Epibiotic bacterial community of *Sphaeroma serratum* (Crustacea, Isopoda): relationship with molt status
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ABSTRACT: *Sphaeroma serratum* is a marine isopod species that inhabits seashores from Europe to West Africa. The individuals live under stones in direct contact with reduced sediments and harbour a diverse bacterial community on the cuticle of their pleopods. We investigated the diversity of these epibiotic bacteria on male (pubescent and senescent) and female specimens with electron microscopic observations and molecular tools. The microbial community of *S. serratum* was shown to be composed of at least 5 bacterial morphotypes observed on the pleopodal cuticle in all male specimens. Using fluorescence *in situ* hybridization, we identified 5 major phylogenetic groups (*α*-, *β*-, *γ*-, and *δ*-Proteobacteria and *Archaea*) whereas denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) of PCR-amplified 16S rRNA gene fragments of epibiotic bacteria revealed 50 bands. The bacterial community associated with *S. serratum* seems more diverse than in other marine crustaceans, such as *Rimicaris*. The relative diversity of this bacterial community was also studied in relation to the molt cycle. The comparison of DGGE band patterns of several individuals from female, pubescent male and senescent male groups revealed that the bacterial community diversity was dependent on the sex and the age of the individuals and more generally on the molt status.
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INTRODUCTION

Epibiotic bacteria have been discovered in several groups of invertebrates living mainly in sulphide-rich environments. These symbioses were first described in the shrimp *Rimicaris exoculata*, a decapod crustacean dominant in the hydrothermal vent community along the Mid-Atlantic ridge (Williams & Rona 1986). The branchial chamber of *R. exoculata* and its mouthparts harbour a rich epibiotic microbial community (Van Dover et al. 1988, Segonzac et al. 1993, Zbinden et al. 2004). The bacterial community of *R. exoculata* comprises different bacterial morphotypes, affiliated with 2 major phylogenetic groups (*γ*-* and *ɛ*-Proteobacteria) and corresponding to 3 metabolic types, including iron sulphide and methane oxidation (Segonzac et al. 1993, Polz & Cavanaugh 1995, Zbinden et al. 2004, 2008, Petersen et al. 2010). The role of this ecosymbiotic community still remains unclear. It has been suggested that the bacteria haboured in the branchial chamber represent the main source of dietary carbon for the shrimp via digestion of the bacteria (Gebruk et
al. 1993, Rieley et al. 1999). Other authors (Zbinden et al. 2004) suggested that the epibiotic bacteria on the branchiostegites benefit from a favourable chemical environment downstream of the gills, without any clear advantage for the host (Zbinden et al. 2004). Most studies on epibiotic associations raise the question of whether the epibionts are used as food by the host. There has been no clear answer so far.

Besides Rimicaris exoculata, other invertebrate groups living near hydrothermal vents are known to harbour an epibiotic bacterial community, such as polychaete annelids (Alvinella) (Desbruyères et al. 1998, Dubilier et al. 2008) a aplacophoran molluscs (Helicoradomenia spp.) (Katz et al. 2006). Moreover, some studies have revealed the presence of epibiotic bacteria in association with invertebrates living at shallow depth in the seashore environment, as described for molluscs (Gillan & De Ridder 1997, Gillan et al. 1998, 2004), ascidians (Tait et al. 2007) or echinoderms (Brigmon & De Ridder 1998). For Crustacea, a small amphipod, Urothoe posedonis, living in marine sediment or as a commensal in the burrows of various invertebrates (Gillan et al. 2004, Lackschewitz & Reise 1998) was described to be associated with an iron-encrusted epibiotic microbial community (Gillan et al. 2004). Filamentous bacteria were dominant in the community of this amphipod and 1 of the 3 filamentous morphotypes was identified by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) and phylogenetic analysis as Thiotrix, belonging to the γ-Proteobacteria (Gillan & Dubilier 2004). Although most of the epibiotic communities with invertebrates have been described for marine environments, there is a single report of chemoautotrophic bacteria (Thiotrix) associated with the freshwater cave amphipod Niphargus ictus (Dattagupta et al. 2009).

