml). At the end of the incubations, with the water level still above the chambers, sediment cores from the chambers treated with bromide tracer were retrieved with cut-off 60 ml syringes. The sediment cores were sliced into 0.5 cm sections within 30 min after retrieval and kept frozen until analysis. Benthic chamber measurements could not be carried out during March due to adverse weather conditions.

**Sampling and analyses.** For sedimentary chlorophyll a determination, samples of the upper 5 cm of the sand were divided into 0.5 cm sections for analysis according to Lorenzen (1967). For characterization of ambient seawater, samples collected at high tide and samples from gullies (ebb tide) were collected in plastic centrifuge tubes (glass vials for DIC and dissolved organic carbon, DOC) and filtered through 0.2 µm nylon syringe filters. Aliquots were either kept frozen (for nutrients, DOC) or preserved with mercury chloride (for DIC analysis). For POC and PN contents, samples were filtered onto pre-combusted Whatman® GFF filters and kept frozen. For pore water nutrient and DIC determinations, sediment cores were collected with 36 mm core liners shortly after exposure of the study sites and sectioned within an argon-flushed glove box to a depth of 20 cm at 1 cm intervals. Equivalent slices from 4 sediment cores were pooled and transferred to a small pressure container with an inert gas inlet and a pore water outlet. By flushing the container ca. 20 s with argon gas, the pore water was separated from the sediment matrix. After filtration through 0.2 µm nylon syringe filters, aliquots were frozen for nutrient analysis or preserved with saturated mercury chloride solution for subsequent DIC analysis. Bromide in the pore water was analyzed by ion chromatography with a Waters® anion-exchange column, using NaBr as a standard for calibration. Filters for POC and PN analysis were treated with a few drops of 1 mol l⁻¹ HCl to remove inorganic carbon, prior to analysis on a Heraeus® CHNO-
rapid elemental analyzer with sulfanilamide as calibration standard. Nutrient analyses of silicate, phosphate, ammonium, nitrate and nitrite were performed spectrophotometrically with a Skalar continuous-flow-analyzer according to Grasshoff et al. (1999). DIC was determined by flow injection analysis (Hall & Aller 1992) or coulometric titration on a UIC CMS012 (for chamber water). Seawater DOC analysis was performed by high temperature, catalytic oxidation on a Shimadzu™ TOC-5050A analyzer and obtained by subtracting the measured DIC concentration from the measured total DC. Oxygen concentrations of chamber water were determined by Winkler titration and used for calibration of the chambers’ oxygen optodes (for details see Klimant et al. 1995, Holst et al. 1997). Dilution of the chamber waters due to sampling was corrected by adding the difference of the solute inventory between the sampled and replaced volume to the chamber volume solute inventory. Solute fluxes were estimated by linear regression on concentration changes over time, or from start and end concentrations when linear regression was not applicable. Pore water concentrations and flux data were analyzed with a Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney non-parametric U-test for pairwise comparisons at a 95% confidence level (p < 0.05).

RESULTS

Pore water level

The water level in the sediment changed when the Janssand became exposed at ebb tide (Fig. 5). At Sites A and B on the upper sand flat, the pore water level remained at the sediment surface during the first 2 h of exposure and dropped gradually thereafter, reaching its lowest point approximately 1.5 h after low tide. The pore water level then increased again with the rising tide, but never reached the sediment surface until re-inundation. At Site C, the pore water level remained high and did not drop at all at the lower sand flat position, Site D. At Site D, a pore water level at times above sediment elevation cannot be ruled out, as the open end of the standpipe was level with the sand surface. The maximum measured difference in pore water level between the upper (Site A) and lower (Site D) sand flat was 46 cm over a horizontal distance of 4300 cm.

