Determining whether catch per unit effort is a suitable proxy for relative crab abundance
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ABSTRACT: Stock assessments of crabs commonly rely on catch per unit effort (CPUE) data derived from catches in baited traps. Baited traps have been used for many years to estimate the relative abundance of predators in the marine environment. However, traps may result in biased estimates due to inter- and intra-species variations in physiology and behaviour. The aim of this study was to determine the suitability of CPUE as a proxy for the relative abundance of Carcinus maenas. Crab abundance estimates were obtained using underwater camera surveys. The study was conducted on commercial mussel beds in the Menai Strait, United Kingdom. CPUE data were obtained from the local C. maenas fishery operating over the mussel beds. The influence of temperature-dependent feeding rates on CPUE was predicted from the number of mussels consumed at different experimental temperatures in laboratory aquaria. Both CPUE and estimated abundance showed marked seasonal variation. Abundance maxima preceded temperature maxima by 2 mo. CPUE increased with temperature up to 15°C, while relative crab abundance based on visual surveys exhibited a strong positive correlation with day-length. CPUE appears not to be a suitable proxy for the relative abundance of C. maenas because of the effects of temperature on crab activity levels and thus catches.
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INTRODUCTION

Accurate stock assessments of shellfish stocks are essential in order to identify over-fishing or to recommend appropriate levels of exploitation. Fisheries catch per unit effort (CPUE) data form the basis of stock assessments for many commercially important crustacean species. CPUE can provide useful information on population movement and activity and may parallel abundance. However, CPUE is not always a reliable indicator of relative abundance (Maundler et al. 2006). This study assesses the suitability of CPUE as an abundance index of the shore crab Carcinus maenas.

Carcinus maenas has a wide geographic distribution and is often highly abundant. These characteristics make shore crabs a useful model species with which to examine the effectiveness of CPUE as a proxy for relative crab abundance. Shore crabs inhabit a diverse range of environments, including rocky shores, sand and mud flats (Jensen et al. 2002, Rewitz et al. 2004) and mussel beds (Seed & Suchanek 1992). C. maenas gather at mating ‘hotspots’ in the intertidal zone shortly before females moult (van der Meeren 1994), which generally occurs during the summer months (Naylor 1962, Styrishave & Andersen 2000). Following copulation, embryo development takes several months (Naylor 1962). Shore crabs are generally absent from the intertidal zone during winter (Crothers 1968, Gascoigne et al. 2005). Although less commercially important than many other crustaceans, C. maenas is of particular concern as an invasive species in North America (Rossong et al. 2006, Lynch & Rochette 2009) and as a predator of molluscs (Miron et al. 2005) in both Europe and North America.
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Several methods have been used previously to assess the relative abundance of *Carcinus maenas*, including traps with bait (Rewitz et al. 2004) and without bait (Hunter & Naylor 1993, Gascoigne et al. 2005). The effectiveness of baited trap catches as a proxy for relative abundance is dependent on the influence of factors such as feeding rates and reproductive condition, which may vary both temporally and spatially and thus affect the catchability of the species. Baited traps can be both size and sex biased (Williams & Hill 1982, Addison & Lovewell 1991). Population size does undoubtedly influence catches (Maceina et al. 1993, Wright et al. 2006, Walter et al. 2007). However, it is important that the effects of physiological and behavioural factors on baited trap CPUE are understood if these values are to be used to estimate changes in crab stock size.

Migration of crabs has a major impact on the intra-annual trends in abundance. Annual offshore migrations are undertaken by many crustacean species, including *Macropipus holsatus* (Venema & Creutzberg 1973), *Cancer pagurus* (Ungfors et al. 2007) and *Carcinus maenas*. Welch (1968) suggested that increases and decreases in *C. maenas* abundance are associated with rising and falling temperature. The estimates used by Welch (1968) were based on baited trap catches and the observations of fishers. There is no doubt that unusually low winter temperatures can result in mass mortality of *C. maenas* and other species (Crisp 1964). Thus, there is a clear advantage in *C. maenas* migrating to deeper, warmer water during the winter. Crisp (1964) reiterates the suggestion of Naylor (1963) that annual migrations by *C. maenas* occur in response to changing temperature, and this hypothesis is supported by Welch (1968). Atkinson & Parsons (1973) observed that annual migrations by *C. maenas* occurred around 8°C; below this temperature more crabs were observed in the subtidal zone. Atkinson & Parsons (1973), like Welch (1968), estimated abundance using baited traps. Therefore, although there appears to have been a general consensus that the annual migrations of *C. maenas* occur in response to temperature, the only studies that support this hypothesis are based upon relative abundance estimates derived from catches in baited traps. Consequently, there is a need to examine changes in CPUE relative to other abundance indices.

