Spatial scale of genetic structuring in coastal cod *Gadus morhua* and geographic extent of local populations
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ABSTRACT: We estimated the spatial scale of genetically distinguishable populations of coastal Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua* using microsatellite DNA markers. Significant overall heterogeneity in allele frequencies was found among 5 cod samples (n = 493) along a 79 km segment of the Norwegian Skagerrak coast (Fₜₛ = 0.0013; p = 0.021). Most (3 out of 4) samples separated by less than 30 km were genetically highly similar (Fₜₛ < 0), whereas more distantly separated samples were typically genetically different. This genetic differentiation pattern indicates a patchy population structure with local coastal cod populations being limited in geographic extent to approx. 30 km or less. The spatial structure is thus on the scale of local fjords, suggesting a role for local topography in shaping population structure. The population structuring of coastal cod is more fine-scaled than hitherto reported, but is consistent with mark-recapture studies and data on egg distributions, and emphasises the need to focus on local populations in the management of marine fishes.

KEY WORDS: Spatial scale · Coastal Atlantic cod · *Gadus morhua* · Local populations · Genetic differentiation · Microsatellites

INTRODUCTION

Structuring of species into local populations and the spatial scale of such structures have important implications for demography and population dynamics, ecological interactions, and evolution of the species. Spatial substructuring implies that the local breeding unit, or population, is numerically smaller than the total number of specimens in the general area may suggest. Stochastic processes in demography and genetics (random genetic drift: Wright 1978 and references therein) then assume more important roles than if the species consisted of a larger, panmictic unit. Reduction in the geographic extent of the habitat patch, or home range, also influences population dynamics because of the increased perimeter to interior area ratio, and there may exist a critical smallest patch area below which populations cannot be maintained (Holmes et al. 1994). Spatial substructuring further alters the stability of species interactions and opportunities for coexistence in both predator–prey and competitive systems (Kareiva et al. 1990). Spatial processes may significantly modify the dynamics of local populations by individual dispersal, by community processes and trophic interactions, and by spatially correlated factors (Bjørnstad et al. 1999). Such spatial processes are scale-dependent, and the issue of spatial scale is central to many contemporary topics in ecology and evolution.

The prevalence and importance of spatial structuring have also become increasingly apparent for marine organisms, as more powerful methods have been developed and applied to detect such structure. In the Atlantic cod *Gadus morhua*, early genetic investigations uncovered a weak, but large-scale, substructuring spanning thousands of kilometres and depicting an apparently linear increase in genetic differentiation with increasing distance (Mork et al. 1985). Later large-scale studies confirmed and expanded on those find-
ings, employing different genetic markers such as cDNA RFLP patterns (Pogson et al. 1995), microsatellite DNA polymorphisms (Pogson et al. 2001), and mitochondrial DNA sequence variation (Árnason 2004). The quantitatively weak structure and the apparent linear pattern by which genetic differentiation increases with increasing distance suggest (cf. Rousset 1997) that gene flow is prevalent in this species and that conspecifics are isolated primarily by geographic distance (but see Pogson et al. 2001 and Árnason 2004 for alternative explanations). The aforementioned genetic studies were all based on samples that were collected from a large geographic area, typically covering several thousand kilometers, and the observed genetic differentiation pattern at that spatial scale yields little information on the issue of local populations and their structuring.

