Exotic invertebrate species on offshore oil platforms
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ABSTRACT: We report the presence of 3 exotic invertebrate species inhabiting offshore oil and gas platforms on the Pacific offshore continental shelf (POCS) of central and southern California, USA. These exotic species occur in high cover or density and may negatively affect populations of native species on the platforms. Conspicuous exotic species (the bryozoan Watersipora ?subtorquata and the anemone Diadumene sp.) were detected on 2 of 7 platforms surveyed. An inconspicuous exotic species (the amphipod Caprella mutica) was detected on 2 of 2 platforms surveyed for such smaller species. In addition to serving as a potential source of exotic species to natural reef habitats, the presence of exotic species on oil platforms may influence the degree to which these structures provide the ecological services of natural reefs. The presence of exotic species on platforms also has consequences for various platform decommissioning options in California and elsewhere (there are an estimated 7000 offshore platforms/installations worldwide), including the removal and transport of platforms for use as artificial reefs, if removals are conducted without regard for the potential transport/dispersal of these species. Further knowledge of the identity of exotic species on oil platforms, their potential for dispersal and interaction with native species, and whether these species can also occur on artificial reefs, will improve our understanding of the effects that artificial structures in general have on the ecological functioning of coastal ecosystems.
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INTRODUCTION

The invasion and spread of exotic plant and animal species is considered one of the greatest threats to biological diversity and the functioning of aquatic ecosystems today (Schmitz & Simberloff 1997, Bax et al. 2003). Exotic species can reduce the number and abundance of native species, alter the structure of native habitat, and negatively affect ecosystem processes (Grosholz 2002). Artificial structures, such as ships (hulls, ballast tanks), and aquaculture facilities serve as vectors for the dispersal of exotic species in the marine environment by providing habitat or facilitating the transport of these species (Carlton 1987, 1992).

It has been suggested that offshore oil and gas platforms could facilitate species range expansions and/or the introduction of exotic species into new geographic areas by serving as ‘stepping stones’ of vertical relief and hard substrate habitat across a soft seafloor environment (Gallaway & Lewbel 1981). Offshore oil and gas platforms are among the largest artificial structures in the marine environment. Worldwide, there are close to 7000 offshore oil and gas platforms/installations (Hamzah 2003). Of these, 27 are located on the Pacific offshore continental shelf (POCS) of central and southern California, USA (Schroeder & Love 2004). Attached to the submerged portions of these platforms are assemblages of invertebrate species typically found on shallow natural reefs and pier pilings (e.g. mussel Mytilus californianus, gooseneck barnacle Pollicipes polymerus, strawberry anemone Corynactis californicus) as well as other species that are relatively rare in the nearshore environment (e.g. plumed anemones Metridium spp.) (Wolfson et al. 1979, Page et al. 1999).

To date, there are few published reports of exotic species on oil platforms. Notably, an exotic coral species, Tubastrea coccinea, was reported to be abun-
dant, along with native coral species, on platforms within 15 km of the coral populations of the Flower Garden Banks in the Gulf of Mexico (Sammarco et al. 2004). Observations by other researchers also suggest that platforms in the Gulf of Mexico have facilitated the arrival of several new species of fishes at the Flower Garden Banks by providing a recruitment habitat for juveniles (Pattengill et al. 1997, Rooker et al. 1997).

We report here the presence of populations of exotic invertebrate species at 4 POCS oil and gas platforms. These exotic species occur in high percentage cover or density and may negatively affect native species on the platforms, but have not yet been reported either as present or occurring in comparable densities on natural subtidal rocky reefs inshore of these platforms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The platforms studied here are arrayed geographically in the Santa Barbara Channel, California, 2.9 to 16.9 km offshore, from the southeast near the city of Oxnard, to the northwest towards Point Conception, in water depths ranging from 29 to 225 m (Table 1, Fig. 1a). The platforms differ in size, but their general configuration is similar, with the subtidal portion consisting of vertical, oblique, and horizontal cross members together with conductor pipes through which the wells are drilled. The platforms were chosen based on accessibility (some have restricted access), broad spatial coverage (65 km of the Santa Barbara Channel), and proximity to natural reefs.

