Geographic variation in trace-element signatures in the statoliths of near-hatch larvae and recruits of *Concholepas concholepas* (loco)
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ABSTRACT: Spatial variation of trace elements in calcified structures (otoliths, statoliths, and shells) has been used to track the movements of individuals among habitats, and connectivity between marine populations. In the present study, we used laser ablation–inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry to quantify the concentrations of trace elements in statoliths of pre-hatch larvae and recruits of the gastropod *Concholepas concholepas* from 3 regions in Chile. We also examined spatial variation in chemical signatures deposited during larval life and at the time of settlement in intertidal habitats. We found significant differences between 3 geographic regions in the trace element concentrations recorded in natal statoliths of near-hatch larvae and in natal core and edge areas of recruit statoliths. Discriminant function analysis indicates that natal signatures of near-hatch larvae and the cores and edges of recruit statoliths show spatial segregation among regions. High levels of reclassification success of larvae to the origin region suggest potential for assigning recruits to the corresponding matching region. Concentrations of trace elements in the natal cores of recruit statoliths fell relatively close but did not overlap with the discriminant space occupied by larvae, and at regional scales the pattern of geographic variation of recruit statoliths resembles that of larval statoliths. This suggests population grouping and little population interchange at this regional scale. Assessing population stocks and connectivity of this species at smaller scales along the Chilean coast will only be possible with more finely structured sampling and a better understanding of temporal variation in the chemical environment.
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INTRODUCTION

An improved understanding of larval dispersal in marine invertebrates and fishes can have important consequences for the management of marine fisheries and the design of marine protected areas (Planes et al. 2009, Halpern & Warner 2003, Thorrold et al. 2007). Larval dispersal distances determine the ability of protected areas to facilitate self-recruitment and determine the scale of spill-over into adjacent
marine areas (Palumbi 2002). Stock assessment, quantification of larval dispersal, identification of recruitment sources, and contribution of populations from different regions to fishery stocks provide information on major ecological factors with important consequences for management decisions, and all of these factors depend on knowledge of population connectivity.

The hard calcified structures present in many marine organisms, such as fish otoliths or gastropod statoliths, grow by deposition of new layers throughout the life of the organism. Chemical elements present in these hard structures may permanently record information about the chemical composition of the water masses the organisms have passed through during their development. However, the chemical composition of these hard structures can also be influenced by the physical and biological environment such as salinity, temperature, and diet (Zacherl et al. 2003a, Bath-Martin et al. 2004, Zumholz et al. 2006, 2007). Although many studies of elemental composition of otoliths have assessed larval connectivity in fish (Thorrold et al. 2002, Ruttenberg et al. 2005, 2008, Ruttenberg & Warner 2006, Hand et al. 2008), there is a limited amount of work in marine invertebrates such as gastropods and cephalopods (Zacherl et al. 2003a, Bath-Martin et al. 2004, Warner et al. 2009). Trace element analysis assumes that otoliths and statoliths act as recorders of the pre-dispersal larval stages (i.e. chemical signature of the natal site) that can be compared with the natal cores of statoliths obtained from recruits. Although several studies have demonstrated the potential uses of trace element signatures in statoliths of benthic invertebrates (e.g. Zacherl et al. 2003b, Warner et al. 2009), this approach has not been applied to the study of populations on a broad geographic scale.

In Chile, the gastropod Concholepas concholepas plays a key ecological role in rocky intertidal and subtidal habitats (Moreno et al. 1984, Castilla & Durán 1985) and is the main invertebrate species targeted by small-scale fishers (Castilla & Defeo 2001, Leiva & Castilla 2002). The sustainability of this natural resource may in part be maintained through the establishment of marine protected areas as sites of rich larval production (Manríquez & Castilla 2001). However, to be effective, larval production from marine reserves must be successfully exported to fished areas. C. concholepas spends 3 mo in the pelagic environment (DiSalvo 1988), and can delay settlement and metamorphosis in the absence of appropriate benthic cues (Manríquez & Castilla unpubl. data), so it has the capacity to stay in the pelagic phase for long periods. Genetic evidence suggests it is possible that C. concholepas may disperse over distances of several 100s of kilometers (Gallardo & Carrasco 1996, Kinlan & Gaines 2003, Cárdenas et al. 2009). There is currently little empirical evidence to allow us to quantify the effectiveness of larval dispersal in this species and the true distances over which it occurs in ecological scale. This information is important for determining the appropriate size, placement, and spacing of marine protected areas and ensuring the capacity of these areas to adequately replenish the overfished stocks of C. concholepas.