Isopoda have been rarely investigated in this aspect. A single study has been conducted on the species Sphaeroma serratum (El-Shanshoury et al. 1994), but as it was based on a culture-dependent method, the data on this species remain limited. S. serratum is common with a wide distribution from the European coast (Ireland, Germany) to West Africa (Daguerrre de Hureaux 1966). On the French Mediterranean coast, a perennial and stable population lives in the Thau lagoon (Charmantier 1974), the largest (75 km²) of the numerous lagoons along the Gulf of Lion, with salinity conditions ranging from 22 to 40‰.

The life cycle of S. serratum lasts 2 yr (Charmantier 1974, 1978). Spheroms hatch from the brood pouch (Fig. 1A, right) in spring and summer. After several molts as juveniles, they undergo sexual differentiation. The females (Fig. 1A, center) then alternately molt and reproduce at least twice before their death. For the males (Fig. 1A, left), the puberty molt is the final one. Afterwards they are sexually mature and called ‘pubescent’; they later enter a period of physiological senescence (‘senescent’ males) preceding their death at the end of the summer (Charmantier 1974, 1978). During molting, the cuticle is shed along with the associated epibionts and a new prokaryote-free cuticle becomes available for further bacterial colonization. Bacteria were first observed on the pleopods of spheroms, particularly in the senescent males (Cazaméa-Catalan 2008), but the epibiotic community was not investigated in that study. The pleopods (abdominal appendages, Fig. 1B) are involved in respiration, osmoregulation and swimming. There are 5 pairs of pleopods (Fig. 1C), each with a leaf-shaped endo- and exopodite, that beat constantly to create a ventilation current. Moreover, very few studies have investigated the relationship between the development of an epibiotic community and the molting stage of the crustacean host (Charmantier & Manier 1981, Corbari et al. 2008). For S. serratum, it was shown that the infestation rate of their proctodeum by a commensal fungus (Palavascia sphaeromae) is dependent on the stages of the cyclic molts, with a maximum level of infestation for senescent individuals that do no molt anymore (Charmantier & Manier 1981).

The purpose of our study was (1) to investigate the epibiotic bacterial community of adult senescent males of Sphaeroma serratum, which ceased from molting. Our aim was to describe the spatial distribution of the microorganisms that developed on the pleopods of S. serratum. (2) We characterised the different bacterial morphotypes by electron microscopy and identified the phylogenetic affiliation using 16S-RNA-specific probes by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). (3) We investigated the possible relationship between molt status and the epibiotic bacterial community of spheroms at different stages of the life cycle (pubescent and senescent males, and females), which is not fully investigated in the literature.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sphaeroma collection**

Specimens of Sphaeroma serratum were collected in the Thau lagoon, on the rocky beach of Balaruc les Bains. S. serratum live under stones where they hold tight onto the surface. The stones lie scattered in the lagoon in 10 to 80 cm depth, and in contact with
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Reduced sediments. Individuals were collected in July 2008 and were sorted under a dissecting microscope. Mature males present a distinctive ‘appendix masculina’ (Fig. 1C) on the second pair of pleopods and 2 penises on the ventral face. The degree of wear at the tip of the walking legs, more pronounced in the senescent males because they lack molting, makes it possible to distinguish between young mature (pubescent = P) and oldest senescent (= S) males. Approximately 50 individuals of each category were sorted for the different tests. Adult females (F individuals) lack a penis and appendix masculina.

**Fluorescence in situ hybridization**

Specimens were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde (Merck) in seawater for 3 h and washed 3 times in sterile seawater before dehydration in an ethanol (Fluka) series (30, 50, 70, 95 and 100%, 5 min each). Samples were stored at −20°C before use. Whole pleopods were collected from the specimen under a binocular and progressively rehydrated with 95, 80 and 70% ethanol (10 min each) consecutively. They were soaked in HCl (Sigma; 0.2 M) and treated with proteinase K (Fermentas; 0.5 µg ml−1 in 20 mM Tris buffer [Euromedex], 37°C for 5 min). Oligonucleotide universal probes (EUB 338 and ARCH 915) were used for *Bacteria* and *Archaea* groups as well as more specific probes in order to screen for the main phylogenetic groups (i.e. α-, β-, γ- and δ-Proteobacteria, the *Bacteroidetes* and the *Planctomycetes*) (Table 1). A negative control (Non EUB338 probe) was included in all FISH experiments. All the probes were labelled with cyanine 3 and were provided by Biomers Incorporation.
Hybridization on whole pleopods was performed in the dark with probes at a final concentration of 5 ng µl⁻¹ in hybridization buffer according to the protocol described in Dubilier et al. (1995). After bacterial cell membranes were permeabilized using HCl (0.2 M, room temperature), Tris-HCl (Euro medex; 20 mM pH 8, room temperature) and proteinase K (Fermentas; 0.5 µg ml⁻¹ in 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer, 37°C), hybridizations were performed using 20% formamide (Euro medex) at 46°C for 3 h. Pleopods were then rinsed in washing buffer at 48°C for 15 min in the dark to remove unbound probes and were then washed in deionised water (Pernthaler et al. 2001, 2002). They were counter-stained for 10 min with DAPI (4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; Sigma, final concentration: 2.5 µg ml⁻¹) and rinsed in deionised water. Pleopods were mounted in mounting media (Prolong, Invitrogen) and observed with an Epi8Oi Nikon fluorescent microscope.

