INTRODUCTION

Coral disease has emerged as a serious threat to coral reefs worldwide and is a major cause of reef deterioration (Rosenberg & Loya 2004, Weil et al. 2006). The numbers of diseases and coral species affected, as well as the geographic distribution of diseases, have all increased dramatically within the last decade (Sutherland et al. 2004, Weil 2004). Epizootics of coral disease have resulted in significant losses of coral cover in the Caribbean, and disease is now also emerging as a problem on reefs throughout the Indo-Pacific. For example, the Australian Institute of Marine Science Long Term Monitoring Program documented a 22- to 150-fold increase in White Syndrome over a 5 yr period in some areas of the Great Barrier Reef (Willis et al. 2004). Increased anthropogenic stress in near-shore environments and environmental conditions associated with global climate change have all been implicated as contributing to increased incidence of disease (Harvell et al. 1999, Barber et al. 2001), and the need to understand disease susceptibility in corals is increasingly important.

Coral disease results in the destruction of coral tissues by diseasecausing microbes, including yeasts, protozoa, and bacteria. The disease process is a consequence of the interaction of an environmental triggers, which stimulates the disease, and the pathogenic microbes, which are able to establish a disease state within the host (Weil et al. 2006). As such, understanding the mechanisms by which corals respond to disease is crucial to reducing disease impact and increasing coral reef resilience. There is consensus among coral reef scientists that understanding disease susceptibility in corals is increasingly important.

ABSTRACT: Diseases of marine organisms, and corals in particular, have been reported with increasing frequency over the past 3 decades. Although little is known about resistance to disease in corals, a potential mechanism of defense is the production of antimicrobial compounds that protect corals from microbial pathogens. We assessed the antibacterial activity of crude aqueous extracts from 3 common Hawaiian reef corals, *Montipora capitata, Porites lobata*, and *Pocillopora meandrina*, against 9 strains of bacteria. The bacteria selected included known coral pathogens, potential marine pathogens found in human waste, and bacteria previously identified from the surfaces of Hawaiian corals. Extracts from all 3 coral species exhibited high levels of antibacterial activity. Overall, 54.3% of assays resulted in bacterial growth inhibition. In addition, 9.9% of extracts stimulated the growth of certain bacteria. Although levels of overall antibacterial activity did not differ significantly among coral species (ranging from 40.7% of assays for *P. meandrina* to 63.0% of assays for *M. capitata*), the 3 species exhibited a high degree of selectivity as demonstrated by significant variability in their effects toward specific bacteria. Extracts also exhibited intraspecific variability, both within and between sites, and significant site-by-species interactions were observed against 6 of 9 bacterial strains. In *M. capitata*, healthy corals had significantly higher levels of antibacterial activity than those affected by *Montipora* White Syndrome, and affected tissues had significantly higher levels of antibacterial activity than unaffected tissues. Variability in antibacterial activity of Hawaiian corals may, in part, explain differential susceptibility to disease at the colony, species, or population level.
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organisms, and antimicrobial chemical defenses (Mullen et al. 2004, Sutherland et al. 2004, Ward et al. 2007). Differences in types and levels of defense vary among families, genera, and species and even at the level of the individual colony (Mullen et al. 2004, Gochfeld et al. 2006). These differences might enable particular populations, species, or genotypes to have an advantage over others in resisting invasion by pathogens. To date, a few studies have specifically examined mechanisms of defense against pathogens (e.g. Dube et al. 2002, Ellner et al. 2007, Mydlarz & Harvell 2007), yet elucidating mechanisms of disease resistance in corals is essential to our understanding of patterns of disease prevalence and virulence.

