Atlantic cod and snow crab predator–prey size relationship in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, Canada
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ABSTRACT: Atlantic cod Gadus morhua stomach contents (n = 30 973, including 28 377 non-empty stomachs) and morphometric measurements on live snow crab Chionoecetes opilio and cod were examined to assess the predator–prey relationship between these 2 species. The most common snow crab instars found in cod stomachs were III and V (~6 to 8 and ~12 to 16 mm carapace width [CW], respectively) in the northern Gulf of St. Lawrence (GSL) and VI and VII (~17 to 23 and ~23 to 31 mm CW, respectively) in the southern GSL. A significant positive relationship was found between cod length and the largest and smallest CW of snow crab ingested by cod. Positive relationships were also found between gape width and body length in cod and between 3 measures of size (maximum span, width at rest, length at rest) and CW in snow crab. Snow crab length at rest was closely related to cod gape width, suggesting that the largest snow crab ingested by cod must be attacked from the side. There appears to be a plateau at 65.1 mm in the relationship between maximum snow crab CW and cod length, caused by the absence of large (adolescent and adult) male snow crab in cod stomachs. Other studies have found recently moulted, soft-shell snow crabs in cod stomachs, but this appears to be rare. Thus, snow crabs are susceptible to predation by cod mostly for the first 4 yr of post-settlement in the GSL. Any effect of cod predation on the snow crab fishery would be felt 6 to 11 yr later, given growth models established for the GSL.
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INTRODUCTION

Vast ecologically and economically important expanses of the shelves of the North Atlantic and North Pacific have undergone major changes in ecosystem structure in recent decades (Benson & Trites 2002, Beamish et al. 2004, Choi et al. 2005, Savenkoff et al. 2007a,b). One conspicuous feature of these changes was a switch between groundfish and large decapod crustaceans as dominant species in bottom communities. Notably, the abundance of the commercially exploited snow crab Chionoecetes opilio may have varied inversely to that of cod in both oceans (Orensanz et al. 2004, Frank et al. 2005). The relative roles of top-down and bottom-up controls in operating these ecosystem shifts are still being debated (Zheng & Kruse 2006, Greene & Pershing 2007). Resolution of this issue requires knowledge of species biology and interactions, including predatory–prey relationships.

Snow crab populations and fisheries are characterized by large interannual variation in numbers and landings (Conan et al. 1996, Caddy et al. 2005, Zheng & Kruse 2006). This variation may be the result of density-dependent mechanisms such as variability in egg production and fertilization success and/or cannibalism of older on younger cohorts (Conan et al. 1996, Sainte-Marie et al. 1996, 2002, Caddy et al. 2005). However, other mechanisms may also be involved. For
instance, snow crabs are stenothermic and are found in
greatest numbers at bottom-water temperatures be-
 tween −1 and 4°C (Slizkin 1982, Squires 1990). There-
fore, changes in water temperature are likely to result in
changes in crab abundance and habitat range (Tremblay
2004). Interactions between climate and physical
oceanography can also influence food supply as well as larval production, distribution and survival (Rosenkranz et al. 2001, Zheng & Kruse 2006).

Many authors have proposed that predation can also influence distribution and abundance of snow crab. It has been hypothesized that cod ( Gadus morhua in the
Atlantic, G. macrocephalus in the Pacific) can regulate
snow crab populations (Bailey 1982, Tremblay 1997,
Orensanz et al. 2004). Two studies suggest the increase
in the biomass and geographic range of snow crab
observed in the 1990s in eastern Canada was due to the
collapse of Atlantic cod stocks, which was
 presumed to result in a major reduction in total predation
mortality for the snow crab populations (Worm & Myers
2003, Frank et al. 2005). However, studies based
solely on correlations are insufficient to demonstrate
top-down control (Hanson & Lanteigne 2000, Davis et
al. 2004). The effect of predation by cod on snow crab
population dynamics depends on several factors,
including the relative abundance of predators and
prey, the degree of spatial and temporal overlap be-
tween both species, the importance of the prey in the
predator's diet, and the relationship between predator
size and prey size. Few of these factors have been dealt
with in studies invoking top-down effects between cod
and snow crab abundance.

Both species of cod can grow up to well over 1 m in
length (Scott & Scott 1988, Kimura et al. 1993, Bigelow &
Schroeder 2002, Roberson et al. 2005). Cod diet is
strongly influenced by body size: small cod eat a large
proportion of small invertebrates, whereas large cod
eat larger prey and are more piscivorous (Lilly 1991,
Hanson & Chouinard 2002, Link & Garrison 2002).
There is a large potential for interactions between cod
and snow crab on the basis of size. In eastern Canada,
snow crabs settle on the bottom at about 3 mm cara-
pace width (CW) and grow up to a maximum of about
80 mm CW for adult females and about 150 mm CW for
adult males through successive moults (Sainte-Marie
et al. 1995, Alunno-Bruscia & Sainte-Marie 1998, Co-
meau et al. 1998). Larger cod occupy deeper waters
than smaller cod (Hanson 1996, Swain et al. 1998),
which may result in a greater degree of spatial and
temporal overlap between large cod and snow crab
than between small cod and snow crab.

