Nekton populations, long-term wetland loss, and the effect of recent habitat restoration in Galveston Bay, Texas, USA
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ABSTRACT: We used data from 1984 samples taken approximately monthly over 11 yr (1982 to 1992) with a 2.6 m² drop sampler to quantify and compare nekton densities in *Spartina alterniflora* marsh edge and over adjacent shallow nonvegetated bottom (SNB) in Carancahua Cove, Galveston Bay estuary, Texas, USA. We also used a Geographic Information System (GIS), aerial photography, and population models to track changes in wetland area and trends in fishery populations over time. Decapod crustaceans were less speciose than fishes (35 vs. 60 species) in our samples, but this taxonomic group accounted for most (91%) of the animals we collected. Annual variability in animal abundance was high. Most species peaked in abundance during spring or fall, and were absent or occurred at low densities during winter. Mean densities of all abundant decapod crustaceans and several abundant fishes in our study were significantly greater in marsh vegetation than over SNB. Based on our GIS analysis, we estimated that 61% of the wetlands in the study area were converted to open water between 1982 and 1995; marsh edge (marsh within 1 m of the shoreline) was reduced by 70% during the same period. Our fishery models showed that brown shrimp *Farfantepenaeus aztecus*, white shrimp *Litopenaeus setiferus*, and blue crab *Callinectes sapidus* populations also declined substantially during this period as the area of wetlands and marsh edge was reduced. A marsh-terracing project in 1999 replaced some open water with marsh, and our models show that populations of these fishery species rebounded in response to this recent restoration effort.
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INTRODUCTION

Coastal wetland loss is a major source of environmental change in the northern Gulf of Mexico. These estuarine wetlands support important coastal fisheries (Houde & Rutherford 1993, Zimmerman et al. 2000), and decreased fishery landings are expected if the current rate of wetland loss continues (Browder et al. 1989, Zimmerman et al. 1991). Extensive loss of tidal wetlands has occurred in the Galveston Bay estuary of Texas, USA, due to subsidence (caused by subsurface fluid withdrawal), development, erosion, and various other mechanisms (White & Tremblay 1995, White et al. 2004). Between the 1950s and 1989, approximately 10,700 ha of marsh in this estuary were converted to open water (White et al. 1993). Galveston Island lost 32% of its estuarine marsh from the 1950s to 2002 (White et al. 2004). Since the 1990s, numerous projects have been implemented to return some of these open-water areas to marsh (Rozas et al. 2005), but these efforts have restored only a fraction of what was lost.

Galveston Bay has also been the focus of numerous studies that examined habitat use patterns by fishery species and other natant organisms (see Minello 1999 and references listed therein). One of these investigations was a multi-year sampling program initiated by
the NOAA Fisheries Service Galveston Laboratory in 1982 to monitor nekton densities in shallow estuarine habitats of Galveston Island State Park (GISP). The first year of this study was described in Zimmerman et al. (1984) and Zimmerman & Minello (1984). The long-term database generated from this sampling program provided an opportunity to examine population patterns and look for potential relationships between changes in wetland area and population trends in the system.

The overall objective of our study was to examine long-term patterns of nekton habitat use, habitat change, and trends in fishery populations. We analyzed data collected over an 11 yr period (1982 to 1992) from Carancahua Cove in the western portion of the GISP to describe the composition and seasonal abundance of nekton (fishes and decapod crustaceans) in nearshore habitat and to compare densities of dominant species of nekton (as a measure of habitat quality) between emergent marsh vegetation and shallow nonvegetated bottom (SNB). We also quantified the change in salt marsh area in Carancahua Cove from aerial images taken between 1982 and 2005, determined the extent of seagrass cover across the study area in 2005, and documented changes in habitat and modeled populations of selected fishery species from 1982 to 2005.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area.** Our study area was located within Carancahua Cove in the GISP (29°12'N, 94°58'W) on the upper Texas coast along the margin of West Bay, an arm of the Galveston Bay estuary (Fig. 1). Intertidal vegetation in the study area was primarily *Spartina alterniflora* Loisel. The West Bay system is microtidal. Tides within the study area are predominantly diurnal, and the mean tidal range is approximately 0.3 m (Orlando et al. 1991).