Antimicrobial chemical defenses are well documented in marine invertebrates. Extracts from many Caribbean gorgonian species have antimicrobial activity (Jensen et al. 1996), and several inhibit spore germination of Aspergillus sydowii, the fungal pathogen that causes aspergillosis in sea fans (Kim et al. 2000a,b). Differences in levels of antifungal chemical defenses among sea fans have been linked to species-specific susceptibility to aspergillosis (Mullen et al. 2004). Scleractinian corals have also been found to produce chemical defenses, although these are less well studied than those in soft-bodied invertebrates. Gochfeld (1997) demonstrated chemical defenses against predatory fish in 2 species of Hawaiian corals, and in one of the earliest studies on coral chemical defenses, Gunthorpe & Cameron (1990) documented antibacterial activity in 37 of 55 species of Australian corals. Likewise, Koh (1997) found that extracts from 100 Australian coral species inhibited growth of a marine cyanobacterium, and extracts from 8 species inhibited growth of marine bacteria. Caribbean corals also exhibit selective antibacterial activity against ecologically relevant marine bacteria (Gochfeld et al. 2006). In addition to whole-tissue extracts, the mucus layer and its associated microbial flora also possess antibacterial activity and may act as the first line of defense against invading pathogens (Ritchie 2006). These and other studies have demonstrated that antimicrobial activity varies at the colony, species, and population levels, and exhibits a high degree of selectivity for specific microorganisms.

In Hawaii, 17 coral diseases have been reported from the 4 major genera of reef-building corals (Porites, Montipora, Pocillopora, Acropora; Friedlander et al. 2005, Aeby 2006). Differences in type, occurrence, and prevalence of diseases exist between islands within the archipelago, sites within islands, and among coral genera (Friedlander et al. 2005, Aeby 2006). For example, in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands, 4 diseases were described from Porites, compared to only 1 disease in Pocillopora (Aeby 2006). As seen elsewhere in the world (Gochfeld et al. 2006), differential susceptibility within species is also observed on Hawaiian reefs, with infected colonies adjacent to apparently healthy neighbors. Differences in levels of defenses within and between coral species and colonies may help explain patterns of disease occurrence on reefs. Here we examined chemical resistance as a potential mechanism of immune function for corals against pathogenic bacterial infections. We investigated 3 common scleractinian coral species (Montipora capitata, Porites lobata, Pocillopora meandrina) found on the reefs of Oahu, Hawaii, USA, to determine whether they exhibited antibacterial activity, and characterized differences in activity among species and between populations within a species. In addition, we used Montipora White Syndrome (MWS), an emerging infectious disease affecting M. capitata in Kaneohe Bay, Oahu, as a model system, to compare antibacterial activity between diseased and healthy coral colonies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Coral species and study sites.** Small fragments from healthy colonies of Montipora capitata, Porites lobata, and Pocillopora meandrina were sampled from each of 3 sites on Oahu: Kaneohe Bay (21° 27.25’ N, 157° 46.551’ W), Waianae (21° 26.76’ N, 158° 11.953’ W), and Maile Beach (21° 24.91’ N, 158° 11.050’ W). Individual branches or small pieces (ca. 5 × 2 cm) were collected by divers using SCUBA and maintained in seawater until return to the laboratory where they were immediately frozen. Frozen samples were subsequently transported to the University of Mississippi for processing.

**Preparation of extracts.** Samples were placed in covered beakers and extracted in Millipore® water, which was replaced daily for 3 d. Aqueous extracts were used because previous work on Hawaiian coral chemical defenses found that polar extracts had higher levels of ecological activity than nonpolar extracts (Gochfeld 1997). Extracts were filtered, lyophilized, and weighed.

We tested extracts at concentrations approximating those naturally found in the coral tissues. To determine the volumetric concentrations of extracts, the surface area of each piece of coral was calculated using the wax technique (Gochfeld 1991). Tissue volume was determined by multiplying surface area by tissue depth measured from replicate decalcified pieces of each coral species. Montipora capitata did not lend itself to the wax method since the wax absorbed into its perforate skeleton. Instead, digital photographs of the M. capitata pieces were imported into ImagePro® software and their surface areas calculated. This was mul-
Aqueous extracts were dissolved in Millipore® water. Twenty-four hour bacterial cultures in exponential growth were diluted to optical density (OD) 600, and 100 μl were added to each well. We added 10 μl of extract or of a 1 mg ml⁻¹ ciprofloxacin solution to the experimental or control wells, respectively. Wells were mixed by carefully pipetting their contents, and an initial reading (time 0) of OD was made on a BioTek Synergy™ HT Multi-Detection Microplate Reader. Plates were then covered with foil and incubated on a shaker for 5 (Klebsiella pneumoniae, Serratia marcescens, Yersinia enterocolitica), 7 (Clostridium perfringens), or 24 h (Aurantimonas coralica, Pseudomonas nautica, Vibrio agarivorans, V. coralliilyticus, V. shiloi), depending upon the growth rate of each bacterial strain. For 5 h assays, readings were made every hour; for 7 and 24 h assays, an initial and final reading were made. Prior to each reading, wells were mixed again to resuspend any settled material. Clostridium perfringens is an anaerobe, and these assays were incubated in GasPak™ EZ chambers (Becton-Dickinson) prior to reading.