Confocal images were acquired on a Leica SPE confocal laser scanning system connected to a Leica DM 2500 upright microscope. Lasers were used at λex 532 nm for pleopods labelled with cyanine 3 (λem 550–600 nm) and at λex 405 nm for DAPI (λem 420–480 nm). A 40× ACS APO 1.15 oil Leica objective was used. Images were collected sequentially to avoid cross-contamination between fluorochromes and scanned at a resolution of 1024 × 1024 pixel resolution. Series of optical sections were collected.

### Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

For SEM, specimens were fixed in 4% formaldehyde (Merck) in filtered (0.2 µm) seawater. Pleopods were carefully dissected, dehydrated in graded acetone (Carlo Erba; 30, 50, 70, 95 and 100%, 5 min each), and critical-point dried using CO₂ as transitional fluid in a Polaron Critical Point Drier. Then samples were sputter-coated with gold (Sputter Coater SC500, Biorad) and examined in a Hitachi S-2500 SEM at an accelerating tension of 20 kV.

### Light microscopy (LM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

For LM, the samples were fixed in Bouin’s fixative for 24 h, washed and dehydrated in a series of graded ethanol (Carlo Erba) and embedded in paraplast (Carlo Erba). Serial sections 5 µm thick were stained with Masson’s trichrome (Martoja & Martoja 1967). TEM was performed on samples fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Sigma) in seawater for 24 h at room temperature. After dehydration, samples were embedded in Spurr’s resin (Agar Scientific). Semi-thin (0.5 µm) sections were obtained from the resin blocks using an ultramicrotome Ultracut E (Leica) and stained with Toluidine Blue (Carlo Erba) in 1% borax buffer for LM observations. Ultra-thin section were cut on a Reichert OMU3 ultra-microtome, contrasted with 2% uranyl acetate (Carlo Erba) and lead citrate (Carlo Erba) and observed on a Jeol 1200 EX2 TEM at 70 kV.

### Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE)

The diversity of the epibiotic bacterial community of specimen belonging to F, P and S groups was assessed by DGGE. The bacterial DNA was extracted from specimens stored in formaldehyde (Sigma; final concentration 4%) prepared with filtered sea water (0.2 µm). Individual pleopods were grinded and homogenized in 1 ml of 0.2 µm filtered seawater. Samples were cen-
trifuged for 5 min (4°C, 9600 × g), supernatant was discarded and total DNA was extracted from the pellet following the modified protocol of Boström et al. (2004). DNA was extracted with 500 µl of lysis buffer containing lysozyme (Euromedex; 30 min, 37°C; 1 mg ml⁻¹), followed by incubation with proteinase K (Fermentas; 100 µg ml⁻¹) and SDS (Sigma; 1%) for 18 h at 55°C. The lysate was recovered from the filter, which was rinsed with 500 µl of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 [Euromedex], 1 mM EDTA [Fluka]). The pooled lysates were then precipitated in 0.6 v/v of isopropanol (Fluka; 1 h at −20°C), centrifuged (20 min, 16 100 × g), washed in 500 µl of 70% ethanol (Fluka), before a last centrifugation (20 min, 16 100 × g). The pellet was then dried in a speed vacuum for 10 min before being dissolved in 100 µl of TE buffer (Euromedex), and stored at −20°C prior to PCR amplification. The quality of the DNA extract was checked on an agarose gel and quantified using a Nanodrop® spectrometer (Labtech).