Pore water flow velocity

The fiberoptical measurements revealed pore water flows directed toward the low water line over the measured sediment depth of 50 cm during exposure (Table 2). During July 2003, an average pore water flow velocity of 0.86 cm h⁻¹ was measured, with similar velocities between 2 and 10 cm sediment depths (Table 2). This pore water flow started after the exposure of the tidal flat and continued for 6.5 h until the measuring position became inundated again. After submergence of the measuring position, a steady fluorescence signal remained for the seaward sensor during the entire inundation period (Fig. 6), and decreased rapidly once the tidal flat became exposed again. This

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sediment depth (cm)</th>
<th>Flow velocity (cm h⁻¹)</th>
<th>July 2003</th>
<th>March 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
showed that the pore water flow ceased during submergence and resumed again after exposure. Other pore water flow measurements confirmed this finding (data not shown). During the March 2004 campaign, wind conditions resulted in up to 9 h long periods of exposure, compared to 7.5 h in July. Nevertheless, measured flow velocities in the upper 10 cm of the sediment (0.54 cm h⁻¹) were less than during the July campaign. In March, pore water flow velocities were similar down to a sediment depth of 30 cm, but decreased below this (Table 2). During both measuring campaigns, pore water flow velocities remained relatively constant for the duration of the exposure period. During most of the exposure period, puddles of water persisted on the almost level sediment surface 30 m upslope on the upper sand flat site (6 cm vertical gain), and no pore water flow could be detected during the first 6.5 h of exposure in March 2004. Pore water flow at 5 cm depth started within the last 2.5 h of exposure and coincided with a ‘drying’ of the sediment surface due to a gradual drop in the pore water table. In contrast, pore water flow was detected during the entire period of exposure in the steeper sloping, upper sand flat site.

**Pore water seepage**

Pore water was released from the sediment at the lower sand flat site during ebb tide, as quantified during the March 2004 campaign. At low tide, seepage was restricted to an area extending from the low water line to about 20 to 30 m up the slope, as indicated by its ‘glassy’ sediment surface. Discharge continued throughout the period of exposure. In 4 measurements with the open seepage meters, initial rates of seepage ranged between 0.7 and 3.0 l m⁻² h⁻¹, decreasing to 0.1–0.4 l m⁻² h⁻¹ shortly before re-inundation (Fig. 7A). Measurements with the rhombic seepage meters recorded a comparable discharge. Nutrient concentrations of the discharged pore water increased over time for silicate, phosphate and ammonium, and decreased or remained constant for NOx (Fig. 7B). At similar rates of pore water seepage, the discharge of nutrients varied between measurements (Table 3).

**Pore water solute and seawater concentrations**

In July and March, we measured significant differences in the pore water nutrient and DIC concentrations between the upper and the lower Janssand sites (Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney U-test, p < 0.001). At the lower sand flat site, where water drained from the Janssand sediment, pore water silicate, phosphate and ammonium concentrations exceeded the respective concentrations at the upper flat by about 1 order of magnitude during both measuring campaigns (Fig. 8). Likewise, pore water DIC concentrations at the lower site were 2- to 5-fold higher than at the upper site in July, and 5 to 6-fold higher in March (Fig. 9), reaching 20 mmol l⁻¹ during both campaigns. Pore water solute...
concentrations exceeded those of the ambient water by far (Table 4). Water column C:N ratios of POM averaged between 6 and 7, indicating fresh organic matter. During July 2003, the chlorophyll a inventory in the upper 5 cm of the sediment was similar for the upper (11.2 ± SD 1.9 µg g⁻¹, n = 20) and lower (10.4 ± SD 1.3 µg g⁻¹, n = 20) flat.

Chamber flux measurements

The bromide tracer was transported down to a sediment depth of 2 to 3 cm, revealing advective flushing of the incubated permeable sediment (Table 5). At the upper sand flat, phosphate was released from the sediment, while silicate was consumed. Concentrations of ammonium, nitrate and nitrite stayed below detection limits. Fluxes of DIC and oxygen reflected photosynthetic activity. Oxygen was produced in the transparent chambers (1500 to 2000 µmol m⁻² h⁻¹) and consumed (1300 to 1600 µmol m⁻² h⁻¹) in the opaque chambers, corresponding to an average gross photosynthetic production of 3300 µmol C m⁻² h⁻¹. At the lower sand flat site, pore water started seeping from the sediment after the upper Janssand became exposed during ebb tide. In order to assess the contribution of seepage to the total solute release in the chambers, fluxes measured during inundation of the lower flat (0 to 6.5 h) were subtracted from the total fluxes recorded during the period of 1.5 h when the upper flat was exposed and seepage occurred at the lower site (6.5 to 8 h) (Table 5). During the first 6.5 h of the lower sand flat incubation, effluxes of silicate, phosphate and ammonium were observed in all but 1 chamber (Fig. 10). Fluxes of silicate and phosphate were slightly higher and ammonium fluxes were largely increased compared to the upper sand flat.