Relatively little is known of the predator–prey rela-
tionship between cod and snow crab, even though this
is a crucial piece of information for validation of postu-
lated top-down controls of snow crab populations. In
eastern Canada, the range of snow crab sizes found in
cod stomachs was 6 to 70 mm CW (Waiwood & Elner
1982, Robichaud 1985, Robichaud et al. 1991), al-
though a few large (80 to 110 mm CW) but soft-shell
male snow crabs were observed in cod stomachs by
Robichaud et al. (1991). There was a tendency for lar-
gest cod to eat a larger range of crab sizes, but no clear
 predator–prey size relationship was established. Simi-
larly, cod stomachs from the eastern Bering Sea con-
tained snow crab of 3 to 70 mm CW, although most
snow crab eaten by cod measured 5 to 35 mm CW (Liv-
ingston 1989, Orensanz et al. 2004), with a tendency
for cod ≥60 cm to eat larger snow crab than smaller cod
(Livingston 1989).

In this study we address the issue of what sizes of
snow crab are most vulnerable to consumption by
Atlantic cod and the basis for this size-selective preda-
tion. This is paramount to assess the possible conse-
quences of cod predation on snow crab populations.
We use a large database of cod stomachs collected in
the Gulf of St. Lawrence (GSL) system over a period of
>10 yr to describe the predator–prey relationship
between cod and snow crab. Hitherto undescribed
morphometric measurements on snow crab and cod
are used to evaluate the limits between the size of cod
predators and the size of their snow crab prey.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area and stomach collection. The GSL is a
semi-enclosed sea of approximately 226000 km² (Kou-
titonsky & Bugden 1991) situated in eastern Canada
(Fig. 1). It is home to 2 cod stocks during summer and
autumn. One stock spawns and feeds in the northern
part of the GSL (NGSL, Northwest Atlantic Fisheries
Organization [NAFO] Divisions 3Pn, 4R and 4S). The
second stock is found in the southern GSL (SGSL),
NAFO Division 4T (Fig. 1). Both stocks leave the GSL
in late autumn and spend the winter months in the
Laurentian Channel, south of Newfoundland and east
of Nova Scotia (Chouinard & Fréchet 1994, Swain et al.
Snow crab is abundant in both the NGSL and SGSL,
sustaining important and lucrative fisheries.

A total of 30973 cod Gadus morhua stomachs (in-
cluding 2596 empty stomachs, 8.4%) were collected
during numerous research and commercial fishing-ves-
sel surveys between 1987 and 2003. Cod stomachs
were collected during annual research trawl surveys in
August and early September from 1993 to 2003 for the
NGSL, and in September 1987, 1990 to 1995 and 1999
to 2003 for the SGSL. In both areas, cod stomachs were
also collected by commercial trawlers as part of the mo-
bile-gear sentinel fishery program, in which commercial fishers provided data for scientific investigations by fishing according to instructions from researchers of the Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans (e.g. set locations, set and gear characteristics, biological measurements and samples taken, etc.). In the NGSL, fixed gear commercial fishers also sampled cod stomachs as part of the sentinel fishery program. In the SGSL, additional stomachs came from various sources that did not always cover the entire area (for details see Hanson & Chouinard 2002). Specifics on the sources of stomach samples (ship and gear type, stratification strategy for stomach collection, etc.) are summarized in Tables A1 & A2 of the Appendix (available at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m363p227_app.pdf). Because of the migratory patterns of cod in the GSL, but also in part due to the dates of the surveys, 93 and 97% of the stomachs were sampled from July to October in the NGSL and SGSL, respectively. Sample sizes for each year and month are given in Table A3 (available at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m363p227_app.pdf).

Cod were weighed (M, wet mass ± 1 g) and measured (FL, fork length ± 0.5 cm, except in the NGSL, where it was ±0.1 cm after 1994) at sea. In the NGSL, stomachs were excised and frozen at sea, except for the fixed-gear sentinel fishery, in which whole fish were kept on ice for a few hours before stomachs were excised and frozen. In the SGSL, individual fish were usually placed into separate plastic bags and frozen on the ship and sampled in the laboratory. For the sentinel fishery program and the sampling of commercial catches in the SGSL, excised stomachs or whole fish were kept on ice for up to 12 h before being frozen. After returning from each survey or trip at sea, stomachs or fish were kept at –40°C until the stomachs were analyzed.

**Cod stomach analysis.** The stomachs were thawed, mucus was removed and total stomach content was weighed. Prey were then identified to the lowest practical taxonomic level and weighed (blotted wet mass ± 0.01 g in NGSL, ± 0.0005 g in SGSL). For all years of sampling in the NGSL, CW of up to 8 randomly chosen crabs per stomach was measured to the nearest 0.01 mm using a Vernier caliper. For the SGSL, CW of all identifiable snow crabs *Chionoecetes opilio* was measured to the nearest 1 mm from 1999 to 2003 only.
Based on results from the SGSL, we estimate that only ~29 stomachs from the NGSL contained >8 measurable snow crabs and only ~73 snow crabs were missed (2.7% of the number of snow crabs that were measured). Both sexes of snow crab have very similar size-frequency distributions up ~32 mm CW (see their Fig. 1 in Lovrich et al. 1995), and crabs were not discriminated by sex in cod stomachs. Frequency distributions of observed CWs were used to assess instars. Very small instars (I to IV) were considered sedentary (not involved in seasonal migrations) by Lovrich et al. (1995), which may have an impact on vulnerability to predation.