Table 1. Offshore platforms surveyed in this study. Offshore: distance from shore, Depth: water depth, Age: age up to 2005, Jacket: bottom area of platform jacket, Consp.: conspicuous and inconspicuous exotic species, respectively, +: detected, –: not detected in samples, ns: not surveyed. Platform details from Love et al. (2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Offshore (km)</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Jacket (m²)</th>
<th>Exotic species Consp.</th>
<th>Inconspr.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gina</td>
<td>(34°07'N, 119°16'W)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>– ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gail</td>
<td>(34°07'N, 119°24'W)</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>+ ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilda</td>
<td>(34°10'N, 119°25'W)</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2340</td>
<td>+ ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grace</td>
<td>(34°10'N, 119°29'W)</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3120</td>
<td>– ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hogan</td>
<td>(34°20'N, 119°32'W)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1444</td>
<td>– ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houchin</td>
<td>(34°20'N, 119°33'W)</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1444</td>
<td>– +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holly</td>
<td>(34°22'N, 119°52'W)</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>– +</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We photographically sampled conspicuous invertebrates on 7 platforms and used air lift vacuum methods to sample less conspicuous small invertebrates (e.g. amphipods) on 2 of these platforms. Photographic sampling of conspicuous members of the platform invertebrate community was conducted in summer 2002 using a Nikonos V 35 mm camera fitted with a 15 mm lens and 2 strobes that were mounted on a PVC frame designed to photograph 0.25 m² quadrats. The distribution and abundance of species were measured by divers photographing a single quadrat located inside and outside the 4 large corner support legs and 4 randomly selected conductor pipes at depths of 6, 9, 18, and 24 m. A total of 128 quadrats were photographed per platform.
In the laboratory, we identified and estimated the percentage cover of species within each photoquadrat using point-contact methods, whereby the photographic slide images were projected onto 100 randomly located points and the contacts recorded to the lowest possible taxonomic level. For the purposes of this study, only the top layer was counted, except in cases where a species obviously spread over the substratum forming a ‘canopy’, typical of some arborescent bryozoans and hydroids. Samples of suspected exotic species were returned to the laboratory for further identification. We also inspected monitoring data on invertebrates collected by the 'Santa Barbara Coastal Long-term Ecological Research Program' (SBC-LTER) at 4 natural rocky reefs (Arroyo Quemado, Naples, Mohawk, Carpenteria) from 2003 to 2005 for the presence of the conspicuous exotic species found on the oil platforms.

We sampled small, less conspicuous invertebrates at 2 of the platforms — Platform Holly, located ~3 km offshore, and Platform Houchin, located ~7 km offshore — and 2 natural, subtidal, rocky reef outcrops inshore of these platforms (Naples Reef and Mohawk Reef) as part of another study. Small invertebrates were sampled for detailed taxonomic study in December 2003 and April, June, and September 2004 by scraping and vacuuming twelve 20 × 20 cm quadrats randomly placed along fixed transects at a depth of ~9 m at each location. On return to the laboratory, small invertebrates were separated from the remainder of each sample, which commonly included turf-forming algae and (from the platforms) mussels and other large macroinvertebrates.

We also sampled less conspicuous invertebrates on artificial structures (docks, buoy lines) at locations inshore of Platform Houchin in September 2005, including the Santa Barbara Harbor and nearby Stearns Wharf, 2 buoy lines located at the former sites of Platforms Hazel and Hilda (Bomkamp et al. 2004), and 2 buoy lines located outside Santa Barbara Harbor ~1 km from the harbor mouth (Fig. 1b). Samples were taken at a depth of 9 m from the buoy lines. Small invertebrates in the vacuum samples were identified using descriptions of Smith & Carlton (1975) and Blake et al. (1997). Exotic species were identified by H. Chaney, Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History, D. Fautin, University of Kansas, and K. Willis, Scottish Marine Biological Laboratory.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Conspicuous exotic species were detected on 2 of the 7 platforms surveyed. The foliose encrusting bryozoan *Watersipora ?subtorquata* (also known as *W. cucullata* and *W. subovoidea*, nomenclature herein follows Cohen & Carlton 1995, Cohen et al. 2002), occurs on 1 platform (Gilda, Platform 5 in Fig. 1a), where it forms substantial colonies (mean cover of 41% at a depth of 6 m, 15 cm thick) (Fig. 2a). Percent cover of *W. ?subtorquata* decreased with increasing depth (Fig. 2a). This species has also been reported from several harbor sites in southern California, including Port of Hueneme, Channel Islands Harbor, and Santa Barbara Harbor, located ~18, 16, and 39 km, respectively, inshore of the invaded platform (A. N. Cohen 2005, available at: www.exoticsguide.org). Natural rocky reef outcrops are absent directly inshore of Platform Gilda; *W. ?subtorquata* has not been reported from natural reefs in the vicinity of Santa Barbara >27 km to the northwest (SBC-LTER unpubl. data). The genus *Watersipora* is found worldwide, including other locations in California (Cohen & Carlton 1995), and is considered a significant fouling genus in Australia, New Zealand and Japan because of its resistance to toxic antifouling paints used on the hulls of ships (Floerl et al. 2004).