Table 3. Discharge rate of nutrients (µmol m⁻² h⁻¹) and seepage rate (l m⁻² h⁻¹) of pore water; average of 4 measurements during the March 2004 campaign. Values are means (range)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pore water discharge</th>
<th>Nutrient discharge</th>
<th>Seepage rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silicate (µmol m⁻² h⁻¹)</td>
<td>Phosphate (µmol m⁻² h⁻¹)</td>
<td>Ammonium (µmol m⁻² h⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.94 (0.34–1.57)</td>
<td>0.13 (0.04–0.20)</td>
<td>3.59 (1.19–3.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.76 (66.97–117.69)</td>
<td>196.15 (90.14–262.15)</td>
<td>724.45 (449.31–924.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.23 (9.25–11.25)</td>
<td>19.97 (12.64–24.84)</td>
<td>205.55 (163.86–230.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.06 (16.11–34.46)</td>
<td>63.59 (33.08–81.68)</td>
<td>140.61 (109.95–172.66)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
chamber measurements (Table 5). Concentrations for nitrate and nitrite always stayed below the detection limit. There was no visible influence of photosynthesis on oxygen fluxes (in contrast to the upper flat site (Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney U-test, p < 0.05)), as oxygen was consumed to the same extent in dark and light chambers (Table 5). During the last 1.5 h (6.5 to 8 h) of the lower sand flat incubations, a significantly increased efflux of reduced solutes (p < 0.05) could be observed in all chambers (for silicate in 4 chambers) suggesting that pore water was released from the submerged margin of the Janssand when the upper section of the flat became exposed (Table 5). The venting port of the chambers permitted release of seepage water into the chambers. Irrespective of dark or light incubations, silicate, phosphate and ammonium concentrations in the chamber waters increased at a higher rate during this period (Fig. 10). The efflux of DIC increased up to 87 000 µmol m⁻² h⁻¹ compared to the initial 6.5 h period, and an increased consumption of oxygen in the opaque chambers was observed (p < 0.05). The fluxes of nutrients and DIC during the last 1.5 h exceeded the fluxes recorded prior to this period on average of 5 to 8-fold. Advection of pore water into the chambers due to the interaction of the chambers with waves and currents (Shinn et al. 2002) could be ruled out as the cause for this increase, since no increased pore water discharge into the chambers was measured shortly after submergence of the tidal flat, when strong tidal currents were present.

**DISCUSSION**

Our study highlights a process unique to intertidal, permeable sediments: the periodic release of concentrated nutrients through pore water seepage. This release is caused by the exposure of the sediments during ebb tide, which sets up a hydraulic pressure gradient between the pore water level and the seawater level (Nielsen 1990). The pressure gradient causes water flow through permeable sediment layers toward the seepage zone at the margin of the tidal flat, where pore water is released into tidal gullies. Re-inundation of the flat during flooding eliminates the pressure gradient, thereby discontinuing the seepage. In the following discussion, we contrast sediment–water exchange in subtidal and intertidal sand beds and discuss the characteristics of drainage release and its potential implications.