**Morphometric measurements.** CW was used for convenience in describing the predator–prey relationship, but CW is likely not the limiting factor when cod ingest snow crab. Alternatives are width at rest, maximum span, carapace length (CL) and length at rest. During October 2005, we collected crabs from the St. Lawrence Estuary and selected individuals to cover the available range of body sizes for each sex. Using a Vernier caliper and measuring to the nearest 0.01 mm, we determined CW and CL (from tip of rostrum to posterior margin of carapace; Jadamec et al. 1999, their Fig. 21, p. 29) and estimated total length of Pereiopod 2 (P2TL) by summing the length of its merus (M2L), carpus (C2L), propodus (P2L) and dactyl (D2L) (Jadamec et al. 1999, their Fig. 14, p. 20). Maximum span was estimated as CW + 2 × P2TL. Usually the legs of living snow crab are not fully extended. Instead the carpus, propodus and dactyl of each leg are either parallel to the longitudinal axis of the body or oriented inward. Hence, width at rest was estimated as CW + 2 × M2L. Likewise, neglecting the very short Pereiopod 5, the span of a snow crab at rest observed from the side consists mostly of the CL and the propodus of Pereiopod 2 projecting forward. The chela position is variable, but rarely extends past the tip of the propodus of Pereiopod 2. Therefore, length at rest was estimated as CL + P2L.

Because snow crab is sexually dimorphic, males and females were treated separately. Maturity status was assessed by chela and abdomen allometry for males and females, respectively (Sainte-Marie et al. 1995, Alunno-Bruscia & Sainte-Marie 1998). In males, the onset of sperm production changes the growth rate of chela and possibly other pereiopods relative to carapace. Consequently sub-adult males were further divided into immature (<37 mm CW) and adolescent (≥37 mm CW) males according to Sainte-Marie et al. (1995). Similarly, sub-adult females were divided into 2 groups on the basis of abdomen allometry following Alunno-Bruscia & Sainte-Marie (1998): small (17 to 42.4 mm CW) and large (≥42.5 mm CW) prepubescent females.

During February 2006, gape width and height were measured on 18 anesthetized cod (42.6 to 76.9 cm FL). These fish were held captive in the aquaculture facilities of the Maurice Lamontagne Institute for 1 to 2 yr prior to these measurements. In addition, F. Scharf (University of North Carolina at Wilmington) graciously provided us with measurements of gape width for 18 other fish (30 to 91 cm FL). He measured total length, but there is little difference between fork and total length in cod, and we did not attempt to correct his measurements.

**Data analysis.** The abundance of snow crab in the diet of a sample of cod was evaluated using 3 measures. A partial fullness index (PFI; Lilly 1991) for snow crab was calculated as:

\[
\text{PFI} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\text{SCM}_j}{L_j^2} \times 10^4
\]

where SCM$_j$ is the mass (in g) of snow crab in fish $j$, $L_j$ is the fork length (in cm) of fish $j$, and $n$ is the number of cod in the sample. Contribution in mass was calculated as:

\[
\%\text{mass} = \frac{100}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\text{SCM}_j}{\text{SM}_j}
\]

where SM$_j$ is mass (in g) of stomach content of fish $j$. Finally, frequency of occurrence (FO) was calculated as:

\[
\text{FO} = \frac{n_{SC}}{n}
\]

where $n_{SC}$ is the number of stomachs containing snow crab.

Snow crab contribution to the diet was calculated in 2 ways for each 5 cm length class of cod: first by using all stomachs in each sample and second by excluding empty stomachs. For the former, the percent mass was forced to zero for empty stomachs to avoid the division by zero.

The relationships between minimum, median and maximum prey size as a function of predator size was determined by quantile regression ($\tau = 0.01, 0.5$ and 0.99, meaning that 1, 50 and 99% of the data points were situated below the fitted line, respectively) fitted to the snow crab CW × cod FL data (Scharf et al. 1998, Cade et al. 1999) using R v. 2.2 (R Development Core Team 2005) and the R package quantreg (Koenker 2005).

The frequency distribution of CW of ingested snow crabs was plotted to identify the relative contribution of each instar to cod diet (instars are described by Lovrich et al. 1995). The size of snow crab ingested by cod in the NGSL and SGSL was compared by the Wilcoxon 2-sample test (Sokal & Rohlf 1995) using JMP (SAS Institute Inc. 2003).

After logarithmic transformation, snow crab and cod allometric relationships were analyzed by linear regression using R v. 2.5. Slopes of the relationships for
The importance of snow crab *Chionoecetes opilio* in cod *Gadus morhua* diets was influenced by cod size in both the NSGL and SGSL, using all 3 measures of snow crab abundance (Table 1). The proportion of snow crab in cod diets was quite low, never exceeding 10% of stomach content mass for any cod size class. Cod <20 cm FL ate almost no snow crab. Contribution of snow crab to cod diet increased until 70 to 75 cm (SGSL) or 75 to 80 cm (NGSL) and then declined for larger individuals.