A second conspicuous exotic species, the anemone *Diadumene* sp., was found only at Platform Gail, where it occurred in highest mean cover at a depth of 12 m (38%, Fig. 2b). Species identification is ongoing, but this anemone represents a species not yet recorded from California. Platform Gail was the most isolated platform that we surveyed, lying 16 km offshore of Oxnard and 13 km from Anacapa Island in a water depth of 225 m, with the nearest platform ~6 km away. While 4 species of *Diadumene* have been found in

![Fig. 2. (a) Watersipora ?subtorquata (bryozoan) and (b) Diadumene sp. (anemone) mean ±1 SE percent cover versus depth on Platforms Gilda and Gail](image-url)
other areas of California (Carlton 1979, Cohen & Carlton 1995), only 1 species, *D. franciscana*, has been reported in southern California (Cohen & Carlton 1995, Cohen et al. 2002). No species of *Diadumene* has been reported from natural inshore rocky reefs in the vicinity of Santa Barbara (SBC-LTER unpubl. data) or in the Northern Channel Islands (US National Park Service unpubl. data) despite long-term monitoring in these areas.

The distribution and abundance of the exotic bryozoan *Watersipora ?subtorquata* and the exotic anemone *Diadumene* sp. suggest that these species can preempt native species for space. *Corynactis californicus* is an abundant native species of anemone on platforms at the southeast end of the Santa Barbara Channel (C. S. Culver unpubl. data). However, on Platform Gilda, the cover of this anemone in photoquadrats was inversely related to the cover of the exotic bryozoan (Fig. 3a). On Platform Gail, *C. californicus* is not abundant and native sponges (e.g. *Halichondria panicea*) can occur in high cover; however, the cover of sponges in photoquadrats was inversely related to the cover of the exotic anemone (Fig. 3b). Although these correlative data suggest that the exotic bryozoan and anemone influence the distribution and abundance of native species on the platforms, further investigation is needed to test this hypothesis.

A third exotic species, the caprellid amphipod *Caprella mutica*, was present in the samples of less conspicuous invertebrates from Platforms Holly and Houchin, but absent or rare (only a few individuals found) in samples from natural reefs, sites of former platforms, and harbor sites (Fig. 4). *C. mutica* is a large caprellid (reaching 25 mm in length from base of Antenna I on head to 7th pereonite in our samples) that has previously been reported from 4 harbor locations in southern California, including Port of Hueneme and Channel Islands harbors (Cohen et al. 2002), and also from other locations in California, including Humboldt Bay, Bodega Bay, San Francisco Bay, and Elkhorn Slough (Cohen & Carlton 1995). *C. mutica*, indigenous to the coastal waters of NE Asia (Arimoto 1976), has also been recently reported from scattered locations in northern Europe, including Scotland (Willis et al. 2004), Ireland (Tierney et al. 2004), and Germany (Buschbaum & Gutow 2005).

Densities of *Caprella mutica* on Platforms Holly and Houchin were much higher (several hundred individuals 400 cm$^{-2}$) than densities of native caprellid species either on the platforms or on natural reefs (Fig. 5). The high densities of *C. mutica* at the platforms were similar to or exceeded those reported by Buschbaum & Gutow (2005) for harbors at the islands of Sylt and Heligoland in the German Bight, North Sea. Species richness of native caprellids was lower on the 2 platforms (Holly 4 species, Houchin 3) than on the natural reefs (Naples 8 species, Mohawk 11) and overlapped values found on the other artificial structures sampled, Santa Barbara Harbor/Stearn’s Wharf and the buoy lines (1 to 3) species each. The high densities of *C. mutica* on the platforms suggest that this species may adversely affect the abundance of native species. However, more detailed sampling is required, including acquiring information on microhabitat use by the exotic and native caprellid species, to evaluate the possibility that *C. mutica* preempts the native caprellid amphipods for space.