The V3 region of 16S rRNA genes from bacterial communities was amplified by PCR using 2 primers, 338f-GC (Ovreås et al. 1997) and 518r (Muyzer et al. 1993). PCR was performed using PuRe Taq® Ready-To-Go® PCR beads (GE Healthcare) in a Mastercycler® ep (Eppendorf). A touchdown PCR was performed following Schauer et al. (2000): initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min; 10 touchdown cycles of denaturation (at 94°C for 1 min), annealing (at 65/55°C for 1 min, decreasing 1°C each cycle) and extension (at 72°C for 3 min); 20 standard cycles of denaturation (at 94°C for 1 min), annealing (at 55°C for 1 min) and extension (at 72°C for 3 min); and a final extension at 72°C for 5 min.

PCR products were verified and quantified on an agarose gel. DGGE was performed with the INGENYphorU system (Ingeny). PCR products mixed with 7 µl of loading buffer (Jena Bioscience) were loaded onto 6% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels using a denaturing gradient ranging from 45 to 60% (100% denaturant contains 7 M urea (Euromedex) and 40% deionized formamide (Euromedex). The electrophoresis was performed in 1× TAE buffer (Euromedex; 40 mM Tris pH 7.4, 20 mM sodium acetate, 1 mM EDTA) at 60°C at a constant voltage of 80 V for 18 h. The gels were then stained for 10 min with 3µl of 10000× SYBR® Green I (Molecular Probes) diluted in 30 ml 1× TAE and visualized on gel doc system XR (Biorad) UV transilluminator, photographed with Quantity One software (Biorad). DGGE band patterns were analyzed with the software GELCompar II (Applied Maths) and transformed in a binary presence–absence matrix of all detected bands for each individual.

For statistical analysis, Jaccard distance was calculated from the presence–absence matrix, and used to produce a clustering tree with unweighted pair-group average method (UPGMA). We investigated the differences in the number of DGGE band positions in the epibions’ fingerprint of 3 groups (F, P and S) by 1-way ANOVA and Tukey’s post-hoc test.

RESULTS

Morphology of bacterial epibions

At least 5 distinct bacterial morphotypes were observed on the cuticle surface of appendages in all the male specimens examined. Morphotype 1 consisted of small rod-shaped bacteria (Fig. 2c), which were the most frequently occurring bacteria. Morphotype 2 consisted of long and thin rod-shaped bacteria (Fig. 2d). In addition, at least 3 different morphotypes (3, 4 and 5) occurred as bacterial filaments (Fig. 3b,d,e). Morphotype 1 varied in length from 1 to 2 µm, with a diameter of −0.5 µm (Figs. 2c & 3a). The size of morphotype 2 varied from 3.5 to 6 µm in length and 0.4 µm in width (Fig. 2d). Morphotypes 1 and 2 occurred as prostrate (Fig. 2c,d) or erect bacteria (Fig. 2b). Both morphotypes (1 and 2) were also irregularly mixed in some areas (Figs. 2a & 4b). Moreover, different morphotypes of filamentous bacteria were described (up to 200 µm length), which were much less frequently observed than rods. They occurred individually (Fig. 2f) or more often as rosettes (Fig. 2e). Morphotype 3 occurred as large unsheathed filaments (Fig. 3b); their constitutive cells were barrel-like, with cells 14 to 16 µm in diameter and 12 to 13 µm in length. Some of them had started the division process (Fig. 3c). Their cytoplasm appeared to be filled with electron-lucent inclusions, which could represent sulfur granules as previously described for various sulfur-oxidizing ecto- and endo-symbionts of marine invertebrates (Pflugfelder et al. 2005, Lechaire et al. 2008) or iron polyphosphate granules as described in Rimicaris’ epibiotic community (Zbinden et al. 2008). Morphotype 4 consisted of individual cells associated with sheathed trichome (Fig. 3d). The diameter and length of the cells were 0.3 and 0.6 µm, respectively. This morphotype displayed a typical gram-negative envelope and no peculiar internal structures apart from a large nucleoid in the center of the cell. Morphotype 5 exhibited dimensions similar to those of morphotype 4 and was also enveloped in a sheath, but they mainly differed by their intra-cytoplasmic content.
Fig. 2. *Sphaeroma serratum*. SEM micrographs of bacteria harboured on the cuticle of pleopods. (a) Two types of rod-shaped bacteria: small (morphotype 1) and long (morphotype 2), prostrated on the cuticle. (b) Rod-shaped bacteria erected on the cuticle. (c,d) Details of rod-shaped morphotypes: monolayers of short rods, i.e. morphotype 1 (c), and long rods, i.e. morphotype 2 (d), prostrated on the cuticle. (e) Bacterial filaments forming a rosette-like structure. (f) Thin and thick bacterial filaments
Their cells contained at least 2 types of intracellular granules (Fig. 3e). The bacterial cytoplasm appeared homogenous, i.e. it contained a high number of ribosomes. Electron-lucent inclusions were obvious in these bacteria, in contrast to morphotype 4.