In the present study we attempted to discriminate regional differences between populations of Concholepas concholepas based on trace element analysis of their statoliths in larval and recruit stages. The advantage of using C. concholepas as a biological model for investigating connectivity is the presence of 3 discrete life stages: (1) a pre-dispersal phase that lasts approximately 2 mo, where embryos develop inside egg capsules attached to the rocks in intertidal and subtidal environments, ending with the hatching of veliger larvae (Gallardo 1973); (2) a 3 mo pelagic dispersal phase culminating in settlement and metamorphosis, as advective processes and larval behavior potentially influence the return of larvae to shore (Poulin et al. 2002, Manríquez & Castilla 2011); and (3) small benthic recruits that are easy to identify and collect in intertidal habitats (Manríquez et al. 2004, 2008, 2009). Theoretically the long intra-capsular, pre-dispersal period at the natal site allows trace elements present in the seawater to be incorporated into the developing larval statoliths, and therefore permanently tag these structures with an elemental fingerprint of the birth location. Thus, the statoliths of new recruits should contain: (1) the elemental fingerprint of the natal site located in the statolith core; (2) a record of the environment through which the larvae have passed, in the area between the natal core and the statolith edge; and (3) because of the sedentary behavior of early settlers, the elemental signature of the recruitment site, located at the statolith edge.

Our previous studies of individual larval statoliths of Concholepas concholepas by laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICPMS) showed that detectable and different levels of multiple trace elements occurred in pre-hatching larvae collected between 2 geographically separate
areas along the Chilean coast (Zacherl et al. 2003b). These results also suggested that natal signatures present in pre-release statoliths removed from egg capsules were comparable with the core signatures in statoliths removed from older larvae or recruits. Since Chile has a very long coast, latitudinal differences in upwelling, river discharges, terrigenous inputs, and land use are expected to have effects on the local availability of chemical components in coastal waters. Several perennial rivers drain the coastal region, supplying large quantities of terrigenous material from the mountains to the continental margin (Miller 1976). In general terms, the latitudinal differences include warmer waters, rich industrial and mining pollution, and an absence of river discharges in the north, while there is a distinct increase of river discharges and land use (i.e. agriculture and forestry) from the central to southern regions. We currently lack information regarding the chemical components present along the Chilean coast. However, for the purpose of the present study, the previously described variables are likely to generate considerable spatial variation in the chemical composition of seawater present in the 3 studied regions. Therefore, following the same criteria used in other studies (Zacherl et al. 2003b, Ruttenberg et al. 2005, Warner et al. 2005), we will assume that the deposition of trace elements in statoliths of *Concholepas concholepas* will reflect local differences in the chemical and physical composition of seawater. The exact principles of elemental incorporation into gastropod statoliths are still unknown, and several factors potentially affect the incorporation. However, the most parsimonious way to relate seawater metal-to-calcium (Me/Ca) ratios with the elements incorporated into the statoliths is through an ion substitution reaction in which Ca$^{2+}$ in the calcified structures, such as aragonitic statoliths, is replaced by divalent metals like Sr$^{2+}$ and Ba$^{2+}$ present in the seawater (see Zacherl et al. 2003a).

In the present study, we evaluated methods for measuring chemical signatures in pre- and post-dispersal larval stages of *Concholepas concholepas* and examined patterns of geographic variability in those signatures across different regions of the Chilean coast. To this end, we sought to develop a reference collection of natal signatures across regions. Due to the heavy exploitation of the natural stock of *C. concholepas* in open-access areas along the Chilean coast, there are inherent difficulties in collecting egg capsules from the natural stock where adult specimens are exploited. Given this sampling problem, we did not attempt to explore detailed patterns of connectivity with these data. Instead, we evaluated the potential of chemical signatures in larval and recruit statoliths to be discriminatory for different geographic regions, and explored the possibility of classifying recruit origins based on the chemical data contained in the natal statolith. We hypothesized that, although larvae of *C. concholepas* have a potentially high dispersal range, it may be possible to distinguish between larval stocks along the Chilean coast, using the trace element composition of the natal core of pre-dispersal larvae and recruit statoliths.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study regions**

We selected 3 regions along the coast of Chile with inherent differences in physical and chemical properties of the seawater (Fig. 1), and all subject to high levels of commercial exploitation of *Concholepas concholepas*. Sea-surface temperature (SST) decreases from north to south with clear seasonal variability between these 3 main regions: (1) northern (25°S), with an average mean (±SD) temperature of 17.03 ± 1.23°C (Lagos et al. 2008); (2) central (33°S), with an average mean temperature of 13.2 ± 0.48°C (Lagos et al. 2005); (3) southern (39°S) with an average mean temperature of 11.2 ± 1.10°C (Lagos unpubl. data). In general, these fluctuations in SST along the Chilean coast are dominated by wind-induced upwelling of cold waters (Strub et al. 1998). In addition, the input of river discharge into the coastal ocean also promotes a strong gradient along the coast (see Dávila et al. 2002): (1) in the northern region, there is an absolute lack of river discharge into Antofagasta Bay and surrounding areas; (2) from 30 to 35°S the rivers discharge at intermediate flow rates; and (3) in the southern region of our study (Valdivia), river discharges into coastal waters are much higher compared to those in the northern and central regions. Because river discharges represent one of the most important sources of alkalinity and other chemical elements for coastal waters (Bakker et al. 1996, Ternon et al. 2000), these differences may be reflected in the chemical compositions of statoliths of *C. concholepas* from the chosen study populations.