Ingested snow crabs ranged from 2.2 mm (the single megalop in our sample) to 62.4 mm CW (n = 3293 crabs), but most ranged from 5 to 30 mm CW (Fig. 2a). The size of ingested snow crab increased with cod length (50% quantile regression, p < 0.0001; Fig. 2a). Maximum and minimum sizes of ingested snow crabs were linearly related to cod length (99 and 1% quantile regressions, p < 0.0001). Because there were few cod >75 cm in our samples, snow crabs from cod stomachs collected in 1980 to 1982 in the SGSL were taken from 2 published studies (Waiwood & Elner 1982, Robichaud et al. 1991) to better assess the maximum size of snow crabs eaten by large cod (75 to 114 cm FL) (Fig. 2a). Occasionally, a few large male snow crabs with soft shells (i.e. recently moltsed) were observed in cod stomachs by Robichaud et al. 1991, otherwise CWs of snow crabs eaten by cod in all 3 groups of cod were used for regression analysis. The importance of snow crab *Chionoecetes opilio* in cod *Gadus morhua* diets was influenced by cod size in both the NSGL and SGSL, using all 3 measures of snow crab abundance (Table 1). The proportion of snow crab in cod diets was quite low, never exceeding 10% of stomach content mass for any cod size class. Cod <20 cm FL ate almost no snow crab. Contribution of snow crab to cod diet increased until 70 to 75 cm (SGSL) or 75 to 80 cm (NGSL) and then declined for larger individuals. Ingested snow crabs ranged from 2.2 mm (the single megalop in our sample) to 62.4 mm CW (n = 3293 crabs), but most ranged from 5 to 30 mm CW (Fig. 2a). The size of ingested snow crab increased with cod length (50% quantile regression, p < 0.0001; Fig. 2a). Maximum and minimum sizes of ingested snow crabs were linearly related to cod length (99 and 1% quantile regressions, p < 0.0001). Because there were few cod >75 cm in our samples, snow crabs from cod stomachs collected in 1980 to 1982 in the SGSL were taken from 2 published studies (Waiwood & Elner 1982, Robichaud et al. 1991) to better assess the maximum size of snow crabs eaten by large cod (75 to 114 cm FL) (Fig. 2a). Occasionally, a few large male snow crabs with soft shells (i.e. recently moltsed) were observed in cod stomachs by Robichaud et al. 1991, otherwise CWs of snow crabs eaten by cod in all 3 groups of cod were used for regression analysis.

Table 1. *Chionoecetes opilio*, *Gadus morhua*. Contribution of snow crab to Atlantic cod diet in the northern and southern Gulf of St. Lawrence (GSL) in relation to cod fork length. Crab abundance is expressed as a partial fullness index (PFI), the percent contribution to the mass of stomach content and frequency of occurrence (FO).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cod length (cm)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Empty (%)</th>
<th>PFIa</th>
<th>PFIb</th>
<th>Massa (%)</th>
<th>Massb (%)</th>
<th>FOa (%)</th>
<th>FOb (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>643</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>1325</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>1549</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>5.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>8.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>2055</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>8.81</td>
<td>9.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>11.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>2183</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>12.73</td>
<td>13.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>1846</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>14.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td>12.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>753</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>15.27</td>
<td>16.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>14.13</td>
<td>15.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>10.86</td>
<td>11.92</td>
<td>18.81</td>
<td>20.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>18.10</td>
<td>20.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>12.31</td>
<td>14.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>11.43</td>
<td>12.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>16672</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td>10.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aWith all stomachs; bExcluding empty stomachs
studies were strikingly similar. Quantile regressions were again significant (99, 50 and 1%, p < 0.0001) when fitted to all available data for hard-shell snow crab. The additional data had no effect on the estimate of minimum CW calculated with quantile regression, but resulted in a small increase of the slope for median and maximum CW (compare solid and dashed lines in Fig. 2). However, there seems to be a ceiling in the CW of hard-shell snow crabs eaten by large cod (Fig. 2b). This ceiling was estimated at 65.1 mm (95% quantile of snow crab CW in cod ≥80 cm).

Some sizes of snow crab were more abundant than others in cod stomachs, reflecting instar composition, as indicated by the horizontal banding of CWs across cod length. This is most apparent in Fig. 2a, where a majority of crabs were measured to the nearest 0.01 mm. For the NGSL, there were 5 clearly defined modes in the frequency distribution of ingested crabs (Fig. 3). Based on the results of other studies of snow crab in the GSL (Robichaud et al. 1989, Lovrich et al. 1995, Comeau et al. 1998, Dionne et al. 2003), these modes were assigned to Instars II to VI. Three less well defined modes showed that very small Instar I snow crabs, the first post-settlement stage, as well as Instar VII and VIII+ snow crabs were also ingested by cod. In the NGSL, immature crabs from Instars III to VI were most commonly eaten by cod, 28.9% of ingested snow crabs belonging to Instar V alone. In the SGSL, resolution of instars was not as obvious due to small crab numbers and coarseness of CW measurements. The only prominent modes corresponded to Instars VI and VII.

Crabs eaten by cod were larger in the SGSL than in the NGSL: only 10.4% of snow crabs belonged to Instars I to IV in the SGSL, compared with 37.3% in the NGSL (Fig. 3). It is known that recruitment is variable, perhaps even periodic in snow crab (Conan et al. 1996, Sainte-Marie et al. 1996, Caddy et al. 2005), so this difference in sizes eaten between the 2 regions could be due to the different sampling periods: CW was measured from 1994 to 2003 in the NGSL, but only from 1999 to 2003 in the SGSL. Therefore, the analysis was repeated using the common 1999 to 2003 sampling period for both regions. The relative abundance of sedentary snow crab was reduced to 26.0% in the NGSL, which suggests that part of the difference in the size distributions observed in both regions was due to greater abundance of small crabs in 1994 to 1998. Nevertheless, cod from the SGSL still ingested larger snow crabs than those from the NGSL (Wilcoxon test, W = 208789.5, p < 0.0001, n = 1299 for the NGSL and 614 for the SGSL). This was not due to differences in cod size, as mean cod length (±SD) differed by <1 cm between the 2 regions (53.1 ± 10.7 and 53.8 ± 8.2 cm for the NGSL and SGSL, respectively).

