Nitrogen budget in a microbial mat in the Camargue (southern France)
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ABSTRACT: The main processes associated with the nitrogen cycle were studied in a microbial mat and in the surrounding water during winter (January), spring (March) and summer (June) in the Camargue (southeastern France). Denitrification, nitrogen fixation, nitrification, dissimilative reduction of nitrate, together with mineralization and ammonium assimilation, were measured under dark and light conditions using inhibitor and nitrogen isotope (15N). All these microbial processes were expressed in the mat. The isotope pairing method allowed the identification of the source of denitrified nitrate. It appeared that a major part of the nitrate formed by nitrification was denitrified and that nitrification was a considerable source of nitrate in spring and summer. From variations in net flux (fixation versus denitrification activities), we conclude that the mat acted as a nitrogen source in summer, but as a sink in winter.
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INTRODUCTION

Most microbial mats consist of laminated multi-colored layers resulting from stratified distribution of various photosynthetic (heterotrophic, chemotrophic and phototrophic) microorganisms that develop in the surface sediments as a consequence of vertical physico-chemical gradients. Major biogeochemical cycles take place within this thin but complex layer. From a process perspective, di-nitrogen fixation, CO₂ fixation, denitrification, nitrification, sulphate-reduction, methanogenesis, iron and other metal redox transformations may occur simultaneously within the mat (Paerl & Pinckney 1996). Typically oxygenic photosynthetic microorganisms like diatoms and/or cyanobacteria develop at the surface of the mat. They function as primary producers and generate oxygen that can diffuse into the mat, leading to the establishment of strong oxygen gradients and fluctuating oxygenic/anoxicogenic interfaces. Deeper in the mat, the anoxic layer is characterized by a variety of chemolitho- trophic, chemoorganotrophic and phototrophic microorganisms (Jørgensen & Revsbech 1989).

Although a broad range of N-transformations could occur, with nitrogen undergoing several redox reactions (states of oxidation ranging from −3 to +5), previous in situ studies of the nitrogen cycle in microbial mats strongly dominated by cyanobacteria have focused exclusively on the rates of N-fixation (Paerl et al. 1993, 1996, Joye & Paerl 1994, Paerl & Pinckney 1996, Currin & Paerl 1998). Denitrification is a key process in the nitrogen cycle, since it leads to a net nitrogen loss in the ecosystem by reducing nitrate to gaseous products and therefore helping control the rate of eutrophication in the environment. In contrast to denitrification, nitrate can also be reduced to ammonium by fermentative and strictly anaerobic bacteria, resulting in the preservation of nitrogen in its biologically available form (Bonin et al. 1998). It is now recognized that nitrification (oxidation of ammonium to nitrate) plays a pivotal role in generating a source of nitrate for denitrifying bacteria. In ecosystems with low nitrate levels (<10 µM), nitrification (obligatory aerobic process) and denitrification (anaerobic process) are probably closely coupled.
The fact that few measurements of denitrification in cyanobacteria-dominated microbial mats have been published may be attributable to technical and interpretational difficulties, which are associated mainly with the commonly used method (acetylene block method; Bonin 1996). Acetylene not only inhibits the terminal step of denitrification but also affects other processes of the nitrogen cycle. Acetylene blocks nitrification, and this interruption in nitrate supply could result in the underestimation of denitrification (Hynes & Knowles 1978, Walter et al. 1979, Hyman & Wood 1985). Furthermore, acetylene can be converted to ethylene by nitrogen-fixing bacteria, leading to a suppression of the inhibition of the nitrous oxide reduction (Van Raalte & Patriquin 1979). Knowledge of the nitrogen cycle in mats could be improved by the application of tracer techniques, especially those using stable isotopes ($^{15}$N). This isotopic technique circumvents the limitation of the acetylene block method and facilitates the determination of the reduction of nitrate originating either from downwards diffusion from the underlying water or from nitrification.