**Field collection of larvae**

Mature egg capsules of *Concholepas concholepas* can be recognized by their characteristic brown
coloration (containing larvae within a few days of hatching, hereafter near-hatch larvae; Manríquez & Castilla 2001). These were collected from rocky intertidal habitats in 3 regions along the Chilean coast: Antofagasta Bay, northern Chile (4 locations: Antofagasta, AAA, El Way, and Juan López; October 2009); central Chile (1 location: El Quisco; July 2009); and southern Chile around Valdivia (2 locations: Los Molinos and Calfuco; July 2009) (Fig. 1). After collection, the egg capsules were labeled, frozen, and stored until the statoliths were extracted (see following subsection). As a consequence of over-exploitation, natural populations of *C. concholepas* and their egg capsules were difficult to find in most rocky intertidal environments (Manríquez & Castilla 2001). This difficulty limited the sample size and substantially reduced the spatial degrees of freedom inside each sampling region, thus reducing the statistical power of our study.

**Field collection of recruits**

In the months following egg capsule collection, recruits of *Concholepas concholepas* were sampled in rocky intertidal habitats within the geographical locations where egg-capsules were obtained (Fig. 1). We employed a 3 mo interval between field collection of egg capsules and recruits in each region to account for the average pelagic larval duration of *C. concholepas*, and thus to ensure that recruits originated from the same birth cohorts as the larvae (Di-Salvo 1988, Martínez & Navarrete 2002, Manríquez et al. 2008, Manriquez unpubl. data). After collection, recruits were labeled, frozen, and stored until the statoliths were extracted (see next subsection).

**Extraction and preparation of statoliths for ICPMS analysis**

Egg-capsules of *Concholepas concholepas* were defrosted for ca. 20 min and then dissected to release larvae. Statoliths of larvae and recruits were extracted using a modified version of the protocols described by Zacherl et al. (2003b). Recruits with a weight lower than 0.01 g and larvae from egg capsules were suspended in an equal volume mixture of 35% H₂O₂ buffered in NaOH (0.1 N) for 20 to 30 min at 100°C. Recruits heavier than 0.01 g were dissected out of the shell, the digestive and excretory organs removed, and the remaining soft tissue was then suspended in the H₂O₂ solution as above. The released larval statoliths were collected, rinsed 3 times in ultrapure water (N-pure, resistivity >18.1 MΩ) in acid-rinsed glassware, and then pipetted onto a transparent 1.8 × 1.8 cm square of polycarbonate sheeting that had been cleaned by 4 d of submersion in ultrapure water. The larval statoliths were then air-dried in a laminar flow hood (HEPA-filter class 100) and mounted on double-sided tape (Scotch™, Zach-erl et al. 2003b). Following this procedure, each egg capsule yielded ca. 60 to 100 larval statoliths. For recruits, we mounted only one of the 2 statoliths on a polycarbonate slide using low-viscosity thermoplastic epoxy resin (Epo-Thin epoxy resin, Buehler™). The statoliths were then polished using 9, 3, and 1 μm 3M™ diamond polishing paper with a Model 920 lapping and polishing machine (SouthBay™) to expose the statolith core. We left at least 5 μm between the polished surface and the core to ensure that the core was not removed during the pre-ablation procedures and that all material associated with the natal core was sampled.
Mountings of statoliths were conducted at the Laboratorio Costero de Recursos Acuáticos at Calfuco on the coast near Valdivia. The extracted statoliths were maintained in a dust-free environment provided by a laminar flow cabinet and stored in acid-washed polyethylene vials in order to minimize sources of contamination during their preparation for transportation to the University of California Santa Barbara where trace element analysis took place. All the glassware material used in the statolith extraction and mounting was cleaned prior to use with 1 N HCl (submersion for 24 h) and rinsed 5 times with ultrapure water.