---
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Chabot et al.: Cod–snow crab predator–prey relationship

Morphometric measurements on snow crab and cod

The regressions between total length of Pereiopod 2 and CW (after logarithmic transformation) of the 6 categories of snow crab did not share a common slope \((F_{5,136} = 6.59, p < 0.0001)\). Post hoc comparisons of slopes revealed that slopes were steeper for large males than for most other categories of snow crab (Fig. 4a). The remaining categories (immature males, small and large pre-pubescent females, adult females) did not differ in slope \((F_{3,71} = 1.31, p = 0.28)\) or in intercept \((F_{3,74} = 1.90, p < 0.14)\), and a single regression was fitted to these data. The length of Pereiopod 2 was negatively allometric to CW for these categories of snow crab (common slope [95% CI]: 0.86 [0.83 to 0.89]). Large males had a common slope \((F_{1,65} = 2.33, p = 0.13)\), but the intercept was greater for adult than for adolescent males \((F_{1,66} = 84.46, p < 0.0001)\). The length of Pereiopod 2 was positively allometric to CW in adolescent and adult males (slope: 1.05 [1.02 to 1.08]).

There was a very close relationship between CL and CW (Fig. 4b), although small but significant differences existed among the regressions for the 6 categories of snow crab. The overall comparison of the 6 regressions revealed differences among slopes \((F_{5,110} = 4.87, p = 0.0004)\). In post hoc comparisons, slopes for adolescent and adult males were steepest. When adolescent and adult males were compared, slopes \((F_{1,56} = 1.27, p = 0.26)\) and intercepts \((F_{1,57} = 0.05, p = 0.83)\) were similar. Therefore, a single regression was fitted to all large male snow crab. CL was negatively

Fig. 3. Chionoecetes opilio, Gadus morhua. Size-frequency distribution of snow crabs ingested by Atlantic cod in the NGSL (upper panel) and SGSL (lower panel). Roman numerals above the modes indicate instar number. The size limit between sedentary (Instars I to IV) and mobile (Instars V to VIII) crabs (11.75 mm) is indicated by a vertical line. Note wider bins for SGSL to reflect coarseness of CW measurements.
Slopes of the remaining categories (immature males, small and large pre-pubescent females, adult females) did not differ ($F_{3,54} = 0.67$, $p = 0.57$), but the intercepts were not all equal ($F_{3,57} = 4.00$, $p = 0.012$). Considering that Tukey-Kramer tests did not reveal significant differences among these snow crab categories, females together with immature males were regrouped and fitted with a single regression. CL was also negatively allometric to CW for female and immature male snow crab (slope: 0.92 [0.91 to 0.93]). It is not clear whether this small, but statistically significant, difference in the regressions of CL on CW for large male snow crabs and females plus immature males is meaningful for the biology of snow crab, but it is clearly of no consequence for the perception of prey length by cod predators, and a single relationship was used for all categories of snow crab in modelling length at rest.

The coefficients of the allometric relationships relating length of Pereiopod 2 and of segments of Pereiopods 2, as well as of CL, to snow crab CW for categories that differed significantly in either slope or intercept are provided as Table A4 (available at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m363p227_app.pdf).

There was a linear relationship between gape width and cod length (log-transformed data; Fig. 5, $F_{1,33} = 836$, $p < 0.0001$, $r^2 = 0.96$). Because the slope was $>1$ (1.389, 95% CI = 1.29 to 1.49), gape width was proportionally greater in large than in small cod. This relationship was obtained after pooling cod measured in the present study with those measured by F. Scharf (University of North Carolina at Wilmington, pers. comm., March 2006). One fish was excluded from the regression because it was clearly different from the others, either because of measurement error or malformation.
The quality of fit of this relationship and the fact that cod measured in 2 different stocks by different workers were so similar suggest that our results are representative of Atlantic cod in general despite the small sample size. Gape height, measured in 18 cod, was also linearly related to cod length, but was always smaller than gape width (Fig. 5).

Morphometric measurements from snow crab and cod were combined to assess the possible causes of the upper limit in CW of snow crab ingested by cod (Fig. 6). The top of the light grey area represents cod gape width; therefore, the light grey area shows prey sizes that fit within the predator’s gape, assuming this was the constraint on the predator’s part. This is a reasonable assumption when the prey is snow crab, because snow crab span and length are greater than height. The dark grey zone shows snow crab CW actually observed in cod stomachs, according to the relationships described in Fig. 2. The possibility of a plateau in CW of snow crab consumed by large cod is also shown. The maximum CW of snow crab ingested by cod was clearly smaller than cod gape width. On the other hand, because of their very long legs, all but the smallest (<25 mm CW) snow crabs were too wide to be ingested by cod if their second pair of pereiopods was extended, e.g. their maximum span was much greater than cod gape width. In resting position, crab width still exceeded cod gape width: only cod >80 cm had a gape that allowed ingestion of snow crab with >37 mm CW if width at rest was the limiting factor. Length at rest was the snow crab dimension most closely related to cod gape width.

