INTRODUCTION


A highly migratory otarid, the northern fur seal Callorhinus ursinus congregates on several islands in the North Pacific to breed and rear its young from roughly July through November (Bartholomew & Hoel 1953, Peterson 1968, Gentry & Holt 1986). Approximately 70% of the world’s population of northern fur seals breeds on the Pribilof Islands, Alaska, in the eastern Bering Sea (Loughlin et al. 1994). From December to April, northern fur seals are virtually absent from the breeding islands and range throughout the North Pacific from the Bering Sea southward to southern California and Japan and perhaps all points between (Kenyon & Wilke 1953, Ream et al. 2005).

Until recently, northern fur seal migration patterns were inferred primarily from 19th-century pelagic sealing records and at-sea research collections conducted from the 1950s to the 1970s (Kenyon & Wilke...
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ing areas off the west coast of North America. Adult males tended to

remain in more northerly areas (Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska), whereas adult females and juveniles of both

sexes proceeded further south (Kenyon & Wilke 1953, Bigg 1990). Tag recoveries indicated that some seals

from the Pribilofs migrate to the western North Pacific off Japan. Pups born the previous summer were con-

spicuously under-represented in pelagic research col-

lections, leading Kenyon & Wilke (1953) and Bigg

(1990) to conclude that pups and yearlings likely tend
to remain farther offshore.

More recently, satellite telemetry studies have docu-
mented the migratory paths of adult fur seals. Loughlin
et al. (1999) tracked 8 adult male seals from the Pri-

bilofs, confirming that they remained in North Pacific waters in the Bering Sea, Gulf of Alaska, and near the

Kuril Islands, Russia. Baba et al. (2000) tracked 1 sub-
adult and 2 adult females for approximately 2 mo from
the Commander Islands, Russia, into a broad area of
the western and central North Pacific. Ream et al.
(2005) tracked 13 adult female fur seals from the Pri-

bilofs for up to 8 mo, encompassing the entire return migration for 2 animals. They found that all of the

domesticated females departed the Bering Sea via Unimak Pass by 1 January, and most made their way to winter forag-
ing areas off the west coast of North America between

Vancouver Island, Canada, and southern California. Three females remained far out to sea in the North

Pacific Transition Zone.

In contrast, the migratory behavior of northern fur

seal pups remains largely unstudied. Pups wean at

approximately 4 mo of age (Peterson 1968, Gentry &

Holt 1986) and then depart from their natal islands and

embark on their first migration. Unlike older animals,

however, fur seal pups are faced with immense chal-
denges. They are newly independent foragers and

naive migrants, having never been away from their

natal islands. Moreover, these small marine mammals,

weighing less than 20 kg on average (Goebel 2002),
take to the Bering Sea in late autumn when water and

air temperatures are low and dropping and powerful

storms are common. Pups maintain a pelagic existence

and do not return to their natal islands until they are

14 mo to a few years old (Kenyon & Wilke 1953, Bigg

1990), by which time their numbers have been greatly

reduced. More than half the pups that wean perish in
the first 20 mo after migration, and most of the mortal-
ity is believed to occur during the first winter at sea

(Lander 1979).

Ragen et al. (1995) first documented the initial
migration route and timing of individual northern fur

seal pups. In November 1989 and 1990, they attached

VHF radio transmitters to 184 pups on St. Paul Island

and subsequently attempted to locate them in several

Aleutian passes using automated receiving stations

and aerial overflights. They located 70 pups near the

Aleutians and estimated that the mean travel time from
the Pribilofs to the Aleutians was 11 d. They deter-
dined that pups traveled through several Aleutian

passes and that Unimak Pass was not the primary

route.

The Pribilof Islands fur seal population was greatly

reduced from its historic, known maximum in the late

1950s as a result of directed killing of females between

1956 and 1964 (York & Hartley 1981). The population

began to increase after this practice was terminated,

but then inexplicably declined from the mid-1970s into

the early 1980s. Following a period of relative stability,

recent surveys indicate a renewed unexplained
decline of 6 % yr⁻¹ in pups born on the Pribilof Islands

between 1998 and 2004 (Towell et al. 2006). While the

reasons for the declines are unknown, low juvenile

survival is a potential proximate cause.