**Nekton sampling.** Nekton was quantitatively sampled with a drop sampler using the procedure described by Zimmerman et al. (1984). We chose a drop sampler for this study because the catch efficiency of this enclosure device does not appear to vary substantially with habitat characteristics typical of shallow estuarine areas, and, unlike many other gear, it is effective in dense emergent vegetation (Rozas & Minello 1997). We employed a 1.8 m diameter cylinder that was dropped from a boom attached to a shallow-draft boat. The cylinder was positioned over a sample site, released from the boom, and it rapidly entrapped organisms within a 2.6 m² sample area.

We collected a total of 992 pairs of samples between 1982 and 1992 within 2 distinct habitat types, *Spartina alterniflora* edge (marsh 1 to 2 m from shoreline) and the adjacent SNB. Sample sites were selected haphazardly near the marsh shoreline, and sites within a pair were located as close to each other as practically possible. We collected samples only when both habitat types were inundated and available to aquatic organisms. Each year, we planned to collect 8 samples per habitat type each month, but, in some years, low water levels (controlled by weather conditions) precluded the collection of samples during some months. We sampled each habitat type between 76 (in 1982) and 96 (in 1986 to 1988, 1990 to 1992) times annually over this 11 yr period. The mean monthly sample size (number of samples) for each habitat type ranged between 4 (January) and 12 (April). We collected all samples during the day, and sample sites were all <1 m deep.

We measured environmental variables (water temperature, dissolved oxygen, salinity, turbidity, and water depth) within the enclosed sample area before removing nekton (Zimmerman et al. 1984). Most nekton...
was then removed from the drop sampler using dip nets and by filtering the water pumped out of the enclosure through a 1 mm mesh net. When the sampler was completely drained, any animals remaining on the bottom were collected by hand. Samples were preserved in formalin with Rose Bengal stain and returned to the laboratory for processing.

In the laboratory, the samples were sorted, and animals were identified to the lowest feasible taxon level. Penaeid shrimps were measured in samples from 1982 to 1992 to the nearest millimeter in total length (TL); other crustaceans and fishes were measured (carapace width for crabs and TL for other taxa) from 1985 to 1992 and assigned to 10 mm (5 mm for blue crab) size categories.

We examined annual variability in abundance and recruitment to these shallow water habitats for selected species. We distinguished between 2 life stages (recent recruits and older juveniles) based on size. Size-frequency distribution curves were plotted using all the available size data for each species, and recent recruits were identified as equal to or smaller than the size at which these curves peaked and began to decline. Recruits were assumed to be the following sizes: blue crab ≤10 mm; brown shrimp and white shrimp ≤20 mm; Atlantic croaker, gulf menhaden, and red drum ≤26 mm; and spotted seatrout and spot ≤36 mm. Larger individuals were assumed to be older juveniles. The portion of the annual mean density assigned to each life stage for any given year was based on the proportion of recruits and older juveniles identified from the samples taken during that year.

GIS classification, habitat analysis, and fishery population projections. We calculated the areas of vegetation and water at different distances from the marsh edge for each of 7 yr (1982, 1985, 1987, 1990, 1992, 1995, and 2005) when aerial photography of the study area was available using ArcView 3.2a (ESRI) and a process similar to that described by Minello & Rozas (2002). Base maps for each year were constructed in a Geographic Information System (GIS) from aerial images (see Appendix 1; available as Supplementary Material online at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m344p119_app.pdf). The boundary for the study area was set to include all nekton sampling sites and the regularly flooded wetland areas and adjacent SNB in Carancahuia Cove that support fishery populations (Fig. 1). Although absent in earlier years, patches of seagrass were observed in the GISP in 1999 (King & Sheridan 2006). Most of the seagrass occurred in areas of the GISP east of Carancahuia Cove, but we documented small amounts of seagrass cover in Carancahuia Cove from the 2005 aerial image.

We generated habitat layers in vector format for each year. First, we digitized the areas of water, vegetation, and other land cover in each scene using an on-screen digitization procedure. We then converted from vector to raster format and classified the vegetation and water areas in each scene into different categories based on distance to the nearest marsh shoreline (e.g. 0 to 1, 1 to 2, … 24 to 25, and >25 m) using ArcView Spatial Analyst. We calculated the overall areal coverage of each distance-to-edge category within the water and marsh areas for each scene. Finally, for each year, we applied modeled densities for each distance-to-edge category using Microsoft Excel 2000 to estimate nekton populations for the entire study area.