Our present level of understanding is not yet sufficient to establish an overview of the interactions of the nitrogen cycle processes realized by micro-organisms, which present very diversified types of metabolism ranging from autotrophy to heterotrophy. However, from a biogeochemical perspective, nitrogen budgets in microbial mats cannot be fully understood without in situ quantification of nitrogen sources (N-fixation) and sinks (denitrification). However, whether mats act as sources or sinks for combined N depends, not only on the balance between N-fixation and denitrification, but also on the rates of other processes producing (nitrification and mineralization, respectively) or consuming nitrate or ammonium (DNRA [dissimilative nitrate reduction to ammonium], nitrate or ammonium assimilation and anammox). Each of these transformations is reliant on an appropriate cellular and ambient microenvironmental or microzonal oxygen gradient and thus to specific redox conditions.

In the present study, nitrogen net fluxes were determined in a mat in the Camargue, using an isotopic technique, together with analyses of every individual process contributing to these fluxes (except anammox, which seems negligible in this ecosystem). Inter-relations between each process were identified at different sampling times throughout the year (winter, spring and summer) under light and dark conditions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Characterization of the study area.** The mat studied was located on the bottom of the saline pond used for the storage of water by the saltworks of Salins-de-Giraud (Camargue, southeastern France) (Fig. 1). The mat was dominated by cyanobacteria and covered a very large area (~20 to 40 km$^2$) (Cauvette et al. 1994, Fourçans et al. 2004). It consisted of 3 distinct, colored and laminated layers, and was about 1 to 5 cm thick. An upper, approximately 2 mm thick, brown-green colored layer was composed of filamentous cyanobacteria, morphologically resembling the genus *Microcoleus*, and of unicellular cyanobacteria, similar to the form genus *Synechocystis*, as well as of a few diatoms (*Nitzschia* sp.). Under this dense cyanobacterial layer, a 1 mm thick, purple layer was composed of purple non-sulfur bacteria, morphologically resembling mem-
bers of the families Rhodospirillaceae and Chromatiaceae. Beneath these 2 layers a black zone of >1 cm occurred with iron-sulfide precipitates, indicating the presence of sulfate-reducing bacteria (Desulfovibrio sp. and Desulfbacter sp.). Biomarker, microscopic and molecular analysis of this mat also revealed the presence of different populations of photosynthetic anoxygenic bacteria (e.g. Halochromatium saleigens, Roseospira marina, Rhodobacter sp., Ectothiorhodospira sp.) and green non-sulfur bacteria (green Chloroflexus-like bacteria). The detailed microbial composition and depth zonation of major bacterial groups in this mat have been published elsewhere (Fourçans et al. 2004). This mat was permanently submerged, and the overlying water depth never exceeded 20 cm. Squares from this mat were collected in March and June 2000 and in January and June 2001. The salinities of the water column were 105 and 130 in March and June 2000, respectively, and 95 and 120 in January and June 2001, respectively. The water temperatures were 21.8, 20, 17 and 20.8°C in March and June 2000 and in January and June 2001, respectively. Due to the shallow depth of the water column and to strong sunlight exposure, daily variation in water temperature may be large (±10°C). Surface irradiance around noon on clear and sunny days in summer was ~1300 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. The concentration of dissolved oxygen in the overlying water was ~20 ppm.

The redox level at the surface of the mat ranged from ~34 to 0 mV (Wieland et al. 2005). The rates of substrate consumption or product accumulation were calculated from the changes in concentration, measured in triplicates, at each sampling time (0, 1, 3, 5, 7 and 10 h). The concentration was then plotted against time and fitted to the linear model \[ A(t) = A_0 + m \times t \] using the least squares method, where \( t \) is the incubation time, \( A_0 \) is the concentration at \( t = 0 \) and \( m \) is the slope of the linear curve. The rates were calculated from the initial linear slope of the curve. Rate uncertainties were calculated from the errors in the linear regressions. A Student's t-test was performed on the dataset to evaluate the differences between rates.

**Microbial activities.** The rate of the different processes associated with the nitrogen cycle (nitrification, denitrification, DNRA and N-fixation and mineralization) were determined in each square of mat. Triplicates were run for each treatment under light (~550 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹) and dark incubation conditions.