**Table 4. Seawater characteristics at the study site during the March and July campaigns. Values are means ± SD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>July 2003</th>
<th>March 2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>20.5–23.1</td>
<td>5.6–8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>31–32</td>
<td>29–31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicate (µmol l⁻¹)</td>
<td>5.91 ± 0.51 (n = 4)</td>
<td>6.86 ± 2.63 (n = 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate (µmol l⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.27 (n = 4)</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.76 (n = 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium (µmol l⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.07 (n = 4)</td>
<td>6.03 ± 4.11 (n = 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate + Nitrite (µmol l⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.02 (n = 4)</td>
<td>31.75 ± 4.45 (n = 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC (µmol l⁻¹)</td>
<td>2082 ± 21.61 (n = 4)</td>
<td>2200.02 (n = 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC (mg l⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.79 ± 1.98 (n = 5)</td>
<td>2.59 ± 1.48 (n = 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POC (mg l⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.13 (n = 2)</td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.06 (n = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PN (mg l⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.01 (n = 2)</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.03 (n = 3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Because of their draining mechanism, the sediment–water exchange of matter in intertidal sand flats distinctly differs from that in constantly submerged marine deposits during parts of the tidal cycle. While submerged, diffusion, pore water advection, and bio-turbation govern sediment–water exchange in both subtidal and intertidal sandy beds. With the onset of exposure of the intertidal sediment during ebb tide, however, the exchange mechanisms in these sediments change abruptly. With little or no water being present above most of the sediment, diffusive and advective release of sedimentary materials and the activities of bioirrigating macrofauna are reduced to a minimum. The continuing drop of the water level initiates a decline in the water level in the sediment. A slow but directed pore water flow sets in, carrying solutes and small particles along the increasing
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**Table 5. Effluxes (positive values) and influxes (negative values) in µmol m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) of nutrients, dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and oxygen in opaque and transparent chamber experiments conducted at the upper and lower sand flat sites in July 2003 (nd: not detectable). For fluxes calculated by linear regression, the \(r^2\) is given in parentheses. All other fluxes were calculated from start–end concentrations. Chamber fluxes (advection + faunal activity + diffusion + drainage [where applicable]) and drainage fluxes ([flux 6.5 to 8 h] – [flux 0 to 6.5 h]) are given as average of 6 chambers, except for the average upper sand flat DIC flux, which was calculated from dark incubations only.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Upper sand flat</th>
<th>Silicate 0–4 h</th>
<th>Phosphate 0–4 h</th>
<th>Ammonium 0–4 h</th>
<th>DIC 0–4 h</th>
<th>Oxygen 0–4 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opaque 1</td>
<td>–47 (0.70)</td>
<td>10 (0.30)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>4354 (0.89)</td>
<td>–1290 (0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (0.01)</td>
<td>60 (0.76)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>6824 (0.90)</td>
<td>–1642 (0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>–60 (0.51)</td>
<td>26 (0.28)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>2513 (0.86)</td>
<td>–1469 (0.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transparent 4</td>
<td>–56 (0.91)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>1223</td>
<td>2050 (0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>–46 (0.98)</td>
<td>–5 (0.56)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>747</td>
<td>1930 (0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>–34 (0.74)</td>
<td>83 (0.72)</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>4055</td>
<td>1493 (0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamber flux</td>
<td>–40</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>4564</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower sand flat</th>
<th>Silicate 0–6.5 h</th>
<th>6.5–8 h</th>
<th>0–6.5 h</th>
<th>6.5–8 h</th>
<th>Ammonium 0–6.5 h</th>
<th>6.5–8 h</th>
<th>0–6.5 h</th>
<th>6.5–8 h</th>
<th>DIC 0–6.5 h</th>
<th>6.5–8 h</th>
<th>Oxygen 0–6.5 h</th>
<th>6.5–8 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opaque 1</td>
<td>50 (0.45)</td>
<td>–166</td>
<td>12 (0.22)</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>92 (0.68)</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>1202</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>13792</td>
<td>–697</td>
<td>–1276 (0.87)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>285 (0.99)</td>
<td>2419</td>
<td>309 (0.97)</td>
<td>3070</td>
<td>1144 (0.98)</td>
<td>9449</td>
<td>12911</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>86993</td>
<td>–862</td>
<td>–5042 (0.98)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>–42 (1.00)</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>–1 (0.05)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>–18 (0.31)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2623</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>17740</td>
<td>–1241</td>
<td>–3174 (0.86)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transparent 4</td>
<td>35 (0.47)</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>55 (0.65)</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>391 (0.73)</td>
<td>3306</td>
<td>3199</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>28714</td>
<td>–646</td>
<td>–660 (0.54)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>158 (0.90)</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>155 (0.85)</td>
<td>1265</td>
<td>734 (0.96)</td>
<td>3455</td>
<td>6949</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>36594</td>
<td>–789</td>
<td>–1449 (0.62)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>108 (0.76)</td>
<td>–111</td>
<td>78 (0.91)</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>497 (0.96)</td>
<td>986</td>
<td>7540</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>36447</td>
<td>–695</td>
<td>–397 (0.19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamber flux</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>3012</td>
<td>5737</td>
<td>36713</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drainage flux</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>2539</td>
<td>30976</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hydraulic gradient toward the lower rim of the flat and
the drainage gullies. Here, pore water release zones
develop, similar to those in sandy beaches when a
quickly falling tide decouples from the slower falling
pore water table (Nielsen 1990, Horn 2002). Material
release (except gases) from the exposed intertidal flats,
thus, becomes mainly restricted to the seepage zones,
and here the observed fluxes were significantly higher
than interfacial fluxes recorded during inundation.

