Effects of chronic low carbonate saturation levels on the distribution, growth and skeletal chemistry of deep-sea corals and other seamount megabenthos
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ABSTRACT: Ocean acidification has been predicted to reduce the ability of marine organisms to produce carbonate skeletons, threatening their long-term viability and severely impacting marine ecosystems. Corals, as ecosystem engineers, have been identified as particularly vulnerable and important. To determine the sensitivity of corals and allied taxa to long-term exposure to very low carbonate concentrations, we examined the distribution and skeletal characteristics of coral taxa along a natural deep-sea concentration gradient on seamounts of SW Australia. Carbonate undersaturation had little evident effect on the depth distribution, growth or skeletal composition of live scleractinians or gorgonians, with corals growing, often abundantly, in waters as much as 20 to 30% under-saturated. Developmental anomalies in the deepest skeleton-forming anthozoan collected (an isidid gorgonian, at nearly 4 km depth) suggest an absolute low tolerance limit of about 40% under-saturation. Evidence for an effect of acidification on the accumulation of reef structure is ambiguous, with clear indications of dissolution of high-magnesium calcite (HMC) gorgonian skeletons at depths below 2300 m, but also abundant, old scleractinian skeletons well below the aragonite saturation horizon. The latter might be the result of ferromanganese deposition on exposed skeletons, which, however, may render them inhospitable for benthic organisms.
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INTRODUCTION

Ocean acidification results from net uptake by the ocean of carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions, which causes a decrease in the carbonate ion concentration of ocean waters (Feely et al. 2004). This decrease has been forecast to hamper production of biogenic carbonates (aragonite and calcite) in the skeletons, shells and tests of marine taxa (Orr et al. 2005, Moy et al. 2009), including shallow- and deep-water corals. The predicted impacts on reefs include reduced rates of calcification (Langdon & Atkinson 2005), for which there may be evidence on shallow reefs (De’ath et al. 2009); shifts in community composition towards taxa
that are more resilient or lack carbonate skeletons; and reduced long-term viability due to the additional stress imposed on reef taxa by changing carbonate concentrations (Hoegh-Guldberg et al. 2007, Guinotte & Fabry 2008, Riegl et al. 2009, Veron et al. 2009). If reef ecosystems degrade as predicted under present ‘business-as-usual’ scenarios, the impacts on global biodiversity and regional economies will be profound.

Coral reefs in the deep sea have been identified as particularly vulnerable, due to low pre-industrial carbonate levels in their environment that will potentially be reduced even further as a result of climate change (Guinotte et al. 2006, Turley et al. 2007, Riegl et al. 2009). Direct tests of this sensitivity have not been done, but in at least one relatively shallow cold-water coral, Lophelia pertusa, calcification rates decline as predicted under low pH conditions (Maier et al. 2009). Deep-water corals may often also have very limited scope for vertical adjustment, potentially being squeezed between seamount summits on the one hand and shoaling saturation horizons on the other. Even the tops of many seamounts that currently support deep-sea coral communities may well be under-saturated in the next 50 to 100 yr. With nowhere to go, these cold-water reefs could ‘simply disappear’ (Poloczanska et al. 2007).

These predictions are based primarily on modelling studies and short-term laboratory exposure to low-carbonate conditions (Riegl et al. 2009, Veron et al. 2009, Ries et al. 2010). Their relevance to long-term exposure in the field and the potential for ecological or evolutionary adjustment are uncertain (Maynard et al. 2008). To partly fill this gap, we examined the distribution, growth and skeletal composition of corals and associated megabenthos on seamounts off Tasmania, Australia. These seamounts are of volcanic origin, come to within ca. 750 m of the surface, and support an extensive benthic community, dominated at depths <1300 m by the reef-forming scleractinians Solenosmilia variabilis and Enallopsammia rostrata, and deeper by hormathiid anemones, bathyasmatid barnacles and isidid goniontids (Koslow et al. 2001, Althaus et al. 2009, Thresher et al. 2011a). Historical analyses of the regional water column, modelling and water samples taken close to the substrata for carbonate analyses were obtained using the remotely operated vehicle (ROV) ‘Jason’. The latter were taken 2 to 3 m above the substratum, but near-bottom currents on most dives suggested that water close to the bottom was mixed. Dive details are provided in Table 1. In aggregate, the dives spanned a depth range of 729 to 4011 m, and both the aragonite saturation horizon (ASH) and calcite saturation horizon (CSH) (see ‘Results’).

Carbonate chemistry close to the seamount was determined from 11 water samples collected 2 to 3 m above the substratum using the Niskin bottle sampler on board the ROV ‘Jason’. Samples were taken opportunistically during the dive program, were obtained from a number of seamounts, and in aggregate covered a depth range of 951 to 3501 m. Results from these analyses were compared to those from water samples taken from 3 ship-based hydrocasts in the seamount area (at 44° 50.0’ S, 145° 44.99’ E; 44° 20.5’ S, 147° 16.5’ E; and 44° 18.44’ S, 147° 18.8’ E), which jointly spanned a depth range of 100 to 3547 m. All samples were taken in austral midsummer (December 2008 and January 2009). Water samples were analysed for total dissolved inorganic carbon by coulometry and for total alkalinity by potentiometric titration following standard procedures (Dickson et al. 2007). The accuracy and precision of both methods are estimated to be ±2 µmol kg⁻¹, based on duplicate analyses and comparison with certified reference material from Scripps Institution of Oceanography, California, USA. The saturation states for aragonite and calcite were calculated at in situ pressure in accordance with Lewis & Wallace (1998), with carbonate equilibrium constants from Mehrbach et al. (1973), as refit by Dickson & Millero (1987).