In more or less continuous habitats characterizing parts of a species’ range, the extent of local populations may be defined as the area over which local recruitment dominates over external sources. In Atlantic cod, as for many other marine species with a pelagic larval phase, the traditional view has been that external sources dominate throughout, also in coastal areas, due to larval drift with ocean currents (Hjort 1914, Caley et al. 1996). This view is challenged by recent findings of genetic substructuring (implying distinct local populations) in coastal cod, occurring on a spatial scale of a few hundreds of kilometers (Ruzzante et al. 2000, 2001) or less (Knutsen et al. 2003). Contrary to the pattern of isolation by distance that characterizes large-scale structures, no evidence has been found for the occurrence of increased genetic differentiation with increasing distance at this finer spatial scale (Fig. 1; data from Knutsen et al. 2003). The absence of an isolation-by-distance pattern in this area, consisting of the Norwegian Skagerrak coast (see Fig. 2), is probably caused by larval drift from off-shore spawning grounds in the North Sea. Such larval drift has recently been detected directly, by observation of juvenile (0-group) cod genetically assigned to North Sea spawning samples (Knutsen et al. 2004), and indirectly, by its significant effect on juvenile coastal cod abundance in time series data from the Norwegian Skagerrak coast (Stenseth et al. 2006). Larval drift, if it produces successful recruits to the recipient coastal populations, represents gene flow that is expected to counteract the build-up of genetic differentiation among populations. The fact that we nevertheless do find detectable, albeit low, levels of genetic differentiation, shows that the coastal populations are not entirely swamped by gene flow. This observation suggests the existence of a spatial domain wherein local recruitment dominates, allowing some differentiation among local gene pools. The present study sought, by utilizing and expanding on the material of Knutsen et al. (2003), to test this hypothesis and to estimate the geographic extent of local coastal cod populations.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Our study area was the Norwegian Skagerrak coastal range (Fig. 2) previously studied by Knutsen et al. (2003) and shown to harbour multiple cod popula-

---

**Fig. 1.** Gadus morhua. Spatial pattern of genetic differentiation \(F_{ST}\) among Skagerrak coastal cod reported by Knutsen et al. (2003; data based on 10 microsatellite loci from their Table 4). Note that pairwise estimates are low, but consistently >0 throughout this spatial range.

**Fig. 2.** Sampling area. (●) Sampling sites; inset: southern Norway, sampling area boxed.
tions. The coastline consists of numerous small islands and skerries with small fjords or fjord-like basins extending up to a few km inland. Because Knutsen et al.’s (2003) study indicated that the level of local genetic differentiation was the same along the coast, we picked a sub-area for the present study that was conveniently situated and readily available for sampling. The sub-area comprised a 79 km segment of the coast that included 3 previous sample localities (Bjelland, Risør and Grenland) reported by Knutsen et al. in 2003. We expanded the sampling effort by including 2 additional localities (Bøya and Tvede-strand), situated among the 3 earlier ones (Fig. 2). The 5 sampling localities were separated by 8 to 79 km (measured as the shortest straight line between them) and represent a finer geographic scale than hitherto available for genetic analyses in coastal Atlantic cod. From each of the 5 localities about 100 adult Atlantic cod were sampled with gill nets, near presumed spawning sites in or near fjords. Sampling took place during the spawning season, in January to March, and was carried out using 3 to 4 gill nets (150 m each) over approximately 1 wk until the required sample size was obtained (see Table 1).

All sampled cod were sexed by visual examination of the gonads, and assigned to a 5-point index of sexual maturity: cod were defined as mature if the gonads where either growing (Maturity Stage 2), ripe (Stage 3), or if the fish was spawning (Stage 4) or spent (Stage 5). The age was estimated for each individual from the number of hyaline zones deposited in the otoliths in late winter or spring, as observed under the microscope. White skeletal muscle was collected from each individual and stored in 96% ethanol until DNA extraction and genetic analyses.

DNA was extracted from muscle tissue using the DNEASY kit (QIAGEN). The previous analyses of Knutsen et al. (2003) were based on 10 microsatellite loci. We expanded the number of loci to improve the statistical power to discriminate among populations, and included an additional 3 loci (Gmo8, Tch5, and Tch22) that have proved useful in other studies of Atlantic cod (e.g. Beacham et al. 2002, Hardie et al. 2006). Hence, a total of 13 microsatellite loci were amplified from extracted genomic DNA and screened for genetic variability, using PCR conditions that were slightly modified from those published (by excluding bovine serum albumin and by using a different brand of Taq polymerase and buffer [QIAGEN]): Gmo2 and Gmo132 (Brooker et al. 1994); Gmo3, Gmo8, Gmo19, Gmo34, Gmo35, Gmo36, and Gmo37 (Miller et al. 2000); Tch5, Tch12, Tch13, and Tch22 (O’Reilly et al. 2000). Microsatellite DNA fragments were separated and sized on an ALFExpress II automatic sequencer (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech).