Our chamber experiments indicate that pore water
was discharged in submerged parts of the tidal flat as
soon as a sufficient hydraulic gradient was present.
The pronounced increase in nutrient and DIC concen-
trations in all submerged chambers deployed on the
lower flat site after the elevated upper flat became
exposed reflected increased discharge of nutrient-rich
seepage water into the chambers (the venting port of
the chambers permitted slow fluid exchange) (Fig. 10,
Table 5). We can exclude that enhanced metabolic
activity at the lower site was the cause for these
observed increases, because oxygen consumption
rates measured during the first 6.5 h of chamber
incubations (Table 5) and sulfate reduction rates
(Billerbeck et al. in press) were similar at both study
sites. A similar discharge of pore water into benthic
chambers caused by tidal water level fluctuations was
reported by Jahnke et al. (2003) for an intertidal salt
marsh.

Different qualities of fluxes in submerged and
exposed sediment

While in the subtidal and submerged intertidal, sed-
iment–water fluxes may reflect seasonal changes in
organic matter loading, biological activity and hydro-
dynamical forcings (Kristensen et al. 1997, D’Andrea
et al. 2002, de Beer et al. 2005), the compositional
changes of the seepage fluxes during exposure are
governed by the length and biogeochemical character-
istics of the pathways the pore water follows through
the sediment. Although the measured drainage fluid
velocity (March 0.54 cm h–1, July 0.86 cm h–1) ex-
ceded transport by molecular diffusion by some
orders of magnitude, about 16 to 29 tidal cycles are
needed for pore water to travel 1 m through the
sediment. Organic materials progressively degrade
during passage through the sediment, with degrada-
tion of buried organic matter (e.g. microphytobenthos,
macroalgae) contributing to the solute inventory of
the pore water flow (Ehrenhauss et al. 2004). This may
explain why the DIC and nutrient concentrations in the
seepage water exceeded those in the pore water of the
upper 20 cm of the upper flat by factors of 10 to 15. Fur-
thermore, in contrast to the upper flat, the pore water

nutrient and DIC concentrations of the lower flat did
not show any seasonality, due to the long residence
time, dispersion and mixing of the pore water in
deeper layers of the tidal flat (Billerbeck et al. in
press).

When integrated over areas exceeding several ripple
wavelengths (few decimeters), the quality and quan-
tity of solute fluxes from submerged sand beds is rela-
tively homogeneous (Huettel 1990, Marinelli et al.
1998). In contrast, we observed large changes in the
seepage solute concentrations draining from the ex-
posed sand flat (Table 3). Draining of different sections
and layers of the intertidal sand (while the water level
falls and rises) produces variability in seepage water
composition. Likewise, variation in fluxes between rep-
licate chambers simultaneously deployed at the lower
flat site suggests seepage heterogeneity on a relatively
small spatial scale (Table 5). As a result of sediment
heterogeneties (i.e. zones of different permeabilities),
water flowed on preferential paths through the sand
(Deven & Germann 1982, Harvey et al. 1995, DiCarlo et
al. 1999), which led to spatial variability of seepage in
the release zone. This variability was also reflected by
black spots (<0.50 cm diameter) in the seepage zone,
produced by local release of sulfidic pore water. Dis-
charge rates for most measurements in the seepage
zone, however, were similar (Fig. 7) when seepage was
integrated over larger sediment areas as done by our
seepage collectors (6 to 13 m² collection area).

Origin of seepage water

On average, 2.4 to 4.2 l m–2 d–1 of pore water was
discharged on the lower sand flat site during spring
and summer, respectively. The subsurface flows
drained fluid from the sediment surface, water pudd-
les that had remained on the surface gradually dis-
appeared, and sections of the upper flat became visually
‘dry’. The infiltration of surface water into the sediment
carried oxygenated water (possibly O2-oversaturated
due to strong benthic photosynthesis: Revsbech et al.
1980, Revsbech & Jørgensen 1986), solutes and small
particles into the sand (Huettel et al. 1998, Rusch et al.
2001, Ehrenhauss et al. 2004). Despite a continuous
drop in the pore water level in the standpipes, the ‘dry-
ing’ of the sediment surface ceased with development
of a water saturated capillary fringe (Gillham 1984,
Turner & Nielsen 1997), extending upward from the
pore water table to the sediment surface (Drabsch et al.