This modeling approach (Rozas et al. 2005) predicts densities and population size within a marsh complex based on the mean density within marsh edge vegetation. We estimated fishery populations of brown shrimp, white shrimp, and blue crab using 2 different measurements of this mean density in the marsh edge. The first approach used 1 overall mean density for each species, and populations were estimated for the years 1982, 1985, 1987, 1990, 1992, 1995, and 2005. These mean densities were derived from a large dataset of values from throughout lower Galveston Bay (Rozas et al. 2005). Changes in these modeled populations were entirely dependent upon the amount of marsh vegetation and the spatial configuration of the vegetation within Carancahua Cove. The second modeling approach was restricted to 1982, 1985, 1987, 1990, and 1992 when both nekton density data and aerial imagery were available for the study area. In this analysis, population estimates were based on the GIS analysis and the mean density (ind. m⁻²) of nekton in marsh edge habitat for each particular year (1982, 1985, 1987, 1990, and 1992), respectively, as follows: brown shrimp = 24.8, 20.5, 7.7, 15.6, and 11.5; white shrimp = 27.0, 5.5, 5.8, 14.4, and 2.7; and blue crab = 7.8, 8.7, 7.8, 6.1, and 5.4. In both approaches, mean densities were determined using sample data from months when each species was abundant in the estuary (i.e. brown shrimp = April to September, white shrimp = June to November, blue crab = April to November).

Although our GIS analysis was useful for tracking marsh-water change in the study area, image source, scale, film type and processing, and image rectification may limit such analyses of aerial photography. Rectification presented the greatest challenge in our study because the open water portion of the study area lacked identifiable reference points. Terrestrial reference points could be established along roads and buildings, but we could not use the same terrestrial points on every image due to anthropological changes to the landscape over time and because the altitude of image acquisition differed among photographs. Given these limitations, we achieved only a first-order rectification of the images.
**Statistical analyses.** We used paired *t*-tests (StatView Version 4.5, Abacus Concepts) to examine differences in densities of abundant organisms and environmental characteristics (mean dissolved oxygen, salinity, water temperature, and turbidity) between habitat types. We considered alpha levels of 0.05 to be significant in all results, but we also assessed significance after adjusting alpha levels for *t*-test results using the sequential Bonferroni method described by Rice (1989), which buffers against error introduced by making multiple comparisons (i.e. testing a hypothesis for numerous species or dependent variables) with the same sample set. We present significance results in this manner because some readers may be interested in only 1 or 2 statistical tests (e.g. 1 species), and the unadjusted significance values are appropriate for such comparisons.

We computed summary statistics (mean, SE, range) on the size data for fishes and crustaceans separately. We estimated the size of each animal assigned to a 5 or 10 mm size category as one-half the size range to which it was assigned.

**RESULTS**

**Animal data**

We identified 60 species of fishes and 35 species of decapod crustaceans from 1984 samples taken over the 11 yr (1982 to 1992) study period (Table 1, see also Appendix 2, available at www.int-res.com/articles/supp/m344p119_app.pdf). Most animals could be identified to species, but 31 specimens were identified only to genus (i.e. *Eucidinostomus*, *Scorpaena*, *Callianassa*; Appendix 2). Grass shrimps collected from 1982 to 1985 were all assumed to be daggerblade grass shrimp *Palaemonetes pugio*, but these shrimps were identified to species after 1985. Over the next 7 yr (1986 to 1992), daggerblade grass shrimp, marsh grass shrimp *P. vulgaris*, and brackish grass shrimp *P. intermedius* accounted for 93.0, 4.2, and 2.8% respectively, of the grass shrimps in our samples.

Sampling effort was not evenly distributed over this 11 yr period. Fewer samples (152) were collected in...
1982 than in any subsequent year; sampling effort was nearly uniform (192 yr⁻¹, except only 176 in 1989) after 1985. Within any year, most samples were taken during March and November, and the fewest samples were collected in January and February (see Appendix 3, available at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m344p119_app.pdf).