Maximum depth of each identifiable taxon (see Table 2) was determined from notes made on each dive, from analysis of high-resolution photographs made during the dives, and from samples collected for taxonomic, palaeoclimatic and ecological studies. Data were collected for all common megabenthos, but particular attention during the surveys was paid

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Distributional data, samples from precisely known depths for skeletal and growth rate analysis, and water samples taken close to the substrata for carbonate analyses were obtained using the remotely operated vehicle (ROV) ‘Jason’. The latter were taken 2 to 3 m above the seamount substratum, but near-bottom currents on most dives suggested that water close to the bottom was mixed. Dive details are provided in Table 1. In aggregate, the dives spanned a depth range of 729 to 4011 m, and both the aragonite saturation horizon (ASH) and calcite saturation horizon (CSH) (see ‘Results’).
to cnidarians in general and anthozoans in particular. Taxonomic resolution was to nominal species for the 4 scleractinian corals present in the area, but only to genus (or higher) level or to conspicuous morphotype (assumed to be species) for most other groups. This reflects the limit of identification possible from field observations.

Mineralogy was based in part on the literature (e.g. Lowenstam & Weiner 1989, Cairns & MacIntyre 1992), but was also verified specifically for specimens in our study area and at the depths surveyed using X-ray diffraction (XRD), followed by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) or wavelength dispersive electron probe microanalysis (WD-EPMA) (depending on the size of the sample) to quantify magnesium:calcium (Mg:Ca) and strontium:calcium (Sr:Ca) ratios (see Table 3). Wherever possible (most anthozoan taxa), we analysed a specimen taken close to the maximum depth at which each taxon was observed, to take into account possible changes in skeletal composition with increasing depth. For XRD, the bulk samples were pulverised down to a powder with a final grain size of <5 to 10 µm, back-pressed into standard aluminium XRD sample holders and then XRD data sets were collected with a Philips X’Pert Pro Diffractometer operating with Bragg-Brentano configuration and using Copper K radiation. The incident beam consisted of a 0.04 rad Soller slit and a 1° fixed divergence slit. The divergent beam consisted of a 0.3 mm receiving slit, a 0.04 rad Soller slit, a 1° anti-scatter slit, a curved graphite monochromator and a PW1711 proportional detector. Diffraction peaks were identified using Topaz software and phases identified using the ICDD database. XRF was done on approximately 0.4 ± 0.0001 g of each sample put into 95% Pt/Au crucibles with approximately 4.0 ± 0.0001 g of 57:43 lithium borate flux. The mixture was fused into a homogeneous glass over an oxy-propane flame at a temperature of approximately 1050°C. The molten material was then poured into a 30 mm diameter 95% Pt/Au mould heated to a similar temperature. Air jets then cooled the melt until the glass beads were cool to the touch. The resulting glass discs were analysed on a Philips PW2404 XRF system using a control program developed by Philips and a quantitative analysis method (‘Mineral Salts’) developed by CSIRO Process Science Engineering. In samples too small for XRF, Mg:Ca and Sr:Ca ratios were measured using WD-EPMA, following procedures in Thresher et al. (2007). WD-EPMA was also used to measure average skeletal Mg:Ca ratios for bamboo corals (Isididae; Gorgonacea) collected at different depths, from a series of analyses for each specimen that spanned its radial growth axis (Thresher et al. 2007).