Allele and genotype frequencies were estimated from genotype counts in the samples. Deviations from Hardy-Weinberg genotype proportions were quantified by $F_{IS}$ (estimator $f$ of Weir & Cockerham 1984), and tested for using exact probability tests summed over loci using Fisher’s summation procedure (GENEPOP software Version 3.3d, Raymond & Rousset 1995). Amounts of genetic variation were characterised by average gene diversities within samples ($H_S$) and in the combined material ($H_T$) (Nei & Chesser 1983). Genetic differentiation among samples was quantified by $F_{ST}$, using estimator $\theta$ of Weir & Cockerham (1984), and tested with an exact test for allele frequency heterogeneity among samples in GENEPOP. The joint null-hypothesis of no differentiation at any locus was tested by combining the single-locus p-values by Fisher’s summation procedure, i.e. summing twice the negative logarithms of the single-locus p-values, as recommended by Ryman & Jorde (2001). As an additional test for spatial differentiation we applied a permutation test for $F_{ST}$, using the genetix software (Belkhir et al. 2002) with 10 000 permutations. The permutation test was carried out both for each locus separately and over all 13 loci jointly. Finally, each fish was assigned to the most likely geographic origin (sample location) on the basis of its genotype and the spatial distribution of the other genotypes, using an assignment test in the WHICHRUN software (Banks & Eichert 2000). In this assignment analysis the fish being assigned was not included in the base line data, i.e. we used the ‘leave-one-out’ procedure.

Possible relationships between genetic differentiation and geographic distance were tested by estimating $F_{ST}$ (Weir & Cockerham 1984) between pairs of samples and regressing these pair-wise $F_{ST}/(1-F_{ST})$ values against shortest linear geographic distance between samples (Rousset 1997). Confidence limits for $F_{ST}$ were calculated by bootstrapping loci (10 000 replicates), using the cda software (Lewis & Zaykin 2001). An AMOVA test (Excoffier et al. 1992) in the Arlequin software (Schneider et al. 1997) was used to decompose genetic variability into 2 components: within and among cohorts, respectively. This test, which was carried out in order to check temporal stability in the spatial genetic structure, utilised the fact that the cod samples included several different year classes or cohorts. However, some cohorts were represented by very few individuals in each sample (range 1 to 67 ind.) and we restricted the analyses to cohorts with at least 15 fish. Applying stricter statistical criteria and increasing this lower limit would yield too few cohorts for temporal comparisons in most samples. In addition to the AMOVA test we also estimated $F_{ST}$ pair-wise among cohorts within the sample localities, and among localities for the same cohort, in order to
separate and compare temporal and spatial genetic variability components. In this latter analysis we included cohorts with at least 10 ind. (rather than 15) to permit comparison of at least 1 cohort from each geographic sample (because the samples had somewhat different cohort compositions). All pair-wise comparisons were tested with an exact test for allele frequency differences, using the GENEPOP software.

RESULTS

The total sample comprised 493 fish from 5 different localities and scored at 13 microsatellite loci. The amount of genetic variability was very similar among sites ($H_S$: Table 1); however, individual loci differed greatly in variability (Table 2), with $H_T$ ranging from 0.181 (Gmo3) to 0.927 (Tch5) and the total number of observed alleles ranged from 4 (Gmo36) to 49 (Gmo8).

The genotypic proportions within samples generally conformed to Hardy-Weinberg expectations (cf. Table 1). Without correcting for multiple tests there were apparently significant heterozygote deficiencies in the Risør sample at loci Gmo8, Gmo19, and Tch22 (each significant at the 5% level), in Buøya at Gmo36 ($p < 0.01$), and in Tvedestrand at Gmo37 ($p < 0.05$). A significant heterozygote excess was observed at Locus Gmo2 in the Risør sample ($p < 0.05$). Confounding factors such as segregation of null-alleles are often invoked as explanations for apparent heterozygote deficiencies in microsatellites (e.g. Pemberton et al. 1995), but were unlikely in the present case because all samples had quite similar allele frequencies, as reflected in the low (but significant: see below, this section) $F_{IS}$-values. Hence, if present, null-alleles should segregate at the same locus and affect all samples to a similar extent, which was not the case. Likewise, we tentatively ruled out potential external forces such as natural selection—directly or acting through hitchhiking—because such effects should again be limited to particular loci (Gmo132 has been suspected; Nielsen et al. 2006). Summing over all 13 loci, no sample deviated significantly from Hardy-Weinberg expectations.