Although less speciose than fishes, crustaceans were much more numerous (91% of all animals) in our samples (Table 1). The mean size of crustaceans in our samples was 24 mm (SE = 0.03), the range was 3 to 188 mm, and 96% of the specimens were <50 mm. Daggerblade grass shrimp, brown shrimp Farfantepenaeus aztecus, white shrimp Litopenaeus setiferus, blue crab Callinectes sapidus, marsh grass shrimp, brackish grass shrimp, and pink shrimp Farfantepenaeus duorarum accounted for 98% of all the crustaceans we collected (Table 1).

Fishes collected in our samples ranged in size from 3 to 696 mm TL (mean = 34 mm, SE = 0.22), and 98% of these animals were <100 mm TL. Abundant species represented 95% of all the fishes we collected (Table 1).

Monthly animal densities fluctuated substantially, and the timing of seasonal peaks in abundance varied among species (Fig. 2). Peak abundance for most species occurred in spring (March to May) or fall (September to November). Most species were least abundant in winter (December to February), although daggerblade grass shrimp and blue crab occurred at moderate densities during December (Fig. 2, Appendix 3).

Length of stay in the study area also varied among species (Fig. 2). Some species were abundant for only a short period. For example, pinfish Lagodon rhomboides were abundant in spring, but few individuals were taken after June (Fig. 2, Appendix 3). Few white shrimp were collected before June, and their numbers decreased dramatically after August (Fig. 2, Appendix 3). Other species exhibited an extended period of abundance in the study area. For example, brown shrimp was relatively abundant for 9 mo (March through November; Fig. 2, Appendix 3).

Annual variability in abundance differed greatly among species (Fig. 3). Coefficients of variation (CV) for Atlantic croaker Micropogonias undulatus, red drum Sciaenops ocellatus, gulf menhaden Brevoortia patronus, and white shrimp were 1.52, 1.09, 0.75, and 0.62, respectively. Annual variability was lower (CVs = 0.23 to 0.28) for spotted seatrout Cynoscion nebulosus, brown shrimp, and blue crab (Fig. 3). A portion of this year-to-year variability can be attributed to differences in annual recruitment of young into the study area. We compared changes in the annual density of small recruits over our 11 yr record as the basis for examining annual variability in recruitment for 8 species (Fig. 3). Annual variability in recruitment was highest for species such as Atlantic...
croaker (CV = 1.52) and gulf menhaden (CV = 1.01) and was relatively low (CVs = 0.34 to 0.36) for spotted seatrout, brown shrimp, and blue crab (Fig. 3). When we examined the relationship between the annual abundance of recruits and juveniles, there was a significant positive regression only for Atlantic croaker and red drum (p < 0.003).

Most of the numerically dominant species in our study apparently selected marsh sites over SNB (Table 1, Fig. 4). Mean densities of all abundant decapod crustaceans and 7 of the most abundant fishes (pinfish, naked goby, spotted seatrout, inland silverside, darter goby, gulf killifish, and striped mullet) in our study were significantly greater in marsh vegetation than over

Fig. 3. Variability in mean annual density of selected species segregated by size. Total height of the bars represents the mean density (m⁻²) of all individuals collected in both marsh edge and nonvegetated bottom habitats; proportions of the 2 size classes (recent recruits and older juveniles: black and gray bars, respectively) are shown, and the ratio between recruits and older juveniles is labeled over each column. Recruits were assumed to be the following sizes: blue crab ≤ 10 mm; brown shrimp and white shrimp ≤ 20 mm; Atlantic croaker, gulf menhaden, and red drum ≤ 26 mm; and spotted seatrout and spot ≤ 36 mm. Larger individuals were considered to be older juveniles. Numbers of samples for each year were: 1982 = 152, 1983 = 168, 1984 = 176, 1985 = 160, 1986 = 192, 1987 = 192, 1988 = 192, 1989 = 176, 1990 = 192, 1991 = 192, 1992 = 192.
SNB (Table 1). The strength of this selection for vegetation appeared to vary within the year. Brown shrimp, white shrimp, blue crab, and spotted seatrout selected marsh habitat most strongly from late spring through fall (Fig. 4). In contrast, mean densities of gulf menhaden, spot *Leiostomus xanthurus* and Atlantic croaker were significantly greater over SNB than at marsh sites.

