INTRODUCTION

Feasible and robust methods for monitoring population distributions, abundances and densities are critical for understanding the effects of climate change on highly adapted polar marine mammals. Population parameters must also be linked with real-time quantitative habitat metrics so that concurrent changes in habitat availability and habitat selection can be evaluated. During the last several decades large-scale environmental changes have occurred in the Baffin Bay ecosystem, including a marked annual decline in sea ice extent (9 to 11% decline per decade since 1979; Perovich & Richter-Menge 2009) and earlier sea ice break-up date (Stirling & Parkinson 2006). Ocean temperatures in West Greenland have also warmed since the mid-1990s at all depths (Zweng & Münchow 2006), with an especially prominent increase in temperature beginning in 2000 (Laidre et al. 2009, 2010).

The narwhal Monodon monoceros, an Arctic cetacean that lives in one of the most seasonally dynamic environments on the planet, displays high seasonal fidelity to relatively small foraging regions in the offshore pack ice. The majority of narwhals worldwide aggregate in the dense winter pack ice in Baffin Bay and Davis Strait between November and April (Innes...
et al. 2002, Heide-Jørgensen et al. 2010, Richard et al. 2010). Narwhals often return to specific wintering grounds within this offshore region year after year (Heide-Jørgensen et al. 2003), where they make daily dives that reach depths of >1800 m to feed on Greenland halibut *Reinhardtius hippoglossoides*, their primary prey (Laidre et al. 2003, 2004a,b). This highly specialized predation in specific wintering grounds accounts for the majority of the narwhal’s annual energetic input (Laidre et al. 2004a), which suggests that the site fidelity strategy has been successful over the long term. It is, however, unclear how this strategy might fare in the face of climate change, which is having a dramatic effect on the seasonal sea ice dynamics of the narwhal’s winter habitat.

Narwhal wintering grounds have been identified through satellite tracking studies (Heide-Jørgensen et al. 2003, Laidre et al. 2003, Dietz et al. 2008). Little is known about densities, abundances, or habitat selection in these offshore areas primarily because of the logistic and financial challenges of monitoring in a large, remote Arctic region. The present study is the first robust narwhal abundance estimate based on a visual aerial survey of a southern wintering ground known to be an important foraging area for subpopulations of narwhals from both Greenland and Canada (Heide-Jørgensen et al. 2002, Dietz et al. 2008). This study also quantifies narwhal sea ice habitat selection on the wintering grounds using data collected from georeferenced digital aerial photography and habitat selection indices. Finally, this study compares narwhal sea ice habitat estimates using data from 3 contrasting spatial scales and sources. Considering the logistics and expense of relying on offshore winter surveys, these are critical steps for developing optimal monitoring approaches to detect changes in habitat selection and availability (Laidre & Heide-Jørgensen 2005a).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Visual aerial survey.** A visual aerial survey was conducted over the narwhal wintering grounds in Baffin Bay, West Greenland (between 67° to 68.5° N and 58° to 61° W) on 3 April 2008 (see Fig. 1) from a DeHavilland Twin Otter DHC6 airplane equipped with a long-range fuel tank (7 h flying capability) and 4 bubble windows. The survey was conducted as a double-platform experiment with 4 independent observers, 2 at the front and 2 at the rear of the survey plane (see Heide-Jørgensen et al. 2010). Observers were audibly isolated. The distance between front and rear observers within the aircraft was approximately 4 m and the fuel tank and recording equipment prevented visual or verbal communication of sightings between observers.

The observers recorded whale sightings, group sizes, sea state and visibility from either side of the aircraft. A downward-looking and a forward-looking video camera (Sony HD) and a still digital camera (Canon EOS MARK 3 D1 with a 24 mm Canon IF ED lens) were mounted in the camera port of the plane. Digital still images were collected every 5 s on the trackline and video recording was continuous. Calibration images were taken of the runway in Kangerlussuaq, West Greenland, after takeoff at 3 altitudes (213, 610 and 1524 m [i.e. 700, 2000 and 5000 ft]) to calibrate the field of view for the video and digital imagery.