From each sample of mat, 1 cm² subsamples (5 mm thickness) were placed, with mat surfaces facing up, into a 22 ml headspace vial (HSS 8650, Dany) containing 2 ml of sterile, filtered (<0.8 µm) seawater from the sampling site. Measurements were also performed on the surrounding water, with 70 ml of water being incubated in a 100 ml serum flask. The vials were sealed with a rubber stopper. All processes were measured under ambient oxygen levels without nitrogen bubbling. At the beginning of the incubation period, samples were gently agitated by hand once in order to minimize the formation of diffusion gradients just after substrate amendment. The kinetics of the different processes were observed over a period of 10 h in an incubation chamber maintained at in situ water temperature. Depending on the type of activities measured, the rates of substrate consumption or product accumulation were calculated from the changes in concentration, measured in triplicates, at each sampling time (0, 1, 3, 5, 7 and 10 h). The concentration was then plotted against time and fitted to the linear model \[ A(t) = A_0 + m \times t \] using the least squares method, where \( t \) is the incubation time, \( A_0 \) is the concentration at \( t = 0 \) and \( m \) is the slope of the linear curve. The rates were calculated from the initial linear slope of the curve. Rate uncertainties were calculated from the errors in the linear regressions. A Student's t-test was performed on the dataset to evaluate the differences between rates.

**Denitrification:** Denitrification rates were simultaneously measured using 2 methods: the acetylene inhibition technique (AIT) and the isotope pairing technique (IPM). AIT involves inhibition by acetylene of the last step (nitrous oxide reduction) of denitrification. In this condition, the denitrifying activity corresponds to the kinetics of nitrous oxide accumulation after nitrate reduction. The acetylene concentration in each vial was adjusted to 20 kPa at the beginning of incubation. At the end of each incubation period, the kinetics were stopped by addition of HgCl₂ (final concentration 10 mM) and frozen (Bonin et al. 1998). Nitrous oxide was directly measured in the incubation flask. Dissolved N₂O was extracted from the liquid bulk using a headspace sampler (HSS 8650, Dany), by automatically shaking of the flask in the oven at 70°C for 4 min. The headspace gases were analyzed using an HP 5890 gas chromatograph equipped with a Porapak Q column, and an electron capture detector, with nitrogen as the carrier gas; the temperatures of column, injector
and detector were set at 80, 180 and 250°C, respectively (Bonin et al. 1998).

The second method (IPM) involves the use of nitrogen stable isotope (15NO3–) and the detection of production of single-labeled (14N15N) and double-labeled (15N15N) di-nitrogen by a mass spectrometer (Anagaz 100, MKS) (Nielsen 1992). At the beginning of the experiment, 15NO3– (97.4 atom%, Isotec Mathesson) was added to each vial in order to obtain a final concentration of around 50 µM. For each point in the kinetic process, HgCl2 (final concentration 10 mM) was added in order to block the activities, and the incubation flasks were frozen until analysis. After thawing and homogenization, gasses were directly sampled from the vial and injected into the mass spectrometer. Samples were analyzed for 28N2, 29N2 and 30N2. Rates of D15 (denitrification of added 15NO3–), D14 (denitrification of ambient 14NO3–), Dn (denitrification of nitrate diffusing from the water column) and Dc (denitrification of nitrate generated by nitrification) were calculated from the initial linear rates of 28N2, 29N2 and 30N2 production and according to the equations derived by Nielsen (1992).

**DNRA:** Rates were estimated by adding 15NO3– (97.4 atom%, Isotec Mathesson) to an incubation flask; the amount of 15NO3– added was <10% of the in situ nitrate concentration. Because of the high ammonium concentration in the mat (millimolar range, see Table 1), addition of NH4+ was not necessary in order to inhibit nitrate assimilation. The progressive increase in isotopic enrichment of ammonium was monitored over time as the substrate (nitrate) was used. Immediately after incubation, 1 ml of surrounding water was sampled, transferred to a 50 ml screwed flask, and ammonium was extracted from the solution by microdiffusion at 60°C (Brooks et al. 1989). The samples were treated with a mid-alkali (MgO) to convert NH4+ to NH3, which was trapped on acidified (50 µl, 0.5 N H2SO4), pre-combusted Whatman GF/C filters and analyzed for 15N content by mass spectrometry (Tracer Mass, European Scientific) (Bonin 1996). The rates of dissimilatory ammonium production were calculated as previously described (Bonin et al. 1998).