**Cytotoxicity assay:** Following the assays, growth curves were graphed (Fig. 1), and samples for which the slope of the growth curve was lower than that for the bacteria-only control were plated onto the relevant solid media. After 24 h of incubation, the plate was visually examined to determine whether the bacteria had grown (indicating a cytostatic effect of the extract in the growth inhibition assay) or not (indicating a potential cytotoxic effect in the growth inhibition assay).

**Comparison between healthy and diseased con specifics.** To assess whether coral condition is related to antibacterial activity, small fragments of Montipora caputata from healthy and affected tissues on colonies exhibiting signs of MWS, along with pieces from an apparently healthy neighboring colony (control; n = 5) were collected from a single reef in Kaneohe Bay. MWS manifests as exposed white skeleton adjacent to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial strain</th>
<th>Known coral pathogen</th>
<th>Potential marine pathogen from human waste</th>
<th>Hawaiian coral associate</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aurantimonas coralica</td>
<td>White Plague type II</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Porites lobata</td>
<td>Denner et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium perfringens</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Porites lobata</td>
<td>Lipp et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lewis (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas nautica</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lewis (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serratia marcescens</td>
<td>White Pox</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Patterson et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vibrio agarivorans</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lewis (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vibrio coralliilyticus</em></td>
<td>Coral Tissue Lysis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ben-Haim et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vibrio shiloi</em></td>
<td>Coral Bleaching</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Montipora capitata</td>
<td>Weagant &amp; Kaysner (1983),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Yersinia enterocolitica</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lewis (2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bioassays.** Most previous studies of this nature (e.g. Gunthorpe & Cameron 1990, Jensen et al. 1996, Koh 1997, Kelly et al. 2005, Kelman et al. 2006) have used the disc-diffusion assay. However, the disc-diffusion assay requires unnecessarily large quantities of extract. Instead, we employed an assay that measured inhibition of bacterial growth in smaller format (96 well plates), requiring collection of smaller pieces of coral. Following the growth assay, bacteria from wells containing inhibitory extracts were then tested in an assay to assess whether the activity was cytostatic (growth inhibition) or cytotoxic (cell mortality).

**Growth inhibition assay:** Assays were run in triplicate in 96 well plates. Plates contained 3 wells of bacteria + each extract (n = 5 replicate corals of 3 species at each of 3 sites), along with the following controls: bacteria only, bacteria + ciprofloxacin, media only, and extract solution to control for the coloration of extracts. Extracts were re-suspended to these concentrations in Millipore® water for use in bacterial growth assays.

**Pathogens.** To date, specific bacterial pathogens responsible for Hawaiian coral diseases have not been identified. Thus, the 9 strains used for our antibacterial assays were selected as model systems to represent a range of potential bacterial pathogens from the marine environment, including known coral pathogens, human enteric bacteria that have the potential to enter near-shore waters and can survive in the marine environment, and bacteria related to those that have been isolated from the surfaces of Hawaiian corals (Table 1). Bacterial stocks were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and the Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen (DSMZ, German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures) and cultured in media and conditions as specified.
healthy tissue, with the recently dead area of the colony rapidly colonized by algae. The samples of affected tissues were collected to encompass the exposed leading edge and an equivalent amount of adjacent healthy tissue. Healthy samples from affected colonies were collected at the opposite side of the colony from the affected region, typically 0.5 to 1 m away. Extractions were performed, and extracts were tested against all bacteria except *Clostridium perfringens* in bacterial growth assays, as described above.

