Introduction

Grazing has long been recognized as an important driving force controlling the composition, distribution and succession of benthic communities in intertidal and subtidal habitats (Paine & Vadas 1969, Ayling 1981, Lubchenco & Gaines 1981, Witman 1985, Carpenter 1986). In addition to removing algal biomass, grazers can alter competitive interactions among species (Lubchenco 1980, 1983, Dethier & Duggins 1984) and the rate of community succession (Breitburg 1985, Farrell 1991, Kim 1997, Benedetti-Cecchi 2000) and indirectly affect the recruitment and survival of other species (Underwood et al. 1983, Petraitis 1990, Anderson & Underwood 1997). Both physical (e.g. wave action, temperature, turbidity) and biological (e.g. predation, disease) factors affect the foraging ability of herbivores, leading to spatial and temporal variation in the frequency and intensity of grazing (Lubchenco & Gaines 1981). Habitat structural complexity and spatial heterogeneity also affect foraging efficiency and provide refuges for species to escape grazing (Heck & Wetstone 1977, Menge & Lubchenco 1981, Lubchenco 1983, Menge et al. 1985, Williams et al. 2000).

The rocky subtidal ecosystem of the Northwest Atlantic is characterized by 2 alternative community states: an unproductive barrens state dominated by the sea urchin Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis and coralline algae, and a highly productive algal bed state dominated by laminarian kelps with low densities of urchins (Johnson & Mann 1988). Transitions between community states (phase shifts) are driven by biological interactions, with destructive grazing by urchins mediating the transition from kelp beds to barrens, and disease outbreaks that decimate urchin populations enabling the recovery of kelp beds (Scheibling 1986, Lauzon-Guay et al. 2009). Following mass mortalities of urchins, macroalgal recolonization of barrens proceeds from an early successional stage characterized by small, fast-growing species (diatoms and filamentous algae) that are competitively displaced by canopy-forming kelps later in succession (Himmelman et al. 1983, Scheibling 1986, Johnson & Mann 1988, Scheibling et al. 1999). Exceptions to these alternate community states exist, notably on rocky substrata that are too unstable to allow the growth of large kelps, resulting in an algal assemblage that is dominated by coralline crusts and small turf-forming algae (Davis & Wilce 1987 Scheibling & Raymond 1990).

In the early 1980s, a shift from the barrens to the kelp bed state occurred along the Atlantic coast of Nova Scotia, Canada, following recurrent outbreaks of disease that effectively eliminated Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis from the shallow (<20 m) subtidal zone (Miller 1985, Scheibling 1986). Scheibling & Raymond (1990) monitored this transition in a cobble bed and surrounding boulder field at a site (Eagle Head) in southwestern Nova Scotia between 1982 and 1987. Prior to their mass mortality in 1983, urchins had dominated the macrobenthic community on the cobble bottom, precluding the establishment of non-coralline algae (Scheibling 1984). By 1985, a luxuriant canopy of large kelps and fucoid algae had developed on an adjacent boulder ridge. In contrast, on the cobble bottom, the developing algal assemblage consisted of small (<5 cm in length) and sparsely distributed species, mainly Fucus evanescens and Chondrus crispus (Scheibling & Raymond 1990). The cobbles and their coralline algal crusts provide a structurally complex habitat and food source for a guild of small molluscan grazers. After the mass mortality of sea urchins, these mesograzers (<2 to 5 cm in body length; sensu Brawley 1992) became the dominant functional group in cobble beds at Eagle Head and elsewhere along the Nova Scotian coast (Scheibling & Raymond 1990). Periwinkles Littorina littorea accounted for most of the grazer biomass, but smaller and more sedimentary chitons Ischnochiton ruber and limpets Testudinalia testudinalis were highly abundant on rugose and smooth coralline crusts, respectively (Scheibling & Raymond 1990).

The natural elimination of urchins presented a rare opportunity to test hypotheses about processes regulating the rate and pattern of colonization and succession of macroalgae and sessile invertebrates in cobble beds, and the relative roles of urchins and molluscan mesograzers in determining the structure of the benthic community (Scheibling & Raymond 1990). First, we hypothesized that dense populations of periwinkles, limpets and chitons limit colonization and regulate the succession of macroalgae on cobbles in the absence of urchins. We predicted that experimental exclusion of molluscan mesograzers would increase the biomass and diversity of algal colonists on cobbles. This prediction was supported by differences in the pattern of succession on cobbles relative to adjacent boulder fields, where these grazers tend to be less abundant (Scheibling & Raymond 1990). Second, we hypothesised that herbivory by small molluscs is mediated by the fine-scale spatial complexity of coralline crusts. Rugose crusts such as Lithothamnion may facilitate settlement of algal propagules and invertebrate larvae, and increase post-settlement survival by providing micro-refuges from grazers (Scheibling & Raymond 1990, Scheibling & Robinson 2008). Therefore, we predicted that rates of colonization of algae and sessile invertebrates would be higher on rugose than smooth crusts. Third, we hypothesised that seasonal variation in environmental factors and the supply of propagules determines the potential for algal colonization and growth on cobbles, and predicted that the magnitude of herbivore effects would vary over time. Fourth, we hypothesised that dense urchin populations override any regulation by molluscan mesograzers, and predicted that reintroduction of urchins to the cobble bed would rapidly return the algal assemblage to a coralline-dominated barrens...
state. This was based on observations at a site where the urchin population appeared to have recovered shortly after a mass mortality, precluding the establishment of non-coraline algae in a cobble bed (Scheibling & Raymond 1990). We tested these 4 hypotheses in a series of manipulative experiments at Eagle Head. Our experimental results, and those of a concurrent study of the effects of wave action on cobbles and attached macroalgae (Scheibling et al. 2008), provide insight into the relative roles of biological and physical disturbance in cobble beds, and enable comparisons with processes regulating community structure and dynamics on stable rocky substrata, such as boulder fields and bedrock ledges.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site and benthic community. The cobble bed at Eagle Head, Nova Scotia, Canada (44° 04' N, 64° 36' W) lies in a shallow (2 to 3 m below mean low water) nearshore basin (~5000 m²) bounded by a submerged boulder ridge along its offshore extent. The site is exposed to oceanic swells from the southeast, although the ridge dissipates much of the incoming wave energy. Strong onshore winds and heavy seas are most frequent in winter. Bottom water temperature varies seasonally from 1 to 18°C but salinity is relatively constant around 31. The cobbles are composed of greywacke and heavily encrusted with coralline red algae of the genera Lithothamnion, Clathromorphum and Phymatolithon. A closely packed upper layer of cobbles overlies deeper strata with dead coralline crusts and sediment. Mean cobble length is 7 cm, bordering on pebble classification on the Wentworth (1922) scale; mean dry mass is 164 g. For a detailed description of the site and benthic community, see Scheibling & Raymond (1990).