**Nitrification:** The net nitrification not coupled to denitrification can be measured by monitoring the isotope dilution of added 15NO3– (Koike & Hattori 1978, Nishio et al. 1983). This activity was measured in the same flasks as those used for the determination of DNRA activities. After 2 successive extractions of 14,15NH4+ by microdiffusion, the remaining 15NO3– in the flask was reduced chemically to ammonium with Devarda’s alloy and subsequently microdiffused (Brooks et al. 1989). The rate of nitrification was calculated from isotopic dilution of co-diffused nitrate and nitrite according to the equation developed by Glibert et al. (1982).

### Results

**Ammonium produced by mineralization:** The rate of mineralization was measured along with the isotopic dilution of 15NH4+ amongst 14NH4+ in the mat and in the overlying water. Conditions were identical to those used for the measurement of nitrification activity.

**Di-nitrogen fixation:** N-fixation rates were measured in the microbial mat by acetylene reduction assays following the procedure of Bebout et al. (1987): 2 ml of the headspace gas was replaced by acetylene in the rubber-stopper-sealed flasks containing the microbial mat, as previously described. The ethylene concentration was measured using a mass spectrometer (Anagaz 100 MKS) by monitoring the signal at m/z = 27 and by taking into account the cracking pattern to avoid Mass 28, where the ethylene peak would have been masked by N2 (m/z = 28) (Lloyd & Scott 1983). Considering the salinity and the ratio of liquid/gas phases in the incubation flask, we assumed that the amount of soluble ethylene was negligible. Ethylene production rates were transformed to N2-production rates using a theoretical conversion factor of 3 found applicable to the mat communities (3 C2H4 per N2) (Hardy et al. 1968, Joye & Paerl 1994).

### Ammonium and nitrate pools in the Camargue mat

Average concentrations of nitrate, nitrite and ammonium were measured in the upper 0.5 cm of the mat and in the overlying water (Table 1). In the water, the nitrate and ammonium concentrations ranged from 2.1 to 5.8 µM and from 18.2 to 51.1 µM, respectively. The highest nitrate concentrations were observed during colder months (January and March). In the microbial mat, nitrate pools were markedly lower than the total (dissolved plus exchangeable) ammonium pool. The nitrate concentrations in the mat were in the same range as those measured in the overlying water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Mat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO3– (µM)</td>
<td>NH4+ (µM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2000</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2001</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>51.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Average concentrations of nitrate and ammonium in the top 0.5 cm of the microbial mat and in the overlying water of the Camargue. Note change of scale in ammonium concentration in the mat.
range as those found in the overlying water, and their seasonal variations showed the same tendency. Within the mat, ammonium concentrations were very high, with highest values (27 to 28 mM) observed in both June samples, while the concentrations were about 10 times lower in the colder months.

**Bacterial activities**

Denitrification rates were measured simultaneously using both AIT and IPM methods. Results obtained using AIT are reported in Fig. 2. Under our natural incubation conditions, without nitrate or carbon amendment, the linear nitrous oxide accumulation increased only during the first hour. The denitrifying rates were very low and ranged from 0 to 0.24 ± 0.06 mmol N₂O m⁻² d⁻¹. In most cases, under light incubation, denitrification was below the detection limit of this method and only detectable in winter 2001. When samples were incubated in the dark, denitrification showed seasonal variation, with higher rates in March 2000.