Isidid growth rates were assessed using 14C analysis, either following procedures detailed in Sherwood et al. (2009) for shallow-water specimens or, for deeper specimens, by comparing radiocarbon dates of calcite sections taken near the edge and centre of the coral’s skeleton. Age was determined from the difference between the 2 dates, corrected to the full radius of the coral. This age estimate assumes that 14C reservoir ages for ambient water have remained constant during the coral’s lifetime. Skeletal densities were measured by weighing sections of the coral’s carbonate components (discs cut from gorgonian axial skeletons, sections from the growing tips of *Enallopsammia rostrata* and *Solenosmilia variabilis*, and basal sections of *Desmophyllum dianthus* and *Caryophyllia diomedea*), oven-dried overnight at 35°C, on an Ohaus Explorer balance and determining their volumes by water displacement in a graduated cylinder appropriate in size for each specimen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dive #</th>
<th>Date (dd/mm/yy)</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Geographical coordinates</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Bottom time (h:min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>382</td>
<td>17/12/08</td>
<td>Hill A1, Huon</td>
<td>44° 20.24'S, 146°53.14'E</td>
<td>1305–1689</td>
<td>7:20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>383</td>
<td>18/12/08</td>
<td>Hill A1, Huon</td>
<td>44° 19.35'S, 146°55.85'E</td>
<td>1291–1575</td>
<td>12:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384</td>
<td>19/12/08</td>
<td>North Sisters Hill, Huon</td>
<td>44°15.8'S, 146°14.24'E</td>
<td>941–958</td>
<td>10:47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385</td>
<td>21/12/08</td>
<td>Hill Z27, Huon</td>
<td>44°17.6'S, 146°38.0'E</td>
<td>1061–1240</td>
<td>10:54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>386</td>
<td>24/12/08</td>
<td>Mongrel, Huon</td>
<td>44°15.03'S, 146°6.88'E</td>
<td>729–1109</td>
<td>17:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>387</td>
<td>26/12/08</td>
<td>Hill Z39, Huon</td>
<td>44°23.35'S, 146°16.38'E</td>
<td>1439–2051</td>
<td>48:05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391</td>
<td>9/1/09</td>
<td>Outer wall, TFZ</td>
<td>45°22.57'S, 144°34.44'E</td>
<td>2386–4011</td>
<td>16:14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392</td>
<td>11/1/09</td>
<td>The 'Finger', TFZ</td>
<td>45°17.75'S, 146°06.33'E</td>
<td>2213–2898</td>
<td>14:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>393</td>
<td>12/1/09</td>
<td>The 'Knob', TFZ</td>
<td>45°08.21'S, 146°58.66'E</td>
<td>1410–1803</td>
<td>14:53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395</td>
<td>14/1/09</td>
<td>Hill K1, Huon</td>
<td>44°18.02'S, 146°26.79'E</td>
<td>1230–2194</td>
<td>23:58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Summary of ROV ‘Jason’ dive program. Site column refers to the name or number of the seamount, and Huon and TFZ refer, respectively, to the Huon and the Tasman Fracture Zone Marine Reserves.
The scleractinian sections were cut longitudinally before weighing and determination of volume, to expose inner septa and minimise air trapped inside the skeleton. The sizes of solitary scleractinians were quantified using maximum corallum width, measured using a digital micrometer (see Thresher et al. 2011b). The organic fraction of the bamboo corals was determined by dissolving sections of the internodes in 0.5 M EDTA, pH adjusted to 8.0, at room temperature, filtering the residue onto pre-weighed filter paper, vacuum-drying the loaded filters for 24 h, and then re-weighing the filter and samples on a Mettler Toledo micro-balance. Statistical analyses were done using Statview.

**RESULTS**

Carbonate saturation levels ($\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$) for the water column as a whole ranged from 2.39 (at 100 m depth) to 0.60 (at 3537 m). Values close to the seamount substrata ranged from 1.24 to 0.61. As expected, saturation levels correlate significantly with depth (Fig. 1). Comparison of total dissolved inorganic carbon dioxide and total alkalinity of the ambient seawater of the seamount samples with those taken from the ship-based hydrocasts show (1) carbonate depth profiles that are similar close to and off the seamounts; (2) a present-day ASH on the seamount at approximately 1050 m; and (3) the CSH at just under 3200 m depth (Fig. 1). Variability in the ASH, as measured from 3 hydrocasts within 60 nautical miles of the sample sites, done in January 1994, September 1996 and October 2001, was between 1050 and 1190 m (CARINA Group 2010).

Taxa recorded, the maximum depths at which they were observed, and their primary skeletal mineralogy, if any, are given in Table 2. The maximum observed depths of cnidarians with aragonite skeletons (primarily scleractinians and hydrozoans), highmagnesium calcite (HMC) skeletons (primarily gorgonians), or little-or-no mineralised skeletons (primarily actiniarians, antipatharians and pennatulaceans) did not differ significantly (ANOVA: $F_{3,41} = 0.25$, $p = \text{not significant}$ [ns]) (Fig. 2). Peak development of the *Solenosmilia variabilis* reef, an aragonitic species, occurred at or slightly below the ASH, but the solitary coral *Desmophyllum dianthus*, which also has an aragonite skeleton, dominated cliff-face assemblages to nearly 2400 m. At this depth, seawater is ca. 20% under-saturated with respect to aragonite (Fig. 1). We also found dense stands of HMC isidid gorgonians (up to 5.6 colonies m$^{-2}$) between 2100 and 2600 m (see Thresher et al. 2011b). The solubility of HMC is similar to or slightly higher than that of aragonite (Morse et al. 2006), so that these stands were 1 to 1.5 km below the probable HMC saturation horizon. Among the deepest specimens observed were an isidid gorgonian (*Lepidisis* spp.) at 3945 m, and a primnoid gorgonian, apparently *Narella* spp., at 3893 m. The former had an internal skeleton of HMC (8.9 mol% Mg); the latter most likely had an aragonite axis (based on analysis of a congeneric specimen collected at 2559 m). Both specimens, therefore, were growing in water that is nearly 40% under-saturated with respect to their primary mineral phase. Both, in fact, were growing in water that was under-saturated even with respect to pure calcite.

Skeletal composition also did not correlate with the maximum observed depth distributions of other taxa ($F_{5,27} = 2.35$, $p = 0.07$), though there is a tendency for those with calcite skeletons to be found at shallower depths than those with aragonite, HMC or no hard parts (Fig. 2). HMC echinoderms were common at depths >4 km (ca. 45% under-saturated) and a gastropod (an apparent *Mesoginella* spp.), likely to have...
an aragonite shell, was photographed at 3912 m (ca. 40% under-saturated).