There were statistically significant differences in allele frequencies among the 5 samples (Table 2), with an average $F_{ST} = 0.0013$ over loci. The permutation test and the exact test both rejected the null hypothesis of no differentiation at 2 loci each, although there was some difference between the tests with regard to which loci were significantly different (Gmo36 and Gmo132 in the permutation test versus Gmo34 and Gmo36 in the exact test). Nearly significant genetic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>Within total $a$</th>
<th>$H_T$</th>
<th>$F_{ST}$ p-perm</th>
<th>$F_{ST}$ p-exact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gmo2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.852</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.456 0.184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gmo3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.231 0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gmo8</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td>0.082 0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gmo19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.131 0.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gmo34</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.100 0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gmo35</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>0.111 0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gmo36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.0078</td>
<td>0.032 0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gmo37</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>0.078 0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gmo132</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.018 0.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tch5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.266 0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tch12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.290</td>
<td>-0.0003</td>
<td>0.452 0.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tch13</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>-0.0009</td>
<td>0.794 0.914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tch22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.597</td>
<td>-0.0023</td>
<td>0.791 0.694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.003 0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Gadus morhua. Sampled localities, no. of ind., percentage of mature ind., estimates of genetic variability ($H_S$), and deviations from Hardy-Weinberg genotype proportions within samples ($F_S$); p: results of exact probability tests (GENEPOP: using 10 000 dememorisation steps, 1000 batches, and 10 000 iterations per batch, and averaged over 5 replicate runs), carried out for each locus and summed over loci using Fisher’s summation procedure. Loci with significant (not corrected for multiple tests) deficiencies or excesses of heterozygotes are indicated (*$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$)
differences (p < 0.10) were observed at 3 other loci (Gmo 8, Gmo35, and Gmo37; Table 2). When evaluating the joint null hypothesis of no differentiation at any locus, both the permutation and the exact tests yielded significant results (p = 0.003 and 0.021, respectively; Table 2). The results of the assignment test (Fig. 3) were consistent with the results of the heterogeneity tests and assigned a much higher fraction of individuals to the locality at which they were sampled (range 51 to 70%) than to any other site (range 4 to 14%). Hence, genetic differentiation prevails even at a spatial scale <79 km in coastal Atlantic cod.

In view of the small amount of differentiation among the samples (F\text{ST} = 0.0013), there is the possibility that observed differentiation was confounded by temporal genetic change within sample localities, i.e. by differences among age classes or cohorts (Waples 1998). Temporal fluctuations in allele frequency occur in all finite populations because of random genetic drift, generating some allele frequency differences among cohorts within the population (Jorde & Ryman 1996). When the samples do not have exactly the same age composition the observed differences among samples will include a temporal component that may be mistaken for spatial differentiation. In the present case, however, it was possible to measure the temporal component directly, utilizing age information to classify each fish to a birth cohort. Applying AMOVA to the cohort data yielded a significant, positive estimate of F\text{ST} = 0.0017 among geographic samples (p = 0.023, based on 10 000 permutations), whereas the estimate between cohorts within samples was negative (–0.0021) and not significantly larger than zero. The pair-wise F\text{ST} (Table 3) added more details to this picture and uncovered predominantly positive estimates of differentiation among cohorts (Table 3: along diagonal), indicating some temporal change within samples. The estimated magnitude of this change (0.0023 averaged over pair-wise estimates) was, however, not significant within any locality (exact test, p-values ranging from 0.18 in Grenland to 0.97 in Buøya), nor for the average over samples (p = 0.14). Further, this temporal component was on average considerably smaller than that estimated within cohorts among different samples (Table 3: below diagonal; average F\text{ST} = 0.0041). Several of these latter pair-wise tests for spatial differentiation were significant (Table 3: below diagonal; 7 out of 10 tests were significant at the 5% level or better). Hence, both the results of the AMOVA and the pair-wise F\text{ST} analyses of cohorts demonstrate that the temporal component to the observed genetic differentiation in this study was smaller than the spatial component, and the observed spatial differentiation therefore cannot be explained by temporal change alone.