**Data analysis.** To compare the growth curves from assays performed on different plates and at different times, we controlled for natural bacterial growth in each assay by subtracting the mean optical density of the 3 control wells (media + bacteria) from the optical density of each well containing an extract in that assay. In addition, the mean optical density of the 2 wells containing each extract solution was also subtracted from those wells to control for the dark color of the extracts. In all assays, the ciprofloxacin control had a significant inhibitory effect on bacterial growth (Fig. 1), but these data were not used in any further analyses.

To determine whether the extracts from each species-site combination exhibited significant activity against the 9 bacterial strains, the mean slope of the triplicate growth curves for each extract against each bacterial strain was calculated, and *t*-tests were used to determine whether these mean slopes differed significantly from 0. To compare levels of antibacterial activity among coral species and sites, 2-way analyses of variance (ANOVA)s were performed on the mean slopes, with site and species as fixed factors, followed by Tukey’s pair-wise comparisons on site and species.

To determine whether colonies of *Montipora capitata* affected by MWS (‘diseased’) have different levels of antibacterial activity than healthy neighboring colonies, we compared the mean slopes of the bacterial growth curves for extracts from healthy tissues on the diseased corals to those from healthy neighboring colonies (controls) using paired *t*-tests. Paired *t*-tests were also used to compare the activity of extracts from the affected tissues on the diseased colonies of *M. capitata* to healthy tissues from the same colonies.

**RESULTS**

Representative examples of growth curves from the bacterial growth assays are shown in Fig. 1. Overall, out of 81 assays (3 coral species from 3 sites tested against 9 bacterial strains), 8 (9.9%) exhibited significant stimulatory and 44 (54.3%) exhibited significant inhibitory activity. Antibacterial activity was observed in 63.0, 59.3 and 40.7% of assays using *Montipora capitata*, *Porites lobata*, and *Pocillopora meandrina* extracts, respectively.

Fig. 2 plots the slopes of the growth curves for each coral species-site combination against each bacterial strain. Although all 3 coral species exhibited antibacte-
Fig. 2. Slopes of the bacterial growth curves (mean ± SE, n = 3 to 5 corals) for 9 bacterial strains exposed to extracts from *Montipora capitata* (hatched bars), *Porites lobata* (white bars), and *Pocillopora meandrina* (black bars) from 3 sites on Oahu (1, Waianae; 2, Maile Beach; 3, Kaneohe Bay). Greater negative values indicate more inhibitory extracts. *Slope is significantly different from 0 at p < 0.05 (t-tests). Note that each graph has a different scale.

Antibacterial activity, they exhibited a high degree of selectivity against different bacterial strains (Fig. 2). For example, extracts from all 3 coral species inhibited growth of Aurantimonas coralicida, Clostridium perfringens, Serratia marcescens, Vibrio agarivorans, V. coralliilyticus, and Yersinia enterocolitica. However, Montipora capitata extracts had significant inhibitory activity against Klebsiella pneumoniae, whereas Porites lobata extracts had no effect and Pocillopora meandrina extracts had a significant stimulatory effect.

Antibacterial activity varied significantly by coral species and site for certain bacterial strains (Fig. 2). The strains of bacteria affected by each coral species differed significantly (2-way ANOVA, p < 0.05) with the exception of Pseudomonas nautica and Vibrio coralliilyticus. All 3 coral species stimulated the growth of P. nautica and inhibited the growth of V. coralliilyticus. There were also significant differences in antibacterial activity among sites for some of the bacterial strains (Clostridium perfringens, V. agarivorans, and Yersinia enterocolitica; 2-way ANOVA, p < 0.05). Significant species × site interactions (2-way ANOVA, p < 0.05) were observed against all bacteria except C. perfringens, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and V. agarivorans. Pair-wise comparisons indicated that Montipora capitata extracts were more inhibitory than those of the other 2 coral species, and that extracts from Kaneohe Bay were less inhibitory than those from the other 2 sites. Intraspecific (among colony) variability for each species-site combination can be seen in the relatively large error bars in some of the assays (Fig. 2), but this was not analyzed statistically.