**DISCUSSION**

**Cod and snow crab predator–prey size relationship**

Larger prey mean greater energy intake. This comes at a cost to the predator. Larger fish prey can be more difficult to catch and necessitate longer handling times (Scharf et al. 2003). These authors concluded that fish prey of intermediate size are more profitable to the predator. Although there are certainly differences between fish and invertebrate prey in regard to antipredator strategy, it appeared that snow crab *Chionoecetes opilio* of intermediate size were also more profitable for cod *Gadus morhua* of a given size compared with smaller or larger crab, as shown here by the fact that the 50% quantile regression between CW of ingested snow crab and cod length was located halfway between the 1 and 99% quantile regressions. Furthermore, the most often eaten sizes of snow crab were larger for larger cod, as shown here by the positive slope of this 50% quantile regression, because predator–prey size relationships are based on relative size (Scharf et al. 2003). In contrast, Arnott & Pihl (2000) found no relationship between handling time and relative prey size for 1 yr old cod (23 to 29 cm FL) preying on the green crab *Carcinus maenas*. Profitability increased with crab size up to the maximum size eaten by cod, and wild cod selected for the largest possible crab size. Yet, relative prey sizes were very similar between Arnott & Pihl (2000) and our study (0.03–0.09 for *C. maenas* vs. 0.01–0.06, 0.01–0.07 and 0.01–0.08 for *C. opilio* eaten by 30, 50 and 70 cm cod, respectively, according to the 1 and 99% quantile regressions of Fig. 2). The relatively shorter pereiopods of *C. maenas* compared to *C. opilio* likely explain the low handling time for large *C. maenas* prey; Arnott & Pihl (2000) suggested that the rounded shape of *C. maenas* facilitated swallowing by cod. By comparison, we suggest below (this section) that the angle of attack becomes important for cod to ingest the largest snow crab allowed by their gape width. There may also be differences in the antipredator strategies of both crab species that increase handling time for snow crab that are large relative to the size of cod predators.
The 99% quantile regression is of particular interest because it delineates snow crab vulnerability to predation by cod. Mouth size of predators is usually the main factor limiting prey size. The relationship between body height of ingested fish and cod size is similar to the relationship between cod mouth height and cod size, suggesting mouth height limits the size of fish that cod can ingest (Scharf et al. 2000). We determined that gape width is more relevant for snow crab prey because snow crab width and length are greater than their height. Because gape width is greater than gape height (Fig. 2; also Fig. 5 in Scharf et al. 2000), cod cannot increase effective gape by turning on their side to swallow snow crab. Maximum CW of ingested crabs was much less than gape width for any given cod length, presumably because overall size of snow crab was much greater than the carapace dimensions alone. Of the measures of snow crab size examined, only crab length at rest had a similar slope and intercept to the relationship between gape width and cod size. We propose that although cod can ingest small hard-shell snow crabs from any angle of attack as long as crabs are in a resting position, the ingestion of the largest hard-shell snow crabs observed in cod stomachs requires an attack from the side. Thus, length at rest determines the maximum size of snow crab that can be ingested for a given gape width.

Our data suggest a plateau in the maximum CW of hard-shell snow crabs ingested by cod. In principle, a 110 cm cod could ingest hard-shell snow crabs up to 96 mm CW, thereby including adolescent and adult males. But the largest hard-shell snow crab found in cod stomachs in the 3 GSL studies was 62.4 to 71.3 mm CW (Waiwood & Elner 1982, Robichaud et al. 1991, present study). This apparent plateau could be due to the relatively small number of crabs eaten by large cod in the 3 studies. The small number eaten is partly the result of large cod being mostly piscivorous (Lilly 1991, Hanson & Chouinard 2002, Link & Garrison 2002), but may also reflect the fact that few cod <100 cm FL were caught despite intensive sampling efforts. Nevertheless, we think the upper size limit was not simply the result of the fewer data for very large cod. Ingested hard-shell snow crabs >60 mm CW examined by Robichaud et al. (1991) were females, and the plateau at about 65 mm CW corresponds with the usual largest sizes of adult females in the GSL (about 70 to 80 mm; Alunno-Bruscia & Sainte-Marie 1998). We suggest the exclusion of hard-shell adolescent and adult males from cod stomachs is due to behavioural differences between categories of snow crab. While females and immature males remain stationary or flee when approached by divers, adult males face them and adopt an aggressive stance with the second pair of pereiopods fully extended, the chelipeds held high and far apart, and the body lifted well above the bottom (Ivanov 1997, B. Sainte-Marie field obs.; see also Fig. A1 in Appendix 1, available at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m363p227_app.pdf). We suspect adult male snow crabs react to approaching cod in the same manner and become invulnerable to cod predation: maximum span far exceeded cod gape width in our data. It is not known if adolescent males exhibit the same anti-predator behaviour, but their absence from cod stomachs suggests that they might. Finally, the only large adult male snow crabs observed in cod stomachs had moulted recently, had a very soft shell and had been eaten whole, being ‘compacted into a pliable ball in the stomach’ (Robichaud et al. 1991, p. 671). Newly moulted snow crabs do not confront danger, but they tend to hide or move away (B. Sainte-Marie field obs.). A very soft carapace obviously changes the mechanical constraints involved in ingesting snow crab. Pacific cod Gadus macrocephalus are known to eat much larger soft-shell adult female red king crab Paralithodes camtschaticus during the moulting season than the size of hard-shell red king crabs they normally eat (Blau 1986).