Manipulative experiments with cobbles. We examined the effect of molluscan mesograzers (periwinkles, limpets and chitons) and type of coralline crust on colonization and succession of macroalgae and sessile or sedentary invertebrates in 3 field experiments. Cobbles used in these experiments were carefully selected from the natural bed for uniformity in size (6 to 7 cm length), shape (roughly ellipsoidal) and coralline algal cover (>95% on the upper surface). The corallines were of 2 distinct morphological types: Lithothamnion glaciale (hereafter Lithothamnion) is a rugose crust with short nubbly branches; Phymatolithon laevigatum and P. rugulosum (hereafter Phymatolithon) are smooth crusts (the 2 species were not readily distinguishable in the field). Selected cobbles were devoid of other macroalgae. Small mussels, amphipod tubes, polychaetes and algal germlings occasionally were found on Lithothamnion and removed for all treatments. For grazer exclusion treatments (see below) cobbles were carefully scrutinized and all small chitons and limpets were removed. Five cobbles of each coralline type were regularly spaced in an alternating pattern on a 39 × 19 × 4 cm cement platform (building block) and attached with fast-drying cement (Quick Plug, Bondex International). In preliminary laboratory tests, we found no evidence of a toxic effect of this cement on local algae or invertebrates. Completed platforms were placed in a sheltered subtidal area to allow the cement to cure, and then transported underwater to the experimental site within 2 to 4 h.

In Expt 1, we examined the cumulative effects of grazers and coralline cover on the colonization of cobbles measured at 3 mo (seasonal) intervals over 1 yr (1985–1986): (1) fall, 22 August to 19 November; (2) winter, 20 November to 25 February; (3) spring, 26 February to 19 May; and (4) summer, 20 May to 27 August. Grazers were excluded by raising the experimental platform 9 cm off the bottom on 4 stilts (5 mm diameter, threaded stainless steel rod) anchored in a large cement building block that was buried in the cobble bottom (Stilts, Fig. 1a). Plastic jar lids (60 mm diameter), filled with a sticky gum (Tree Tanglefoot Paste, Tanglefoot), capped each of the stilts (with gum side down) as a potential barrier to any grazers capable of climbing the stilts. In the grazer control treatment, grazers were allowed access to experimental cobbles by placing the platform flush with the surface layer of cobble (Flush, Fig. 1b). In a procedural control for potential elevation effects (e.g. alteration of hydrodynamic conditions influencing settlement of propagules, reduction of abrasion from surrounding cobble or macroalgae, reduction of shading), the platform was placed on a solid concrete block at the same height as the platform on stilts (Block, Fig. 1c). This also allowed access to grazers, which readily climbed up the block and onto the cobble. To compensate for dislodgement of grazers during handling of cobble and experimental platforms, 3 each of chitons Ischnochiton ruber and limpets Testudinalia testudinalis were placed on each Lithothamnion and Phymatolithon cobble, respectively, in the Block treatment, in accordance with the natural occurrence of these grazers on both coralline types at Eagle Head (Table 1). There were 4 replicates of each combination of 3 grazer treatments (Stilts, grazer exclusion; Flush, grazer access; Block, grazer access and elevation control), 2 coralline types (Lithothamnion and Phymatolithon, on each experimental unit) and 4 sampling times (3, 6, 9 and 12 mo). These replicates were allocated in a randomized complete block design to 48 positions spaced at 1 m intervals in a linear layout. Twelve experimental units (4 replicates of each grazer × coralline treatment) were sampled at each time.
Expt 2 was run concurrently with Expt 1 and set up similarly, but here we examined seasonal differences in colonization of cobble (rather than cumulative effects). A new series of 12 experimental units (4 replicates of each grazer \( \times \) coralline treatment) was set out in each season for 4 successive 3 mo intervals. Thus, the first series of 12 units sampled in Expt 1 (at 3 mo) also served as the first series in Expt 2, and those of subsequent series were placed in the same positions in the experimental layout.

In Expts 1 and 2, platforms were monitored at 2 to 4 wk intervals to ensure the efficacy of the grazer manipulation and maintain a qualitative record of algal colonization patterns. On termination of an experiment (or a treatment series) cobbles were removed from platforms with a hammer and chisel. This was done from a boat on site and each cobble was immediately placed in a separate labelled plastic bag. The cobble samples were transported in coolers to the laboratory and frozen until processed. They were then thawed in seawater and macroalgae and invertebrates were removed and sorted by species. Fleshy macroalgae (e.g. *Chondrus crispus*, *Fucus evanescens*, kelps) and invertebrates were counted and measured (shell length for molluscs, frond length for algae; 1 mm accuracy). Macroalgal species were blotted and then oven dried at 80°C for 48 h and weighed (1 mg accuracy). When the dry mass of an alga was <1 mg it was assigned an arbitrary mass of 0.5 mg to record its presence. Macroalgal biomass was recorded per exposed surface area of cobble as mg 10 cm\(^{-2}\) (= g m\(^{-2}\)), which was measured using a surface-film technique (Scheibling & Raymond 1990). The mean surface area of individual cobbles (for all cobbles in Expts 1 and 2) was 48 and 53 cm\(^2\) for *Lithothamnion* and *Phymatolithon* cobbles, respectively, and did not differ significantly between coralline types (\(t\)-test, \(p > 0.15\)).