Almost all of the inhibitory extracts exhibited cytostatic activity. Only 5 extracts resulted in bacteria not growing when plated onto solid media, including 3 from Pocillopora meandrina, 1 from Porites lobata, and 1 from Montipora capitata.

Extracts from healthy (control) colonies of Montipora capitata exhibited significantly greater antibacterial activity against Yersinia enterocolitica than did healthy tissues on diseased colonies affected by MWS (Fig. 3; paired t-test, p = 0.04), and there was a trend toward greater activity in the control colonies against Vibrio shiloi (p = 0.07). Extracts from diseased tissues on affected colonies exhibited significantly higher levels of antibacterial activity against Aurantimonas coralicida than did healthy tissues from the same colonies (Fig. 3; paired t-test, p = 0.003), and there was a trend toward higher activity against Y. enterocolitica in the diseased tissues as well (p = 0.09).

DISCUSSION

The presence of antimicrobial chemical defenses has been proposed as a potential mechanism of disease resistance in scleractinian corals (Mullen et al. 2004, Sutherland et al. 2004), but few studies have tested this. In the present study, we found that 3 of the dominant coral species on Hawaiian reefs produce antibacterial chemical defenses against a variety of bacterial strains. The observed antibacterial activity varied at the coral colony, population, and species levels, and the activity was highly selective against the different bacterial strains, rather than broad-spectrum in nature. Thus, differences in levels or types of antibacterial chemical defenses may represent a mechanism by which variability in resistance or susceptibility to
pathogens might be realized and may help explain patterns of disease prevalence observed in the field.

Overall, 54.3% of extracts inhibited bacterial growth. There were no significant differences in overall levels of antibacterial activity among the 3 coral species (40.7% to 63.0% of assays; Fig. 2); however, *Pocillopora meandrina* extracts were less active than the other 2 species, inhibiting growth significantly in only 11 out of 27 assays as compared to 16 or 17 out of 27 assays for *Montipora capitata* and *Porites lobata*, respectively. This was surprising, as *Pocillopora* exhibits lower levels of disease than other coral genera in Hawaii. In the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands, Aeby (2006) found that *Pocillopora* had the lowest frequency of disease occurrence (1.4% of sites surveyed) and the lowest overall disease prevalence (0.016% of colonies surveyed). It may be that *Pocillopora* uses other defensive mechanisms against disease, and/or its antibacterial defenses may be more selective toward pathogenic bacteria specifically affecting Hawaiian corals.

Interspecific differences in antibacterial activity were evident in their effects on different bacterial strains. Selectivity is a hallmark of antimicrobial activity in corals (Gunthorpe & Cameron 1990, Marquis et al. 2005, Gochfeld et al. 2006). This selectivity is important, as it would not be adaptive to inhibit the growth of all bacteria since corals do possess a naturally associated microbial community, which is believed to play a role in the healthy functioning of the coral holobiont (Ritchie 2006). Instead, selection to inhibit only those organisms that might be detrimental to coral health would be favored. Consistent with this, we found that several of the extracts stimulated bacterial growth. For example, all extracts stimulated the growth of *Pseudomonas nautica* to some degree, and all extracts from *Porites lobata* and *Pocillopora meandrina* stimulated the growth of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, whereas *Montipora capitata* extracts were inhibitory (Fig. 2D,C). *P. nautica* and *Klebsiella* sp. were have isolated from the surfaces of *P. lobata* in Hawaii (Lewis 2005), and although their functions in Hawaiian corals are unknown, they could play a role in the health of the coral holobiont. These findings are consistent with the fact that the normal microbial community found on corals is species-specific (Rohwer et al. 2001, 2002). One proposed role of coral-associated bacteria is their own production of antimicrobial compounds that may protect the coral from pathogens (Ritchie 2006). These natural microbial communities preferentially use carbon sources in the mucus for their own metabolism (Ritchie & Smith 1995). Coral extracts are composed of a diversity of complex organic metabolites that may also serve as highly nutritional carbon sources for microorganisms and may be another mechanism by which corals acquire and maintain their naturally associated microbial communities.