**Trace element analysis**

Mounted statoliths were analyzed on a Finnigan Element 2 sector field inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer with a New Wave Nd:YAG deep ultra-violet (213 nm) laser ablation system (with the laser pulsed at 10 Hz) for chemical analysis. The laser ablation system was outfitted with a helium aerosol carrier gas system in order to increase sensitivity through enhanced production and transfer of ablated particles to the ICPMS (Swearer et al. 1999, Zacherl et al. 2003b). For the purposes of the present study, we defined the natal statolith in near-hatch larvae as all material contained in the statolith primordium as well as other statolith material deposited while the developing larva remained inside the attached egg capsule (see Fig. 2a). This portion corresponds to the material formed at the spawning site (larval origin) and does not require further preparation. Because of their small size (ca. 10 µm), statoliths extracted from near-hatch larvae were completely consumed in a single laser ablation, with 5 statoliths ablated per egg capsule. To obtain ontogenetically similar trace elemental information from the statoliths removed from the recruits, we conducted a single ablation centered on the natal core. The core can be identified visually by a prominent checkmark that is laid down at hatching. The checkmark can be seen in larval statoliths just after hatching under laboratory conditions, and is present at the same statolith radius in fieldcollected competent larvae (P.H. Manríquez & S.P. Galaz unpubl. data). There is a similar checkmark at the edge of the statolith of newly settled specimens collected in the field (P.H. Manríquez & S.P. Galaz unpubl. data). This suggests that the 2 checkmarks can be used to denote 2 major events in the early life of *Concholepas concholepas*: hatching and settlement. This allowed us to visualize in recruits of this species 3 distinct regions for sampling: (1) the statolith core, the region located in the center of the statolith that comprised the natal signatures; (2) the mid-area, the region with material deposited during the pelagic phase prior to settlement; and (3) the statolith edge, the region located next to the periphery of the statoliths containing material deposited during the sedentary benthic phase after settlement (Fig. 2b). Therefore, in recruit

![Fig. 2. Concholepas concholepas. Photographs of: (a) a near-hatch larva in immersion oil showing the primordium (P) at the center of the natal core and the larval statolith radius (LSR); (b) an unpolished statolith of a recruit specimen showing the primordium (P), settlement checkmark (SC), and the recruit statolith radius (RSR); and (c) a polished resin-embedded statolith with the laser ablation pits at the recruit statolith natal core (NC), mid-areas (MA), and edge areas (EA). (d) Mean distance (+SE) from the P to the LSR, and to MA, EA, and RSR of specimens collected in southern, central, and northern Chile.](image)
statoliths, the core ablation was followed by 2 additional ablations at increasing distances from the core: (1) the mid-area ablation, representing the pelagic dispersal period; and (2) an ablation along the edge, representing the period following settlement. Each ablation consisted of 8 laser pulses of 0.1 mJ at 10 Hz that created a pit 12 µm in diameter and ~10 µm deep. The elements sampled included magnesium (24Mg), calcium (48Ca), strontium (87Sr), barium (138Ba), and lead (208Pb) in low-resolution mode (resolving power: R = 300) with a correction for 24Mg to account for 48Ca++ interference (see Table 1 for a summary of analyzed elements, sample size for each region, and study sites). We report each element as a ratio to Ca (i.e. Me/Ca), and corrected for mass-bias using calibration standards with known element-to-Ca ratios (Ruttenberg et al. 2005, Warner et al. 2005). We bracketed every 4 samples with solution calibration standards and ran blanks before each sample. Samples were randomized across and within blocks prior to analysis. To maintain instrument precision, we analyzed solid glass standard material from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST 612) which allowed for quantification and correction of instrument drift. Estimates of precision as coefficients of variation among replicate analyses of the NIST material, described as %RSD (percent relative standard deviation), were 2.49% for Mg/Ca, 2.36% for Sr/Ca, 1.85% for Ba/Ca, and 3.59% for Pb/Ca. Because sampled material is mixed with aspirated 1% HNO3 in the introduction system, we blank-subtracted statolith intensity values from those of a 1% HNO3 sample analyzed before each statolith. We characterized the instrument limits of detection as 3 × SD of the blanks and calculated ratios to mean Ca intensities to obtain a Me/Ca detection limit of 1.052 mmol mol−1 for Mg/Ca, 1.767 mmol mol−1 for Sr/Ca, 0.206 µmol mol−1 for Ba/Ca, and 0.424 µmol mol−1 for Pb/Ca. All ablations were conducted during July of 2010 in the Marine Science Institute Analytical Facility, University of California, Santa Barbara, USA.