While the vector of dispersal of these species to the platforms is unknown, *Watersipora* spp. and *Caprella mutica* have been observed attached to the hulls of boats (Floerl et al. 2004, Willis et al. 2004, Buschbaum & Gutow 2005); thus oil platform support boats or barges are a possible mode of initial introduction of these species to the platforms. Semi-submersible exploratory drilling platforms have also been reported to transport sea anemones as well.
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CONCLUSION

In this preliminary survey, we found 3 exotic species on oil platforms in California. Of these platforms, 2 (Holly, Houchin) are located relatively close to inshore natural rocky reefs and harbors (within 3 km). Either these exotic species have not been reported (Watersipora ?subtorquata, Diadumene sp.), or they are present in extremely low abundance (Caprella mutica) on natural rocky reefs. Their potential to invade natural habitats and to become important space holders in these habitats is unknown. Of the 3 species, C. mutica may have the greatest potential to disperse in significant numbers to natural reefs. Although caprellid amphipods brood their young, juveniles and adults can become dislodged from the substratum and drift in the plankton (Smith 1977), often in association with drift macroalgae (Sano et al. 2003). In contrast, dispersal of W. ?subtorquata may be very limited, since this species has a planktonic larval duration of hours and no mobility of juvenile and adult stages (Wisely 1958). This species recruited to settlement plates attached to Platform Gilda, but not to plates at the other 6 platforms, including the nearest platform, Grace (~6.4 km distant) (C. S. Culver unpubl. data). Similarly, small individuals of the anemone Diadumene sp. were not observed on deployed settlement plates at platforms other than Platform Gail. These 2 species may depend more on mechanical transport via crewboats or barges for dispersal.

In evaluating the potential of these exotic species to disperse to natural reefs, it is interesting to note that Watersipora ?subtorquata, Caprella mutica, and species of Diadumene have been reported from some embayments and harbors (Cohen et al. 2002). Their occurrence on offshore platforms, which are located in an oceanic climate, suggests that the abiotic conditions of embayments are not required for the successful invasion of these species, and that abiotic factors per se will not limit their spread to natural reefs. In addition to propagule supply (discussed above), biotic processes, including competition and predation, may play a role
in limiting invasions into natural reefs. Coastal embayment and platform communities differ in species composition and abundance from those of nearshore rocky reefs and these differences may include the availability of potential competitors and predators that could influence the establishment of exotic species.

In addition to serving as a potential source of exotic species to natural habitats, the presence of exotic species on offshore platforms has implications for assessing the ‘habitat value’ of these structures and the degree to which offshore platforms (and artificial structures in general) provide the ecological services (e.g. biodiversity, habitat, food chain support) of natural inshore reefs. For example, a high density or cover of an exotic species may reduce the abundance of native species. On the other hand, caprellid amphipods are an important prey item in the diet of several reef fishes and the extremely high densities of the exotic caprellid may benefit some fish populations (H. M. Page unpubl. data).

Finally, the presence of exotic species has implications for platform ‘decommissioning’ in California and elsewhere. Several POCS platforms may be decommissioned in the coming years (Schroeder & Love 2004), and the presence of exotic species has consequences for policy decisions concerning the fate of these structures. In particular, one decommissioning option used in the Gulf of Mexico involves the transport of platforms to other locations and deployment as artificial reefs in a ‘rigs to reefs’ program (Reggio 1989). In California, the spread of exotic species could be facilitated if this option were implemented for certain oil platforms. Removal of a portion or all of the structure under other alternative decommissioning options could also facilitate the dispersal of exotic species if removals are done haphazardly and without regard for the potential transport/dispersal of these species. Likewise, the transformation of oil platforms into large-scale mariculture operations (e.g. Grace Mariculture Project, California, Hubbs SeaWorld Research Institute, www.gracemaricultureproject.org) could result in the spread of these exotic species via fouled gear and animals. Further knowledge of the identity of exotic species on oil platforms, their potential for dispersal and interaction with native species, and whether these species can also occur on artificial reefs, will improve our understanding of the effects that artificial structures in general have on the ecological functioning of coastal ecosystems.
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