Given the downward trend in this already depleted

population, conservation of northern fur seals requires
diagnosis and potentially mitigation of the current
decline. An important step in that process is to charac-
terize the least known and perhaps most critical seg-
ment of the species’ life history—the post-weaning

migration.

This study presents the first information on move-

ments and diving behavior of migrating northern fur

seal pups using satellite-linked time depth recorders

(SLTDs). The study was conducted to investigate sev-
eral aspects of the early migration period. First, pre-
migration behavior was described with respect to the
distance pups traveled away from their natal islands

and their diving behavior prior to embarking on their

first migration. Second, the route and timing of the

migration was determined as the pups made their way

from the natal islands. Subsequently, the winter–
spring distribution and habitats used by these young

animals were identified and their diving behavior was

characterized. Migratory patterns of pups were

assessed relative to the information documented for

older animals to potentially aid in determining where
distinctions in distribution and habitat use may occur. Because of the limited sample size, the analyses presented here are largely descriptive.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Pribilof Islands are located in the eastern Bering Sea approximately 400 km north of the Aleutian Island Chain and 500 km west of mainland Alaska. Approximately 180,000 and 25,000 northern fur seal pups were born on St. Paul and St. George Islands, respectively, in 1996, the first year of this study.

SLTDRs were attached to 20 northern fur seal pups. Recognizing that the total sample size for the study would likely prove too small to make statistical comparisons of migratory behavior from distinct breeding areas (there are 14 and 6 recognized rookeries on St. Paul and St. George Islands, respectively) study animals were selected from a variety of locations to represent the overall Pribilof Island population. During 10–12 November 1996, 8 pups were tagged (4 males, 4 females) on St. Paul Island. During 8–13 November 1997, 8 additional SLTDRs were attached to St. Paul Island pups (5 males, 3 females) and 4 were attached to St. George Island pups (2 males, 2 females). Fewer pups were tracked from St. George Island, reflecting the relatively small proportion of the population born there. Pups were selected from a sampling of the breeding areas on each island. Both sets of tagged pups were followed for periods spanning parts of 2 calendar years. For brevity, in this paper 1996 refers to the instrument and reported on the maximum depth reached during the preceding 24 h.

The SLTDRs measured depth to the nearest 1 m and dives were defined as submersion to depths of at least 2 m. Dive durations were summarized in the following histogram bins: <1, 1–2, 2–3, 3–4, 4–5, and >5 min. Maximum dive depths were summarized in the following bins: 2–5, 6–10, 11–25, 26–50, 51–100, and >100 m. The maximum possible value in a dive duration or maximum depth bin was 255, so that if more than 255 dives to a given depth or duration range were completed in a 6 h period, the corresponding histogram bin would still record 255. Time-at-depth histograms were coded to represent four 6 h periods, roughly corresponding to night (21:00–03:00 h), morning (03:00–09:00 h), day (09:00–15:00 h), and evening (15:00–21:00 h). These time periods were referenced to GMT minus 11 h, which is appropriate for 165–170° W, where the Pribilof Islands are located. Other transmissions contained information on the functional status of the instrument and reported on the maximum depth reached during the preceding 24 h.

The SLTDRs measured depth to the nearest 1 m and dives were defined as submersion to depths of at least 2 m. Dive durations were summarized in the following histogram bins: <1, 1–2, 2–3, 3–4, 4–5, and >5 min. Maximum dive depths were summarized in the following bins: 2–5, 6–10, 11–25, 26–50, 51–100, and >100 m. The maximum possible value in a dive duration or maximum depth bin was 255, so that if more than 255 dives to a given depth or duration range were completed in a 6 h period, the corresponding histogram bin would still record 255. Time-at-depth histograms summarized the proportion of each 6 h period spent at the following depths: Surface–1, 2–5, 6–10, 11–25, 26–50, 51–100, >100 m. Because the time-at-depth histograms reported proportions, maximum bin value was not an issue.

The onset of migration (time of departure from the natal island) was estimated as the mid-point between

---
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the last time a location was received from an individual at the island and the first at-sea location after which the pup did not return. The first time a pup was located south of the Aleutian Islands was considered the time of departure from the Bering Sea.

RESULTS

Pre-migration period

Of the 20 pups captured for this study, 11 were male and 9 were female. The males weighed 22 kg and measured 93 cm long and 76 cm in girth on average, while the comparable statistics for females were 20 kg, 89 cm, and 73 cm, respectively. Two SLTDRs failed prior to departure, so the onset of migration was estimated from the remaining 18 pups. Dates of departure ranged from 9 November to 3 December (median was 17 November). The average time from instrumentation until departure was 7 d (SD = 6 d, range 14 h to 23 d).