**Environmental data**

Environmental conditions differed temporally and followed a natural seasonal pattern within the year (Fig. 5, see also Appendix 4, available at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m344p119_app.pdf). Mean water temperature was lowest in February (15.3°C) and highest in July (30.2°C). The pattern for salinity was similar; mean salinity was lowest in February (19.8 psu) and peaked in August (29.9 psu). Mean dissolved oxygen concentration was relatively high throughout the year, and, as expected, negatively related to water temperature; the highest mean value (9.5 mg l⁻¹) was observed in January and February and the lowest mean concentration (6.2 mg l⁻¹) occurred in August. Mean turbidity readings (Formazin Turbidity Unit, FTU) in the study area ranged from 10.0 (January) to 34.1 (August). Water depth was highest in May and September and lowest in January (Fig. 5).

---

Fig. 4. Distribution of mean monthly densities (ind. m⁻²) between habitat types (*Spartina alterniflora* marsh edge and shallow nonvegetated bottom [SNB]) for selected abundant species collected in the study area. Height of the black bar represents the mean density within marsh edge, and height of the gray segment represents the density in SNB; thus, the total height of the stacked bar represents the sum of the 2 mean densities. The ratio of densities between habitat types is shown over each column. Significant results of paired *t*-tests examining differences in densities between habitat types each month are depicted by an asterisk to indicate that the probability value was significant at the 5% level after alpha was adjusted for multiple testing, as described by Rice (1989); s: significance without this adjustment. Means were calculated from the following number of samples per habitat type: January = 32, February = 56, March = 104, April = 92, May = 96, June = 96, July = 80, August = 96, September = 84, October = 72, November = 104, December = 80
Although differences in means of most environmental variables between habitat types were small, differences for 3 variables were statistically significant (Fig. 5, Appendix 4). Means of water temperature (23.7 vs. 23.5°C) and dissolved oxygen concentration (7.8 vs. 7.6 mg l⁻¹) were higher and mean water depth (23 vs. 42 cm) was less at marsh than at SNB sites. The small differences in water temperature and dissolved oxygen concentration between habitat types are unlikely to be biologically significant. However, differences in water depth between habitat types were relatively large. In contrast to the other environmental variables we measured in this study, water depth, along with vegetation structure, did likely influence the nekton density patterns we observed. Means of salinity and turbidity were not significantly different between habitat types.

Changes in habitat and fishery populations

Based on our GIS analysis, a substantial part of the study area converted from wetlands to shallow open water during the course of this study (Table 2, Fig. 6). Emergent marsh decreased in the study area from approximately 47 ha in 1982 to 18 ha in 1995. The proportion of the study area classified as marsh edge (marsh located within 1 m of the shoreline) also decreased during this time from a high of 4.2% (8.7 ha) in 1985 to 1.0% (2.1 ha) in 1995 (Table 2). Between 1995 and 2005, the area of marsh increased to 30 ha and that of marsh edge to 6 ha (Table 2). The marsh terracing project initiated in 1999 had reversed the trend of continuous marsh loss since 1982.

Our models projected a concomitant decrease in the populations of penaeid shrimps and blue crab within the study area between 1982 and 1995 (Fig. 6). These modeled population estimates were based on composite mean densities in vegetated marsh edge (13.5 brown shrimp m⁻², 11.3 white shrimp m⁻², and 6.8 blue crabs m⁻²) for polyhaline areas of Galveston Bay, and do not take into account annual fluctuations in abundance. Population estimates decreased for brown shrimp from >4 million in 1982 and 1985 to <2.2 million in 1995 (46% reduction), for white shrimp from 3.7 million in 1982 to 1.5 million in 1995 (58% reduction), and for blue crab from >3 million in 1982 and 1985 to 2.1 million in 1995 (34% reduction). By the year 2005, in response to the increase in area of marsh and marsh edge from marsh terracing, our models based on composite mean densities showed that brown shrimp, white shrimp, and blue crab populations increased from 1995 levels to 3.4, 2.8, and 2.7 million, respectively. Model estimates derived from annual mean densities based on samples from our study area were much more variable from year to year, but these popu-
lation estimates also generally decreased between 1982 and 1992 (Fig. 6). In these projections, brown shrimp in the study area decreased from 7.4 to <2 million (74% reduction), white shrimp from almost 9 to <0.5 million (95% reduction), and blue crab from 3.5 to 1.7 million (52% reduction).