The anthozoan family for which we had live-caught specimens spanning the widest depth range (635 to 3945 m) was the bamboo corals (Isididae; Gorgonacea). Isidid growth rates decline with $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ (estimated from Fig. 1, and assumed to be similar to the HMC saturation level, $\Omega_{\text{HMC}}$) ($r = 0.67$, $n = 12$, $p = 0.02$) (Fig. 3). The correlation is higher, however, with ambient temperature ($r = 0.72$, $p = 0.008$), which also declines with depth. A direct effect of temperature on growth is consistent with a previously reported relationship for bamboo corals globally (Thresher 2009) and with poikilotherm physiology generally. Dry-weight skeletal density tended to increase, rather than decrease, with falling saturation levels (Fig. 3). The exception was the deepest specimen collected, which had a skeletal density about half that of other specimens. Overall, the correlation between skeletal density and saturation level is not significant ($r = 0.02$, $n = 36$, $p = \text{ns}$), though it is significantly positive if the deepest specimen is excluded as an obvious outlier ($r = 0.43$, $p = 0.02$). The counterintuitive result that skeletal density broadly increases with decreasing $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ may reflect a relationship between growth rate and density. Among the specimens we examined, high skeletal growth rates correlated with lower skeletal density ($r = 0.76$, $n = 11$, $p = 0.006$).

Within colonies, the relative amount of organic tissue in the isidid skeleton correlates with crystal type...
Table 2. Maximum observed depths and skeletal mineralogy of seamount taxa. Generic identification for all but the shallowest material (<1500 m) is tentative, pending taxonomic analysis. HMC: high-magnesium calcite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species or taxon</th>
<th>Phylum, class and/or order</th>
<th>Major mineral type</th>
<th>Max. observed depth (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demospongia spp.</td>
<td>Porifera</td>
<td>Siliceous</td>
<td>3970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexactinellid sp. 1 (bowl-shaped)</td>
<td>Porifera</td>
<td>Siliceous</td>
<td>2782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexactinellid sp. 2 (tulip-shaped)</td>
<td>Porifera</td>
<td>Siliceous</td>
<td>2709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexactinellid sp. 3 (vase-shaped)</td>
<td>Porifera</td>
<td>Siliceous</td>
<td>2709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinernus elongatus</td>
<td>Actinaria</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormathiid anemone</td>
<td>Actinaria</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerianthid anemone</td>
<td>Actinaria</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinoscyphid anemone</td>
<td>Actinaria</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinaria sp. 1</td>
<td>Actinaria</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinaria sp. 2</td>
<td>Actinaria</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinaria sp. 3</td>
<td>Actinaria</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinaria sp. 4</td>
<td>Actinaria</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinaria sp. 5</td>
<td>Actinaria</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinaria sp. 6</td>
<td>Actinaria</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinaria sp. 7</td>
<td>Actinaria</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinaria sp. 8</td>
<td>Actinaria</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinaria sp. 9</td>
<td>Actinaria</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinaria sp. 10</td>
<td>Actinaria</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthomastus spp.</td>
<td>Alcyonacea</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leiothephes spp.</td>
<td>Antipatharia</td>
<td>Chitin</td>
<td>3752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black coral spp. (branching)</td>
<td>Antipatharia</td>
<td>Chitin</td>
<td>2706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corallium spp. (small white)</td>
<td>Coralliidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>3401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corallium spp. (large pink)</td>
<td>Coralliidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>2173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthothelela spp.</td>
<td>Paragorgiidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>1060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragorgia spp.</td>
<td>Paragorgiidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>2082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysogorgia spp.</td>
<td>Chrysogorgiidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>2800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleurogorgia spp.</td>
<td>Chrysogorgiidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>1123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isidella spp.</td>
<td>Isididae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>3892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratoisis spp. (large, branching)</td>
<td>Isididae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>1050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keratoisis spp. (fine branching)</td>
<td>Isididae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>3780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidisis spp.</td>
<td>Isididae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>3945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narella spp.</td>
<td>Primnoidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>3893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parastenella spp.</td>
<td>Primnoidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>HMC(^a)</td>
<td>2395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primnoisis spp.</td>
<td>Primnoidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>1846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thouarella spp.</td>
<td>Primnoidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>2395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokopolyzono spp.</td>
<td>Primnoidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>HMC(^a)</td>
<td>1846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stylassp.</td>
<td>Stylassp.</td>
<td>Anchothecata</td>
<td>3145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidotheca spp. (cf. L. chauliostylus)</td>
<td>Stylassp.</td>
<td>Anchothecata</td>
<td>3398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calibelemonn spp.</td>
<td>Chunellidae; Pennatulacea</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennatulacea spp.</td>
<td>Pennatulacea; Pennatulacea</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbellula spp.</td>
<td>Umbellulidae; Pennatulacea</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caryophyllia diomedae</td>
<td>Caryophylliidae; Scleractinia</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>1460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmophyllum dianthus</td>
<td>Caryophylliidae; Scleractinia</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>2395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solenosmilia variabilis</td>
<td>Caryophylliidae; Scleractinia</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>1460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enallopsammia rostrata</td>
<td>Dendrophylliidae; Scleractinia</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>1266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoanthiniaria spp.</td>
<td>Zoanthidea</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serpulid spp.</td>
<td>Polychaeta</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>4002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabellid spp.</td>
<td>Polychaeta</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>3271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaffaia jaffaensis</td>
<td>Brachiopoda</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>2066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delectopecten spp.</td>
<td>Bivalvia</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>1080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoginella spp.</td>
<td>Gastropoda</td>
<td>Aragonite(^a)</td>
<td>3912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcturid spp.</td>
<td>Decapoda</td>
<td>Chitin</td>
<td>3589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachyuran spp.</td>
<td>Decapoda</td>
<td>Chitin</td>
<td>2338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithodid spp.</td>
<td>Decapoda</td>
<td>Chitin</td>
<td>2688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munida isos</td>
<td>Decapoda</td>
<td>Chitin</td>
<td>2193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pagurid spp.</td>
<td>Decapoda</td>
<td>Chitin</td>
<td>2170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nematocarcinid spp.</td>
<td>Decapoda</td>
<td>Chitin</td>
<td>3591</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and growth rate (Noe & Dullo 2006). In our samples, skeletal organic fraction did not correlate with $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ ($r = 0.01$, $n = 20$, $p = \text{ns}$) (Fig. 3), even after excluding 2 outliers. Mean Mg:Ca ratios tended to be lower at low saturation levels ($r = 0.52$, $n = 13$, $p < 0.07$), but as with growth rate, the correlation is better against temperature ($p = 0.05$) (Thresher et al. 2010). The total range of mean Mg:Ca ratios among our specimens was small (7.31 to 8.86 mol%) (Table 3), despite large differences in carbonate concentrations.