We took special care to avoid ablating nearby areas to minimize cross elemental contamination between different areas within each statolith. We ablated the natal statolith of near-hatch larvae and the natal core of recruit statoliths (Fig. 2a,c) using the ablation system set with the same pit size (12 µm). This size is similar to the diameter of the natal statolith of near-hatch larvae of Concholepas concholepas (ca. 10 µm; Fig. 2a), and ablates all of the natal statolith material. We also ablated mid- and edge areas of the recruit statoliths using the same pit size (Fig. 2c). However, because of their relatively small size (54.97 ± 5.33 µm in diameter, mean ± SD; n = 20), the statoliths extracted from recruits collected in central Chile were only ablated in the core and edge areas. The larger sizes of the statoliths from northern (82.14 ± 9.55 µm, mean ± SD; n = 20) and southern (77.17 ± 12.17 µm, mean ± SD; n = 40) sites permitted ablations to be made in all 3 positions (Fig. 2c). Recruit statoliths of northern origin were larger than those of southern origin because recruits collected in the north had a larger body size.

**Statistical analyses**

Elemental concentration in statoliths is expressed as Me/Ca in millimoles per mole or micromoles per

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>Samples (n)</th>
<th>Statoliths ablated</th>
<th>Trace elements sampled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Larvae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Calfuco</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24Mg/48Ca and 86Sr/48Ca, in mmol mol⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Los Molinos</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>El Quisco</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>El Way</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antofagasta</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juan López</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>Calfuco</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>138Ba/48Ca and 208Pb/48Ca, in µmol mol⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>La Misión</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Pelancura</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cartagena</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Las Cruces</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ECIM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>El Tabo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>El Way</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Antofagasta</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juan López</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mole. Any concentration below the limits of detection (LOD) that was zero or negative after the subtraction of the blank concentration was dropped from further analysis, while positive values above and below the LOD were retained (Warner et al. 2009). All measured concentrations of Zn and most of Pb were sparse and highly variable (see ‘Results’; Table 2) so they were dropped from further analysis. Thus, we used the concentrations of Mg, Sr, Ba, and in some instances Pb (Table 2) for further statistical analyses.

In order to detect regional differences in the concentrations of particular elements and multi-element fingerprints in near-hatch larval statoliths and in different areas within recruit statoliths (natal core, mid, and edge areas), we performed univariate and multivariate analyses of variance (ANOVA, MANOVA). We also compared univariate and combined elemental signatures between larval statolith and recruit cores by region. We used MANOVA models to test for spatial differences in multi-element fingerprints using Pillai’s trace as the test statistic (Warner et al. 2009). Because all statoliths from a given egg capsule are not truly independent due to potential maternal effects and the fact that encapsulated larvae develop under identical conditions within the egg capsule, we averaged the data from the ablations performed within the same egg capsule sample. Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test was used to identify post hoc differences (α = 0.05) in Me/Ca concentrations in the corresponding test. Log_{10} transformation of the data was sufficient to meet ANOVA assumptions, which were evaluated over the residuals of the corresponding model. We also used quadratic discriminant function analyses (DFA) on egg-capule data to visualize spatial differences between different sampling regions using SYSTAT V14, and to examine reclassification success for egg capsules from those regions using Matlab® V7.1. Given the different sample sizes across regions, we used empirical priors in the DFA. We cross-validated the reclassification success using jackknife (‘leave one out’) procedures implemented using the statistical toolbox in Matlab. Significance of reclassification success was estimated using a randomization procedure with 5000 runs, as described by White & Ruttenberg (2007). Similar DFA procedures were done over recruit core and edge areas to visualize differences in chemical signatures between regions. We used the larval statolith chemistry data as a training dataset in a DFA to classify the recruits based on the statolith natal core data. Because the larvae and recruits were sampled sparsely over large spatial scales, we did not attempt to assign the recruits back to any particular site of origin. Rather, we asked whether data from recruits fell within the same discriminant space as the data from larvae from a particular geographic region, indicating a region of origin rather than a specific location within each region.

**RESULTS**

While larval statoliths are small (Fig. 2a) and completely ablated by LA-ICPMS, recruit statoliths are relatively large, spherical, and exhibit distinguishable areas from the natal primordium to the edge, demarcated by numerous check marks signifying life-history transitions (Fig. 2b). Statoliths of near-hatch larvae and recruits of *Concholepas concholepas* from the 3 collection regions along the coast had reliably detectable concentrations for 3 elements (Mg, Sr, and Ba; Table 2). In the case of Pb, only 23% of the samples from recruit cores showed levels above the LOD. Therefore, although Pb was included in univariate analysis (ANOVA), the differences in sample size did not allow for its inclusion in multivariate analysis using DFA (see following subsection).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>No. of statoliths</th>
<th>No. of ablations</th>
<th>Percent of samples with detectable levels</th>
<th>Percent of samples in which concentration &gt;LOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>Sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natal statolith</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruit natal core</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruit mid-area</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruit edge area</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Larval natal statoliths