In 2005, approximately 2661 m² of the study area shown in Fig. 1 was occupied by seagrass. We did not include this habitat type in our population modeling because it has only recently been recorded as present in GISP, and seagrass beds cover a relatively small area of Carancahua Cove (King & Sheridan 2006).

**DISCUSSION**

Most of the species commonly collected in our study area showed a consistent pattern of habitat use. With the onset of tidal flooding, the young of most fishery species and many estuarine residents moved onto the marsh surface from nearby shallow subtidal areas. During low-water periods, these natant organisms (with the exception of some marsh residents) returned to subtidal areas until the next high-water event. Other studies have looked for such a pattern and reported similar results (Zimmerman & Minello 1984, Kneib & Wagner 1994, Minello et al. 1994, Kneib & Knowlton 1995, Rozas & Minello 1998, Minello 1999, Rozas & Zimmerman 2000). This association between nekton and marsh vegetation was strongest in late spring through fall, coinciding with seasonally high water levels in the estuary when the marsh surface would be most accessible for aquatic organisms (Zimmerman & Minello 1984).

We observed distinct seasonal patterns of abundance that were quite consistent from year
to year. Although the young of fishery species were present in the study area throughout the year, the abundance of most species peaked either in spring (brown shrimp, gulf menhaden, spot, striped mullet *Mugil cephalus*, southern flounder *Paralichthys lethostigma*) or fall (blue crab, spotted seatrout, pink shrimp, red drum). White shrimp and Atlantic croaker were exceptions. Juvenile white shrimp were most numerous in August, but also abundant in July and September, whereas young Atlantic croaker were most abundant in February. Similar seasonal patterns have been reported for other locations in the northern Gulf of Mexico (King 1971, Rogers & Herke 1985, Rakocinski et al. 1992, Livingston 1997, Akin et al. 2003). These patterns are consistent with what is known about the spawning seasons of these species in the northern Gulf of Mexico and the seasonal abundance of their young (larvae and post-larvae) in gulf waters and estuarine passes (Baxter & Renfro 1967, King 1971, Ditty 1986, Ditty et al. 1988, Rabalais et al. 1995). As some time is required for these young animals to reach estuarine nursery areas, their peak abundance in the estuary is delayed by up to several weeks from their peak occurrence in gulf waters. For example, larval gulf menhaden are most numerous from January to March in the northern Gulf of Mexico (Shaw et al. 1985, Ditty 1986), while small juveniles are most abundant in lower Galveston Bay during April. Atlantic croaker and spot larvae are most abundant in gulf waters from October to January and December and January, respectively (Ditty et al. 1988); small juveniles of these 2 species reach peak abundance in lower Galveston Bay during February and March, respectively.

Annual variability in the abundance of estuarine populations is generally high (Rothschild 1986, Fogarty et al. 1991, Livingston 1997, Rose 2000), and this characteristic was typified by several taxa in our study. Four species (gulf menhaden, Atlantic croaker, red drum, and white shrimp) in our study showed at least a 20-fold difference between their highest and lowest mean annual densities. For red drum, this variability may be partially an artifact of our sampling frequency and a relatively narrow recruitment period for this species (Stunz et al. 2002), but most other species examined had extended recruitment periods throughout the year (Fig. 4). The variability in year-to-year densities in our study was higher than that reported by Allen & Barker (1990) for larval fishes sampled over a 3 yr period in a South Carolina estuary. In their study, winter-spawned taxa had the highest annual variability, and Allen & Barker (1990) attributed this high variability to annual fluctuations in freshwater inflow and salinity in the estuary. Atlantic croaker and gulf menhaden, which had the highest annual variability in our study, also spawn in winter, and Atlantic croaker was abundant during years (1990 to 1992) with relatively low mean salinities in the months (February and March) when this species reached peak abundance. Gulf menhaden, in contrast, was most abundant in 1982/1983 and 1988, and we did not observe low mean monthly salinities during these years in April and May when menhaden recruitment peaked in the study area.