During the period prior to migration, pups went to sea regularly, as indicated by location fixes, but usually stayed quite near their capture site and close to shore. Perhaps because high cliffs around the island blocked signal reception, only one pup captured at St. George Island had location fixes prior to migration. This apparent blocking of signals did not occur on the lower profile St. Paul Island. Of 15 pups with at-sea location fixes prior to migration, the average maximum distance pups traveled from their respective capture sites was 10.3 km (maximum 22.0 km), and the average maximum distance observed from nearest shore was 4.5 km (maximum 17.6 km). In most cases, the locations furthest from shore were of the lowest quality code (0), suggesting that error in the Argos locations may have inflated even these modest characterizations of pre-migration ranging.

Migration routes and timing

To determine whether pup movements had a random or a significantly directional pattern at the start of migration, the directional vector representing each seal’s displacement 4 d after departure from the natal rookery was calculated. The mean initial bearing for pups in 1996 was approximately southwest (220°, N = 6, angular deviation 55°). In 1997, the mean direction was southeast (137°, N = 11, angular deviation 50°). Using Rayleigh’s Z-test (Zar 1984), the early migration direction of pups in 1996 was not statistically different from random (0.10 < p < 0.20), while significant directionality was detected in the larger 1997 sample (0.01 < p < 0.02). The mean direction of early travel by pups differed significantly between the 2 years (Watson and Williams test, Zar 1984, 0.025 < p < 0.05). Pups moved an average of 46 km d⁻¹ during the first 4 days of migration.

Eleven seals were tracked as they passed through the Aleutian Islands and into the North Pacific Ocean (Fig. 1). Their median time from onset of migration until leaving the Bering Sea was 17 d; however, 4 remained in the Bering Sea for extended periods from 46 to 69 d or more until at least late January (2 were still in the Bering Sea when their instruments stopped transmitting). All three 1996 pups tracked out of the Bering Sea transited 3 adjacent central Aleutian passes (Seguam Pass, Amukta Pass, and an unnamed passage between Yunaska Island and the Islands of the Four Mountains) to the southwest of the Pribilof Islands. In contrast, all eight 1997 pups exited the Bering Sea through 3 other adjacent passes in the eastern Aleutians to the southeast of the Pribilofs (six through Unimak Pass, 1 through Akutan Pass, and 1 animal navigated a 3 km channel between Akutan and Akun Islands). The 2 groups of passes used exclusively in the 2 years were separated by a minimum of 304 km.

Fig. 1. Callorhinus ursinus. Migration tracks of northern fur seal pups passing between the Aleutian Islands (1996 N = 3 shown in red, 1997 N = 8 shown in blue). Tracks begin from the pups’ natal sites in the Pribilof Islands, Alaska (St. Paul Island to the north and St. George Island to the south). Because location data were received every other day, interpolated tracks sometimes appear to cross land. One animal’s path from St. Paul Island to the northwest (in red) extended beyond the range of this figure and subsequently reappeared (westernmost track on the figure, see also Fig. 2B, purple track).
Although the sample size in 1996 was very small, the dichotomy in routes used in 1996 and 1997 was a significant departure from what one would expect if pups had randomly used the 2 groups of passes in both years (Fisher’s exact test, $p = 0.006$). Notably, while the routes followed from the natal islands to the Aleutians were sometimes meandering and indirect, the passes used in the 2 years (to the southwest in 1996 and to the southeast in 1997) ultimately reflected the difference in mean initial bearing 4 d after the onset of migration.

The 11 pups that left the Bering Sea were subsequently tracked for varying lengths of time with final transmissions ranging from 23 January to 13 May. Individual tracks were highly variable, but a few generalizations emerged regarding movement patterns. The pups occupied a vast area spanning approximately 1000 km north to south (from almost 60° N down to just south of 45° N) and 2500 km wide from the central Aleutians to the eastern Gulf of Alaska, and encompassing several habitats (Fig. 2A). Of the 11 animals leaving the Bering Sea, 9 subsequently made a turn to the east or southeast, none turned to the west. However, in general, the routes taken by pups did not exhibit sustained directionality; rather, they meandered and seemed to alternate periods of transit with more sedentary spells (Fig. 3). Typically, pups remained in pelagic waters far from shore, but several used both pelagic areas and coastal Alaska waters in the winter and spring.