Denitrifying activities were also determined by IPM. Reduction of ¹⁴NO₃⁻ and ¹⁵NO₃⁻ in the mat samples resulted in the formation of the single- and double-labeled isotopes (¹⁴N¹⁴N, ¹⁴N¹⁵N and ¹⁵N¹⁵N). According to the stoichiometry of denitrification, added ¹⁵NO₃⁻ combines with indigenous ¹⁴NO₃⁻ originating either from nitrification or from the overlying water. The total denitrification (Dₖ + Dₙ) activities (Fig. 3) ranged from 0.65 ± 0.05 to 2.20 ± 0.37 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ from January to June 2001, respectively. No significant effect of light conditions was observed on the denitrification rates.

Nitification rates were also determined for the same periods (Fig. 4). In March and June nitification rates were around 1 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹, the lowest rates being measured in winter. No significant effect of light conditions was observed on the nitification rates.

N-fixation also displayed a clear seasonal pattern (Fig. 5), with the highest values observed in June and 0.65 ± 0.05 to 2.20 ± 0.37 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ from January to June 2001, respectively. No significant effect of light conditions was observed on the denitrification rates.

Fig. 2. Natural denitrification rates (±SE) of mat sample measured with the acetylene blocking method (AIT) under light (white bar) and dark (shaded bars) conditions during 4 sampling periods

Fig. 3. Natural denitrification rates (±SE) of mat samples measured with the isotope pairing method (IPM) with ¹⁵NO₃⁻ under light and dark incubation conditions. White bars correspond to denitrified nitrate from the water column (Dₖ); shaded bars correspond to denitrified nitrate from the reduction of ammonium by nitrifiers (Dₙ)

Fig. 4. Net nitrification rates (±SE) of mat sample incubated under dark (shaded bars) or light (white bars) conditions. Nitrification rates were calculated from isotopic dilution of nitrate and the nitrite pool according to the equation developed by Gilbert et al. (1982)
the lowest in January. The maximum activity (21.26 ± 0.25 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) was observed under dark incubation conditions in June 2001. In June of both years, mat samples incubated in the dark exhibited N-fixation rates significantly higher than those incubated in the light—in contrast to January and March samples.

**Nitrogen budget**

Activities of the complete nitrogen cycle (dissimilative and assimilative processes, with the exception of anammox) and the nitrogen budget were calculated in the mat and in the overlying water. Results are illustrated in Fig. 6. The values reported for the different activities in June are the means of 2000 and 2001. All activities measured in January were much lower than those measured in June. Whatever the sampling period, the rates of mineralization within the mat were very high (up to 410 µmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹) and led to a strong production of ammonium. Light exposure has no effect on mineralization rates in June, whereas an effect was observed in January (Fig. 6). The nitrifying activities measured in the mat and in the overlying water were in the same range. A coupling between the processes of nitrification and denitrification of the nitrate produced from nitrification (Dₐ) was observed in June and January. In January, when the nitrification rate was the lowest (0.05 µmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹), most of the denitrified nitrate came from the water column, (Dₐ). In June about ⅓ of the total denitrification (Dₐ + Dₙ = 16.4 µmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹) was coupled with nitrification (5.9 µmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹ for Dₐ). In the overlying water, the denitrification rates were lower than the detection limit. The DNRA was only detectable in June, and this process appeared to make a minor contribution to the nitrogen cycle of this microbial mat.

The net balance between N-fixation and denitrification (N-fixation minus N-denitrified), which represents the net flux of combined nitrogen, displayed seasonal variability (Fig. 7). In January, the net nitrogen fluxes were weak and the nitrogen loss via denitrification slightly exceeded the fixed nitrogen. In contrast, in June, the nitrogen fluxes were positive and only 13 and 18% of the new nitrogen input by N-fixation were subsequently lost by microbial denitrification during dark and light incubations, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we report on the first comprehensive examination of the nitrogen cycle within a mat and in the surrounding water. In preliminary tests, anammox seemed negligible in this mat, whereas in recent publications it seemed more widely spread in sediments than has previously been thought (Dalsgaard et al. 2005). Risgaard-Petersen et al. (2005) studied the effect of microphytobenthos on denitrification and anammox in sediments. These authors demonstrated that the anammox process is not ubiquitous in sediments colonized by microphytobenthos. They suggested that anammox is of very limited significance in environments that periodically experience N-limitation and that the occurrence of high rates of anammox in coastal sediments is limited to estuaries with permanently high concentrations of NO₃⁻ in the water column. Anammox was not, therefore, investigated in the present study.