Persistent water saturation of the sediment surface,
while pore water flows and seepage continued, sug-
gested fueling of the pore flows either through other
sources (e.g. groundwater: Simmons 1992, Moore
1996), or a gradual decrease in total tidal flat pore water volume through drainage. Terrestrial groundwater input is unlikely, since pore water chloride concentration remained nearly constant at seawater concentrations down to 4 m depth (Koelsch, pers. comm.) and salinity of the seepage water did not change. The gradual decrease in total pore water volume of the tidal flat without entrainment of air (which was never observed at the Janssand) would require shrinking of the tidal flat during exposure and subsequent swelling during inundation, resulting in small elevation changes of the tidal flat surface. Such oscillating changes in sediment elevation within a range of 1 to 3 mm due to changes in tidal water level have been detected in intertidal salt marshes (Paquette et al. 2004). We suggest that the seepage in Janssand also resulted in such pore volume changes, but that the resulting elevation changes would be smaller and difficult to detect. From each 1 m of the release zone (average 20 m wide), about 24 to 42 l of pore water were discharged per tidal cycle during March and July, respectively. These volumes would correspond to a sediment elevation change of 0.5 mm for March and 0.8 mm for July, assuming this volume was drained from the approximately 50 m wide zone adjacent to the release zone, where we observed water infiltration into the sediment. During re-inundation, partial release of the capillary tension may initiate expansion of the pore space with simultaneous uptake of water.

Tidal filtration

Uptake and release of water during a tidal cycle represents a filtration process that characterizes the Janssand as a large biocatalytic sand filter (Fig. 11). From pore water release rates and seepage nutrient concentrations, we can roughly estimate the total filtration and potentially associated mineralization for the investigated section of tidal flat. During March, about 1.2 l m$^{-2}$ pore water was discharged each tidal cycle from the release zone of the sand flat, assuming an average exposure time of 2 h per tide for that zone. On a length of 3.5 km on the northern and northeastern boundary of the Janssand tidal flat (see Fig. 1), the topography and sediment is similar to those at our study site. With an average width of the release zone of 20 m, a total of 168 000 l pore water was discharged each day from this area (ca. 70 000 m$^2$). In July, the drainage rates calculated based on the benthic chamber incubations were higher, producing on average 2.1 l m$^{-2}$ tide$^{-1}$ (1.5 h discharge per tide) or a total discharge of 294 000 l d$^{-1}$ for the 3.5 km long Janssand section. Assuming degradation of organic matter with a composition close to the Redfield ratio, the nutrient discharge associated with seepage would correspond to an average mineralization of about 200 mg m$^{-2}$ organic carbon d$^{-1}$ during March (356 mg C m$^{-2}$ based on P, 86 mg C m$^{-2}$ based on N) and 1600 mg C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ during July (3183 mg C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ based on P, 605 mg C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ based on N, 1115 mg C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ based on DIC). This corresponds to a roughly estimated yearly mineralization of 300 g C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$. The observed low N:P ratios (3 to 4) may have resulted from a loss of NH$_4^+$ through coupled nitrification/denitrification or release of PO$_4$ from previously immobilized iron-hydroxide-complexes, ensuing in the release of anoxic pore waters. It must be borne in mind, however, that the main