The tracks for all seals are depicted in Fig. 2A. Some relatively distinct patterns are shown for the 11 pups that exited the Bering Sea (Fig. 2B–D). Five pups moved into exclusively pelagic waters in a broad area centered roughly on 50° N (Fig. 2B). Three other animals traveled in a counter-clockwise direction along large, somewhat circular, routes south of the eastern Aleutian Islands to the Gulf of Alaska (Fig. 2C). These 3 animals spent 1.5–5 mo in their respective circuits from December to May, a period which included prolonged relatively stationary intervals. The remaining

Fig. 2. Callorhinus ursinus. (A) Migration routes of northern fur seal pups from 1996-7 in red and pups from 1997-8 in blue. Subset of (B) 5 (of 11) pups that migrated out of the Bering Sea (96_51, 96_52, 96_56, 97_50, 97_56) whose migration routes led to a broad pelagic area roughly centered on 50° N; (C) 3 pups (97_51, 97_54, 97_60) that stayed in pelagic waters and followed counter-clockwise routes to cover large areas from the Gulf of Alaska to south of the eastern Aleutian Islands; (D) 3 pups (96_55, 97_57, 97_58) that used far offshore pelagic areas and coastal waters in the Gulf of Alaska. Line colors (B–D) distinguish individual animals.
3 seals traveled well offshore and stayed in pelagic waters for varying lengths of time before moving northward and returning to coastal areas of Alaska (Fig. 2D). One pup spent almost 3 mo (19 January to 13 April) in continental shelf waters near the Shumagin and Sanak Islands. The second animal spent 2.5 mo in shelf waters south of the Kenai Peninsula and in Shelikoff Strait from the end of December until mid-March, when transmissions ceased. The final pup spent 4 mo in a relatively localized pelagic region before rather abruptly turning north, reaching nearly 60° N in nearshore waters of the central Gulf of Alaska. The latter 2 animals ended up in latitudes north of the Pribilof Islands many months after having begun their migration.

**Diving behavior**

To characterize pre-migration diving behavior, average dive histograms were calculated for each 6 h period of the day for nine pups. For these pups, histogram data were received on at least 2 d for each 6 h time period. Pre-migration diving behavior was consistent among pups. The pups conducted an average of 216 (SD = 93) dives per day. Most of the dives occurred during the day (09:00–15:00 h, 35%), somewhat less during morning (03:00–09:00 h, 32%) and evening.
(15:00–21:00 h, 24% h, with very few dives during the night (21:00–03:00 h, 9%, Fig. 4). During the pre-migration period, sunrise occurred at approximately 07:00 h and sunset at 15:00 h. Pre-migration dives were extremely shallow (92% were 2–5 m in depth) and short in duration (89% lasted less than 1 min). Prior to migration, pups almost never dived below 10 m or longer than 2 min and spent an average 96% of their time on land or at the sea surface (range 92–99%). The diving records did not indicate change in diving frequency, depth, or duration as the onset of migration approached.

Fig. 5. Callorhinus ursinus. Proportion of time spent at depth by 6 northern fur seal pups prior to and for 20 d after departure from their natal island. Proportions are shown for each 6 h period for which data were received. Colored bars distinguish depth ranges. All pups exhibit an abrupt shift in diving behavior after departure.
The onset of migration was associated with an abrupt and marked change in diving behavior. Pups began to spend more time submerged and dived to greater depths immediately after leaving their natal islands (Fig. 5). General characterization of diving behavior during the migration was based on the records of 13 animals tracked for a minimum of 22 days at sea (Table 1). These animals averaged 536 (SD = 90) dives d⁻¹, mostly during the night, morning, and evening hours. Migrating pups averaged only 26 (SD = 9) dives during the day (09:00–15:00 h), representing just 5% of all dives. In contrast, 35% of all pre-migration dives had occurred during the day. Histograms of maximum dive depth, dive duration, and proportional time at depth are presented in Fig. 6. While there was some variability among individuals, the general patterns were quite similar among the 13 pups. All exhibited a strong daily cycle where diving largely occurred during the night, evening, and morning hours and all but ceased during the day. The dives were typically shallow, with 77% less than 10 m and only 1% exceeding 50 m. Most dives (81%) lasted less than 1 min and only 1% exceeded 3 min. Overall, migrating pups spent 71% of their time at the surface, 14% at 2–5 m, 5% at 6–10 m, 6% at 11–25 m, and 3% at 26–50 m.