Predation by cod on large adolescent and adult snow crabs is likely rare or episodic in the GSL, and restricted to soft-shell individuals. The window of opportunity for cod to eat large soft-shell male snow crabs is short, because snow crabs are very soft for only the first 2 post-moult stages, which last only 0.8 ± 0.6 (mean ± SD) and 6.7 ± 6.8 d, respectively (Hébert et al. 2002). Our own observations suggest that crab is ‘pliable’ only during the first post-moult stage, and are brittle rather than soft in the second stage. Therefore, large male snow crabs are vulnerable to predation by cod for 7 or 8 d at most, and more likely for a few hours only. Even so, cod could be an important source of mortality for large soft-shell male crab if there were a large overlap between predator and prey distributions, as is seen for Pacific cod preying on soft-shell female red king crab in the eastern Bering Sea (Blau 1986). However, there is little overlap between the distributions of Atlantic cod and large moulting male snow crab distributions in the GSL. Snow crabs usually migrate to shallow waters (<35 m) to moult in the GSL (Lovrich et al. 1995 and references therein), and this depth is at the shallow margin of the cod’s distribution (Swain 1993, Castonguay et al. 1999). Moreover, cod size and depth are related and waters <35 m deep are inhabited by smaller cod (<40 cm; Swain 1993, Hanson 1996) that are less likely to consume large snow crabs, even if soft. The seasonal snow crab migration may represent a strategy that allows it to find refuge from predation and cannibalism (Lovrich et al. 1995 and references therein). Finally, large males moult from March to June in the NCGL (Sainte-Marie & Hazel 1992, Lovrich et al. 1995) and from February to
April in the SGSL (Hébert et al. 2002), when most cod are outside the GSL (Hanson 1996, Ouellet et al. 1997, Comeau et al. 2001). A low incidence of large soft-shell male snow crabs in cod stomachs from the SGSL was confirmed by the analysis of 1887 additional cod stomachs collected from April to June of 2000 to 2003 (J. M. Hanson unpubl. data). None of the 920 stomachs from cod <45 cm FL contained large soft-shell male snow crab. The 677 stomachs of larger cod collected in the eastern part of the SGSL contained 6 soft-shell snow crabs ≥79 mm CW (incidence = 0.9%). A further 4 stomachs contained soft legs from large crabs (total incidence = 1.5%). This is the same location where the observations of Robichaud et al. (1991) were made and where the overlap between cod and soft-shell male snow crab is expected to be greater because cod return there first during the spring migration back into the SGSL. Indeed, the incidence of large, soft-shell snow crab was lower in the stomachs of large cod collected in the western part of the SGSL: only the legs of 3 large snow crabs were found in 290 stomachs (incidence = 1%).

Very small snow crabs (Instar I) were virtually absent from the stomachs of even the smallest cod in our samples. However, most stomachs were collected before megalopa settled and moulted into Instar I snow crab, which occurs from August through late autumn in the GSL (Lanteigne 1985, Robichaud et al. 1989, Lovrich et al. 1995, Comeau et al. 1998). Only 20.5% of sets and 23.5% of stomachs were sampled in October or later in the NGSL. Similarly, 5.1% of sets and 10.7% of stomachs were sampled in or after October in the SGSL (Table A3 in Appendix), when the migration of cod out of the area already is well underway (Hanson 1996, Comeau et al. 2001). Therefore, it is possible that the scarcity of Instar I snow crab in cod stomachs simply reflected low availability to cod because of lack of temporal overlap. Geographic overlap is an unlikely issue, at least in the NGSL, because large numbers of Instar III snow crabs, which appear in the same locations as Instar I crabs (Lovrich et al. 1995), were eaten by cod. However, other causes cannot be ruled out. Cod detect their prey by visual and olfactory cues, but are poor at detecting buried prey (Brawn 1969). Snow crabs bury themselves in sediment or hide beneath debris (Robichaud et al. 1991, Lovrich et al. 1995, Dionne et al. 2003); thus, cod may have difficulty locating them (Robichaud et al. 1991) or ignore them because of their small energetic return relative to the energy expended in capture.

**Regional differences**

The low representation of very small snow crab in cod stomachs from the SGSL, compared to the NGSL, could result from differences in spatial/temporal overlap between Instar I to V snow crabs and cod in both regions. For instance, Instars III and V are produced by late-summer moults in the GSL (Sainte-Marie et al. 1995, Alunno-Bruscia & Sainte-Marie 1998), and a smaller proportion of stomach samples were collected in late autumn in the SGSL than in the NGSL (Table A3 in Appendix). Interactions between topography and oceanographic conditions, mainly currents, may also result in more localized settlement of megalopa in the SGSL than in the NGSL, and therefore less spatial overlap between sedentary snow crab and cod. Unlike Waiwood & Elner (1982) and the present study, which collected cod stomachs over much of the SGSL, Robichaud et al. (1991) collected stomachs only in the eastern part of the SGSL and they found a large proportion of Instar II and III snow crab in cod stomachs. This suggests that snow crab megalopa settle in large numbers in the eastern part of the SGSL.

Dionne et al. (2003) reported that in spring (May), small sedentary snow crab were most abundant in 2 depth strata, where temperature was 0 to 1°C, both above (18 to 27 m) and below (85 to 105 m) the colder centre of the cold-intermediate layer (CIL) (27 to 85 m). Although the exact depths may vary with location, it is likely that successful settlement and the presence of sedentary snow crab also occur above and below the coldest part of the CIL in the rest of the GSL as well, because these strata correspond to cold (but not excessively cold) temperatures year-round, an advantage for a stenothermic species such as snow crab (Lovrich et al. 1995, Dionne et al. 2003). In the NGSL, most cod stomachs were collected below 80 m, whereas the reverse was true for the SGSL (D. Chabot & J. M. Hanson unpubl. data). It is therefore likely that sedentary snow crab and cod overlapped mostly in the deeper of these 2 strata in the NGSL, and only in the upper stratum in the SGSL. In the SGSL, cod probably encountered small snow crabs in zones where alternate prey were abundant. *Hyas* spp. are most abundant in shallow waters and are preferred by cod over *Chionoecetes opilio* (Robichaud et al. 1991, Hanson & Chouinard 2002). Many shrimp species associated with the CIL are abundant in the SGSL and are preyed upon by cod (Hanson & Chouinard 2002). As the deeper stratum simply does not exist in the SGSL, the relatively low abundance of Instar I to V snow crab in cod stomachs from the SGSL is likely a real phenomenon, except in zones where settlement is concentrated, as was postulated above for the eastern part of the SGSL. In the NGSL, cod can frequent coastal zones that were not covered by the research surveys. Possibly, cod in these shallower waters have a lower proportion of very small snow crabs in their diet than the cod sampled in our study.
Top-down versus bottom-up ecosystem effects