Microbial-mediated nitrogen transformations were examined under dark and light incubation conditions in different seasons in the first 5 mm of the mat. In this zone, the depth penetration of oxygen varied according to daily photosynthetic activity and never exceeded 1.5 mm (Wieland et al. 2005), much shallower than generally found in sediments (Meyer et al. 2001). In our study, we have shown that a complex nitrogen cycle was present in the microbial mat of the Camargue, which can act as a source or sink of nitrogen depending on the season. Mats were inhabited by productive microbial communities and served as net sources of combined nitrogen, since N-fixation rates exceeded denitrification rates throughout most of the year. However, during winter, a different pattern was observed, with denitrification rates exceeding N-fixation rates. During daytime in winter the mat, therefore, acts as a sink for nitrogen.

In the literature, the main processes of the nitrogen cycle have often been studied separately. The aim of the present study was to measure them simultaneously from the same sample. N-fixation rates have commonly been reported in the literature, and the high N-fixation rates described in our study were in the same range as those reported for other microbial mats (Joye & Paerl
Experiments consisting of ambient light and dark treatments were designed to determine the diel pattern of N-fixation. Significantly higher fixation rates were noted in summer during dark incubations, whereas higher fixation rates were observed during light incubations in the cold period. The same seasonal N-fixation dynamics have been reported in other marine microbial mats (Paerl et al. 1996). The diel cycle of N$_2$ fixation reflects energy sources and demands, as well as environmental constraints or the cell metabolism of the nitrogen fixers within the mat. Several articles have been published on the ecology and biogeochemistry of cyanobacteria-dominated microbial mats (Pinckney et al. 1995, Paerl et al. 1996). Nitrogenase, which catalyzes the reduction of di-nitrogen to ammonium, is rapidly inactivated by oxygen. Oxygentic photoautotrophs, which fix nitrogen, must
species. Potential N2 fixers can be found in most of the photobacteriophages, but also sulfate-reducing bacteria of the order Desulfobacteriales and purple non-sulfur bacteria morphologically resembling the Rhodospirilaceae and Chromatiaceae families. Microcoleus and denitrification but also on the rate of mineralization. N depends not only on the balance between N-fixation and denitrification but also on the rate of mineralization and on the ability of the organisms to assimilate nitrogen as ammonium. Regeneration processes occurring within the mat transform organically bound nitrogen to its biologically available form (mineralization). This regenerated nitrogen has several potential fates: it may (1) diffuse towards the overlying water, resulting in a loss for the mat; (2) be assimilated in the mat and thus retain nitrogen in the system; or (3) be nitrified then denitrified, resulting in a net loss of nitrogen for the mat but also for the coastal ecosystem.

Lowest nitrification rates were observed during light incubation. This phenomenon has already been described by several authors for other ecosystems. Different reasons were evoked, including unfavorable competition with microalgae for ammonium (Risgaard-Petersen 2003), excess partial pressure of oxygen (Risgaard et al. 1994), low CO2 concentration, or high light intensity. The enzyme responsible for the first step of nitrification (ammonium mono-oxygenase) is inhibited by wavelengths <480 nm (Guerrero & Jones 1996). From measured spectral scalar irradiance profiles, it has been reported that scalar irradiance measured at around 480 nm corresponded to about 50% of the incident irradiance in the upper 0.5 cm of the mat (Fourçans et al. 2004).