**Weather conditions.** On 3 April 2008 were bright sun, an air temperature of –10°C and a cloud-free sky. The plane flew at a cruising altitude of 1981 m (6500 ft) until it reached the narwhal wintering grounds when it then flew on effort at 165 km h⁻¹ (46 m s⁻¹) at an altitude of 213 m (700 ft). A series of 8 straight line transects were covered in a zigzag pattern working from the north (Transect 46) to the south (Transect 39). Points at the end of each transect where the plane turned around were off effort and were not included in the analysis. Transects were designed to cover the southern narwhal wintering grounds (Laidre et al. 2003) and to replicate a previous offshore survey conducted in 2000 in the same area (Heide-Jørgensen et al. 2002).

Sightings and a log of the cruise track from the aircraft’s GPS were recorded on a 4 channel video- and audio-recording computer (sDVRms, Red Hen Systems, available at: www.redhensystems.com). Declination angle to sightings was measured by each observer with Suunto inclinometers. The declination angles (ψ) recorded by the observers were converted to the perpendicular distance of the whale to the trackline (x) using the following equation taken from Lerczak & Hobbs (1998a,b):

\[
x = \cos(\psi) \left[ (R + v \sin(\psi)) - \sqrt{R^2 \sin^2(\psi) - 2(R + v) \cos^2(\psi)} \right]
\]

where R is the radius of the Earth (taken to be 6370 km) and v is the altitude of the airplane in meters. Group size and distance were averaged across the 2 platforms. Groups of narwhals appeared as well-defined clusters in close spatial proximity that were separated by several seconds of flying while on effort.

**Abundance estimate.** A point conditional independence mark–recapture distance sampling estimate was developed (Laake & Borchers 2004, Borchers et al. 2006) where duplicate sightings between the 2 survey platforms were determined using coincidence in time (<3 s), group size (±3 whales) and perpendicular distance (+200 m) to each sighting. The probability of detection on the trackline was estimated from the set of trials and duplicates and the shape of the detection function was estimated from the perpendicular di-
distance distribution using Distance 6.0 (Thomas et al. 2009).

A mark–recapture function was used to estimate the probability that a narwhal was detected by one observer platform given that it was detected by the other platform using the logistic form:

$$ p_{ha}(x,z) = p_{ma}(x,z) = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k z_k)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k z_k)} \tag{2} $$

where $x$ is the perpendicular distance of the sighting with covariates $z$, $K$ is the number of covariates, subscripts 1 and 2 represent the 2 observer platforms and $\beta_0, \beta_1, ..., \beta_K$ represent the parameters to be estimated. Both half-normal and hazard rate functional forms were tested and selected based on Akaike’s information criterion (AIC).

Abundance ($\hat{N}$) of narwhals in the survey area was obtained using the formula:

$$ \hat{N} = \frac{A}{2wL} \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_j \hat{p}_j \tag{3} $$

where $s_j$ is the size of group $j$ in the survey area, $w$ is the truncation distance, $L$ is the total effort in the survey area, $n$ is the total number of detections in the survey area, $\hat{p}_j$ is the estimated probability of detecting group $j$ in the survey area and $A$ is the size of the survey area. Density of narwhals was estimated using:

$$ \hat{D} = \frac{\hat{N}}{A} \tag{4} $$

and the expected group size (where $\hat{N}_G =$ abundance of groups) in the survey area was estimated using:

$$ \hat{E}[s] = \frac{\hat{N}}{\hat{N}_G} \tag{5} $$

The abundance of narwhals at the surface was corrected for the fraction of whales submerged (i.e. diving) during the survey (availability bias) with data from time–depth recorders deployed on free-ranging narwhals in winter (cf. Heide-Jørgensen et al. 2010) using the formula:

$$ \hat{N}_C = \frac{\hat{N}}{\hat{a}(0)} \tag{6} $$

where the parameter $\hat{a}(0)$ is the estimated proportion of time whales are available for detection. The coefficient of variation (CV) of $\hat{N}_C$ is given by:

$$ CV(\hat{N}_C) = \sqrt{CV^2(\hat{N}) + CV^2(\hat{a}(0))} \tag{7} $$

Satellite-based sea ice habitat data. Satellite-based observations of sea ice concentration were obtained from a NASA Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) image taken on 3 April 2008, the day of the survey. Surface reflectance data recorded by 3 MODIS bands between the 459 and 670 nm wavelengths were at a spatial resolution of 250 m. All cells in the multispectral image were classified into 3 habitat types: (1) open water, (2) light pack ice or new ice (thin gray ice that had formed in the last 24 to 48 h) or (3) medium to heavy pack ice (older ice estimated to be >5 cm thick with snow cover including pressure ridges) using the Isodata clustering algorithm (ERDAS Imagine 9.2) based upon the strength of absorption and reflectance. The clustering algorithm achieved 95% confidence in assigning each 250 m grid cell the most similar classification of sea ice type. The area of each of the 3 classes of sea ice (km$^2$) in the survey region was calculated in ArcGIS 9.2 using the Spatial Analyst function.

Aerial digital sea ice habitat data. During the survey, 1565 still images of the sea surface were collected along the survey trackline at 5 s intervals. Digital image coverage was calibrated and each image covered a rectangular area of 261 × 173 m (19 053 m$^2$) and was georegistered to the survey trackline. Due to brief power outages to the digital camera while in flight, some transects did not have continuous photographic coverage. However, available images were treated as independent samples in the analysis and sea ice was estimated as average proportions for each habitat. After georegistering, the entire area in each image was digitized in ArcGIS (Editor extension) and areas were visually classified as polygons into the same 3 habitat types used in the MODIS image: (1) open water, (2) light pack ice (thin gray ice with evidence of narwhal surfacing hummocks) or (3) dense pack ice (thick ice covered with snow and ridges too thick to be broken by a narwhal). Area (km$^2$) of each habitat type was calculated in each photographic digital image and summarized according to transect. The total area of open water and new ice in the survey area were calculated from both the MODIS image and the sample set of photographic images along each transect, as well as all transects combined.

The continuous recordings by the downward-looking video camera along the trackline were also used to roughly estimate the segments along the trackline that were covered in sea ice. Video was analyzed frame by frame where a change to a full screen (~40 m resolution) of either ice or open water indicated the change of the binary classification (‘ice covered’ or ‘open water’).

The satellite-based (MODIS) and in situ-based (in-flight cameras) sea ice sources provided 3 independent estimates of narwhal pack ice habitat in the surveyed region at 3 unique spatial scales. The resulting open water estimate in the survey area was contrasted for each of the 3 approaches.

Probability of narwhal sightings and habitat patch composition. The probability that a narwhal sighting would occur in the vicinity of 1 of 6 habitat patch types was estimated using the series of $n = 1565$ photographic images taken along each transect and the on-effort
sightings. These probabilities were related to habitat categories associated with the digital photographic data. Here more refined categorical sea ice habitat assignments were used to take advantage of the high resolution digital photographic sea ice images. Categorical habitats were based on varying proportions of open water, light ice, and heavy ice in the image frame. Specifically, the 6 categories were (1) 100% heavy ice with no open water, (2) mixed heavy and new ice with no open water, (3) 100% new ice with no open water, (4) <10% open water, (5) 10 to 50% open water and (6) >50% open water. All sightings were temporally linked to the closest image. Any sighting taken at a >3 s interval from an image was removed from the analysis. This occurred only in those brief periods when photographs were not taken due to unexpected power outages to the camera. Narwhal sightings did not necessarily occur within the defined bounds of each image, as the image covered an area of 19,053 m² (271 × 73 m) under the plane, while sightings were within 700 m of the trackline. We considered the photograph to be a sample of habitat in close proximity (<500 m) to narwhal occurrence.

The probability of a narwhal sighting ($P_i$) in each of the habitat patch types ($i$) and the expected group size of narwhal sightings given that a sighting occurred ($S_i$) was estimated for each of the sea ice habitat types. The product of these 2 measurements yielded the expected number of narwhals per habitat type. Randomizations were used to test whether the differences between habitat types were greater than expected by random sampling. These tests were performed by randomizing the sightings along the transect and reassociating them with habitat categories. This procedure was repeated 10,000 times and the number of sightings with lower or higher values of $P(S_i)$ and $E(N_i | S_i)$ were counted to obtain $p$-values. This method was selected as it makes minimal assumptions and gives asymptotically exact $p$-values. All analyses were performed using the R software package (R Development Core Team 2009).