Population-level (site-specific) variability in antibacterial activity was also apparent in these coral species. Sites did not exhibit significant differences in levels of overall antibacterial activity (48.1 to 55.6% of assays; Fig. 2); however, when compared at the species level, site-specific variability was observed. For example, *Pocillopora meandrina* exhibited the greatest variability, with Kaneohe Bay extracts exhibiting significant activity against only 2 bacteria, as compared to 4 or 5 at the other 2 sites. *Montipora capitata* extracts from Kaneohe Bay had significant inhibitory or stimulatory activity against all bacteria tested, while those from the other 2 sites were less active. Extracts from *Porites lobata* from each site significantly inhibited 5 or 6 bacterial strains, but there were site-specific differences against certain bacteria. Differences in levels of antibacterial defense in corals from different sites might offer some explanation of the variable disease levels found among sites in Hawaii. Site-specific variability in chemical defenses may result from a variety of abiotic and biotic factors, such as pathogens (Slattery 1999, Kim et al. 2000b, Dube et al. 2002, Gochfeld et al. 2006), but may also include light (Cronin & Hay 1996, Slattery & Paul 2008), desiccation (Cronin & Hay 1996), temperature (Michalek-Wagner & Bowden 2000), predation pressure (Swearingen & Pawlik 1998, Slattery & Paul 2008), and competition (Turon et al. 1996). This variation may also result from differences in coral-associated microbial communities, which may be related to local environmental factors and to coral stress (Ritchie & Smith 1995). Colony-level variability in activity was not tested statistically, but was apparent in the relatively large error bars in many of the assays (Fig. 2). Although population-level variability in antibacterial activity of corals has not been examined previously, intercolony variation has been previously recognized (Gunthorpe & Cameron 1990). Clearly, these patterns warrant further investigation.

Extracts from all 3 coral species were inhibitory to the coral pathogens. The only exception to this was *Vibrio shiloi*, for which *Montipora capitata* from Kaneohe Bay and *Pocillopora meandrina* from Maille Beach stimulated growth and the other extracts had no significant effects (Fig. 2H). Of the pathogens used in this study, only *V. corallilyticus* is known to affect Indo-Pacific corals, causing coral tissue lysis in *P. damicornis* from the Red Sea (Ben-Haim et al. 2003). Nonetheless, *P. meandrina* extracts from 2 of the 3 sites exhibited significant inhibitory effects on the growth of this pathogen (Fig. 2G).

The highest levels of inhibitory activity were against the human enteric bacteria *Clostridium perfringens*, *Serratia marcescens*, and *Yersinia enterocolitica* (Fig. 2B, E, I). These organisms can survive in the ocean, have been isolated from the surfaces of corals, and should
Montipora capitata at the other 2 sites. Although one might expect that these bacteria most likely enter the marine environment via sewage (Patterson et al. 2002), and protection from these potential pathogens may be particularly important in near-shore habitats that might be susceptible to leaching or spills. Since coral diseases have been reported more frequently from reefs with higher levels of human activities (Green & Bruckner 2000, Kaczmarsky et al. 2005, but see Gochfeld et al. 2006), these fecal pathogens may represent risk factors associated with coral disease. Lipp et al. (2002) found C. perfringens and other enteric bacteria within the surface mucus layers of several species of corals in the Florida Keys. C. perfringens has been used as an indicator of sewage pollution in Hawaiian waters (Fujjoka 2001), and its nature as a facultative anaerobe suggests that it may be able to survive even in marine sediments. S. marcescens is believed to be the etiologic agent for White Pox, a disease that causes considerable mortality in the Caribbean coral Acropora palmata (Patterson et al. 2002). Hawaiian corals are exposed to some of these enteric bacterial strains in situ, as Yersinia sp. was isolated from the surfaces of corals in Kanehoe Bay (Lewis 2005). Of the 3 sites sampled in this study, Kanehoe Bay has a long history of sewage pollution, including chronic historical inputs (Hunter & Evans 1995) and more recent episodic overflow events. Thus, corals in Kanehoe Bay are more likely to have been exposed to human enteric bacteria than are those at the other 2 sites. Although one might expect that the corals in Kanehoe Bay might therefore be more resistant to those bacteria, this appears to be the case only for Montipora capitata. Overall levels of disease in Kanehoe Bay are comparable to those at other sites in Hawaii, although MWS is more prevalent in Kanehoe Bay than elsewhere (G. Aeby pers. obs.); however, the cause of this disease is not yet known. Both Porites lobata and Pocillopora meandrina from Kanehoe Bay exhibited reduced levels of antibacterial activity overall. Montipora capitata is a common coral on the fringing and patch reefs of south Kanehoe Bay and so is directly exposed to terrestrial run-off and sewage spills. P. lobata and P. meandrina, however, are found farther from shore in Kanehoe Bay where they are less exposed to these bacteria and may therefore not have developed defenses against them.