Results for other taxa are similar. Skeletal density does not correlate with carbonate ion concentration for live-caught specimens of the aragonitic scleractinians Caryophyllia diomedeae and Desmophyllum dianthus (both species pooled: $r = 0.01$, $n = 38$, $p = \text{ns}$, $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$: 1.07 to 0.69) (Fig. 4), Solenosmilia variabilis ($r = 0.10$, $n = 13$, $p = \text{ns}$, $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$: 1.1 to 0.83), or Enallopsammia rostrata ($r = 0.50$, $p = \text{ns}$, $n = 6$, $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$: 1.08 to 0.93). Sample sizes were small, but we also saw no depth effect on skeletal density in 2 other HMC gorgonian genera (Corallium spp.: $r = 0.08$, $n = 5$, $p = \text{ns}$, depth 1061 to 2173 m; Paragorgia spp.: $r = 0.18$, $n = 7$, $p = \text{ns}$, depth 982 to 2082 m). Specimen size did not correlate with depth in D. dianthus ($r = 0.03$, $p = \text{ns}$, $n = 269$, depth range 1295 to 2395 m) (Fig. 4), a relationship we speculated might hold if there was a high physiological cost (i.e. slower growth rate and smaller maximum size) of calcifying at low saturation levels. Finally, Mg:Ca ratios for all 20 calcitic taxa that we measured were unrelated to the taxa's maximum observed depth ($r = 0.13$, $p = \text{ns}$). Among specimens, Mg:Ca ratios ranged from 0.8 to 20.9 mol%.

Anomalies in the deepest coral we collected, Lepidisis (L16), suggest that 40% under-saturation is close to the limit at which bamboo corals (Isididae; Gorgonacea) can grow. The skeletal density of this specimen was half that of shallower specimens (Fig. 3), its radial growth rate was the lowest we measured and about 70% less than predicted based on ambient temperature (Thresher 2009), and both its inner structure and outer margin showed evidence of apparent erosion and re-growth (Fig. 5). The $^{14}$C data indicate L16 to be ca. 290 yr old, indicating that despite the apparently adverse conditions, it has been able to persist over the long term.

Indications of an effect of $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ of reef accumulation differed between taxa. In the bamboo corals, sub-fossils collected at depths <1000 m were abundant, solid and appeared old. Their organic nodes were eroded and their HMC internodes were extensively bored. $^{14}$C dating confirmed that some specimens were more >1000 yr old. In contrast, sub-fossil material collected deeper than ca. 2300 m appeared to be of recent origin, with organic nodes still intact and the carbonate internodes un-bored. These deeper sub-fossils were un common relative to the dense stands of live colonies present, and consisted of skeletal material that was soft and crumbled when touched, i.e. apparently dissolving. We were unable to find what appeared to be older material at these depths despite digging in sediment/rubble banks. In contrast, sub-fossil scleractinians were abundant well below the ASH. We collected nearly 2500 essentially intact Desmophyllum dianthus sub-fossils at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species or taxon</th>
<th>Phylum, class and/or order</th>
<th>Major mineral type</th>
<th>Max. observed depth (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bathypallenopsis spp.</td>
<td>Pantopoda</td>
<td>Chitin</td>
<td>3231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathylasmatid spp.</td>
<td>Tetractiloidea</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>3909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachaelasma tasmanica</td>
<td>Tetractiloidea</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>3617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brisingoid</td>
<td>Asteroidea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>3409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smilasterias spp.</td>
<td>Asteroidea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>2733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solasterid spp.</td>
<td>Asteroidea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>3232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phrynocrinus nudus</td>
<td>Crinoidea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>4009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comatulid spp.</td>
<td>Crinoidea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>3720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermedinus horridus</td>
<td>Echioida</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>2040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinothuriid spp.</td>
<td>Echioida</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>3128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histocidaris spp.</td>
<td>Echioida</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>4011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holothurian sp. 1 (large black)</td>
<td>Holothuroidea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>4010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holothurian sp. 2 (transparent)</td>
<td>Holothuroidea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>3640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bathypectinina spp.</td>
<td>Ophiuroidea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>4010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophiocanthid spp.</td>
<td>Ophiuroidea</td>
<td>HMC</td>
<td>2560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octacnemid spp.</td>
<td>Asciacea</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asridean spp.</td>
<td>Asciacea</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteropneust (large) spp.</td>
<td>Hemichordata</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3445</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Assumption based on data in the literature or on our measurements in related taxa
depths below 2000 m, i.e. approximately 1 km below the ASH. $^{14}$C analysis dates some of this material to the Last Glacial Maximum (Thresher et al. 2011b). On most seamounts, we also found extensive, largely intact but also largely dead *Solenosmilia variabilis* reefs present to depths of about 1600 m, ca. 0.5 km below the ASH. This material was extensively coated with a ferro-manganese oxide crust. The only specimens from these depths we have dated thus far was approximately 11 100 yr old (N. Thiagarajan pers. comm.).