The abundance of the earliest life stages of transient species (organisms that spawn in the gulf and whose young use estuaries as nursery areas; Beck et al. 2001) is likely to be controlled more by factors outside the estuary than by local environmental conditions within estuarine nursery areas (Hurst et al. 2004). Local environmental conditions affect growth rates and survival of these organisms once they settle as new recruits within the estuary. For example, extended periods of low water would force small juveniles out of emergent vegetation and into nonvegetated subtidal areas where higher predation would increase mortality rates (Minello & Zimmerman 1991, Haas et al. 2004). The abundance of later-stage juveniles should reflect both recruitment strength and the impact of environmental conditions within estuarine nursery areas (Chesney et al. 2000, Rose 2000, Rooper et al. 2004). A strong positive relationship between recruit abundance and juvenile abundance would suggest that recruitment strength is more important than environmental conditions in determining juvenile abundance in the estuary; we only found such relationships for Atlantic croaker and red drum.

Subsurface fluid withdrawal has accelerated subsidence and caused extensive loss of tidal wetlands in the Galveston Bay system; wetland loss rates have been particularly high in nearshore areas along West Galveston Bay, where our study area was located (White & Tremblay 1995, White et al. 2004). At Virginia Point, located north and across West Bay from our study area, 1470 ha of salt marsh were converted to open water between 1952 and 1989 (White & Tremblay 1995). From the 1950s to 2002, 1552 ha of marsh were lost on Galveston Island (White et al. 2004). Based on our GIS analyses, the area of wetlands in our study area decreased by approximately 28.8 ha (a reduction of 61%) between 1982 and 1995. More importantly for many species, the area of shoreline marsh (marsh edge) was reduced by 5.0 ha (a reduction of 70%) over the same period. The area of available marsh edge is important because this habitat type supports high concentrations of penaeid shrimps and blue crab (Zimmerman et al. 1984, Minello et al. 1994, Peterson & Turner 1994, Cicchetti 1998, Howe et al. 1999, Minello & Rozas 2002).

Our models showed a concomitant decrease in fishery populations with this reduction in wetland area (and marsh edge). Both the models based on composite
mean densities and those using annual mean densities measured in Carancahua Cove showed trends of decreasing fishery populations between 1982 and 1992, but these trends were less consistent in the models that used annual mean densities. Annual variation in abundance of the species modeled (brown shrimp, white shrimp, blue crab) obscured population responses due to habitat change in the study area. These year-to-year fluctuations, so typical of fishery populations, often make detection of environmental effects difficult without a large sample size and a long time series of data (Rose 2000, Hurst et al. 2004). There did not appear to be any strong relationship between the amount of marsh remaining in the study area and annual densities observed or the strength of selection for vegetated marsh surfaces.

A recent restoration project that incorporated marsh terracing increased the area of intertidal marsh and marsh edge at the GISP (Rozas et al. 2005). The terracing project increased the marsh area in Carancahua Cove by approximately 65% according to our comparison of GIS data from the 1995 and 2005 images. More importantly, this comparison showed that marsh edge increased almost 3-fold between the 2 images. Not surprisingly, our models based on composite mean densities also indicated a rebound in populations in 2005 within the study area following marsh restoration. Population estimates (standing crops) of brown shrimp, white shrimp, and blue crab increased by 55, 83, and 30%, respectively, between 1995 and 2005 in response to the increase in marsh area and marsh edge that resulted from the restoration effort.

These population estimates are likely conservative, because seagrass habitat was not considered in our model analyses. Fishery habitat in the GISP was enhanced when seagrasses recently colonized the area (King & Sheridan 2006). *Halodule wrightii* Aschers. and *Halophila engelmanni* Aschers. were first observed in 1999, and seagrass cover has increased substantially in eastern areas of the GISP. In our Carancahua Cove study area, seagrass increased to >2500 m² by 2005. Although the area currently occupied by these seagrasses is relatively small, seagrass cover is expanding in and around the park, and this habitat type supports relatively high densities of fishery species (Thomas et al. 1990, Minello 1999, King & Sheridan 2006). These expanding seagrass beds, and ongoing marsh restoration efforts, should at least partially compensate for historical losses of tidal wetlands.
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