Maximum depth reached during 24 h periods were drawn from status messages from all migrating pups and plotted against the number of days after onset of migration (Fig. 7). As noted earlier, an abrupt increase in dive depth occurred immediately after onset of migration. A second order polynomial smooth, fitted through the post-migration points for all seals, indicates that maximum dive depth tended to increase during approximately the first 90 days.

**DISCUSSION**

This study provides new insights into northern fur seal pup migration, yet a number of caveats should be recognized when interpreting the findings. The sample size was quite small and likely did not capture the range of migratory behavior in the population. Additionally, the robust study subjects selected for their presumed greater chances of survival may have exhibited behavior that differed from smaller animals and those in poor condition (cf. Hindell et al. 1999, Barboud et al. 2003). The manner in which dive data were collected also involved some shortcomings. The four 6 h periods were chosen to represent night, morning, day, and evening. However, as the individuals dispersed over large areas and the tracking period spanned autumn to spring, these 6 h periods covered varying portions of the local photoperiod, depending on geographic position and date. This likely blurred the distinction of diving behavior by time of day. Nevertheless, very low diving efforts during daytime were still apparent. Another issue with maximum depth and duration histogram data was that each bin could only count up to 255 dives. Every pup had at least some histograms in which the lowest order bins (i.e. 2–5 m for maximum depth, <1 min for duration) were completely

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pup</th>
<th>Deployment date</th>
<th>Departure date</th>
<th>Deployment–departure (d)</th>
<th>Exit Bering</th>
<th>Departure–exit Bering (d)</th>
<th>Final transmission</th>
<th>Sea days tracked</th>
<th>Total days tracked</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>96_50</td>
<td>11/10/96</td>
<td>12/03/96</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>&gt;1/25/97</td>
<td>&gt;52</td>
<td>1/25/97</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>76.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96_51</td>
<td>11/10/96</td>
<td>11/21/96</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1/29/97</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>2/16/97</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>97.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96_52</td>
<td>11/11/96</td>
<td>11/19/96</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>11/30/96</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>1/23/97</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>73.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96_54</td>
<td>11/11/96</td>
<td>11/12/96</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11/18/96</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96_55</td>
<td>11/11/96</td>
<td>instrument failed upon deployment</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96_56</td>
<td>11/12/96</td>
<td>11/14/96</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>11/28/96</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>3/30/97</td>
<td>135.7</td>
<td>138.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96_57</td>
<td>11/12/96</td>
<td>instrument failed prior to pup's departure</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11/14/96</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96_58</td>
<td>11/12/96</td>
<td>11/13/96</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11/17/96</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97_50</td>
<td>11/08/97</td>
<td>11/17/97</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>12/14/97</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>3/16/98</td>
<td>118.7</td>
<td>127.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97_51</td>
<td>11/08/97</td>
<td>11/12/97</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>11/26/97</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>5/07/98</td>
<td>176.0</td>
<td>179.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97_52</td>
<td>11/09/97</td>
<td>11/09/97</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11/10/97</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97_53</td>
<td>11/09/97</td>
<td>11/10/97</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>&gt;1/13/98</td>
<td>&gt;64</td>
<td>1/13/98</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>74.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97_54</td>
<td>11/11/97</td>
<td>11/26/97</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1/10/98</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>5/20/98</td>
<td>175.1</td>
<td>189.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97_55</td>
<td>11/11/97</td>
<td>11/12/97</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>12/05/97</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>3/15/98</td>
<td>122.7</td>
<td>123.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97_56</td>
<td>11/12/97</td>
<td>11/18/97</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>11/20/97</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>12/11/97</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97_57</td>
<td>11/12/97</td>
<td>11/14/97</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>12/01/97</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>5/08/98</td>
<td>174.7</td>
<td>177.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97_58</td>
<td>11/13/97</td>
<td>11/29/97</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>12/08/97</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>5/13/98</td>
<td>164.7</td>
<td>181.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97_59</td>
<td>11/12/97</td>
<td>11/23/97</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11/30/97</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97_60</td>
<td>11/13/97</td>
<td>11/20/97</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>12/04/97</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>5/09/98</td>
<td>169.6</td>
<td>177.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97_61</td>
<td>11/13/97</td>
<td>11/21/97</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>11/30/97</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1. *Callorhinus ursinus*. Summary of northern fur seal pup satellite tracking during 1996–1998. (Dates are in GMT, given as mo/d/yr)*
Fig. 6. *Callorhinus ursinus*. Diving behavior for 13 northern fur seal pups tracked for a minimum of 23 d at sea after onset of migration. Histograms of maximum dive depth, dive duration, and proportion of time at depth (from left to right) are presented for night (21:00–03:00 h), morning (03:00–09:00 h), day (09:00–15:00 h), and evening (15:00–21:00 h). Large gray bars indicate averages for these pups.
filled. This rarely occurred for deeper or longer dive duration bins. As a result, summarized maximum depth and duration histogram data were skewed to some degree to the deeper and longer duration dives, as an unknown number of the shallowest and shortest dives were not counted. However, the time-at-depth histograms were not subject to overfilling, and these bins indicated very similar patterns to those for maximum dive depth.