For statistical analysis, the 5 cobbles of each coralline type on a platform were treated as subsamples and averaged to measure biomass of algae or density of invertebrates per replicate. On 2 platforms in Expt 1, a single cobble of one or both coralline types was dislodged, and means were calculated from the remaining 4 cobbles. Data were log (x+1)-transformed to minimize heteroscedasticity (assessed using Bartlett’s test) and

**Table 1.** *Testudinalia testudinalis* and *Ischnochiton ruber.* Numbers of limpets and chitons on cobbles encrusted (>95% cover) with *Lithothamnion* or *Phymatolithon.* Data are means ± SD (ind. cobble\(^{-1}\)) for samples from the cobble bed at Eagle Head, Nova Scotia, Canada in August 1985

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coralline type</th>
<th>No. of cobbles</th>
<th><em>Testudinalia testudinalis</em></th>
<th><em>Ischnochiton ruber</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Lithothamnion</em></td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.6 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phymatolithon</em></td>
<td>106</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expt 2 was run concurrently with Expt 1 and set up similarly, but here we examined seasonal differences in colonization of cobble (rather than cumulative effects). A new series of 12 experimental units (4 replicates of each grazer \( \times \) coralline treatment) was set out in each season for 4 successive 3 mo intervals. Thus, the first series of 12 units sampled in Expt 1 (at 3 mo) also served as the first series in Expt 2, and those of subsequent series were placed in the same positions in the experimental layout.

In Expts 1 and 2, platforms were monitored at 2 to 4 wk intervals to ensure the efficacy of the grazer manipulation and maintain a qualitative record of algal colonization patterns. On termination of an experiment (or a treatment series) cobbles were removed from platforms with a hammer and chisel. This was done from a boat on site and each cobble was immediately placed in a separate labelled plastic bag. The cobble samples were transported in coolers to the laboratory and frozen until processed. They were then thawed in seawater and macroalgae and invertebrates were removed and sorted by species. Fleshy macroalgae (e.g. *Chondrus crispus*, *Fucus evanescens*, kelps) and invertebrates were counted and measured (shell length for molluscs, frond length for algae; 1 mm accuracy). Macroalgal species were blotted and then oven dried at 80°C for 48 h and weighed (1 mg accuracy). When the dry mass of an alga was <1 mg it was assigned an arbitrary mass of 0.5 mg to record its presence. Macroalgal biomass was recorded per exposed surface area of cobble as mg 10 cm\(^{-2}\) (= g m\(^{-2}\)), which was measured using a surface-film technique (Scheibling & Raymond 1990). The mean surface area of individual cobbles (for all cobbles in Expts 1 and 2) was 48 and 53 cm\(^2\) for *Lithothamnion* and *Phymatolithon* cobbles, respectively, and did not differ significantly between coralline types (\(t\)-test, \(p > 0.15\)).

For statistical analysis, the 5 cobbles of each coralline type on a platform were treated as subsamples and averaged to measure biomass of algae or density of invertebrates per replicate. On 2 platforms in Expt 1, a single cobble of one or both coralline types was dislodged, and means were calculated from the remaining 4 cobbles. Data were log (x+1)-transformed to minimize heteroscedasticity (assessed using Bartlett’s test) and

**Fig. 1.** (a) Stilts (grazer exclusion) unit, (b) Flush (grazer access) unit and (c) Block (grazer access and procedural control) unit in Expt 1 (note the dense overgrowth of filamentous red algae on cobbles on the Stilts unit in contrast to cobbles on the Block and Flush units that are intensively grazed by periwinkles, limpets and chitons). (d) Juvenile *Fucus evanescens* (FE) growing on *Lithothamnion* on a Block unit (grazer access and procedural control) in Expt 1, and the manipulated mesograzers *Littorina littorea* (LL) and *Testudinalia testudinalis* (TT). Photographs (a–c) were taken on 10 October 1985; the length of the experimental platform (cement block) is 39 cm. Photograph (d) was taken in February 1986; scale bar = 2 cm
analyzed by factorial ANOVA of a split-plot design. In Expts 1 and 2, grazer treatment (3 levels: grazer exclusion and 2 controls) and sampling period (4 levels: cumulative or successive 3 mo intervals) were orthogonal, main-plot factors in a randomized complete block design. Coralline type was the sub-plot factor (2 levels: Lithothamnion, Phymatolithon) within each main plot. The systematic alternation of subsamples (individual cobbles) of each coralline type represents a modification of the standard split-plot design (in which each coralline type would be randomly assigned to one half of a main plot). Systematic interspersion of subsamples of each of the 2 levels of the sub-plot factor should be more effective than random allocation in reducing the potential for bias due to small-scale (within plot) variability and/or non-demonic intrusion (sensu Hurlbert 1984). An advantage of the split-plot design is that it allowed us to accommodate a third factor (coralline type) without increasing the number of experimental units, which was set by logistical constraints on sampling time and space. Planned pair-wise comparisons of means were done using a t-test to calculate the least significant difference (LSD) at $\alpha = 0.05$. Appropriate error terms and weighted $t$-values for different types of comparisons are given in Gomez & Gomez (1984). Block and Stilts treatments were compared to test for a grazer effect independent of a potential effect of elevation. Flush and Block treatments were compared to test for an elevation effect in the presence of grazers.

For Expts 1 and 2, we calculated algal species richness ($S$) as the total number of species from replicates pooled within each treatment combination. To compare temporal trends among grazer treatments and coralline type for the algal assemblage as a whole, we used non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities (Clarke & Warwick 1994). To construct the dissimilarity matrix, species biomass was 4th-root transformed to increase the weighting of rare species and decrease that of abundant ones. Multivariate analyses were conducted using PRIMER 5 software (Clarke & Warwick 1994).