In view of the above findings, demonstrating that the observed genetic differentiation cannot be explained by temporal fluctuations among age classes, the following analyses utilised whole samples. Combining all age classes within samples we found that several sample-pair comparisons were statistically significant...
from each other (Table 4). The linear regression of pair-wise $F_{ST}$ values against geographic distance was close to zero ($b = 0.000014$), and not significant. However, there was an apparent pattern, with most (3 out of 4) closely situated sample pairs (separated <30 km apart) being genetically very similar (estimated $F_{ST} < 0$ among them), whereas more distant pairs had positive $F_{ST}$ and were thus somewhat differentiated (Fig. 4). There were 2 exceptions to this general pattern (Fig. 4; Table 4): the closely situated sample pair Bjelland and Buøya (8 km apart) were significantly different from one another, whereas the more distantly separated (at 72 km) pair Buøya and Grenland apparently were not.

**DISCUSSION**

Genetic methods are highly appropriate for delineating local populations because each generation’s genes are transferred to the next generation, resulting in higher genetic similarity within the population boundary if members are predominantly recruited from local offspring. Herein, we have exploited this principle to tentatively delineate local populations of Atlantic cod along a short segment of coastline. Delineating local populations of marine species has typically been a difficult task because of the weak genetic differentiation characterizing many marine organisms (Ward et al. 1994, Waples 1998). Genetic differentiation is indeed weak among the present Atlantic cod populations also, and our success in detecting differences at this spatial scale can be ascribed to the high statistical power resulting from employing relatively large numbers of microsatellites and sampled fish (see Ryman et al. 2006, Waples & Gaggiotti 2006). As a consequence of the low level of differentiation, the point estimates ($F_{ST}$) are uncertain, as indicated by their wide CI (Fig. 4), and we would therefore expect that some pairwise comparisons would, by chance, be significant whereas others would not. The non-positive $F_{ST}$ estimate between Buøya and Grenland, 72 km apart, most likely reflects such stochastic errors or ‘noise’, because other explanations seem to be biologically less plausible. Apart from this single pair, the tendency was for the more distant samples (>30 km apart) to be significantly differentiated genetically, reiterating our earlier findings (Knutsen et al. 2003 and present Fig. 1) and confirming the existence of multiple local cod populations along the Norwegian Skagerrak coast. This conclusion is strengthened by our demonstration herein that the observed differences among sample localities cannot be explained by genetic differences among age classes, and thus represent real spatial substructuring.

Among samples that were collected <30 km apart we found no consistent genetic differentiation. On the contrary, 3 of the 4 pairwise comparisons below that distance yielded zero or negative estimates of $F_{ST}$ and only 1 was positive and significant (between Bjelland and Buøya, 8 km apart). Again, statistical noise is a possible explanation for a deviating point estimate, especially since the p-value in question was very close to the nominal alpha level of 5% (Table 4). On the other hand, it is also possible that the Bjelland sample (situated outside Tromøy Island; Fig. 2), is partly isolated from its neighbours and that Tromøy Island acts as a ‘barrier’ to free exchange between the 2 localities. Bathymetric and hydrodynamic structures have been shown to represent such barriers in Atlantic cod, e.g. between the Georges Bank and Browns Bank, about 80 km apart (Ruzzante et al. 1998) and over a saline gradient (Nielsen et al. 2003). It may also be of relevance that Bjelland was sampled further outside the fjords than were the other samples (perhaps outside the spawning area, as suggested by recent egg-density

![Fig. 4. Gadus morhua. Mean genetic differentiation ($F_{ST}$, averaged over 13 microsatellite loci: Table 4) among pairs of cod samples as a function of (straight-line) geographic separation. Vertical bars: 95% CI for estimated $F_{ST}$, calculated by bootstrapping individual loci](image)
counts; Knutsen et al. 2007). This sample also contained a lower percentage of mature fish (<50%; Table 1), and it is unclear to what extent it represented a spawning population. The assignment analysis (Fig. 3), (which showed consistently higher assignment to capture site than to any other locality, including neighbouring sites), indicated that all 5 samples might have represented (slightly) differentiated populations. A similar interpretation seems reasonable from the results of the spatio-temporal analyses (Table 3 and AMOVA), which did not uncover any tendency for neighbouring samples to vary temporally in a concerted fashion, as might be expected were they drawn from the same biological population (e.g. Jorde & Ryman [1996] found highly correlated temporal allele frequency changes in brown trout from 2 interconnected lakes). Hence, there is a possibility for differentiation at an even finer geographic scale than the 30 km inferred from Fig. 4.