A commercial *Carcinus maenas* fishery operates in the Menai Strait, which from 2006 onwards has recorded the weight of *C. maenas* caught per day and the effort expended, as the number of traps hauled. It has been compulsory since January 2006 for UK fishing vessels <10 m to submit landings and effort data under licensing requirements, but it is essential that these data be validated before they are used in assessments of crustacean stocks. The aim of this study was to determine whether CPUE is a suitable proxy for the relative abundance of *C. maenas*. It was hypothesised that, due to the effects of temperature on crab activity levels and feeding rates, CPUE would not vary proportionally with relative abundance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Camera- and dredge-based surveys.** Data on total catches and CPUE from the commercial crab fishery in the Menai Strait were compared to abundance measurements and feeding rates. Crab abundance was estimated from underwater visual surveys conducted on the commercial mussel beds. Although it is unlikely that visual surveys provide a perfect measure of abundance, it is assumed in the present study that visual surveys provide a closer measure of absolute abundance than baited trap-based CPUE, as they are not subject to the direct effects of temperature and feeding rates. Henceforth, the term ‘abundance’ will refer to the spatial density of crabs as measured in the visual surveys. An RV-Marine underwater camera was attached to a steel frame at a height of 55 cm, giving a 0.25 m² field of view when on the seabed. The images were recorded via an Audio/Video (A/V) cable to mini Digital Video (DV) tape using a Canon MV850i camcorder. The camera was lowered and lifted at intervals along transects of ~100 m at each of 9 sites distributed across commercial mussel lays in the subtidal and intertidal zones, and in adjacent areas without mussels (Fig. 1). The camera was left on the seabed for around 5 s before being lifted and lowered while the vessel drifted. Surveys were undertaken in the 2 h before high water, approximately monthly from March 2006 to September 2007. Twenty images were extracted at random from the video of each transect using Video2Photo software. Images were analysed using Image J; all crabs were counted and measured. During the winter months, from November to February, when *Carcinus maenas* was absent from the intertidal zone and visibility in the subtidal zone was poor, samples of crabs were collected from mussel beds by commercial mussel dredgers. Start and finish points of tows (~1000 m) were recorded using a GPS receiver. Dredges were emptied into hoppers before mussels and crabs were passed up a conveyor belt where all visible crabs were removed, counted and measured. At least 6 trawls were conducted each month from January to March 2006, and from October 2006 to March 2007. All crabs were counted and carapace width (CW) measured, and sex and colour morph were recorded. During October, abundance as measured by dredging was compared with abundance measured using the video system.
Temperature. Seawater temperature in the Menai Strait was recorded during the study period at the low water mark using a Valeport 600 DR Mk III CTD (Valeport) or Tinytag Plus 2 temperature logger (Gemini Data Loggers) ~3 km southwest of the study site. The Menai Strait is strongly tidal and well-mixed with a residual flow to the southwest (Tweddle et al. 2005); thus, temperature is unlikely to vary substantially over the study site or between the temperature logger location and the study site.