**RESULTS**

**Distribution and abundance of narwhals**

A total of 57 narwhal sightings were obtained within the transect width (0 to 700 m) and used for the abundance estimation. Of these, 47 were seen by the primary observer, 42 by the secondary observer and 32 by both observers. Narwhals were seen on 7 of 8 transects and sightings were highly clustered, with the largest number of sightings on Transects 40 and 42. Narwhal group sizes ranged between 1 and 8 whales. Each transect was 49 to 50 km in length with a total effort covering 405 km of the 9514 km² area (Fig. 1).

The average probability of detection on the trackline for the primary and secondary observers was 0.72 (CV = 0.06) and the detection for both observers was 0.92 (CV = 0.03, Fig. 2). The abundance of narwhals at the surface, including whales missed by the observers, was estimated to be 3248 whales (CV = 0.46). The estimate was corrected for availability bias (accounting for whales underwater) with a new correction factor of 0.18 (CV = 0.04) developed by Heide-Jørgensen et al. (2010) for a coastal winter survey of narwhals in West Greenland. The fully corrected estimate, which took into account whales submerged below 2 m and hence invisible to the observers, was 18,044 narwhals (95% CI, 7623 to 42,712) (Table 1).

**MODIS sea ice habitat estimates**

Within the entire surveyed region, 9556 km² (152,892 pixels) of sea ice were present in a single 250 m resolution cloud-free MODIS image (Fig. 3, Table 2). Of this,
233 km² (3727 pixels) were classified as open water. This amounted to 2.4% of the surveyed area. Of the other 2 sea ice categories, the new ice was the only other category that could be considered potential narwhal habitat, although this depends on the stage of ice formation. New ice covered 908 km² (14 521 pixels) of habitat, or 9% of the surveyed area (Table 2). The remainder of the surveyed area comprised 8415 km² and was classified as medium or heavy pack ice and not considered potential narwhal habitat (i.e. where whales can surface to breathe). The MODIS image provided complete coverage of the survey trackline.

**In situ sea ice habitat estimates**

During the survey over the wintering ground, 1565 digital images were collected along the trackline (Fig. 4). This covered a total area of 71 km². On average, 196 ± 53 (SD) images were taken on each transect; however, the total number varied (113 to 252) owing to brief unexpected power outages to the camera (Table 3). Each digital image covered an area of 0.045 km².

Based on all images, an average (±SD) of 3.2% (15.9%) open water, 11.1% (27.8%) new ice and 85.7% (32%) heavy ice were calculated in the surveyed area.

Table 1. *Monodon monoceros*. Estimates of narwhal abundance from an aerial survey over the Baffin Bay pack ice in 2008 and a previous survey conducted in the same area in 2000. The 2008 survey used mark–recapture (MR) sampling (point conditional independence) while estimates from 2000 (Heide-Jørgensen et al. 2002) used traditional distance sampling (2000 estimates modified to the same surveyed area as 2008) (CV in parentheses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Area (km²)</th>
<th>Sightings (km)</th>
<th>Effort (km)</th>
<th>Sighting rate (n km⁻¹)</th>
<th>Truncation (m)</th>
<th>Expected cluster size</th>
<th>Abundance of individual whales</th>
<th>Perception bias correction factor</th>
<th>Availability correction factor (0–2 m)</th>
<th>Abundance corrected for perception and availability bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008 survey</td>
<td>9514</td>
<td>57*</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0–700</td>
<td>1.95 (0.05)</td>
<td>3248 (0.46)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.04)</td>
<td>18044 (0.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 survey</td>
<td>9514</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>–400</td>
<td>0.05 (0.16)</td>
<td>200–1200</td>
<td>1.4 (0.12)</td>
<td>721 (0.27)</td>
<td>0.50 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.23)</td>
<td>4121 (0.43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*3 sightings collected on effort en route to the narwhal strata were included; *corrected for perception bias
veyed area. Old/heavy pack ice was relatively consistent across all transects and ranged from 76 to 94%. The amount of open water on each transect was generally <2% with the exception of Transect 40 where there was 13% open water due to one very large sea ice lead. This transect had the largest number of narwhal sightings (n = 23), although it should be noted that another transect (Transect 42) also had nearly the exact same number of sightings with only 1.4% open water (Table 3). The estimate of the sea ice coverage from the continuous video recordings in the entire area was 99.83%.