---

Fig. 10. Solute concentration changes at lower sand flat site measured in overlying water of (A) 1 opaque and (B) 1 transparent benthic chamber in July 2003. Shading marks the period of inundation for the upper and lower flats or lower flat only (delimited by vertical lines), (C) Tidal water level change based on mean sea level. Note the different scaling for nutrient concentrations in (A) and (B)
Body of the tidal flat acts as a seasonally independent nutrient source to the ecosystem through drainage (Billerbeck et al. in press). Therefore, the nutrients released from the lower flat may reflect mineralization processes occurring over long time spans and flow paths within the tidal flat. Nevertheless, the estimated mineralization rates are in the range of rates determined in both seasons for full tidal cycles on this tidal flat (967 and 2401 mg C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) for March and July, respectively (Billerbeck et al. in press). Extrapolated to the 3.5 km long region of the Janssand tidal flat, the pore water discharge would amount to a total required organic carbon mineralization of 6 to 25 kg d\(^{-1}\) during March and 42 to 223 kg d\(^{-1}\) during July, based on ammonium and phosphate discharge, respectively (78.1 kg d\(^{-1}\) based on DIC fluxes in July). Assuming that 100% of the DOC and 50% of the POC in the overlying seawater (Table 4) is transported into the sediment, about 4 to 9 mg C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) are filtered into the 50 m wide infiltration zone as a consequence of drainage during March and July, respectively. This totals to 0.6 kg (March) or 1.6 kg (July) for the 3.5 km long Janssand section (175 000 m\(^2\) infiltration area). These amounts can only account for a fraction of the mineralization required to produce the observed nutrient release. Fresh organic matter may be introduced to the sediment by advective infiltration during inundation (Huettel & Rusch 2000) and by filtering, bioirrigating and bioturbating fauna (Graf & Rosenberg 1997, Aller 2001). Buried mud lenses, such as those found in the lower flat, may be another source of organic matter and nutrients for this tidal flat. However, pore water nutrient concentrations did not reflect the location of these mud inclusions in the sediment cores. Probably the most important organic carbon source in this tidal flat is benthic primary production. Extrapolated to the total area of 245 000 m\(^2\) of tidal flat that is influenced by infiltration and discharge, and assuming a 10 h light period during July, the average gross photosynthetic production of 3300 µmol C m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) for the chamber incubations in the upper sand flat corresponds to a total organic carbon production of 97 kg d\(^{-1}\). This rough estimate can account for about half of the carbon mineralization required to match the observed nutrient export during summer, but benthic primary production should be even higher during exposure of the tidal flat (Pinckney & Zingmark 1991).

Regardless of the origin of the organic matter, our estimate indicates that the Janssand intertidal flat does not accumulate organic matter, but releases mineralization products that can account for all the organic matter potentially filtered through the permeable beds by drainage during each tidal cycle, and for the material generated by benthic primary production. These findings suggest that these sands mineralize all organic matter that is introduced to the sediment, emphasizing their role as biocatalytic filter systems.