**DISCUSSION**

Declining ocean carbonate concentrations have been suggested to have diverse ecological and physiological impacts on marine organisms, including affecting their sensory capabilities and behaviour (Bibby et al. 2007, Munday et al. 2009), reproduction (Havenhand et al. 2008, Ellis et al. 2009) and, directly, their ability to form carbonate skeletons (Langdon & Atkinson 2005, Cohen et al. 2009, Moy et al. 2009). Data to support these suggestions are

---

**Table 3.** Mineralogy of samples collected on Tasmanian seamounts, as determined using X-ray diffraction (XRD). Mg:Ca and Sr:Ca ratios were subsequently determined using X-ray fluorescence (XRF) or, for a few small samples, wavelength dispersive electron probe microanalysis (WD-EPMA). Wherever possible (most anthozoan taxa), we analysed a specimen taken close to the maximum depth at which each taxon was observed, to take into account possible changes in skeletal composition with increasing depth. Max. depth: maximum depth observed (m). nd: not detected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Sample depth (m)</th>
<th>Max. depth (m)</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Minor</th>
<th>Mg:Ca (mmol mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Sr:Ca (mmol mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Corallium sp. 1</em></td>
<td>Coralliidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>2173</td>
<td>2173</td>
<td>Mg calcite</td>
<td>Trace aragonite</td>
<td>103.96</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Corallium sp. 2</em></td>
<td>Coralliidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>1864</td>
<td>3401</td>
<td>Mg calcite</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>104.12</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Anthothela spp.</em></td>
<td>Paragorgiidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>Mg calcite</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>102.19</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paragorgia sp. 1.</em></td>
<td>Paragorgiidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>1893</td>
<td>1893</td>
<td>Mg calcite</td>
<td>Halite</td>
<td>137.45</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paragorgia sp. 2.</em></td>
<td>Paragorgiidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>–1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Mg calcite</td>
<td>Halite</td>
<td>151.85</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chrysogorgia spp.</em></td>
<td>Chrysogorgiidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>Mg calcite</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>188.73</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Isidella spp.</em></td>
<td>Isididae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>3434</td>
<td>3892</td>
<td>Mg calcite</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>109.23</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Keratosis spp.</em></td>
<td>Isididae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>–1000</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>Mg calcite</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>85.84</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lepidisis spp.</em></td>
<td>Isididae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>3256</td>
<td>3945</td>
<td>Mg calcite</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>99.92</td>
<td>2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Narella spp. (spicules)</em></td>
<td>Primnoidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>2559</td>
<td>Mg calcite</td>
<td>Halite, possible trace quartz</td>
<td>104.72</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Narella spp. (axis)</em></td>
<td>Primnoidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>2559</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>6.17</td>
<td>8.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Primnoisis spp.</em></td>
<td>Primnoidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>1846</td>
<td>Mg calcite</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>125.28</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Thouarella spp. (spicules)</em></td>
<td>Primnoidae; Gorgonacea</td>
<td>–1000</td>
<td>2385</td>
<td>Mg calcite</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>83.54</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Black coral</em></td>
<td>Antipatharia</td>
<td>2243</td>
<td>3752</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>Aragonite, Calcite</td>
<td>209.77</td>
<td>7.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Caryophyllia diomedae</em></td>
<td>Caryophylliidae; scleractinia</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>Possible trace calcite</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>8.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Desmophyllum dianthus</em></td>
<td>Caryophylliidae; scleractinia</td>
<td>2395</td>
<td>2395</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>Possible trace Mg calcite</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>8.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Solenosmilia variabilis</em></td>
<td>Caryophylliidae; scleractinia</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>1430</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>Halite</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>9.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enallopsammia rostrata</em></td>
<td>Dendrophylliidae; scleractinia</td>
<td>1266</td>
<td>1266</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>Possible trace calcite and halite</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>9.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Solenosmilia variabilis</em></td>
<td>Caryophylliidae; scleractinia</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>Mg calcite</td>
<td>Halite, possible trace quartz</td>
<td>94.10</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gracilechinus multidentatus</em></td>
<td>Echinodermata</td>
<td>–1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>Possible trace quartz</td>
<td>29.10</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Jaffara jaffaenis</em></td>
<td>Brachiopoda</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>2086</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>Halite, possible trace aragonite</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fusitriton magellanicus</em></td>
<td>Gastropoda</td>
<td>2170</td>
<td>3912</td>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>Possible trace Mg calcite</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Delectopecten spp.</em></td>
<td>Bivalvia</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>Aragonite, calcite</td>
<td>Halite, Aragonite, trace gypsum</td>
<td>28.33</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tetraclitoidea tasmanicum</em></td>
<td>Tetractitoidea</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>2617</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>21.68</td>
<td>2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Crinoid spp.</em></td>
<td>Crinoidea; Echinodermata</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>4009</td>
<td>Mg calcite</td>
<td>Halite, possible trace quartz</td>
<td>136.54</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phormosoma spp.</em></td>
<td>Crinoidea; Echinodermata</td>
<td>1448</td>
<td>4009</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>39.55</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gracilechinus multidentatus</em></td>
<td>Echinodermata</td>
<td>–1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>Possible trace quartz</td>
<td>29.10</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ophiocreas sibogae</em></td>
<td>Ophiuroidea; Echinodermata</td>
<td>–1000</td>
<td>2560</td>
<td>Mg calcite</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>160.56</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
based primarily on short-term exposure of organisms to low carbonate concentrations in the laboratory. Alternative approaches include examining historical samples at sites where $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$ have demonstrably changed over recent decades, e.g. declining foraminiferan shell weights in the Southern Ocean (Moy et al. 2009), and the distribution and characteristics of taxa along natural carbonate concentration gradients (Hall-Spencer et al. 2008). We used the latter approach, by examining in detail the depth distribution and life-history characteristics of corals and other shell-forming megabenthos along the slopes of deep-sea seamounts and associated structure in the SW Pacific. The gradient of water chemistry spanned by the present study ranged from super-saturated with respect to aragonite and HMC to under-saturated even with respect to calcite. This gradient provided an opportunity to assess the responses of deep-sea taxa, including corals, on evolutionary and ecological time scales to the effects of chronic low carbonate concentrations.