### Probability of sightings with respect to habitat patch composition

Fifty-two sightings (109 individual whales) could be associated with a unique digital image on the trackline. Of these sightings, 3 were removed because the time difference between the sighting and the nearest digital photo exceeded 3 s. This resulted in 49 sightings of 100 individuals (mean group size = 2.04 individuals, Table 4). Habitat patch categories with any open

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ice type</th>
<th>No. of pixels</th>
<th>Area (km²)</th>
<th>Fraction of total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open water</td>
<td>3727</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New ice</td>
<td>14,521</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium/heavy pack ice</td>
<td>134,644</td>
<td>8415</td>
<td>0.881</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Sea ice habitat classifications from a MODIS image of the surveyed area taken on 3 April 2008

![Fig. 4. Monodon monoceros. Habitat proportions of open water, light/new ice and heavy pack ice based on digital images taken every 5 s along the trackline (data shown for 4 of 8 transects). Narwhal sightings are shown as red symbols and are scaled proportionally according to group size (between 1 and 8 whales)
Laidre & Heide-Jørgensen: Densities of pack ice narwhals

water at all were rare (145 of 1562 images) but accounted for almost half of the total number of narwhal sightings (22 out of 49 sightings). The largest numbers of sightings were made in the vicinity of 2 categories: 100% heavy/old pack category (n = 17) and <10% open water (n = 15). The probability of narwhal sightings near habitats with little (<10%) or some (10 to 50%) water was significantly higher than the sighting probability near mostly open water habitat (>50%).

The mean probability of a narwhal sighting was 0.031; however, this ranged from 0.014 in the vicinity of 100% heavy ice to 0.192 in the vicinity of 0 to 10% open water (Table 4). All habitat-specific probabilities varied significantly from the randomization expectation.

The average group size was 2.04 with a low amount of variation between categories. Only the 10 to 50% open water category had a significantly higher mean group size (3.75 individuals, p = 0.026). The heavy ice category accounted for the overwhelming majority of images on the tracklines (1227 of 1562 images).

**DISCUSSION**

**Abundance of narwhals on the wintering ground**

The fully corrected abundance estimate obtained in this 1-day survey suggests a large abundance of narwhals, approximately 18 044 whales (95% CI = 7623 to 42 712), in the relatively small surveyed area (9500 km²). This abundance represents about a quarter of the entire Baffin Bay stock of narwhals (Heide-Jørgensen et al. 2010, Richard et al. 2010). This large number of narwhals was located in an area with <3% open water (leads and cracks in the sea ice), as estimated from 3 independent approaches, resulting in an average estimated density of 77 whales km⁻² of open water. The narwhal densities detected in this area are unlikely to be representative of densities across the entire Baffin Bay. It is well known that habitat conditions favor this exact area as a preferred narwhal wintering ground. The region has high densities of their preferred prey, Greenland halibut, which are located along the steep slope of the ocean floor in an area with warm bottom temperatures (Laidre et al. 2003, 2004b). The results from this survey reinforce the conclusion from satellite tracking studies (Heide-Jørgensen et al. 2002, Laidre et al. 2003, Dietz et al. 2008) that central Baffin Bay is an important wintering site for narwhals from both Greenland and Canada.