With the exception of Sr/Ca signatures, trace element concentrations of Mg/Ca, Ba/Ca, and Pb/Ca recorded in the natal statolith of near-hatch larvae of *Concholepas concholepas* exhibited significant variations between the 3 geographically separate regions (ANOVA, *p* < 0.05), showing significant increases in concentration in the northern region compared to the southern region for Ba/Ca and Pb/Ca (Tukey’s HSD, *p* < 0.05). However, Mg/Ca levels were similar between southern and northern regions (Fig. 3). Using combined information from all elements detectable in the natal statolith of near-hatch larvae in a MANOVA, regions were significantly different from one another (Pillai’s trace = 0.932, *F*<sub>8,46</sub> = 5.01, *p* < 0.001). The regional differences in chemical signatures in the natal statoliths of near-hatch larvae of *C. concholepas* can be also visualized in the DFA space, which was mainly driven by levels of Mg, Sr, and Ba in both Functions 1 and 2, with a 68% cross-validated (jackknifed) classification success (*p* = 0.006; Fig. 4a).

Recruit statolith natal cores

Trace element concentrations recorded in the natal core of recruit statoliths exhibited a significant increase in Mg/Ca in the northern region and increases in Pb/Ca and Ba/Ca in the southern region with respect to the northern region (ANOVA, Tukey’s HSD, *p* < 0.05), while Sr/Ca exhibited similar levels across regions (Fig. 3). Combined concentrations of all trace metals recorded in recruit natal cores were significantly different among regions (MANOVA, Pillai’s trace = 0.653, *F*<sub>8,68</sub> = 4.116, *p* < 0.001). Regional differences visualized in the DFA bidimensional space were also driven by levels of Mg, Sr, and Ba in both Functions 1 and 2, and with 52% cross-validated (jackknifed) classification success (*p* = 0.0036; Fig. 4b).

Recruit statolith mid-areas

Trace element concentrations recorded in recruit statolith mid areas of *Concholepas concholepas* exhibited a significant increase in Sr/Ca and Ba/Ca in the southern region and decrease in Mg/Ca in the northern region (ANOVA, Tukey’s HSD, *p* < 0.05), while Pb/Ca was similar between southern and northern regions (Fig. 3). Average concentrations of trace metals in mid-areas of recruit statoliths were significantly different between regions (MANOVA, Pillai’s trace = 0.621, *F*<sub>4,19</sub> = 7.793, *p* < 0.001), and this pattern was driven primarily by differences in Mg, Sr, and Ba (Fig. 3). However, DFA applied over these chemical data exhibited a non-significant pattern of discrimination among regions (52% [jackknifed] cases correctly classified, *p* = 0.349).
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Recruit statolith edge area

Trace element concentrations recorded in recruit statolith edges of *Concholepas concholepas* exhibited a significant decrease in Ba/Ca and Pb/Ca, and a significant increase in Mg/Ca levels in the northern region (ANOVA, Tukey’s HSD, *p* < 0.05). Similar concentrations of Sr/Ca were observed in the 3 regions (Fig. 3). Average concentrations of trace metals in the statolith edge area were significantly different among regions (MANOVA, Pillai’s trace = 0.946, *F*<sub>8,84</sub> = 9.423, *p* < 0.001), and this pattern was driven primarily by differences in Mg, Ba, and Pb (Fig. 3). This edge area signature exhibited a significant discrimination among regions of origin, showing 53.4% cross-validated (jackknifed) reclassification success (*p* = 0.0002; Fig. 4c).

Larval statolith—recruit natal cores

When comparing the concentrations of trace metals between the larval statolith and recruit cores within each region using MANOVA, we found significant differences in southern (Pillai’s trace = 0.762, *F*<sub>4,8</sub> = 6.418, *p* = 0.013), central (Pillai’s trace = 0.753, *F*<sub>4,18</sub> = 13.703, *p* < 0.001), and northern regions (Pillai’s trace = 0.836, *F*<sub>4,26</sub> = 33.014, *p* < 0.001). These differences were driven primarily by significant variations in the levels of Mg/Ca, Sr/Ca, and Ba/Ca in the southern region; Mg/Ca, Ba/Ca, and Pb/Ca in the central region; and Ba/Ca and Pb/Ca in the northern region (ANOVA, Tukey’s HSD, *p* < 0.05; Fig. 3). In general, regardless of the geographic region, we observed an enrichment of Ba in larval statoliths with respect to recruit natal cores (Fig. 3).