Catch per unit effort. CPUE data were obtained from the commercial Carcinus maenas fishery operating over the mussel beds in the Menai Strait. This fishery is prosecuted by a single vessel, employed by mussel fishermen largely as a means of reducing crab predation on the commercial mussel beds. The number of traps hauled d–1 ranged from 118 to 330, with a mean of 231 ± 31 hauled d–1. The weights of catches were estimated from the number of bags of crabs landed each day, each bag weighing approximately 30 kg. Effort was recorded as the number of traps hauled. Traps were baited with dab Limanda limanda, usually with half a fish. CPUE was calculated in terms of the weight of the catch per trap; the number of crabs was then estimated, on the basis of the mean CW of C. maenas observed over the mussel beds during the survey period, using the relationship between CW and total wet weight, \( y = 0.0003x^{2.912} \), where \( x \) = carapace width, and \( y \) = weight (Murray 2008). A sub-sample of around 200 crabs was collected from commercial traps once each month from May to September 2007, all of which were measured, and sex and colour morph were recorded.

Feeding rates. To assess the effect of temperature on feeding rates, undamaged male Carcinus maenas with CWs ranging from 60 to 70 mm were collected from the mussel beds in the Menai Strait by dredging or with baited traps. Crabs were kept in aquaria with running seawater and were fed to satiation on mussel flesh. Food was then withheld for 48 h to standardize hunger levels before feeding trials began (Elner & Hughes 1978, Jubb et al. 1983) to allow crabs to acclimatize. Feeding rates were determined at 6, 8, 10, 13, 16 and 18°C. Crabs were kept individually, and each crab was presented with 35 mussels ranging in length from 20 to 30 mm. The number of mussels consumed was recorded after 24 h. Three crabs were fed at each temperature. The weight of flesh consumed was estimated from the relationship between mussel length and dry flesh weight (DFW). All mussels were measured to 0.1 mm with dial calipers. Flesh was removed from 35 mussels and dried at 60°C for 48 h or until a constant weight was reached.
Statistical analyses. Factor analysis using principal components was used to examine the distribution of CPUE, abundance, day-length, temperature and feeding rate data. Day-length data were obtained from the US Naval Observatory (USNO 2007) and modelled using a sine wave function. Sine wave models were also used to estimate daily temperature and abundance. Daily feeding rates were then estimated from the relationship between temperature and feeding rates observed in aquaria. All regression analyses were conducted using SigmaPlot (Systat Software). Factor analysis was conducted using Minitab.

RESULTS

There was a significant quadratic relationship ($R^2 = 0.9984$, $F_{2,3} = 927.3559$, $p < 0.0001$) between temperature and the DFW of mussels consumed ($C = -2.7185 + 0.6579T - 0.0252T^2$, where $C =$ DFW consumed and $T =$ temperature; Fig. 2). Feeding rates were greatest at $13^\circ C$, declining sharply at lower temperatures and also decreasing slightly at higher temperatures.

CPUE ranged from <1 kg trap$^{-1}$ to 9.6 kg trap$^{-1}$ when soak time was 1 d (Fig. 3a). Longer soak times, >4 d, were restricted to the winter months, when traps were hauled less often due to smaller catches (Fig. 3b). CPUE was up to 7.7 kg trap$^{-1}$ when soak time was up to 3 d, or 4 kg with a soak time of 4 d. CPUE of Carcinus maenas increased during the summer months before declining from September 2006 to January 2007, closely following changes in temperature (Fig. 4). Maximum CPUE was recorded during September 2006 (~Day 258, measured from 01/01/2006), and the minimum during March 2006 (~Day 75) and 2007 (~Day 410). Seawater temperature ranged from 5°C in March 2006 to 17°C in July 2006 (Fig. 4).

Both temperature and CPUE were described using a 4 parameter sine wave model, $y = y_0 + a \cdot \sin(2\pi x/b + c)$. Parameter $b$ is the frequency and should be close to 365 d, as temperature and crab CPUE follow an annual cycle. Parameter $a$ is the amplitude, or magnitude of oscillation about the mean value of temperature or CPUE, while $y_0$ is the displacement, and thus the mean CPUE or mean temperature. Parameter $c$ accounts for the differences in timing of the maximum and minimum CPUE or temperature between years and thus must be adjusted between any 2 years; $x$ is time (days). Parameter values for the CPUE model are: $y_0 = 99.8588$, $a = 54.6336$, $b = 356.9958$, and $c = 3.8275$ ($F_{3,11} = 10.318$, $p = 0.0016$, $R^2 = 0.738$). Over longer time scales the sine wave model is only suitable where CPUE, temperature or abundance maxima and minima remain consistent between years. Nevertheless, over the duration of this study, the model is useful in quantifying the seasonal oscillation in CPUE, temperature and abundance and is not intended to be extrapolative.