**Potential significance of seepage**

The pore water release locally affects the benthos and, on a larger spatial scale, the nutrient concentrations in the intertidal zone. In the vicinity of the seepage zone, the increased nutrient concentrations in the seepage water can support the benthic primary production; however, in July 2003, the chlorophyll a (chl a) inventory in the upper 5 cm of the sediment was similar for the upper and lower flat sites (10 to 11 µg g\(^{-1}\) chl a at both sites). Adverse effects of the seepage water may have masked the positive influence of nutrient enrichment. During summer, when seepage sulfide reached 1 mmol l\(^{-1}\), the otherwise omnipresent diatoms were replaced by green flagellates and sulfide oxidizers (C.M. Burke & D. de Beer pers. comm.), and Arenicola marina fecal mounds in the release zone decreased from 3.6 m\(^{-2}\) on the upper flat to 0.6 m\(^{-2}\) on the lower flat (6-fold).
Table 6. Comparison of discharge volumes and nutrient release rates in this study with rates reported for other coastal systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Discharge rate (l m⁻² d⁻¹)</th>
<th>N discharge (µmol m⁻² d⁻¹)</th>
<th>P discharge (µmol m⁻² d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Si discharge (µmol m⁻² d⁻¹)</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beaches</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandria dune field, South Africa</td>
<td>1000⁰</td>
<td>151857⁰</td>
<td>2065⁰</td>
<td></td>
<td>Darcy's law, nutrients</td>
<td>McLachlan &amp; Illenberger (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threemile Beach, OR</td>
<td>3000–4500⁰</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Darcy's law</td>
<td>McLachlan (1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kashima coast, Japan</td>
<td>283.5–405⁰</td>
<td>7767⁰</td>
<td>5132⁰</td>
<td>45262⁰</td>
<td>Darcy's law, nutrients</td>
<td>Uchiyama et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submarine groundwater discharge</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Island, NY</td>
<td>10.0–70.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seepage meter</td>
<td>Bokuniewicz &amp; Pavlik (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Cod, MA</td>
<td>24.0–72.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seepage meter</td>
<td>Giblin &amp; Gaines (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Gulf of Mexico, FL</td>
<td>5.4–8.9</td>
<td>1.3–2.7 mol s⁻¹</td>
<td>0.5–1.0 mol s⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
<td>Seepage meter</td>
<td>Simmons (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmington, NC</td>
<td>6.0–20.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seepage meter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherrystone Inlet, VA</td>
<td>0.48–88.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seepage meter</td>
<td>Reay et al. (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Atlantic Bight</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seepage meter</td>
<td>Moore (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puck Bay, Baltic Sea</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nutrients, isotopes</td>
<td>Piekarke-Jankowska (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Keys, FL</td>
<td>15.8–33.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seepage meter</td>
<td>Cable et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Bay, FL</td>
<td>10.4–30.5</td>
<td>301.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Seepage meter</td>
<td>Corbett et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Sippewissett, MA</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>226 Ra-activity, Salt balance</td>
<td>Schlueter et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eckernfoerde Bay, Baltic Sea</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pore water modeling</td>
<td>Taniuchi &amp; Iwakawa (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osaka Bay, Japan</td>
<td>10.3–81.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seepage meter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salt marshes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Sippewissett, MA</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Darcy's law</td>
<td>Hemond &amp; Fifield (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Inlet, SC</td>
<td>15.2–168.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water balance</td>
<td>Agosta (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode River, MD</td>
<td>60⁰</td>
<td>45.7–62.1⁰</td>
<td>7.8–15.5⁰</td>
<td></td>
<td>Darcy's law, nutrients</td>
<td>Jordan &amp; Correll (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pender County, NC</td>
<td>0.1–0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seepage meter</td>
<td>Yelverton &amp; Hackney (1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Inlet, SC</td>
<td>7.8–28.0</td>
<td>344.4–615.6</td>
<td>6.5–15.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Seepage meter</td>
<td>Whiting &amp; Childers (1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagle Bottom, Carter Creek, VA</td>
<td>0.2–1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Darcy's law</td>
<td>Harvey &amp; Odum (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Sippewissett, MA</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>332.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seepage meter</td>
<td>Howes &amp; Goehringer (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillips Creek, VA</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water balance</td>
<td>Harvey &amp; Nuttle (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pritchards Island, SC</td>
<td>114.8</td>
<td>476.7</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Seepage meter</td>
<td>Osgood (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hog Island, VA</td>
<td>120.2</td>
<td>531.5</td>
<td>189</td>
<td></td>
<td>Seepage meter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ringfield Marsh, VA</td>
<td>0.6–22.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Salt balance</td>
<td>Tobias et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satilla River Estuary, GA</td>
<td>0.4–0.6⁰</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Benthic chambers</td>
<td>Jahnke et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tidal flats</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Havre, Marennes-Oleron, France</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Runnel-runoff velocity</td>
<td>Le Hir et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humber Estuary, UK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Island of Spiekeroog, Germany</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1074</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>V-shaped laths (Mar)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>7616</td>
<td>2503</td>
<td>1713</td>
<td>Benthic chambers (Jul)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁰Discharge m⁻¹ shoreline; ⁰localized discharge (l cm⁻² d⁻¹)
Most nutrients released through seepage are transported through the tidal gullies toward the North Sea (Niesel & Günther 1999), where they can fuel primary production seaward of the barrier islands. Tidal currents transport the organic matter produced within these highly net autotrophic coastal waters back to the Wadden Sea, where it is mineralized (Postma 1954, van Beusekom et al. 1999). Because the seepage becomes most active only near maximum low tide and the average width of the sand flat belt along the southern coast of the North Sea is 13 km (van Beusekom & de Jonge 2002), a large fraction of the nutrients will remain within the Wadden Sea, where they can enhance primary production of phytoplankton and microphytobenthos.

Thus, microalgal production, tidal flat filtration of this organic matter, mineralization within the intertidal sands and subsequent release of nutrients form a recycling loop, in which seepage plays an important role (Fig. 11). This coastal nutrient cycling resembles the mechanism of sediment–water column interaction proposed by Childers (1994) for salt marshes with tidal ranges exceeding 1 m. In the Janssand, nutrient fluxes associated with the seepage exceeded by 5- to 8-fold those fluxes caused by the combined effects of diffusion, advection and bioirrigation during inundation. The ecological importance of groundwater or pore water discharge and the associated export of metabolic products to the water column has been emphasized in studies covering submarine groundwater discharge, wave dominated beaches and salt marshes (Table 6). However, only a few data are available on drainage for intertidal flats. Nutrient export from the Janssand through seepage surpassed the release rates reported in most other studies (Table 6), emphasizing the ecological importance of the seepage process in intertidal sands and the need for further, more detailed studies on this subject.
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