**Spatial distribution patterns and phylogenetic affiliation of epibionts**

Rod-shaped bacteria (morphotypes 1 and 2) were distributed along the entire surface of the appendages whereas bacterial filaments (morphotypes 3, 4 and 5) were exclusively located at the periphery of the appendages (Fig. 4a,b). However, the density of rods can vary widely depending on the area examined and the time elapsed since the last molt. In the portion of the appendage covered by the next or previous one, the most frequent bacterial distribution consisted of a cluster organisation for rods (Figs. 2a & 4b). But the periphery of those appendages (the non-covered portion) was sometimes entirely covered by rods, prostrate or erect, along with filamentous bacteria (Fig. 4a). The first stage of bacterial colonization of the cuticle leads to a micro-colony distribution of the bacteria (Fig. 4c,d), further leading to a biofilm
(Fig. 4b). The density of filamentous bacteria was highly variable, from isolated filaments to large areas covered by filamentous bacteria. The 5 bacterial morphotypes were also observed on the setae harboured by pleopods (data not shown).

Semi-thin sections of pleopods confirmed the irregular distribution of bacteria on the cuticle of appendages, where areas covered with bacteria and filamentous bacteria alternated with zones devoid of epibiotic bacteria (Fig. 1d). Moreover, semi-thin sections revealed that the colonization by rods existed as a bacterial biofilm characterised by a variable thickness (up to 7–8 µm). Theses biofilms also included some rare filaments (Fig. 1d). Bacterial biofilms occurred on both sides of the appendage or just on one side (Fig. 1e,f).

Based on hybridization with the universal probe EUB 338, both types of rods (morphotypes 1 and 2) and some bacterial filaments were confirmed to belong to Eubacteria (Fig. 5b). Moreover, some filamentous prokaryotic cells hybridized with the Archaea probe (Fig. 5h). Unfortunately, it was not possible to distinguish from FISH confocal images the different morphotypes of filamentous bacteria described by SEM.
observations. Among the 9 examined males, no signal was detected with the Non EUB338 negative control or with the Bacteroidetes- and Planctomycetales-specific probes. We also checked that bacteria were not autofluorescent (Fig. 5a). The most frequent morphotypes found on the Sphaeroma cuticle, i.e. morphotypes 1 and 2, were both identified as α-Proteobacteria (Fig. 5c). The filamentous bacteria gave positive signal with α-, β-, γ- and δ-Proteobacteria (Fig. 5d,g) and Archaea probes (Fig. 5h). The filamentous bacteria hybridized with the α probe were always composed of short filaments, with a few cells (Fig. 5d).
**Bacterial community according to molt status**

One-way ANOVA revealed a significant different number of DGGE bands between groups (p < 0.01; Fig. 6a). A Tukey’s post hoc test revealed that senescent (mean ± SD = 17.18 ± 3.19) and pubescent (17 ± 3.36) groups were not statistically different (p > 0.05) but that females (12.66 ± 2.90) exhibited a significant lower number of DGGE band positions than P or S group (p < 0.05).

Among the 35 examined specimens, we observed a total of 50 different DGGE band positions (Figs. 6b, 7, 8), 40% of them (i.e. 20 bands) were shared by the 3 groups (F, P and S). These common DGGE bands are not evenly distributed among the 3 groups of epibiotic bacterial communities: 7 were mostly observed in F and S groups, 3 were mostly observed in the P group and 4 were mostly observed in the S group band patterns (data not shown). Pair-wise comparison of shared DGGE bands tended to differentiate F–P and S–P communities, and to cluster F and S communities by their disparate representation in band patterns. DGGE analysis tended to differentiate pubescent isopods from the 2 other groups (females and senescents).