**Previous survey of wintering grounds**

An aerial survey was conducted 8 yr earlier on 25 March 2000 (1 wk earlier than the survey in 2008) and

Table 3. Fraction of sea ice habitat calculated from digital aerial photography taken along 8 transects during a survey conducted in Baffin Bay on 3 April 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey transect</th>
<th>No. of images</th>
<th>Area covered (km²)</th>
<th>Open water fraction</th>
<th>New ice fraction</th>
<th>Medium/heavy pack ice fraction</th>
<th>No. of narwhal sightings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.766</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>11.09</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>10.59</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>11.41</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>1565</td>
<td>70.99</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Habitat associations of narwhals based on digital photographic image analysis. The probability of a sighting (P) is the number of sightings (irrespective of group size) divided by the number of associated images. The mean group size is the total number of narwhals seen in the habitat type divided by the number of sightings. Asterisks (*) represent estimates that are significantly different from the mean (bottom row); *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001
covered the same transects with a Twin Otter aircraft flying at a target altitude of 300 m. In the 2000 survey, 20 sightings of narwhals were collected and a fully corrected abundance estimate of 5348 whales (CV = 0.43) was obtained by means of conventional line transect distance sampling. Unfortunately, major technical differences between the survey in 2000 and the one reported here make the 2 estimates nearly impossible to compare. In 2000, flat observation windows, which obstructed the view directly below the aircraft, were used, the areas between 0 and 200 m from the trackline (Heide-Jørgensen et al. 2002) were excluded and no survey-specific corrections for availability or perception bias were used. The mark-recapture distance sampling estimate reported here is a better estimate of the density and abundance of whales in the wintering ground due to the direct estimation of perception bias and the use of the new correction factor for availability bias. It is noteworthy that in 2000 sightings occurred on every transect with an essentially even distribution, whereas in 2008, ~90% of the sightings were clustered into 2 transects and 1 transect had no sightings. Sea ice coverage in Baffin Bay in 2008 was 15% less than that during the 2000 survey (Heide-Jørgensen et al. 2002), though it is difficult to assess what influence that may have had on the proportion of the narwhals that wintered in the surveyed area.

**Sea ice habitat and narwhal densities**

The estimates of open water, light (or new ice) and heavy sea ice obtained from the MODIS image within the surveyed area (Fig. 3) were in good agreement with the estimates obtained from the digital aerial photographic approach. The MODIS image indicated 2.4% open water was present in the surveyed area whereas the digital photographic estimate resulted in an average of 3.2% open water across all 8 transects. Furthermore, the MODIS data and digital photographic data estimated approximately 9.5 and 11.1% of light (or new) sea ice in the survey area, respectively, and 88.1 and 85.7% heavy pack ice in the area, respectively.

The proportion of transects covered in sea ice (any concentration) was 99.83% based on binary estimates from continuous video recordings. This approach is relatively coarse given that it estimates sea ice coverage using a presence–absence method. In regions such as the narwhal wintering grounds, this methodology therefore does not identify the small leads and cracks important for narwhals that are found by means of a higher resolution method such as digital photography or high resolution imagery.

These estimates of open water correspond well with estimates of open water on the wintering grounds reported by Laidre & Heide-Jørgensen (2005b) using the more coarse resolution SMMR/SSMI Bootstrap algorithm satellite sea ice time series (daily 25 km sea ice concentration) from the National Snow and Ice Data Center. In this study, the narwhal wintering grounds in Baffin Bay were estimated to have <3% open water during the point of maximum sea ice cover in March. These results suggest that estimates of open water availability for narwhals is similar using 3 methodologies at 3 spatial scales: (1) estimates from digital photography along the survey transects, (2) estimates from MODIS satellites in the surveyed region and (3) estimates from coarser satellite imagery (SMMR/SSMI) in the entire Baffin Bay wintering area (Laidre & Heide-Jørgensen 2005b). These results support the continued use of remote satellite observations for measuring changes in narwhal habitat. This is important for monitoring the pack ice as it is financially and logistically difficult to conduct fine-scale offshore winter surveys at the frequency and spatial extent necessary to quantify changes in habitat.