In Expt 3, we examined the separate effects of limpets and periwinkles on algal colonization of cobbles over 3 mo (6 September to 6 December 1985). There were 2 replicates each of 3 grazer treatments: (1) Flush, grazer access (control); (2) Stilts, periwinkle exclusion (3 each of chitons and limpets were added to Lithothamnion and Phymatolithon cobbles, respectively); and (3) Stilts, periwinkle and limpet exclusion. We were unable to successfully exclude chitons from the Stilts treatment, or to exclude limpets while allowing access to periwinkles, which precluded testing the separate effects of each grazer species.) These replicates were randomly allocated to 6 positions (spaced at 1 m intervals) adjacent to the main experimental layout. Comparisons between grazer exclusion treatments were done using a t-test.

**Urchin grazing experiment.** To examine the effect of grazing by Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis on the established macroalgal community of the cobble bed, we enclosed urchins in 3 bottomless cages (1 m diameter, 40 cm height, 16 mm mesh aperture) placed over the cobble and guyed to cement anchor blocks. Another 3 cages of the same design but without urchins provided a procedural control for possible cage effects, and 3 circular plots (1 m diameter) provided an unmanipulated control. Replicates of each of the 3 treatments were randomly allocated to 9 plots (6 caged, 3 unmanipulated) spaced at 5 m intervals on the cobble bottom at 3 m depth. At the time, urchins were virtually absent on the cobble bed. They occurred under rocks on the adjacent boulder ridge but were small (<30 mm diameter) and cryptic (Scheibling & Raymond 1990). Therefore, larger specimens (40 to 50 mm) were imported for the experiment from a population in the Bay of Fundy (Sandy Cove, Nova Scotia; 44° 29' N, 66° 06' W), and 30 ind. were placed in each of the 3 replicate cages for that treatment. This closely matched the body size and density of the urchins that inhabited the cobble bottom before the mass mortality (Scheibling & Stephenson 1984).

After 3 mo (15 July to 23 September 1986), the substratum within each experimental plot was photographed (without cages in place) from a point 3 m directly over the center. We estimated the percentage cover of the fleshy algae by superimposing 50 random points on photographic images of each plot and scoring the number of points falling on algae. All cobbles with attached macroalgae were collected within each plot and placed in separate plastic bags. In the laboratory, macroalgae were removed from the cobbles and dry mass of each species was measured as described above.

One-way ANOVA was applied to measures of percentage cover and biomass after arcsin and log transformation, respectively (Bartlett’s test on transformed data, $p > 0.10$). Planned pair-wise comparisons of means (LSD at $\alpha = 0.05$) contrasted urchin cages vs. control cages (without urchins) to test for grazing effects, and control cages vs. unmanipulated plots to test for potential cage effects.

**RESULTS**

**Efficacy of mesograzer manipulation**

Expts 1 and 2 were sampled concurrently and patterns in the distribution and abundance of grazers among treatments were similar between experiments...
The Stilts treatment was highly effective in excluding grazers: periwinkles *Littorina littorea* were absent (Fig. 2) and limpets *Testudinalia testudinalis* were rare at all sampling periods (Fig. 3). Infrequently, 1 or 2 periwinkles were found on a stilted platform during routine maintenance surveys and removed. These may have arrived via large fragments of drift kelp that occasionally lodged against the stilts; none was observed climbing the stilts. A few chitons *Ischnochiton ruber* were found on *Lithothamnion* in the stilted treatment at all sampling periods (mean density never exceeded 2 cobble\(^{-1}\), Fig. 4). Chitons are highly cryptic and some probably were missed when exclusion treatments were set up. Others presumably settled on *Lithothamnion* as planktonic larvae, particularly during the fall when recruitment occurred in the natural population (Scheibling & Raymond 1990). Recently settled chitons (<2 mm shell length) were most abundant in the Stilts treatment in November 1985 (15% of individuals).

![Fig. 2. *Littorina littorea*. Mean (+SE, n = 4) density of periwinkles on experimental units (platform with affixed cobbles) in each of 3 grazing treatments (F: Flush, grazer access; B: Block, grazer access and procedural control; S: Stilts, grazer exclusion) at 4 time periods in Expts 1 and 2](image)

![Fig. 3. *Testudinalia testudinalis*. Mean (+SE, n = 4) density of limpets on experimental cobbles encrusted with *Lithothamnion* or *Phymatolithon* in each of 3 grazing treatments (F: Flush, grazer access; B: Block, grazer access and procedural control; S: Stilts, grazer exclusion) at 4 time periods in Expts 1 and 2](image)
All 3 grazer species consistently occurred on cobbles in the Flush and Block treatments in both experiments (Figs. 2, 3 & 4). Density of each species did not differ significantly (p > 0.05) between Flush and Block treatments at each sampling period in both experiments, with one exception (chitons: Expt 2, Lithothamnion, February to May 1986) that was marginally significant (p ~ 0.05). Periwinkles occurred on both coralline types (and frequently on the cement surface of the platforms) at mean densities ranging from about 2 to 12 unit–1 (Fig. 2).

Limpets and chitons occurred almost exclusively on Phymatolithon and Lithothamnion, respectively (Figs. 3 & 4), reflecting their natural microhabitat preferences (Table 1). Mean density of limpets in the Flush and Block treatments ranged from about 3 to 7 cobble–1 on Phymatolithon in both experiments (Fig. 3). Mean density of chitons in the Flush and Block treatments ranged from about 3 to 5 cobble–1 on Lithothamnion throughout Expt 1 and in November, February and May in Expt 2, but decreased in August 1986 to about 1 cobble–1 in Expt 2 (Fig. 4).