Natal statoliths and recruit cores exhibited qualitatively similar geographic variation for Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca, in terms of the rank order of concentrations among regions (Fig. 3). However, qualitative patterns of geographic variation between natal statoliths and recruit cores did not match for Ba/Ca or Pb/Ca, and, for both elements, concentrations were highest in the southern region for natal statoliths, but did not show this pattern for recruit cores (Fig. 3). Recruit natal cores were classified based on discriminant functions generated using the concentrations of trace elemental composition found in the natal statolith of pre-hatch larvae (Fig. 5). Regional patterns in chemical signatures among larval and recruit statolith samples were also evident when we used larval data as a training data set in a DFA to classify the recruit natal core samples across regions (Pillai’s trace = 0.639, *F*<sub>6,48</sub> = 3.758, *p* = 0.004). However, despite the high success in reclassification of larval statolith data to the region where they were collected (77% of jackknifed classification success), only 22% of the recruit natal cores...
were classified to the region where they were collected. In general, data on recruit natal cores tended to fall relatively close, but did not overlap with the discriminant space of the geographically corresponding larval statoliths (Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Our study was able to acquire very small statoliths from 2 distinct stages of the early ontogeny of *Concholepas concholepas* (i.e. near-hatch larvae and recruits) and obtain chemical signatures of the trace elements present in those statoliths. The statoliths were collected at 3 widely separated locations along the Chilean coast which allowed us to explore spatial discrimination at regional scales using trace elements of near-hatch larvae, coupled with an exploration of classification of recruit natal cores given the chemical signatures present in statoliths of near-hatch larvae. The near-hatch larval statoliths recorded geographically distinct natal signatures allowing potential source regions to be identified in post-settlement individuals. Although the elemental signatures obtained from recruit mid-areas show signature patterns similar to those recorded on near-hatch statoliths. However, the analysis of the mid-area samples was unable to significantly discriminate between the potential source regions. This is likely due to the very low concentrations of Pb in the mid-area samples relative to levels seen in the cores and edges (Fig. 3); only 14% of the samples from the mid-area had Pb levels above the LOD (Table 2). Because of the availability of a larger suite of elements, it appears that larval statolith and recruit natal cores are the best areas within the statoliths to successfully conduct spatial discrimination between regions in *Concholepas concholepas*.

Based on statolith microchemical data, reclassification success to the collection region for near-hatch larvae and recruit cores were 68.5 and 52.6%, respectively. Those values are relatively close to the range described in the gastropod *Kelletia kelletii*, with 70% of the statoliths being accurately assigned to the collection regions (Koch 2008). Moreover, our values are below or close to values reported in fish otolith chemistry studies—80% in rockfish (Chittaro et al. 2010), 50 to 88% in weakfish (Thorrold et al. 1998), and 50 to 70% in reef fish (Cook 2011)—but fall below the 90% correctly classified in studies using trace elemental fingerprint of mytilid mussel shells (Becker et al. 2005). This suggests that the use of statolith chemistry to investigate population structure in species with life histories like *Concholepas concholepas* is adequate.

The results here cover a large geographic range of sampling and show that some of the regional differences in elemental signatures seen in pre-dispersal larvae persisted into later ontogenetic stages and in distinct areas within recruit statoliths (core, mid-, and edge areas). Moreover, the chemistry of the natal cores from all recruits in any given region was distinct from the other 2 regions. This suggests that there are discrete adult populations at these geographic scales. The regional differences in elemental signatures among samples collected over this large range may be explained by differential elemental incorporation rates influenced by factors such as different ambient elemental concentrations, different upwelling regimens, nutrient-rich rainwater runoff, and temperature, among other factors (Zacherl 2005, Warner et al. 2009). Coastal upwelling along the Chilean coast results in low water temperatures, high salinity, high nutrients, and increased primary productivity (Strub et al. 1998). In particular, barium is broadly associated with cooling events during upwelling (Zacherl 2005), and the reduction in Ba/Ca
ratios in the natal core of recruit statoliths collected from the northern region may be interpreted in relation to the presence of a persistent upwelling shadow inside Antofagasta Bay (Castilla et al. 2002, Lagos et al. 2008). This agrees with the significant reduction in Ba/Ca from southern to northern recruit statoliths, and might be associated with the negative effect of temperature in the incorporation of Ba as has been reported in studies conducted in gastropods (Zacherl et al. 2003a, Zumholz et al. 2007, Lloyd et al. 2008) and bivalves (Carson 2010). Interestingly, pre-hatch larval statoliths (where individuals are fixed in place) do not show this pattern, and instead show a significant increase of the Ba/Ca ratio from southern to northern populations. This suggests that the incorporation of Ba is not only modulated by seawater temperature. Other mechanisms such as diet can add considerable variation in the elemental incorporation into statoliths (see below). However, as consequence of the low number of samples with concentrations of Pb greater than the LODs, attempts to interpret or explain the regional differences found in the present study that are based on Pb are too speculative.