There was a significant quadratic correlation between temperature and CPUE ($y = -0.9892T^2 + 32.1T - 122.7$, Fig. 2. Carcinus maenas. Dry weight of flesh consumed by a total of 18 individuals kept at 6 temperatures in laboratory aquaria where CPUE, temperature or abundance maxima and minima remain consistent between years. Nevertheless, over the duration of this study, the model is useful in quantifying the seasonal oscillation in CPUE, temperature and abundance and is not intended to be extrapolative.

There was a significant quadratic correlation between temperature and CPUE ($y = -0.9892T^2 + 32.1T - 122.7$,
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F_{2,9} = 26.14, \ p < 0.001, \ R^2 = 0.853; \ Fig. 5); residual variances were equal (p = 0.921), and residuals were normally distributed (Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic, K-S = 0.115, p = 0.995). CPUE did not peak until September (Fig. 4) despite the peak in abundance estimated from the video footage shot in July (Fig. 6). It was also during July that seed mussels, and associated crabs, were imported to the Menai Strait as part of the annual management cycle of the mussel fishery.

Both temperature and crab abundance exhibited pronounced annual maxima and minima (Fig. 6). The relationship between time and temperature is also best described by a 4 parameter sine wave function; where, \( y_0 = 11.9461, \ a = 5.2611, \ b = 359.0206, \) and \( c = 3.4342 \) (\( R^2 = 0.973, \ F_{3,11} = 133.184, \ p < 0.0001 \)). The coefficients relating time and abundance are: \( y_0 = 6346.6918, \ a = 5403.6519, \) and \( b = 387.4755, \) and \( c = -1.1890 \) (\( R^2 = 0.8154, \ F_{3,11} = 16.201, \ p = 0.0002 \)). The peak abundance occurred earlier in 2007 than in 2006, while the maximum temperature occurred slightly later in 2007 than in 2006. The rate of change in abundance parallels the rate of change in temperature 2 mo later. The mean number (+/-1 SE) of crabs as estimated by dredging (n = 6) was 0.19 ± 0.04 crabs m^{-2} in October 2006, falling to 0.1 ± 0.01 crabs m^{-2} in November. The mean number of crabs recorded in video surveys in October was higher, ranging from 0.34 ± 0.14 crabs m^{-2} to 0.25 ± 0.12 crabs m^{-2}, 1 wk before and 1 wk after dredge sampling, respectively. Therefore, it was estimated that dredging underestimated crab abundance by 33% relative to video surveys, and abundance estimates were increased by a factor of 1.5 accordingly. Prior to the decline in abundance observed in the dredge survey, both intertidal and subtidal video surveys showed a sharp decline in abundance between September and October, and no crabs were found intertidally in video surveys during the winter months despite good visibility.

Mean monthly seawater temperature and Carcinus maenas abundance were not significantly correlated (Fig. 7a; \( y = 467.1T + 438, \ R^2 = 0.229, F_{1,11} = 3.2635, \ p = 0.098 \)). However, there was a significant linear correlation between temperature and the abundance measured 1 mo earlier (Fig. 7b; \( y = 874.6T + 4222.2, \ R^2 = 0.679, F_{1,11} = 23.305, \ p = 0.0005 \)). This correlation was even stronger between temperature and the abundance measured 2 mo earlier (Fig. 7c; \( y = 1018.6T + 5748.5, \ R^2 = 0.828, F_{1,11} = 53.101, \ p < 0.0001 \)). Residual variance was equal for all regressions (p > 0.583), and residuals were normally distributed (K-S < 0.173, p > 0.722).
There was no clear trend in the mean CW of crabs between January 2006 and October 2007. Mean CW ranged from a minimum of 35.0 ± 12.0 mm up to a maximum of 51.9 ± 9.7 mm across all sampling methods used. The mean CW of crabs measured in video quadrats ranged from 36.4 ± 14.4 mm in June 2006 to 58.3 ± 7 mm in May 2007. The percentage of male crabs observed in dredges ranged from 13 to 53%, while the percentage of green colour forms ranged from 21 to 94% (Table 1). In baited traps the percentage of males ranged from 26 to 46%, and the percentage of green crabs from 19 to 86%.