The sizes (shell length) of periwinkles, limpets (on Phymatolithon) and chitons (on Lithothamnion) were similar between Flush and Block treatments at each sampling period in both experiments. Mean sizes (per treatment) ranged from 18 to 23 mm for periwinkles, 5 to 6 mm for limpets and 4 to 6 mm for chitons. The size distribution of each species on experimental units (not shown) closely approximated that on the natural cobble substratum at each sampling period (Scheibling & Raymond 1990).

Among the non-manipulated mesograzers on the experimental platforms, Lacuna vincta, a small snail with a planktonic mode of dispersal, settled in abundance on filamentous red algae Polysiphonia harveyi that overgrew experimental cobbles in the Stilts treatment in fall 1985 (see Expt 1). In November 1985, mean densities of L. vincta (1 to 2 mm shell length) were about 1 and 4 cobble–1 on Phymatolithon and Lithothamnion, respectively, in the Stilts treatment, although microscopic observations revealed numerous recently hatched individuals (<1 mm) on all treatments. Macroscopic L. vincta were not found in the Flush and Block treatments where other grazers precluded algal overgrowth (see Expt 1). L. vincta was rare (<0.5 cobble–1) in all other sampling periods in both experiments. Small amphipods (mainly Amphitoe rubricata) were the only other mesograzers found on experimental cobbles. Individuals 3 to 7 mm in body length occurred sporadically on Lithothamnion (with mean density of up to 5 cobble–1) but were generally rare on Phymatolithon (<1 cobble–1). Amphipods and L. vincta may have contributed to the grazing effects we observed in our experiment, but given their minute size and paucity on experimental cobbles in most seasons, we expect their effect was small compared to that of the manipulated grazers.

**Expt 1: effects of mesograzers, elapsed time and type of coralline cover on algal colonization**

ANOVA of total algal biomass on cobbles in Expt 1 showed significant interactions between the grazing treatment and both time and coralline algal cover (Table 2), indicating that the effect of molluscan mesograzers differed between coralline types and over time. In the grazer exclusion (Stilts) treatment, both...
coralline types were rapidly overgrown by filamentous red algae *Polysiphonia harveyi* in fall 1985. Mean algal biomass in the Stilts treatment remained relatively constant at 3 to 5 mg 10 cm$^{-2}$ between 3 and 6 mo on *Lithothamnion*, and between 3 and 9 mo on *Phymatolithon*, but then increased sharply to 17–20 mg 10 cm$^{-2}$ by 9 mo on *Lithothamnion* and by 12 mo on *Phymatolithon* (Fig. 5). In marked contrast, algal colonization was limited on *Lithothamnion* and effectively precluded on *Phymatolithon* by mesograzers in the Flush and Block treatments (Fig. 5). Mean algal biomass on *Lithothamnion* did not differ significantly (p > 0.05) between Flush and Block treatments at 3, 6 or 9 mo, indicating no effect of elevation, but was significantly higher on the Block treatment at 12 mo (p < 0.05). Mean algal biomass was significantly higher in the Stilts treatment than in the corresponding Block treatment at all sampling periods (p < 0.05). Although depth increased gradually from 2.5 to 3 m at one end of the experimental array, and the units around the middle occasionally were inundated by drift algae, the block effect was nonsignificant (Table 2), indicating that spatial gradients in environmental conditions along the array were a relatively unimportant source of variability in algal biomass on experimental cobbles.

The algal assemblage in the Stilts treatment progressively changed in composition, thallus size and density. A similar succession of ephemeral species occurred on both coralline types. The dense monoculture of *Polysiphonia harveyi*, which blanketed the cobbles in the first 3 mo of the experiment (Fig. 1a), was gradually replaced by another filamentous red alga *Ceramium rubrum*, a plumose brown alga *Desmarestia viridis* and a variety of less abundant foliose and filamentous red (including 3 congeneric species of *Polysiphonia*), brown and green algae (Fig. 6, Table A1 in Appendix).

### Table 2. ANOVA results of effects on total algal biomass of grazing treatment, elapsed time and type of coralline cover in Expt 1. ns: not significant, p > 0.05

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Block</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grazing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>162.18</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grazing × Time</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main-plot error</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coralline</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.92</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grazing × Coralline</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time × Coralline</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grazing × Time × Coralline</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-plot error</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 5.** Mean (+SE, n = 4) biomass of erect macroalgae on experimental cobbles encrusted with *Lithothamnion* or *Phymatolithon* in each of 3 grazing treatments (F: Flush, grazer access; B: Block, grazer access and procedural control; S: Stilts, grazer exclusion) at 4 time periods in Expts 1 and 2.
A few germlings of *Fucus evanescens* occurred on *Lithothamnion* at all sampling periods and small kelp sporophytes occasionally were found on each coralline type. These juveniles of large perennial species rarely exceeded 20 mm in length in the Stilts treatment and their contribution to the total algal biomass was negligible (Table A1). Algal density on cobbles in the Stilts treatment decreased with time as individual thalli grew larger. A gradual thinning of *Polysiphonia harveyi* during the first 6 mo was compensated by the growth of remaining plants, such that its biomass remained relatively constant (Fig. 6). A filamentous red alga *Bonnemaisonia hamifera* and diatoms occurred as epiphytes on the larger plants. Increases in total algal biomass on *Lithothamnion* after 6 mo and on *Phymatolithon* after 9 mo were due mainly to the growth of established plants, particularly *Ceramium rubrum* and *Desmarestia viridis*, rather than to recruitment and growth of new colonists or epiphytes.