The differences in the composition of the bacterial communities can be easily visualized on a clustering tree (Fig. 6c). The 12 pubescent males were clustered, indicating that their epibiotic bacterial community contains a distinct assemblage of DGGE bands when compared with F and S individuals. Although 3 and 2 individuals from the S and F
Fig. 7. (a) DGGE gel used for bacterial community analysis in 35 specimens. (b) Band assignment of DGGE profiles made with GelCompar software. Underlined specimens were not used for the analysis because their DGGE profile showed clear migration problems. F: females; P: pubescent males; S: senescent males
groups, respectively, were separated from their own group, 2 highly similar clusters (> 50%) corresponding to the S and F groups were observed, despite the existence of 2 outliers inside these clusters.

**DISCUSSION**

**Composition of the biofilm**

Morphological observations of the epibiotic community of *Sphaeroma serratum* revealed an epibiotic community composed of at least 3 morphotypes of filamentous bacteria and 2 morphotypes of rods. In the literature, several morphotypes of filamentous bacteria are frequently encountered on the same host species. Although morphology alone is of little use in identifying bacteria, some were related to sulfur-oxidizing bacteria such as *Thiotrix* (Gillan & De Ridder 1997, Gillan & Dubilier 2004, Gillan et al. 2004) or *Leucothrix* (Gillan et al. 2004, Petersen et al. 2010) according to morphology and molecular techniques. In the bacterial community hosted in the branchial chamber of *Rimicaris* (Crustacea), 3 different morphotypes were initially described (2 filamentous bacteria and one rod-shaped bacterium); they were affiliated with 3 different bacterial phylogenetic groups: the ε-Proteobacteria (Polz & Cavanaugh 1995), the γ-Proteobacteria (Petersen et al. 2010) and the δ-Proteobacteria (Hügler et al. 2011). For some marine nematodes, such as *Eubostrichus dianae*, the epibiotic bacterial community on the nematode cuticle can even be dominated by large filamentous sulfur-oxidizing bacteria that densely cover the entire surface of the nematode aside from morphologically distinct smaller bacteria (Polz et al. 1992, Himmel et al. 2009). Further investigations on this nematode revealed that the members of the bacterial community were, except for unidentified filamentous bacteria, cytophagas, sulphate-reducing bacteria and γ-Proteobacteria, which are commonly found in marine environments (Polz et al. 1999). FISH showed that the epibiotic community of *S. serratum* was mainly composed of *Proteobacteria*, with representatives of 4 deep branches, i.e. the α-, β-, γ- and δ-Proteobacteria. FISH also revealed that morphotypes 1 and 2, both rod-shaped bacteria, were affiliated with the α-Proteobacteria. In addition, although not abun-
dant, some filaments were identified as members of Archaea. This high taxonomic diversity within the Proteobacteria coincides with a high degree of morphological diversity. Although the bacterioplankton community of the surrounding water was not investigated in the present study, Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria are frequently reported from coastal waters (Fuhrman & Overney 1998, Longnecker et al. 2005, Bouvier & Del Giorgio 2007, Maurice et al. 2011). Surprisingly, neither Bacteroidetes nor Actinobacteria could be detected on the spherom cuticle. This fact suggests that (1) these groups are missing or weakly represented in the environment of the spherom or (2) they do not settle on and colonise the spherom cuticle. We compared our data of the biofilm of S. serratum with published data for another crustacean species, Rimicaris exoculata (Zbinden et al. 2008, Petersen et al. 2010, Hügler et al. 2011). This comparison revealed some similarities among the different biofilms despite the fact that they developed on different body parts. The extent and nature of the biofilm, both composed of rods and filamentous bacteria, and the diversity of the composition of the community in terms of phylogenetic groups were comparable. However, some differences were observed as α- and β-Proteobacteria occur in S. serratum biofilm and not in the biofilm of R. exoculata. For S. serratum, we did not study the presence of ε-Proteobacteria, which were found in the R. exoculata epibiont community. Indeed, ε-Proteobacteria are usually associated with invertebrates from hydrothermal vents (Alain et al. 2002, Goffredi et al. 2004, 2008, Suzuki et al. 2005) and from the mid-Atlantic ridge environment (López-Garcia et al. 2002); thus it is unlikely that we would find any in the spherom environment.