The observed genetic differentiation pattern indicates a patchy population structure in coastal Atlantic cod, with the geographic extent of the population unit being limited to ≤30 km. Assuming that individual fish for the most part restrict their movements within the population boundary (or ‘home range’), we compared our estimate with the large body of traditional capture–mark–recapture (CMR) data that have accumulated on Norwegian Skagerrak coastal cod (Løversen 1946, Moksness & Øiestad 1984, Danielssen & Gjøsæter 1994). We applied the ‘minimum convex polygon’ method (MCP; Mohr 1947) to estimate area utilization of coastal cod for CMR data from Danielssen & Gjøsæter (1994). The data represent 1624 cod that were captured, marked, and released in the Risør fjord (in the middle of the present study area; Fig. 2) during 1988 and 1989, and yielded a total of 455 recaptures, 95% of which were found within a polygon area estimated to be 123 km². This estimate represents the approximate asymptotic value, achieved after the fish had been at sea for about 1 yr (375 d) before recapture, and corresponds to ~13 km of coastline. The estimate is clearly consistent with our present genetically determined size of local populations, and also with the earlier studies (Løversen 1946, Moksness & Øiestad 1984, Danielssen & Gjøsæter 1994) that concluded that coastal cod is ‘highly stationary’. Other evidence for a restricted geographic extent of coastal populations of cod is provided by egg density studies. Knutsen et al. (2007) found strong evidence for retention of eggs within separate fjords along the Norwegian coast, in the Skagerrak, and elsewhere. Their findings imply restricted mixing of pelagic eggs and larvae, which should facilitate the build-up of genetic differentiation among coastal populations located in separate fjords. Both our genetic findings and those based on traditional methods are thus congruent in suggesting that coastal cod are structured on a spatial scale of the order of local fjords. This observation suggests that fjords and other coastal structures (bays, islands, etc.) may play an important role in shaping the structure and geographic extent of fish populations in coastal areas.

The broader picture of coastal cod populations and related off-shore breeding stock(s) that emerges from the present and recent studies is that of a complex of geographically restricted coastal populations. Coastal populations in the Skagerrak appear largely self-recruiting, as indicated by their partial (i.e. weak) genetic distinctness, but seem partly open to (and most likely receive) recruits from off-shore sources in the North Sea. An average number of 108 recruits successfully entering each coastal population per generation would be sufficient to explain the observed level of genetic differentiation among cod in the Skagerrak (Stenseth et al. 2006). However, the number of larvae (and recruits) probably fluctuates widely among years, and the inflow of North Sea larvae may be restricted to years when ocean currents during the spawning period are favourable for transportation of larvae into Skagerrak coastal waters (Knutsen et al. 2004). Current research focuses on the temporal aspect of larval drift and on recruitment of cod of North Sea origin to the coastal populations. Beyond its direct impact on the dynamics and ecology of the recipient coastal populations (Stenseth et al. 2006) there are also interesting evolutionary implications for such larval drift (e.g. Strathmann et al. 2002). We are currently approaching these and related questions with a combination of genetic analyses, CMR studies, oceanographic modelling, and time series analyses of juvenile cod abundance along the Skagerrak coast.

Knowledge of the spatial extent of local populations has numerous practical applications, both for research and for management. Such knowledge is instrumental in devising proper sampling strategies for estimating ecological parameters (including rates of reproduction, mortality and dispersal) as well as genetic parameters (including sampling baseline populations for statistical assignment and for mixed fishery analyses). The findings of the present study were directly applied in a recent project involving mathematical modelling of time-series data (Stenseth et al. 2006). Such modelling requires a priori partitioning of the sampling ‘stations’ into population units (Chan et al. 2003), and our present results show that data from quite small segments of a coastline (e.g. single fjords) may be joined in such statistical modelling.

The small geographic extent of local populations in coastal Atlantic cod provides a possible explanation for the strikingly dissimilar pattern of decline in cod abun-
dance characterizing different areas of the Skagerrak coast (cf. Svedäng 2003). With distinct coastal populations and restricted movement among them, as indicated by our findings, there may not be sufficient export of spawners to rebuild overexploited or otherwise depleted cod populations (e.g. Waples 1998). The implication of these observations for the management of Atlantic cod and other marine species is clear and there is an obvious need to focus on local populations (Wroblewski et al. 2005).
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