The dense cover of filamentous algae on the Stilts treatment acted as a baffle to water movement, which increased sediment deposition. Consequently, the cobbles often were covered with a thin film of silt and fine sand. The coralline crusts, however, remained viable beneath this film and overlying algal canopy, and were no different in appearance from those on the heavily grazed cobbles in the Flush and Block treatments. Small patches (usually <1 cm²) of another smooth coralline crust *Clathromorphum circumscriptum* were observed on some of the *Phymatolithon* cobbles, particularly in the Flush and Block treatments at 9 and 12 mo. We were unable to distinguish whether *Clathromorphum* had colonized during the course of the experiment or had grown from smaller individuals present at the onset (the selection criterion for *Phymatolithon* cobbles was >95% cover of *Phymatolithon*). A few of the patches exceeded 5% of the exposed surface area of the cobble, suggesting overgrowth of *Phymatolithon* by *Clathromorphum*. There was no evidence of colonization or overgrowth of *Lithothamnion* by *Clathromorphum* or *Phymatolithon*.

In the Flush and Block treatments, mean biomass of most algal species was <0.1 mg 10 cm⁻² at all sampling periods (Table A1). The higher total biomass on *Lithothamnion* in the Block relative to the Flush treatment at 12 mo was due to only a few thalli of *Desmarestia viridis* (which accounted for 87% of the total biomass). *Fucus evanescens*, the perennial dominant on the natural cobble bottom, was consistently present on *Lithothamnion* on all grazing treatments. Individual size of *F. evanescens* progressively increased in the Flush and Block treatments as small germlings, only 2–8 mm length at 3 mo, grew to 26–39 mm by 12 mo (Fig. 1d). In contrast, *F. evanescens* in the Stilts treatment remained small (<15 mm). *Chondrus crispus*, another common perennial species in the cobble bed, occurred sporadically on *Lithothamnion* on grazed treatments (Table A1) and only as small juvenile thalli <30 mm length. A juvenile kelp, *Saccorhiza dermatodea* (115 mm length), occurred on *Lithothamnion* in the Block treatment at 12 mo (Table A1).

Algal species richness was low (1 to 4 species) at 3 mo, when *Polysiphonia harveyi* dominated the cover, and progressively increased in the Stilts treatment to 11 and 16 species on *Phymatolithon* and *Lithothamnion*, respectively, by 9 mo (Fig. 7). In the Flush and Block treatments, richness remained low on *Phymatolithon* throughout the experiment (0 to 3 species) and on *Lithothamnion* during the first 9 mo (2 to 5 species), but increased to 10–13 species on *Lithothamnion* (comparable to the Stilts treatment) by 12 mo.

---

**Fig. 6.** Mean (+SE, n = 4) biomass of the 3 most abundant algal species (≥1 mg 10 cm⁻² at 2 or more sampling times) on cobbles encrusted with *Lithothamnion* or *Phymatolithon* in the Stilts (grazer exclusion) treatment at 4 time periods in Expt 1.
NMDS ordination showed that macroalgal assemblages on *Lithothamnion* in the Flush and Block treatments clustered separately from assemblages on both *Lithothamnion* and *Phymatolithon* in the Stilts treatment (Fig. 8). (Flush and Block treatments with *Phymatolithon* were excluded from this analysis because of the low numbers of species present.) Assemblages in the Flush and Block treatments on *Lithothamnion* were similar at 3, 6 and 12 mo, but less so at 9 mo. Assemblages on *Lithothamnion* and *Phymatolithon* in the Stilts treatment developed similarly over the course of the 12 mo experiment (Fig. 8).

Juvenile mussels *Modiolus modiolus* and *Mytilus edulis* (2 to 5 mm shell length) were consistently found, albeit at low abundance, on experimental cobbles after the first 3 mo (Table A1). They occurred primarily on *Lithothamnion* in all grazer treatments (mean densities were <2 cobble\(^{-1}\), except 3 and 4 cobble\(^{-1}\) in the flush treatment at 6 and 12 mo, respectively) and were rare on *Phymatolithon* (usually <0.5 cobble\(^{-1}\)). Small clams *Hiatella arctica* and saddle oysters *Anomia* sp. (2 to 5 mm shell length) also were found mainly on *Lithothamnion*, but in very low numbers (<0.3 per cobble) (Table A1).

### Expt 2: effects of mesograzers, season and type of coralline algal cover on algal colonization

ANOVA of the total algal biomass on cobbles in Expt 2 showed significant interactions between grazing treatment, season and coralline type (Table 3). The seasonal effect was striking: following the heavy recruitment of *Polysiphonia harveyi* in fall 1985, algal colonization in subsequent seasons was minimal (Fig. 5). The limiting effect of molluscan mesograzers on algal recruitment was particularly evident in November 1985, when *P. harveyi* formed a dense monoculture covering both coralline types in the Stilts treatment but was rare or absent in the Flush and Block treatments. In subsequent sampling periods, grazer effects were not apparent on *Phymatolithon* and were far less pronounced on *Lithothamnion* (Fig. 5).

Both coralline types in the Stilts treatment developed a thin cover of diatoms (*Licomorpha*, *Meridion*) and filamentous microalgae; a small leafy green alga (*Monostroma* sp.) also was present on *Phymatolithon* (Table A2 in Appendix 1, see [www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m382p113_app.pdf](http://www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m382p113_app.pdf)). A sparse cover of filamentous red algae (*Polysiphonia* spp, *Ceramium rubrum*) occurred...
on both coralline types in the Stilts treatment in August 1986, although this amounted to only a small fraction of the algal biomass recorded the previous November (Table A2). At each sampling period, a few germlings of *Fucus evanescens* (<10 mm length) were found on *Lithothamnion* in all grazing treatments. Macroalgal species richness was low in the Flush and Block treatments (usually <3 species) on both coralline types at all sampling periods (Fig. 7). Richness was highest in the Stilts treatment in May and August 1986, ranging from 4 to 12 species on both coralline types.

As in Expt 1, juvenile mussels were found on *Lithothamnion* in all grazing treatments, but rarely on *Phymatolithon* (Table A2). Mean densities of mussels on *Lithothamnion* were <2 cobble$^{-1}$ in February and May 1986, but increased to 2–4 cobble$^{-1}$ by August, as in Expt 1. Small clams *Hiatella arctica* and saddle oysters *Anomia* sp. occurred sporadically and at low numbers (<0.5 cobble$^{-1}$), but only on *Lithothamnion* (Table A2).