The first 3 factors calculated using principal components explained 94% of the variance in the abundance, CPUE, day-length, feeding rate and temperature data (Table 2). Abundance and day-length loaded highly on Factor 1, while CPUE and temperature were the highest loadings on Factor 2 (Fig. 8). Feeding rates, and to a lesser extent temperature, loaded highest on Factor 3. Temperature was the best predictor of CPUE, while day-length showed a very strong correlation with abundance (Table 3). None of the factors was a stronger predictor of CPUE and abundance than temperature and day-length, respectively. Abundance was not significantly correlated with CPUE (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

In this study we hypothesised that CPUE would be influenced by temperature and feeding rates and thus would not vary proportionally with abundance. The results show that changes in CPUE do broadly reflect the intra-annual changes in abundance of *Carcinus maenas* but underestimate the magnitude of variability and are offset by 2 mo, succeeding changes in abundance. Although abundance is likely to influence CPUE, the strong association between temperature and CPUE may mask the underlying changes in abundance.

Any method used to estimate the abundance of a highly motile species will be subject to the variability of environmental parameters and the behaviour of the organism under study; sampling subtidal organisms is particularly challenging. One solution to this problem is to use several sampling techniques. However, these different methodologies may, as in this study, appear to produce conflicting results. Neither survey method used is free of artefacts.

Visual surveys may preferentially sample active crabs, which are more visible, and the presence of the camera itself may cause crabs to seek shelter. Furthermore, crabs may not be detected in visual surveys when present in low numbers, and cameras are ineffective in turbid water. In addition, no information is gained about the section of the population sampled, or any sex or colour morph bias. Nevertheless, visibility remained good in the intertidal zone throughout this study, and although visibility was low in the subtidal zone during winter, crab abundance remained relatively low subtidally during this period. Adult crabs were absent from the intertidal zone, or numbers were below the detection limit, from December to February, as was also observed by Hunter & Naylor (1993) in the Menai Strait...
Murray & Seed: CPUE and crab abundance during November. All the survey methods used are likely to underestimate the abundance of juvenile and small crabs, as is evident from the minimum sizes of crabs observed (Table 1). No clear changes in CW were observed during the course of the study. Therefore, the crabs migrating offshore are presumably a representative subsection of the population with \( \geq 40 \) mm CW.

It has long been recognised that catches using baited traps are dependent not only on abundance but upon the activity of the animal (Crothers 1968), and traps are potentially size and sex biased (Williams & Hill 1982). The reliability of fisheries-dependent data must also be considered. The \textit{Carcinus maenas} fishery prosecuted in the Menai Strait exists largely to reduce predation on the mussel beds and is conducted in unison with the mussel fishery. Consequently, the numbers of crabs removed by the crab fishery are of great interest to mussel fishermen. In addition, as the fishery is prosecuted by only a single vessel, the traps, bait and the areas fished are consistent over time. Soak time appears to be influenced by CPUE, rather than soak time affecting CPUE. Although CPUE was low when soak times were longer, low CPUE was also common with soak times of only 1 d.

Seawater temperature in the Menai Strait closely follows air temperature, but the minimum air temperature occurred in February, while seawater was coldest during March. Crabs began moving onshore when water temperatures were at a minimum but when air temperatures were increasing, and the risk of temperature-induced mortality was therefore lessened. No live crabs >30 mm were observed on the intertidal mussel beds when tidally exposed, though \textit{Carcinus maenas} when submerged was regularly observed in video surveys of the intertidal zone (Murray et al. 2007). This is in contrast to rocky shores where \textit{C. maenas} is common in the exposed intertidal zone, particularly during the spring and summer (Seed 1969). The fact that tidal migration was undertaken by the majority of the adult crab population over the commercial mussel beds in the Menai Strait is almost certainly due to the lack of suitable refuges for larger crabs when exposed to air and the potential of predation by birds.