**Relationship between molt and epibiotic bacterial diversity**

DGGE analysis revealed a high epibiotic microbial diversity, as 50 DGGE bands were detected by this molecular fingerprint technique on senescent individuals. The sensitivity of this technique allows another level of investigation of the diversity of the community of Sphaeroma serratum and could indicate that each phylogenetic group (5 in total) described by FISH probably comprises several bacterial species. This concurs with the fact that small and thin rods belong both to the same phylotype, i.e. the α-Proteobacteria. Similarly, the 3 morphotypes of filamentous bacteria obviously belong to 5 phylogenetic groups (α-, β-, γ- and δ-Proteobacteria and Archaea) and probably to a greater bacterial diversity. We are aware of the biases and limitations associated with the DGGE method of measuring bacterial diversity. DGGE can underestimate ‘true diversity’, as only dominant species in the bacterial community are detected (Kirk et al. 2004); moreover, different PCR products can also migrate to the same position. However, the number of DGGE bands observed in our study is high in comparison with other studies that have used this technique (Schauer et al. 2000, Díez et al. 2001), so we are confident that our description of microbial diversity is meaningful. The DGGE results clearly demonstrate that the diversity of the community varies according to the molt status. The lowest number of DGGE band positions occurs in the female group, where individuals go on molting during their whole life and get rid of the bacterial epibiont at the same time. Thus the more frequent molts in females result in a low level of diversity (~12 DGGE bands per female individual) compared with males (~17), suggesting a clear effect of the molt on the diversity of the community. Based on these results, we could expect that pubescent males, which have molted shortly before sampling, would be less diversely colonized than senescent males, which molted for the last time several weeks or months before sampling. The results were not in agreement with this expectation. The mean number of bands per individual was equivalent in pubescent (17 ± 3.36) and senescent (17.18 ± 3.19) males. The DGGE band patterns of the epibionts of the pubescent males were separated from a clear cluster comprising both females and senescent males. We cannot explain these observations by our initial hypothesis that the composition of the biofilm develops through successional stages. Females molt frequently and are therefore expected to carry relatively young biofilms, as would be expected for pubescent males. In contrast, biofilms of pubescent males were several weeks to months old. It is thus surprising that DGGE band patterns of biofilms on females were more closely related to those of senescent males. Nevertheless, the time separating 2 molts was not taken into account in our study. Indeed, the major difference between pubescent and senescent males relates to the number of band specifically shared by both groups, i.e. 13 and 1 bands, respectively. This could originate from a multiple species colonization of the new free cuticle after the last molt, sustained by the microcolony structure of the biofilm observed by TEM. We sug-
gest that on the freshly renewed cuticle, some of the bacteria species present in the environment or coming from horizontal transmission of the epibionts (Ghiorse 1984) can potentially settle and develop as microcolonies until competition occurs between species in the final structure of the biofilm. The 13 bacterial species apparently specific to the senescent group could indeed be bacteria that are able to settle and develop on a surface without competition but are unable to persist in a bacterial biofilm that is characterized by complex relationships between species and strong competition (Rao et al. 2005, Dang & Lovell 2000). In agreement with our hypothesis, Polz et al. (1999) revealed that only a few bacterial populations that developed on the cuticle of *Eubosiricichis dianae* (a marine nematode) were constitutive whereas the majority of populations were only transiently present. We corroborated this hypothesis as only 1 species was found specifically in the community of senescent males, whereas most of the species of the biofilm were shared by the other groups. Further studies should be addressed to identify a least some of the bacterial species present in the biofilm, either by band excision and sequencing or by cloning-sequencing. This could give more insights into the putative metabolic function of this biofilm and its potential role in the ecology of *S. serratum*.