Expt 3: effects of different mesograzers on algal colonization

In Expt 3, as in Expts 1 & 2, periwinkles were consistently observed (although density was not measured) in the control (Flush) treatment but not in the 2 grazer exclusion (Stilts) treatments. Limpets occurred exclusively on *Phymatolithon*: mean densities were ~1 and 3 cobble$^{-1}$ in the periwinkle exclusion and control treatment, respectively; limpets were absent in the periwinkle/limpet exclusion treatment. Chitons occurred on *Lithothamnion*, at mean densities of 2 to 3 cobble$^{-1}$ in all treatments, but were rare (<1 cobble$^{-1}$) or absent on *Phymatolithon*.

At the end of the experiment (6 December 1985), a monoculture of *Polysiphonia harveyi* (>99% of algal biomass) overgrew cobbles to varying extents in the grazer exclusion treatments, but was absent in the control (Fig. 9). Mean algal biomass on *Phymatolithon* was significantly greater when both periwinkles and limpets were excluded than when only periwinkles were excluded (1-tailed $t$-test, log-transformed data: $t = 6.18$, $p < 0.025$), indicating that limpets alone can markedly limit algal colonization on *Phymatolithon*. Although chitons were not manipulated, the abundance of macroalgae on *Lithothamnion* in the 2 grazer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>$F$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Block</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grazing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>308.60</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>166.53</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grazing × Time</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>167.49</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main-plot error</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coralline</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grazing × Coralline</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season × Coralline</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grazing × Season × Coralline</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-plot error</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
exclusion treatments, which did not differ significantly (2-tailed t-test: $t = 1.09, p > 0.30$), and its absence in the control treatment indicate that chitons are relatively unimportant, when compared to periwinkles, in controlling algal colonization on *Lithothamnion*.

**Urchin grazing experiment**

Grazing by adult sea urchins *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis* enclosed in cages on the cobble bottom markedly reduced the abundance of fleshy brown algae *Fucus evanescens* and red algae *Chondrus crispus* after 3 mo (Fig. 10). Biomass of both species (Fig. 10a) was significantly lower in cages with urchins than in control cages (1-tailed t-test, log-transformed data: F. evanescens, $t = 4.66, p = 0.005$; C. crispus, $t = 2.89, p = 0.005$). Percentage cover of both species combined (Fig. 10b) was also significantly lower in cages with urchins than in control cages (1-tailed t-test, arcsine-transformed data: $t = 5.64, p = 0.003$). Biomass of the geniculate coralline alga *Corallina officinalis* did not differ significantly between the 2 treatments ($t = 1.29, p = 0.133$). In addition to grazing attached algae, caged urchins probably also consumed algal detritus that drifted into the cages, as they often held algal fragments on their aboral side with their tube feet. Mesograzers such as periwinkles, chitons and limpets could pass freely in and out of the cages and were common in all treatments. There were no significant differences in the cover or biomass of erect macroalgae between control cages and unmanipulated plots (2-tailed t-test, $p > 0.20$), indicating that caging had no effect on algal abundance during the experiment. The 3 species that we analyzed accounted for >97% of the total macroalgal biomass in each treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

Following the mass mortality of *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis* in 1983, high densities of molluscan mesograzers regulated the colonization, diversity and succession of the macroalgal assemblage of the cobble bed at Eagle Head, Nova Scotia, Canada. Experimental exclusion of periwinkles, limpets and chitons from cobbles resulted in the establishment of a variety of filamentous algae and surface films that were not observed in the cobble bed (Scheibling & Raymond 1990). Periwinkles *Littorina littorea* and limpets *Testudinalia testudinalis* had the greatest effects on the epiphytic algal assemblage on *Lithothamnium* and *Phymatolithon*-encrusted cobbles, respectively. Limpets and chitons rasp the epithelial layers of coralline crusts, consuming microalgae and propagules of epiphytic macroalgae (Steneck 1982, Steneck & Watling 1982). Periwinkles are generalists, consuming both microalgal films and small filamentous and foliose macroalgae (Lubchenco 1978, Steneck & Watling 1982). This abundant guild of mesograzers appears to be the dominant agent of early mortality of macroalgae in the cobble bed.

Some fleshy algae occasionally escaped grazing long enough to attain a growth refuge on the cobbles. Juvenile thalli of *Chondrus crispus* and *Fucus evanescens* (3 to 4 cm in length) occurred sporadically in grazed treatments, and a juvenile kelp *Saccharina dermatodea* grew to ~12 cm in the Block treatment. Although *F. evanescens* juveniles are consumed by *Littorina littorea* (Petraitis 1987, Barker & Chapman 1990), individuals larger than 3 to 5 cm are relatively immune to periwinkle grazing (Lubchenco 1983). When urchins were reintroduced into the cobble bed in cages, the assemblage reverted to the barren state, with urchins consuming all non-coralline algae including the larger fleshy perennials that had escaped the molluscan grazers.

In the absence of urchins and molluscan mesograzers, a similar succession of ephemeral macroalgae occurred over time on both coralline types. The dense monoculture of *Polysiphonia harveyi*, which dominated the assemblage for the first 6 mo on both coralline types, was gradually replaced by *Ceramium rubrum*, *Desmarestia viridis* and a variety of less abundant foliose and filamentous red, brown and green algae. Fucoids and kelps were present but at very low biomass. The macroalgal assemblage in the Stilts treatment after 12 mo was similar to that observed on a nearby boulder field 1 yr after the urchin mass mortality (Scheibling 1986), indicating that it represented an early successional stage in the development of a kelp bed.