Temperature is undoubtedly a major influence on the behaviour of \textit{Carcinus maenas} and crustaceans in general. Tidal and diurnal locomotor rhythms in \textit{C. maenas} can be altered by varying temperature (Naylor 1958, 1963) and temperature also exerts the greatest effect on cardiac activity in \textit{C. maenas} (Aagaard

Table 1. \textit{Carcinus maenas}. Carapace widths, ratio of males (M) to females (F), and ratio of green (G) to red (R) individuals observed in this and other studies in the United Kingdom. – = no data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Carapace width (mm)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mean ratio</th>
<th>Season</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naylor (1962)</td>
<td>Swansea</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter &amp; Naylor (1993)</td>
<td>Menai Strait</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Summer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter &amp; Naylor (1993)</td>
<td>Menai Strait</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Winter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rewitz et al. (2004)</td>
<td>Looe Estuary</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Summer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study (dredging)</td>
<td>Menai Strait</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Winter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study (baited traps)</td>
<td>Menai Strait</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Summer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study (video)</td>
<td>Menai Strait</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. \textit{Carcinus maenas}. Factor analysis loadings on \textit{Carcinus maenas} catch per unit effort (CPUE) and abundance, and modelled day-length, feeding rates and seawater temperature in the Menai Strait.

Table 2. \textit{Carcinus maenas}. Results of factor analysis using principal components (varimax rotated) of data on catch per unit effort (CPUE) and modelled abundance, day-length, temperature and feeding rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Factor 1</th>
<th>Factor 2</th>
<th>Factor 3</th>
<th>Communality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPUE</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.648</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>0.787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding rate</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abundance</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day-length</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Variance</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>93.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. *Carcinus maenas*. Results of regression analysis ($y = ax + b$) of catch per unit effort (CPUE), day-length, modelled temperature, abundance and feeding rate data, and Factors 1, 2 and 3, ranked according to strength of correlation. Data were reduced to 2 and 3 factors as predictors of CPUE and abundance, respectively. * = $p < 0.05$. The major loadings on factors are indicated in brackets: T: temperature, F: feeding rate, A: Abundance, D: day-length, C: CPUE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$R^2$ (%)</th>
<th>$F_{1,100}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPUE</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>-0.227</td>
<td>39.4*</td>
<td>123.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Factor 2 (TF)</td>
<td>1.126</td>
<td>3.609</td>
<td>38.6*</td>
<td>119.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feeding rate</td>
<td>2.647</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>27.2*</td>
<td>70.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day-length</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>1.355</td>
<td>8.2*</td>
<td>16.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abundance</td>
<td>1.47 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>2.502</td>
<td>7.6*</td>
<td>15.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abundance</td>
<td>Day-length</td>
<td>1138</td>
<td>-7300</td>
<td>99.8*</td>
<td>100493.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Factor 2 (DT)</td>
<td>3279</td>
<td>7552</td>
<td>92.1*</td>
<td>2220.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>461.9</td>
<td>1735</td>
<td>25.5*</td>
<td>64.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feeding rate</td>
<td>4138</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>18.7*</td>
<td>43.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Factor 3 (FT)</td>
<td>609.1</td>
<td>7552</td>
<td>3.2*</td>
<td>6.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Factor 1 (CT)</td>
<td>426.3</td>
<td>7552</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1996). Avoidance of extreme temperatures is probably an important reason for the annual migrations of shore crabs, even if temperature does not provide the cue which initiates migration. CPUE varied proportionally with temperature, while the video-based abundance estimates in this study indicate that offshore migration begins before the peak in summer temperature (Fig. 6). Avoidance of high temperatures may be as important as avoidance of low temperatures because of the risks of desiccation, predation from birds and increased energy requirements in response to raised metabolic rate, in which case offshore migration before the temperature maximum is reached would be advantageous.