**Putative role of the biofilm**

The biodiversity of the biofilm that develops specifically on the pleopods of *Sphaeroma serratum* raised questions about the interactions between the microorganisms and the host. A major question concerns the role of this biofilm or the disadvantage to the host. A specific localization of bacteria was described by Zbinden et al. (2004) in the hydrothermal shrimp *Rimicaris exoculata*. These authors demonstrated that iron deposits and the location of the epibiotic community inside the gill chamber of *R. exoculata* were spatially related. They hypothesized that the presence of iron-oxidising bacteria and iron deposits was promoted by the local microenvironment encountered in one part of the gill chamber, where O$_2$ and pH were reduced following shrimp respiration. Moreover, a potential functional localization was recently suggested (Hügler et al. 2011), with a possibility of syntrophic exchange of sulfur compounds between sulfur-oxidizing and sulfur-reducing epibionts. In our study on *S. serratum*, the translucent granules hosted by filamentous bacteria could correspond either to iron granules or to sulfur granules, commonly found in epibiotic communities hosted by marine invertebrates living in sulfidic environments (Polz et al. 1992, 1994, Temara et al. 1993, Gillan & Dubilier 2004, Zbinden et al. 2008, Petersen et al. 2010). The presence of iron- or sulfur-oxidizing bacteria in the epibiotic community of the spheroma would be consistent with the location of these filamentous bacteria, specifically on the pleopods, and with the type of environment of *S. serratum* (reduced substrate under the stones). This suggests that the bacterial biofilm could benefit from the permanent supply of O$_2$, created by the constant movement of the pleopods in order to sustain respiration for the host, together with a continuous supply of iron or sulfide from the reduced sediment. Observation from SEM reveals that the rich bacterial biofilm was strictly restricted to the pleopods; only scarcely were isolated bacteria observed on the cuticle of the body and on pereiopods. The hydrophobicity of the cuticle versus the hydrophilic structure of the bacterial wall was also evoked to explain why the cephalothoracic shield of the shrimp *R. exoculata* was devoid of bacteria (Zbinden et al. 2004). This was also observed for *S. serratum*. The cuticle of the pleopods of *S. serratum* could then present a modified structure, probably thinner with regard to the respiratory and osmoregulatory functions of pleopods. Epibiotic bacteria ingested by the host could represent a carbon source, as suggested for *R. exoculata* (Rieley et al. 1999), but in *S. serratum* we did not observe any scraped zones on the pleopods, nor any damaged biofilm, which makes this possibility unlikely. Moreover, *S. serratum* is known from stable isotope analysis as a grazer invertebrate that feeds on organic matter (Carlberg et al. 2007). We suggest that the biofilm that develops on pleopods could be the result of passive colonization, without any selection by the host. This colonization starts on the free surface of the new cuticle with microcolonies and develops until a fully developed biofilm is formed, as described for *R. exoculata* (Corbani et al. 2008). However, the bacterial settlement and proliferation might be influenced by the microenvironment created by the constant movement of the pleopods and the environment where *S. serratum* lives, closely in contact with anoxic sediment given the black colour of the stone hosting the crustacean. Moreover, if the host selects bacteria, the community of individuals from different groups (F, P and S) would be comparable in terms of diversity. When the host controls the settlement of bacteria through host defences, an extremely specific association between the host and its epibacteria occurs; this was demon-
strated for the nematode Laxus sp. associated with a single bacterial species (Polz et al. 1994). Alternatively, the surface cuticle remains totally devoid of bacteria, which is a common feature for nematodes, as the vast majority of species are free of epigrowth (Polz et al. 1992). In S. serratum, as only 20 DGGE bands (40% of the total) were shared by the 35 analyzed spheroms, and because specific potential bacterial species arose in relation with the molt, we favour the hypothesis of a non-specific and stochastic colonization.

CONCLUSIONS

This is the first report on the epibiotic community colonizing Sphaeroma serratum. Beyond the diversity characterizing the bacterial community hosted by pleopods of S. serratum is the question of the ecological importance and functional role of this epibiotic community for the host. As this community was exclusively observed on the cuticle of pleopods, we propose that the settlement and development of this community benefit from the microenvironment of the pleopods, rich in sulphide, and from microcurrents of O₂-rich water generated by the beating of the pleopods for their respiratory and osmoregulatory functions. This could justify the presence of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria occurring in the community as rods and filamentous bacteria. However, the modifications in the diversity of the community observed in this study in relation with the molt remains of great interest, as this topic is rarely investigated in the literature. Further studies are needed to identify the bacterial species that developed on the pleopods to give more insight into the metabolic diversity of the community to understand whether the spherom benefits from this association.
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