We found little evidence that carbonate under-saturation to at least $-30\%$ affected the distribution, skeletal composition, or growth rates of corals and other megabenthos on Tasmanian seamounts. Both solitary scleractinian corals and colonial gorgonians were abundant at depths well below their respective saturation horizons and appeared healthy. HMC echinoderms were common as deep as we sampled (4011 m), in water that was ca. 45% undersaturated. For both anthozoan and non-anthozoan taxa, there was no obvious difference in species’ maximum observed depths as a function of skeletal mineralogy, i.e. the community was not obviously shifted towards taxa with either less soluble or no skeletal structure at increasing depth. With the possible exception of the 2 bioherm-forming scleractinians, Solenosmilia variabilis and Enallopsammia rostrata, both of which were found above or close to the ASH, it is not obvious from our data that carbonate saturation state and skeletal mineralogy have any effect on species’ depth distributions to the maximum depth we sampled (4011 m). With the exception of the deepest bamboo coral we collected, we also saw little evidence of an effect of carbonate under-saturation on growth rates and skeletal features. Depth-correlated changes in Mg:Ca ratios and growth rates of bamboo corals could relate to falling carbonate levels, but are more parsimoniously attributed to stoichiometric and physiological effects of temperatures that decline with increasing depth. The skeletal morphology of L16 suggests that this tolerance of low carbonate concentrations has a limit, however, in the case of isidids at about 40% undersaturated. For conditions above that, the physiology of the coral appears able to cope with whatever costs or stresses are associated with skeletal accretion in a very low-carbonate environment. These data suggest a threshold carbonate level below which the isidids could no longer sustain ‘normal’ skeletal structure, composition and growth rate, which is consistent with laboratory evidence of a non-linear response by corals to ocean acidification (Holcomb et al. 2010, Ries et al. 2010).

The observation that the distributions of deep-sea corals are not constrained by carbonate levels below saturation is broadly supported by the literature. Solitary scleractinians have been reported as deep as
6 km (Fautin at el. 2009) and isidid gorgonians as deep as 4 km (Roark et al. 2005), both reports well below the aragonite and HMC saturation horizons, respectively. Fautin et al. (2009) report that corallimorpharians, a non-skeleton-forming sister group to the scleractinians, tend to be found deeper in the Challenger deep-sea surveys than solitary Scleractinia, which they suggest is a response to very low saturation states in the deep ocean. However, they did not find a similar difference between corallimorpharians and solitary scleractinians in a set of Antarctic surveys, and noted that their observations need to be confirmed by wider sampling. It is certainly plausible that the metabolic costs of calcifying in extremely low-carbonate environments are prohibitive, but our data provide no indication that conditions below saturation per se dictate any overall shifts in community composition, again with the possible exception of the bioherm-forming scleractinians.

Two factors have been suggested that might facilitate tolerance of low-carbonate conditions by deep-sea benthos. First, the skeleton of these organisms is probably not normally directly exposed to low-carbonate seawater for extended periods. As recently highlighted by Cohen & Holcomb (2009), one or more cell membranes (the scleractinian calicoblastic layer and isidid coenenchyme) envelope the skeleton of live corals when the latter are fully expanded. This tissue layer largely or completely isolates the calcification process and chemistry from seawater (McConnaughey 1989, Adkins et al. 2003, Cohen & McConnaughey 2003) and presumably the skeleton itself from the threat of low carbonate dissolution. In that regard, predation and carbonate chemistry may jointly constrain populations of anthozoans below the saturation horizon. We frequently observed seastars wrapped around and apparently feeding on bamboo corals at depths in excess of 2 km, often in association with bare white patches of exposed skeleton that looked to have been recently stripped of live tissue (Fig. 6). We suspect that growth at these depths, and the skeletal morphology of L16, consists of episodes of predation, skeletal erosion and re-growth as the coenenchyme re-expands to cover and protect the
exposed HMC. Acidification could shift the balance of this predator–prey dynamic, by increasing rates of erosion and weakening colony structure prior to regrowth.