Algal species richness increased over time on both *Lithothamnion* and *Phymatolithon*, and was greatest in treatments with the greatest algal biomass.
increase in biomass on *Lithothamnion* after 6 mo and on *Phymatolithon* after 9 mo was primarily due to the growth of established plants, since algal recruitment peaked in fall 1985 and was low thereafter. In the absence of grazing, established foliose and filamentous algae may have facilitated colonization and/or survival of less common, late successional species by retaining algal propagules and enhancing settlement (Eckman 1983, Duggins et al. 1990, Smith & Witman 1999, Bruno & Bertness 2001), modifying near-bottom flows (Gambi et al. 1990, Worcester 1995) or providing attachment sites for epiphytes (Heck & Wetstone 1977, Turner 1983).

The small-scale structural complexity provided by *Lithothamnion* crusts may also have facilitated the colonization and recruitment of macroalgae and sessile invertebrates to the cobbles. Algal biomass and species richness were greater on *Lithothamnion* than *Phymatolithon* (over all treatments), suggesting that the rugose morphology of *Lithothamnion* may have provided cryptic microhabitats for recruitment of some species (e.g. chitons, mussels and *Fucus evanescens*), influenced the deposition and retention of larvae and algal propagules (Harlin & Lindbergh 1977), or provided protection (on the Flush and Block treatments) from predators and grazers (Harris et al. 1984, Steneck 1986, Robson 1996). Also, the distribution of mesograzers differed between coralline types, likely influencing the rate and intensity of grazing between *Lithothamnion* and *Phymatolithon*-encrusted cobbles. While periwinkles foraged on both types of crust, limpets and chitons occurred primarily on *Phymatolithon* and *Lithothamnion*, respectively. Chitons have a polyplacate articulated shell that allows them to maneuver among the small recesses and nubbly branches of *Lithothamnion*, while limpets have a monoplacate shell that precludes all but the smallest individuals from the pits and recesses between these branches (Scheibling & Raymond 1990). While limpets alone were found to markedly limit algal colonization on *Phymatolithon*, chitons appeared to be relatively unimportant when compared to periwinkles in controlling colonization on *Lithothamnion*. Thus, the physical restriction of limpets to *Phymatolithon*-encrusted cobbles likely decreased the grazing pressure on macroalgae that colonized *Lithothamnion*.

In rocky intertidal habitats, Lubchenco (1978, 1980, 1983) demonstrated a hierarchy of asymmetrical competitive relationships among algae, such that *Chondrus crispus* and *Fucus evanescens* are inferior competitors to ephemeral algae and rely on periwinkle grazing to provide free space for colonization. In the present study, the greatest biomass of *C. crispus* and *F. evanescens* occurred on *Lithothamnion*-encrusted cobbles when urchins were absent but molluscan grazers were present. When both urchins and molluscan grazers were absent, biomass of *F. evanescens* was low and *C. crispus* was absent from cobbles. Our results represent a subtidal extension of Lubchenco’s (1978, 1980, 1983) findings in that molluscan grazers appear to facilitate colonization and/or growth of *F. evanescens* and *C. crispus* by removing inhibitive effects of early successional species. In the absence of urchins, this herbivore–algal interaction may play a key role in mediating succession of macroalgae on cobbles. Free space maintained by mesograzers may also have facilitated colonization by bivalves by reducing competition with epiphytic macroalgae on *Lithothamnion* (Lubchenco 1978, 1983, Lubchenco & Menge 1978, but see McCook & Chapman 1997). Mussels on rocky shores settle predominantly around surface heterogeneities (Petraitis 1990, McCook & Chapman 1991), which may account for their greater abundance on this rugose crust compared to *Phymatolithon*.

Previous studies have demonstrated that physical disturbance is the primary determinant of macroalgal community organization on cobbles in subtidal habi-
tats (Osman 1977, Lieberman et al. 1979, 1984, Davis & Wilce 1987). However, unlike at the cobbles bed at Eagle Head, urchins and other invertebrate grazers were typically rare or absent in these studies. While gradual overturning of cobbles occurs at Eagle Head, we observed minimal displacement (generally <0.5 m) of cobbles, even after periods of 9 to 12 mo, suggesting that cobbles are relatively stable and their movement is not a major source of damage for macroalgae that colonize them (Scheibling et al. 2008). The stability and small-scale structural complexity of the cobbled matrix likely promotes the persistence of molluscan grazers by providing spatial refuges from predators (Scheibling & Hamm 1991). Thus, biological agents of disturbance appear to outweigh physical ones as the primary force controlling early community organization at Eagle Head.

In conclusion, our experimental results were consistent with predictions based on each of our original hypotheses. We showed that high densities of molluscan mesograzers can limit the abundance and diversity of macroalgal assemblages in a subtidal cobbled bed when urchins are rare or absent. Rates of algal colonization vary seasonally and a greater biomass of algae accumulates on ragused than smooth crusts. While periwinkles, limpets and chitons prevent the establishment of foliose and filamentous ephemeral algae, larger fleshy algae, such as fucoids and kelps, occasionally escape grazing by attaining a size refuge. However, once these algae attain a critical size (a function of the biomass of the alga relative to the mass of cobbles to which it is attached) they are displaced, along with the cobbles, by hydrodynamic forces acting on the algal fronds (Scheibling et al. 2008). Fleshy algal species that escape molluscan grazing are eventually transported out of the cobbled bed and deposited on the shore or in the deeper muddy regions of the basin, where they ultimately decompose or are consumed by detrivores. Therefore, as a consequence of biological and physical agents of disturbance operating at different life history stages, the algal assemblage of the cobbled bed converges on a sparse turf of low-lying perennial species (mainly Fucus evanesens and Chondrus crispus) following the mass mortality of urchins. A return to this site in August 2007 revealed little change in the algal and grazer assemblages of the cobbled bed after 20 yr (R. Scheibling pers. obs.), suggesting these processes could maintain the benthic community at a near equilibrium state, one that differs markedly from the assemblage of large, canopy-forming macroalgae on adjacent boulder and bedrock substrata.
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