Antibacterial activity also varies by coral condition. When healthy tissues on colonies of Montipora capitata affected by MWS were compared to those from their nearest healthy neighbors (controls), control extracts exhibited significantly greater antibacterial activity against Yersinia enterocolitica, and a similar pattern was seen against 6 other bacterial strains (Fig. 3). Higher levels of antibacterial activity in the control corals may protect them from pathogens and could play a role in keeping these colonies disease-free on a reef with infected neighbors. Within colonies affected by MWS, diseased tissues had significantly greater antibacterial activity against Aurantimonas coralicida, with a similar pattern against 5 other bacterial strains (Fig. 3). Higher levels of antibacterial activity in extracts from diseased tissues could result from inducible defenses (e.g. Karban & Baldwin 1997) in those tissues in response to pathogen challenge. There is evidence that secondary chemistry changes with colony condition. For example, Dube et al. (2002) and Ward et al. (2007) found induced increases in antifungal activity following inoculation of sea fans with the fungal pathogen Aspergillus sydowii, and Kim et al. (2000b) found elevated levels of antifungal activity in the vicinity of the lesion itself. Alternatively, higher levels of defense in diseased tissues may result from the presence of metabolites produced by the pathogen or other opportunistic microorganisms occupying the diseased tissues. Numerous studies have demonstrated differences in coral-associated microbial communities between healthy and diseased colonies (Ritchie & Smith 1995, Frias-Lopez et al. 2002, Rohwer et al. 2002). It is not yet clear whether this results when the naturally associated microbial community is compromised (e.g. due to environmental stressors) allowing a pathogen to invade, whether some component of the natural microbial community itself becomes pathogenic, or whether host resistance barriers are penetrated.

Antibacterial chemical defenses are a potentially important mechanism of disease resistance in corals. These defenses appear to be widespread across coral species, but they often act selectively against specific bacterial pathogens (this study). Because of this selectivity, antibacterial activity of a specific extract will depend upon the pathogen being tested. Thus, in order to develop a better understanding of the relationship between chemical defenses and disease, we need to be able to test pathogens relevant to a coral at a particular site. We used a best estimate of ecologically relevant concentrations of extracts in our assays; however, the concentrations used were based on the assumption that chemical constituents in the extracts were produced by the coral itself and that their concentrations were distributed evenly throughout the coral tissue. Although we recognize the limitation of this assumption, chemical ecologists have traditionally used whole-tissue extracts as a starting point until more detailed knowledge of compound localization within the organism becomes available. In addition, lipophilic extracts not tested in the present study may also exhibit antibacterial activity, and represent a future direction in broadening our understanding of coral chemical defenses. Once pathogens associated with disease in Hawaiian corals have been identified, and assuming they can be cultured, assays utilizing those antibiotics.
bacteria will enable us to identify species, populations, and even colonies exhibiting high levels of antimicrobial activity against those pathogens for use in breeding or transplant programs to aid in reef restoration.
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