Onset of migration appears to be an abrupt event, rather than a gradual process, as indicated by a variety of measures. Rather than making increasingly longer forays prior to departure, pups remained very near shore and quite close to their birth sites until the day they started their migration. Likewise, diving behavior apparently did not alter prior to departure. Shallow diving and very low percentage of time spent submerged are consistent with the conclusion of Baker & Donohue (2000) that pups do not engage in appreciable pre-migration foraging. In contrast, New Zealand and Galapagos fur seals, which nurse 2× to 9× longer than northern fur seals, gradually increase diving activity and begin to forage prior to weaning (Horning & Trillmich 1997, Baylis et al. 2005).

Given the Bering Sea’s cold water temperatures and rough seas, it was somewhat surprising that 4 pups in this study remained there well into winter, and that 2 others were in Gulf of Alaska waters farther north than the Pribilof Islands when their records ended in spring. One might speculate that failing to exit the Bering Sea rapidly may be a fatal error for pups; however, the tracking technology used in this study does not allow one to discriminate between mortality and instrument failure, so that the survival repercussions of differing routes cannot be determined. However, Donohue et al. (2000) found that prior to migration pups are well-insulated by their fur and blubber, such that remaining in northerly waters may entail little energetic cost.

Pups used two completely separate groups of Aleutian Island passes to exit the Bering Sea in 1996 and 1997. Ragen et al. (1995) found that pups migrating in 1989 and 1990 transited a variety of passes, only some of which were used by pups in this study. Each of the 13 adult females that Ream et al. (2005) tracked in 2002 left via Unimak Pass, suggesting that these experienced migrators exhibit less variable navigation compared to naïve pups that may wander more. However, subsequent tracking in 2004 had documented that adult females also used passes other than Unimak (R. R. Ream pers. comm.). Environmental cues or forcing (e.g. wind and currents) may vary among years and influence migration routes.

Two observations suggest a possible strategy that pups use to navigate, especially during early migration. One pup born on St. Paul departed and was next located on St. George Island; another pup born on St. George made the opposite trip to St. Paul. Both animals made the approximately 70 km open ocean crossing to arrive at a relatively small island less than 30 h after leaving their natal island for the first time. A parsimonious explanation for these seemingly unlikely feats of navigation is that the pups followed older seals familiar with the route. This suggests the hypothesis that naïve pups may generally follow older seals. McConnell et al. (2002) made the same proposal to explain a weaned southern elephant seal pup’s direct navigation across several hundred kilometers to islands it had not previously visited. Macy (1982) found that northern fur seal mothers and pups usually do not begin migration at the same time, so pups do not learn migration routes from their mothers. However, several hundred thousand older fur seals migrate during the same period as pups. Following an older animal would seem a better strategy than random trial, especially for a pup that had never ventured far from its birth site. Indeed, every older seal’s existence is living proof of having successfully migrated in the past. Pups appear to have the ability to keep up with older animals. Ream et al. (2005) found adult females traveled 2.2 km h⁻¹ on average in the Bering Sea, whereas the pups in this study averaged nearly as much (46 km d⁻¹ or 1.9 km h⁻¹) for the first 4 d of their migration. The two inter-island travelers noted above moved at least 2.3 km h⁻¹.