As marine organisms deposit material onto mineralized structures such as statoliths, trace elements sensitive to shifts in water properties can be incorporated into the calcium carbonate matrix, preserving records of local environmental conditions (Takesue & van Geen 2004). The observed spatial pattern of the concentrations of trace elements recorded by ablation of the larval statolith, as well as in the natal cores, mid-areas, and edge areas of recruit statoliths, indicates significant geographic variation in elemental signatures. This might reflect the different environmental availability of those elements across the Chilean upwelling ecosystems as well as riverine input into the coastal zone (Strub et al. 1998, Davila et al. 2002, Bönig et al. 2009). These elements may be transferred directly to the statolith from surrounding waters (Walther & Thorrold 2006, Thorrold et al. 2007), or indirectly through maternal effects (Lloyd et al. 2008). Elemental signatures can also be affected by environmental variables such as temperature, diet, and salinity, and by endogenous factors such as growth rates and the type of CaCO_3 crystal present within the otoliths (Gallahar & Kingsford 1996, Bath-Martin et al. 2004, Bath-Martin & Thorrold 2005, Rutenberg et al. 2005, Zumholz et al. 2006). Therefore, we cannot conclude that the availability and concentration of chemical elements in the seawater are the only factors responsible for the differences in the elemental composition of statoliths. Future studies are needed to investigate the main factors that might influence the chemistry of statoliths of Concholepas concholepas. Because we sampled both pre-pelagic larvae and post-settlement recruits within the same time frame (separated by an appropriate pelagic larval duration), it is not necessary to specify the processes that might lead to regional differences in elemental signatures, nor is it necessary to verify temporal stability in those regional differences. The empirical demonstration of geographic variation in the natal signatures themselves allows for an analysis of long-distance dispersal.

In order to maximize the use of our resources, our study employed a combination of regional-scale collections with sparse local or fine-scale sampling. Our findings on the regional scale are corroborated by a previous study of Concholepas concholepas (Zacherl et al. 2003b) that indicated larval microchemistry can be a useful tool for identifying the natal sources in this species. However, because of gaps in the sampling scheme, we were not able to define the exact natal origin of the recruits. While we have strong indications of a lack of dispersal among regions, we cannot at this point infer the potential patterns of population connectivity or the magnitude of population interchange along the entire coast of Chile. Such an undertaking would entail much finer scale sampling, and, as mentioned above, many of these populations are severely depleted. The lack of dispersal among regions in C. concholepas is not in agreement with studies suggesting genetic homogeneity and a high level of gene flow in this species (Cárdenas et al. 2009). However, a small but significant genetic structure among populations of geographically separated populations of C. concholepas has also been reported by genetic studies with microsatellite markers (Cárdenas 2007). Therefore, future joint studies of elemental fingerprints and microsatellite markers will be needed to better understand the magnitude of dispersion in this species.

Techniques in otolith/statolith chemistry may be useful in addressing questions of larval connectivity if there is spatial variation in core chemistry resulting from differences in the environmental conditions at potential source areas. We detected elemental signatures in both the larval statolith of near-hatch larvae and recruit statolith natal cores of Concholepas concholepas, and found that elemental signatures of natal cores of near-hatch larvae allow discrimination among regions. This is in agreement with previous studies exhibiting spatial discrimination based on chemical signatures of statoliths of near-hatch larvae (Zacherl et al. 2003b, Warner et al. 2009). However, the combined and single elemental compositions of
statolith cores in recruits of *C. concholepas* were different from the chemical signatures of natal cores of near-hatch larvae; both show regional discrimination, but the patterns of elemental differences were not the same. As a consequence, the recruit core signatures do not exhibit a strong overlap with the discriminatory space depicted by the chemical data of natal cores of near-hatch larvae (Fig. 5). Past studies of elemental fingerprints of pre-dispersal and post-dispersal stages (see Warner et al. 2009) found that larvae and recruit cores generally lay in the same DFA space, raising the confidence in assignment. Given the differences in elemental signatures among recruits from the 3 geographical regions along the Chilean coast, and since both pre-release larvae and recruit cores of *C. concholepas* showed significant discrimination on a regional basis, we suggest that it is likely that there is little population interchange at the regional scale.

To address the question of larval connectivity in *Concholepas concholepas* at finer spatial scales, we must resolve the problems of small and geographically sparse sample sizes, as mentioned above. However, in research currently under way, we have designed and implemented a methodology that allows laboratory cultures with known origin egg capsules of *C. concholepas* containing early embryonic stages (i.e. without statoliths) and produced by known females (to control for the maternal effect) to be transplanted to different geographical areas where egg capsules are absent. A similar laboratory–field approach of *in situ* larval culturing has been successfully applied to investigate the temporal and spatial scales of variability in bivalve connectivity (Becker et al. 2005, Thorrold et al. 2007). *C. concholepas* has the advantage that one single female reared in the laboratory is able to spawn 100s of egg capsules, making it possible to incorporate and control for maternal effects in the design of the study of natal elemental signatures.
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