In the present study, denitrification rates were measured simultaneously by AIT and IPM. The measurements by AIT allowed us to compare the denitrification rates in this mat with those from previous studies. This method was easy and fast, but several well-known biases must be taken into account, which is why the AIT rates were also measured by IPM. The denitrifying rates measured by AIT were very low, undetectable in most cases and always lower than those measured by IPM. These results were in good agreement with those reported by several authors who found, using AIT, potential denitrifying activity only after nitrate amendment. They concluded that nitrate was the limiting factor for the expression of denitrification in microbial mats. Low denitrifying rates were only measurable during the first hour of incubation. Continued incubation of amended mat systems resulted in the decrease of denitrification rates, suggesting complete nitrate consumption during the first hour of incubation or the consumption of nitrous oxide despite the presence of acetylene. Incomplete acetylene blockage, leading to underestimation of denitrification, has frequently been reported in the presence of sulfide and low nitrate concentrations (Tam & Knowles 1979, Slater & Capone 1989), and these conditions have often been encountered in microbial mats. Nitrification can be a considerable source of nitrate in this microbial mat. As acetylene also inhibits nitrification (Hynes & Knowles 1978), AIT does not allow the detection of denitrifying activities coupled with nitrification. During our study, seasonal variations in nitrification rates occurred, leading to variations in the...
source of nitrate consumed by denitrifiers. Since nitrification was one of the nitrate sources in spring and summer, a rapid exhaustion of the nitrate pore water pool led to underestimation of the true denitrification rate, due to the co-inhibition of nitrification by acetylene (Hynes & Knowles 1978). The present results are in agreement with those reported by Lohse et al. (1996), and suggest that previous data, obtained by AIT, severely underestimated denitrification rates in sediments. However, IPM also presents limitations, as this method is based on a number of assumptions, including the existence of a constant ratio $^{14}\text{NO}_3^{-}:/^{15}\text{NO}_3^{-}$. This ratio can be constant only if these 2 isotopes are mixed in a homogeneous way and if diffusion of both nitrate isotopes is identical. The fact that the 2 nitrate isotopes diffuse in a similar way is generally admitted. However, this assumption has been subject to controversy for certain types of sediments (Middelburg et al. 1996a,b, Nielsen et al. 1996). Middelburg’s approach is only interesting if one wishes to look at total denitrification rates, which was not the aim of the present study, where the sources of denitrified nitrate were to be identified. In January, during the cold period, nitrate originating from overlying water was the only source of nitrate for denitrification ($D_n$), whereas the coupled rate ($D_n$) was more important during spring and summer. During these seasons, about 25 to 50% of the nitrate produced in situ by nitrification was directly denitrified, leading to a loss of nitrogen in the ecosystem. The switch from internal (nitrification) to external (creek water) nitrate sources reflected the ability of the mat denitrifiers to impact the nitrogen cycle on different spatial scales. We suspect that the in situ spatial distribution and the rates of the processes associated with the nitrogen cycle in the microbial mats possibly varied rapidly in response to the shifts in environmental conditions that may have occurred during the diel cycle of photosynthesis.

Moreover, no significant effect of light conditions was observed on the denitrification rates determined by IPM, whereas lower denitrification rates were observed with light incubation by AIT. The same inhibitory effect of light on denitrification rates was also observed by Currin et al. (1996) using AIT. This can be attributed to the effect of oxygenic photosynthetic on pore water oxygen and dissolved inorganic nitrogen concentration (Rysgaard et al. 1994). During light incubation, the increase of nitrate uptake by phototrophs (which would limit the amount of nitrate available for denitrifiers) could be strongly involved in the dynamics between oxygenic photosynthesis, oxygen respiration and denitrification. In the presence of acetylene, when nitrification is blocked, competition for nitrate becomes significant (Risgaard-Petersen 2003). Photosynthetic oxygen production might stimulate nitrification, leading to a stimulation of coupled nitrification–denitrification compared to the dark situation. Seasonally, maximum denitrification rates were observed during summer, and lower rates were observed during winter. During the winter, low rates of photosynthesis reduced the oxygen inhibition of denitrification, and high water column concentrations of dissolved inorganic nitrogen depressed N-fixation.

In conclusion, the present study has contributed new information on the ecology of the nitrogen cycle in microbial mats of the Camargue, showing domination of the net nitrogen budget by denitrification during winter, whereas, during the productive season, denitrification rates amounted to at most 18% and on average 12% of the N-fixation rates.
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