The second factor that has been identified as important in buffering the effects of low carbonate levels on skeletal accretion is food availability. Physiological studies of shallow-water taxa suggest (1) that calcification is energetically expensive, consuming up to 30% of the coral’s available resources, and (2) that normal calcification rates can be sustained in relatively low-carbonate environments ($\Omega_{aragonite}$ ca. 1.5 to 2.0) under elevated feeding or nutrient regimes (reviewed by Cohen & Holcomb 2009). Although saturation levels in those studies are considerably higher than those experienced by the deep-sea taxa we observed, the principle that elevated food availability could compensate for the higher costs of calcification in heterotrophic deep-sea species appears plausible. Radiocarbon and $^{15}N$ studies show that to depths of at least 1000 m, bamboo corals consume sinking particulate organic carbon (Sherwood et al. 2009). A similar food source has been speculated for other deep-water corals (Roberts et al. 2009). The hypothesis that this carbon source is sufficiently abundant to compensate for increased costs of calcification appears at odds with a general observation that deep-sea communities are typically sparse and food-limited (recently reviewed by Rex et al. 2006). Three observations, however, are locally consistent with the hypothesis. First, the Tasmanian seamounts are located close to the transition between the subtropical convergence and subantarctic front, an area of high productivity and carbon export to the deep ocean (Clementson et al. 1998). Second, although no specific work has been done on the Tasmanian seamount region, several hypotheses have been proposed regarding seamount-specific circulation features (e.g. Taylor columns) that could concentrate local primary and secondary production and facilitate the development of extensive deep-sea megabenthic communities (White et al. 2007). Third, biomass density of megabenthos below 2000 m in the Tasmanian seamount region is exceptionally high by global standards (Thresher et al. 2011a). The production that supports this dense community is not yet clear, but the dense biomass suggest high levels of food availability in the deep seamount environment.

Whatever the proximate causes, our data suggest that a change in carbonate saturation horizons per se as a result of ocean acidification is likely to have only a slight effect on most of the live deep-sea biogenic calcifiers in our study area. The 2 relatively shallow-water reef-forming scleractinians, Solenosmilia variabilis and Enallopsammia rostrata, may be an exception. Their distributions in our study area are consistent with reports that globally the maximum depths of >95% of bioherm-forming cold-water scleractinians coincide approximately with the ASH (Guinotte et al. 2006). This consistency implies that $\Omega_{aragonite}$ constrain the distributions of the colonial taxa. Why these colonial scleractinians are limited to water saturated or near-saturated with carbonates, whereas the solitary species are not, is not clear. Possibly the elongate, branching skeletons characteristic of bioherm-forming taxa are less well protected by live tissue than the more compact skeletons of the solitary species. Maier et al. (2009) noted that the growth rates of fast-growing tips of the relatively shallow-water reef-forming coral Lophelia pertusa were more sensitive to acidification than slower-growing parts of the colony. Possibly bioherm-forming deep-sea corals typically grow more quickly than solitary species, and hence are more sensitive to changing carbonate concentrations. Alternatively, the chemistry and physiology of building a complex, branching linear skeleton of
aragonite may be inherently sensitive to $\Omega_{\text{aragonite}}$, although we saw no obvious effect of up to 17% under-saturation on the skeletal density of S. variabilis. The latter could be in part explained by an averaging effect of natural or anthropogenic variability in the depth of the ASH. However, our deepest samples of S. variabilis, from 1454 m, were taken well below either the deepest report locally of the ASH (1190 m; CARINA Group 2010) or estimates of recent shoaling due to anthropogenic CO\textsubscript{2} emissions (ca. 150 m; R. Matear pers. comm.).

If direct impacts of falling carbonate levels on live deep-sea corals are relatively slight, except possibly for the bioherm-forming scleractinians, impacts on the rate at which coral skeletal material accumulates into structure-forming reefs (Guinotte & Fabry 2008) are also ambiguous. On the one hand, we found evidence of dissolution of HMC gorgonian skeletons at depths below the likely HMC saturation horizon, with little or no accumulation of reefal material. It is likely that ocean acidification will increase the dissolution rate of this skeletal material, and hence result in some shoaling and loss of HMC bioherms. In contrast, sub-fossil scleractinian skeletons were very abundant below the ASH, in places forming extensive reefs. We speculate that the contrast between persistence of the scleractinian and dissolution of the bamboo coral sub-fossils reflects in part a dissolution rate that accelerates with increasing under-saturation, and in part an effect of ferro-manganese deposits on the solubility of scleractinian skeletons (see Hein et al. 2010). The dead Solenosmilia variabilis reef below 1400 m, though extensive, is almost entirely black from the metal oxide coating. We also noted, however, that this dead reef is biologically depauperate (Thresher unpubl. data), suggesting that even if the coating conserves the reef matrix, it is not a preferred substratum for benthic organisms.
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