Response to temperature change may have evolved as those crabs which responded most effectively to temperature changes avoided being exposed to temperature at the extremes of, or beyond, their range of tolerance. However, day-length was strongly correlated with video estimates of abundance in this study and is also a possible cue for crab migration. Many animal species have been shown to respond in various ways to changing photoperiod (Kenagy 1981, Silverin et al. 1993, Gwinner 1996, Watari & Arai 1997, Last & Olive 2004), and several studies have shown that crustaceans respond to changing light conditions. Aguzzi et al. (2004) attributed greater catches of the Norway lobster *Nephrops norvegicus* during spring and summer to higher light intensity, while Aguzzi et al. (2005) suggested that creels should be deployed around sunset to sample, during the peak feeding period, the brackish water shrimp *Palaemonetes varians*, which exhibits daily feeding rhythmicity. However, further work is necessary to establish whether feeding activity and metabolism are linked to photoperiod or light intensity. Such an association has been observed in Antarctic krill *Euphausia superba*, in which digestive gland length and respiration rate were significantly higher in groups exposed to 24 h light, or a light-dark regime, than in those kept in darkness, and feeding activity (clearance rates) was also significantly higher under a 24 h light regime (Teschke et al. 2007).

Identifying when a predator will not attempt to maximize energy intake may help to elucidate the mechanisms governing predator migration; however, the relationship between metabolism and feeding rates is not straightforward. Elner (1980) and Robertson et al. (2002) found no significant difference in the meal size of *Carcinus maenas* between high and low temperatures, despite the increase in metabolism at higher temperatures (Wallace 1973, Robertson et al. 2002). Sanchez-Salazar et al. (1987) observed increasing energy intake by *C. maenas*, feeding on cockles, with increasing temperature, and Wallace (1973) also found that food intake by *C. maenas* increased with temperature. In contrast, Elner (1980) found no significant difference in the feeding rates of *C. maenas* kept at 10 or 17°C. Therefore, the relationships between temperature and energy intake are unclear. Feeding rates did not show a strong linear relationship with CPUE in the present study; thus, general crab activity levels—including foraging, feeding and tidal migration—may have a greater influence on CPUE than feeding rates alone.

The nature of the relationship between temperature, feeding rates and catches will determine how effective CPUE is as an abundance index. For instance, Aagaard et al. (1995) attributed low spring catches of *Carcinus maenas* to a rapid increase in temperature to which crabs had not acclimatised, which suggests that CPUE may not always be indicative of abundance. Katsanevakis et al. (2005a) found standard metabolism in *Octopus vulgaris* to be 37% higher at 28°C than at 20°C and suggested that the annual migration of this species into cooler water during the summer may be to lower metabolism and reduce energy requirements (Katsanevakis et al. 2005b). Thus, although crabs may eat more prey with increasing temperature (Sanchez-Salazar et al. 1987), this increase in food intake may occur only up to a point beyond which crabs will migrate in order to reduce metabolic rate and energy requirements. The risk of mortality in crabs stranded in the intertidal zone is also likely to be much greater during the warmest and coldest months.

The results presented in this study indicate that CPUE may not be a good proxy for crab abundance. However, it is important to consider that in most cases,
for stock assessment purposes, inter-annual variation of abundance is of more interest than intra-annual variation. Therefore, assuming sampling is undertaken throughout the year, a temporal difference between CPUE and abundance should not be important. However, if regular intra-annual sampling is not conducted, then CPUE may be a poor indicator of abundance. Likewise, if seasonal migration is of interest, then additional sampling techniques should be considered. Given the apparent effects of temperature on CPUE, rising seawater temperature may result in increased CPUE, which could be interpreted, incorrectly, as an increase in abundance. Abundance estimates based solely on CPUE should therefore be viewed with caution, particularly as CPUE and abundance may follow a similar trend, showing an annual rise and fall. It must also be considered that visual surveys do not provide a perfect means of estimating abundance.

Photoperiod and temperature showed the strongest relationships with abundance and CPUE, respectively. Factors derived from time-series data of multiple variables were weaker predictors of CPUE and abundance. Only with the collection of long-term data sets using multiple methods of sampling, combined with studies examining behaviour and physiology, can reliable abundance indices be developed. It is important that further research be conducted to establish whether such differences in abundance indices are also evident in species other than Carcinus maenas and in other geographical areas.
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