This study provides the first *in situ* habitat estimates of open water habitat for narwhals and quantifies the extreme environment in which this species concentrates during winter. The estimated 18 000 narwhals in the ~9500 km² were located in a habitat with 233 km² of open water (Fig. 2), resulting in a density of ~77 narwhals km⁻² of open water. If one assumes the light pack ice habitat category is also occasionally used by whales (i.e. assuming that whales break ice at all times to breathe), an additional 908 km² of habitat would be available and estimated densities could be ~16 narwhals km⁻². This is most certainly an underestimate of narwhal densities, given that the use of the light pack ice category depends on the thickness and age of the new ice. Generally, narwhals only surface in very new ice that is <2 cm thick, which means they can use new ice when it is <24 h old.

The probability of a narwhal sighting with respect to habitat patch composition was not the highest in areas with the most amount of open water. This is a surprising result, as one might assume that whales would be located in regions with the most open water to avoid sea ice entrapments (Laidre & Heide-Jørgensen 2005b). Our observation that the probability of a narwhal sighting was significantly higher in regions with <50% open water or regions with <10% open water suggests that open water is not a habitat feature that narwhals actively select. Rather, narwhals choose optimal foraging habitat in winter, despite dense ice or limited leads and cracks that can lead to sea ice entrapments (see Laidre et al. 2004b, Laidre & Heide-Jørgensen 2005b, Williams et al. 2010). Jay et al. (2010) reported similar findings for the walrus *Odobenus rosmarus divergens*, where lack of a clear relationship between walrus displacement and
sea ice movements suggested that sea ice does not determine the area or extent of walrus foraging nor does it prevent walruses from feeding on local benthic prey.

Narwhal densities and Greenland halibut

Narwhals feed intensively during winter on Greenland halibut and select their foraging areas based on habitat attributes in which Greenland halibut congregate (Laidre et al. 2004b). The estimated density of narwhals calculated in the 2008 survey would require more than 7 t km\(^{-2}\) of halibut to meet the energetic needs of the whales given a 5 mo residency in Baffin Bay and an estimated daily consumption of 20 to 30 kg of Greenland halibut (Laidre et al. 2004a). This is about 10 times the density of Greenland halibut (700 kg km\(^{-2}\)) obtained from offshore fishery surveys in the area (Laidre et al. 2004a). This discrepancy may be due to imprecise estimates of field energetic costs for marine mammals (Acquarone et al. 2006) or because fishery data underestimate the biomass of Greenland halibut available to narwhals. Research vessels sample Greenland halibut biomass at depths <1000 m while deeper narwhal diving depths, which routinely exceed 1500 m, allow whales access to a much larger halibut biomass (Laidre & Heide-Jørgensen 2005a). Furthermore, an unaccounted for influx of Greenland halibut over the sill from Davis Strait to Baffin Bay could explain a higher halibut consumption level by narwhals than would be accounted for by standing stock estimates.

Summary

In the case of long-lived and wide-ranging species that inhabit variable environments, the selective pressure of maximizing energy gain and reproductive fitness over a lifetime should lead to the preference for long-term fidelity to foraging regions with high productivity or high energetic return (Brashaw et al. 2004). In the case of narwhals, decisions on foraging ranges are probably not individual level responses to local prey depletion, but rather are patterns developed early in life to increase the probability of sequestering appropriate food resources in specific areas of Baffin Bay. This strategy would lead to the development of focal foraging areas, or preferred foraging regions during winter.

During the last several decades there have been large-scale changes in the natural environment in the Baffin Bay area. Data obtained from satellites suggest a marked decline in the extent of sea ice in the entire Baffin Bay/Davis Strait region (9 to 11% per decade decline since 1979; Perovich & Richter-Menge 2009) and progressively earlier springtime breakup of ice in Baffin Bay (Stirling & Parkinson 2006). Ocean temperatures in West Greenland have warmed since the mid-1990s at all depths (Zweng & Münchow 2006), with an especially prominent increase in temperature beginning in 2000 (Laidre et al. 2009, 2010). This is caused by an increase in the inflow from the relatively warm Irminger Current into South and West Greenland (Myers et al. 2007). In light of these recent changes, it is necessary to regularly survey the offshore occurrence of narwhals and detect changes in density and abundance while simultaneously monitoring longer-term changes in sea ice trends. This study provides several pieces of this baseline information for narwhals, which are among the most vulnerable cetaceans in the Arctic to the effects of climate change (Laidre et al. 2008).
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