For adult females leaving the Bering Sea, Ream et al. (2005) were able to distinguish a transit period from a destination because of their rather directed and sustained movements until they reached areas where they
remained more stationary. In contrast, pups in this study typically alternated several fairly distinct periods of relatively directed movement with more sedentary intervals, and direction of movements varied greatly over time (Fig. 3). This may indicate that fur seal pups spend more time than adults searching for profitable foraging areas. Weaned southern elephant seals pups are perhaps more precocious. On their first extended trip to sea, elephant seal pups moved in a similar fashion as adults, with distinct phases of rapid, directed transit followed by a longer period characterized by relatively slower movements and many directional changes (McConnell et al. 2002).

**Diving behavior**

With the onset of migration, fur seal pups dramatically altered their dive patterns. They began making twice as many dives per day compared to the pre-migration period, diving deeper and longer, spending a higher proportion of their time submerged. They also switched from diving mostly during daylight hours to a pattern with the greatest amount of diving at night, somewhat less in the morning and evening, and virtually no diving during mid-day. This is similar to diving behavior noted for several fur seal species (e.g., Gentry et al. 1986, Boyd et al. 1991, Goebel et al. 1991, Harcourt et al. 1995, Georges et al. 2000, Goebel 2002, Lea et al. 2002), which suggests a strategy of foraging on vertically migrating organisms that descend out of range during the day. The abrupt switch in diving behavior suggests that pups may begin foraging right after departure. While there was some individual variation, the similarity in overall patterns among all pups was striking. Compared to the data available for older migrating northern fur seals, pups tended to dive to shallower depths (Ream et al. 2005). Far more diving data exists for adult females and juvenile males during the breeding season, which underscores that they can far outperform the patterns displayed by pups (Gentry et al. 1986, Goebel et al. 1991, Goebel 2002, Sterling & Ream 2004). Because of their smaller size, migrating pups likely cannot exploit vertically migrating prey for the same length of time as older seals, and some prey resources available to older animals may be entirely unavailable to pups. Lower dive performance (i.e. dive duration, maximum depth, proportion of time submerged) of newly independent pinniped young, compared to adults, has been found in numerous species (Thorson & Le Boeuf 1994, LeBoeuf et al. 1996, Horning & Trillmich 1997, Burns et al. 1999, Hindell et al. 1999, Pitcher et al. 2005).

The increase in maximum dive depth during approximately the first 90 d post-migration may be influenced by decreasing buoyancy. Donohue et al. (2000) found that prior to migration, lipid stores make up approximately 33% of total body composition. This energy reserve may be expended to sustain pups during the early migration period while they learn to forage successfully. As fat stores are depleted and buoyancy consequently decreases, pups may descend more rapidly or otherwise dive with greater efficiency, allowing them to reach greater depth (cf. Webb et al. 1998, Beck et al. 2000).

Although the diving patterns of migrating pups were quite similar, they used a variety of habitats spread over a vast area, including pelagic waters as far south as 45° N, coastal and continental shelf areas of Alaska, and the Alaska Gyre. While one can only speculate about how pups came to follow their respective paths, their wide dispersion is a potential mechanism for differential survival. Food availability, physical conditions (weather and water temperature), exposure to predation, and potential for interaction with fisheries may all vary among the areas used by migrating pups.

Distinct juvenile and adult dispersal patterns have been suggested as a mechanism for differential exposure to risks in long-distance migratory marine species. Weimerskirch et al. (2006) found that post-fledging, wandering albatross range in subtropical waters where productivity is lower and vulnerability to long-line fishery mortality is higher than more productive waters where adult birds forage. Kooyman et al. (1996) found that emperor penguin juveniles travel further north from their natal colonies than adults and remain in ice-free waters where they cannot rest on ice floes available to adults at higher latitudes.

Most of the northern fur seal pups tracked in this study remained primarily in offshore areas, with only a few using coastal or shelf areas. Ream et al. (2005) found the opposite situation occurring among adult females, which primarily used continental shelf areas. This is consistent with the inference from at-sea collections that migrating pups tend to remain farther offshore (Kenyon & Wilke 1953, Bigg 1990). Better characterization of habitats and hazards in offshore areas might be important for diagnosing the current population decline.
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