It has been suggested that cod predation controls snow crab populations to some extent (Bailey 1982, Tremblay 1997). In the extreme, some authors have invoked a strong top-down control (Worm & Myers 2003, Frank et al. 2005). For instance, Fig. 2 in Worm & Myers (2003) shows a sharp negative relationship between cod and snow crab landings for the northwest Atlantic. This figure is deceiving in that it reflects a state of no or very limited fishing effort for snow crab in the pre-1980 period rather than lack of crabs (Sainte-Marie et al. 1996, Caddy et al. 2005). Fig. 1 in Frank et al. (2005) also shows a decline in groundfish biomass in recent years that was accompanied by an increase in snow crab catch rate per unit effort, this time for the eastern Scotian Shelf. These inverse relationships were interpreted as evidence of top-down control (predation release). Both relationships suggest a short time lag between cod decline and crab increase in abundance, as if cod preyed upon large male snow crab. Our results clearly demonstrate that cod predation is almost entirely directed at small, immature snow crabs: 80% of snow crabs ingested by cod were Instars I to VI in the NGSL and Instars I to VII in the SGSL. Similarly, cod target mostly immature snow crab on the eastern Scotian Shelf (Bundy & Fanning 2005, their Tables B1 & B2) and in the eastern Bering Sea (Livingston 1989, Orensanz et al. 2004).

The present study shows that hard-shell snow crabs are not likely to be eaten by cod once they are ≥4.5 yr post-settlement (Instar VIII+, 31.7 to 62.4 mm CW), according to growth models established for the GSL (Sainte-Marie et al. 1995, Alunno-Bruscia & Sainte-Marie 1998, Comeau et al. 1998). This also means that cod can feed mostly on 4 cohorts (Instars II to III, IV to V, VI and VII). Considering that most male snow crabs are available to the spring fishery 9.7 to 11.7 yr post-settlement (Sainte-Marie et al. 1995, Comeau et al. 1998), changes in consumption of immature snow crab by cod should influence the catch rates/landings approximately 6 to 11 yr later in the GSL. There is some evidence supporting this possibility in the SGSL, as Bailey (1982) found a negative correlation between the abundance of commercial-size snow crab and the abundance of cod 3 to 6 yr previously. More recently, Caddy et al. (2005) found a significant correlation of −0.66 between cod abundance and commercial landings of snow crab lagged by 10 yr in the SGSL.

Nevertheless, the effect of cod predation on crab abundance relative to other sources of variability appears to be low in the GSL as multiyear periods of both high and low abundance of young instars are known or inferred to have occurred before and after (Sainte-Marie et al. 1996, Caddy et al. 2005) the collapse of the NGSL and SGSL cod stocks in the early 1990s (Chouinard & Fréchet 1994). The wide swings in year-class strength are currently attributed to intrinsic (egg production, cannibalism) (Conan et al. 1996, Sainte-Marie et al. 1996, 2002, Caddy et al. 2005) and/or physical controls (egg and larval survival) (Tremblay 1997, Sainte-Marie & Gilbert 1998, Rosenkranz et al. 2001, Orensanz et al. 2004, Zheng & Kruse 2006). Similarly, strong year classes of both snow crab and Pacific cod have co-occurred in the Bering Sea, and cod is not considered to have much impact on snow crab population dynamics there either, despite preying quite heavily on young instars (Zheng & Kruse 2006). Periods of high consumption of snow crab by cod appear to occur when there are large peaks in abundance of young instars on the bottom (Waiwood & Elner 1982, D. Chabot unpubl. data), suggesting that cod take advantage of increased availability of snow crab. Thus, predation by cod may dampen increases in abundance of adult crabs resulting from recruitment waves, i.e. many years of successful settlement (Sainte-Marie et al. 1996), although this effect must be presently weak given the very low abundance of cod in both GSL stocks. Predation by cod is also expected to have a more pronounced impact on snow crab abundance at the common edge of the distribution of both species, where water is sufficiently cold for snow crab and yet sufficiently warm for cod. For instance, Orensanz et al. (2004) suggested that a warming trend has resulted in the northward reduction of the snow crab distribution in the eastern Bering Sea, accompanied by the northward extension of the range of cod. Even though waters have started to cool again, snow crab has not spatially expanded southward. These authors proposed 2 mechanisms that prevented the re-establishment of snow crab in the southern part of the area: the current patterns prevent southward advection of larvae and new recruits are kept in check by the cod predation.

Ultimately these findings should be coupled to cod abundance data and to gastric evacuation or bioenergetic models, to allow the estimation of snow crab consumption by cod. However, recent results suggest that present evacuation models are not adequate for snow crab prey because of the long retention time of snow crab in cod stomachs (Couturier 2003). With appropriate evacuation rate models and this extensive database of cod diet, direct estimation of crab consumption by cod, including seasonal and yearly variations, will be possible.
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