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Heading south or north: novel insights on European silver eel *Anguilla anguilla* migration in the North Sea
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ABSTRACT: The European eel *Anguilla anguilla* L. is a critically endangered fish species that migrates from coastal and freshwater habitats to the Sargasso Sea to spawn. However, the exact migration routes and destination of European eel are still unknown. We are the first to observe southward migrating silver eels in the North Sea. Eels were tagged with acoustic transmitters in 3 different river catchments in Western Europe and swam to the Dutch–Belgian coastal zone during their spawning migration. Therefore, at least part of the Western European population of eels migrates towards the English Channel, in contrast with the Nordic migration route hypothesis. This different migratory route may affect the energy reserve available for spawning and therefore the contribution of these eels to the population. As such, increasing our knowledge of marine eel migrations contributes to the goal of achieving sustainable eel stock management.

KEY WORDS: European eel · Marine migration · Telemetry · Conservation

INTRODUCTION

The European eel *Anguilla anguilla* L. is a facultative catadromous fish species with a complex life cycle, and despite extensive research, many questions still remain unanswered (e.g. what migration routes do they take, how do they orient themselves, what is the mortality rate during the marine migration stage) (Tesch 1977). As it is listed as Critically Endangered on the IUCN Red List, there is an urgent need to conserve the species. To help restore the European eel population, the European commission issued the European Eel Regulation (Council Regulation [EC] No. 1100/2007), stating that European
countries should allow 40% escapement of silver eels (i.e. the life stage of maturing eels migrating to the spawning area in the Sargasso Sea) from inland waters to the sea. To meet this goal, countries have taken actions and implemented measures such as restrictions to fisheries, restocking and remediation of migration barriers. However, due to technical inabilities to track silver eels, migration routes and migratory behaviour remain largely unknown.

As eels only spawn once and do not feed during migration (Tesch 1977, Chow et al. 2010), they must rely on their fat reserves for both migration and spawning. It is therefore generally assumed that silver eels take the energetically most favourable migration route to their spawning grounds (van Ginneken & van den Thillart 2000). Experts tend to agree on the Nordic migration route hypothesis, which states that European eels from Northern Europe migrate northward in the North Sea, passing the British Isles, after which they reach the Atlantic Ocean (Tesch 1979) (Fig. 1). Acoustic telemetry studies conducted in the German Bight demonstrated that silver eels released at sea swim northwestward (Tesch 1992, Tesch & Rohlf 2003). Research in the Baltic yielded similar results, indicating that silver eels migrate in a southwestern direction to exit the Baltic Sea, after which they migrate in a northwestern direction towards Scotland (Westerberg 1979, Karlsson 1984). More recently, the Nordic route was confirmed by a study in Sweden using pop-up satellite archival transmitters (PSATs) (Westerberg et al. 2014). Although orientation by an imprinted map is unlikely (Westerberg et al. 2014), the reason for the directional choice of the Nordic migration route is still under debate: the most frequently presented explanations are geomagnetism, flow detection, olfactory orientation and sense of depth (Tesch 1974).

Despite the plausibility of the Nordic migration route hypothesis, this route has not been confirmed for silver eels migrating from Western European tributaries to the Sargasso Sea. For these eels, an alternative and shorter route would be through the English Channel (the sea between southern England and northern France) (Fig. 1). So far, research on marine silver eel migration has been carried out on eels artificially displaced from freshwater environments into the sea (Westerberg et al. 2007, Aarestrup et al. 2009, Bégueur-Pon et al. 2015, Wysujack et al. 2015), or mainly focused on deep coastal zones and open oceans, but many migrating silver eels also have to cross large shallow tidal seas. The knowledge gap on migratory routes and behaviour in these systems deserves further attention, especially in coastal regions, which are often heavily impacted by anthropogenic disturbances.

We explored European silver eel migration by acoustic telemetry in a shallow sea, i.e. the North Sea. Cooperation between separate projects in Belgium, Germany and The Netherlands allowed us to cover a wide geographical range of this species. The results provide novel insights into the marine migration routes and behaviour of European eel, which may contribute to a more efficient conservation of the species; the results also emphasize the importance of international cooperation in order to address these large-scale fish migration issues.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**General methodology**

This study was based on detections of tagged eels from the LifeWatch observatory, which installed a permanent acoustic network at the Dutch-Belgian coast (www.lifewatch.be/en/fish-acoustic-receiver-network) (Fig. 2). The detected eels were tagged for other independent projects focusing on eel migration at migration barriers and in altered river systems. The pro-
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jects used identical acoustic telemetry, which provided the opportunity to investigate marine silver eel migration routes. In 4 different projects, eels were caught in fresh water, tagged and released at their catch location (see Fig. 2, Table S1 in the Supplement at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m554p257_supp.pdf). The studies were conducted in 3 different catchments in Western Europe: 2 in the Ems catchment, one in the Scheldt catchment and one in the Meuse catchment. Although the aim of the original projects differed, it was the observations at marine detection stations of these tagged eels that were used for this study.

Description of experiments

Within the framework of the LifeWatch observatory, a permanent acoustic network of 54 automatic listening stations (ALS) (VR2W, VEMCO) has been operational since the spring of 2014 in the Scheldt Estuary (33 ALSs) and the Belgian part of the North Sea (BPNS) (21 ALSs). The ALSs are moored at strategic locations to maximise the probability of detection (see Fig. 2): 2 arrays in the Scheldt Estuary to detect incoming and outgoing fish, and a scattered pattern in the BPNS (since it is impossible to cover the whole area). The network in the BPNS focuses on the nearshore region, as most of the eels were expected there. ALSs were moored at navigational buoys with stainless steel chains ca. 4 m long, weighing ca. 17.5 kg. ALSs were attached to the chain with stainless steel clamping rings, with the hydrophone directed downwards (Fig. S1 in the Supplement).

As stated previously, in this study we used information from a number of independent experiments in which eels were tagged. In the summer of 2012, we tagged 73 eels at a polder area in Boekhoute (Scheldt catchment, Belgium) to investigate the effect of a pumping station on silver eel mortality and behaviour during downstream migration (Buyssse et al. 2015). In 2013 and 2014, we tagged a total of 60 eels at the Albert Channel in Genk (Meuse catchment, Belgium) to investigate silver eel migration in relation to sluices and anthropogenically influenced...
Table 1. Tag number, body weight, total length, pectoral fin length, eye diameter, release date and life stage of detected eels, and release location and catchment within the specific water body. Stage FIII is when metamorphosis to silver eel begins; Stage FIV is the stage at which eels begin their downstream movement and stop growing. Stage FV is the migrating stage (Durif et al. 2005).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag ID</th>
<th>Body weight (g)</th>
<th>Total length (mm)</th>
<th>Pectoral fin length (mm)</th>
<th>Eye diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Release date</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Release location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17773</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>33.97</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>FIV</td>
<td>Einen, Ems catchment (Ems River)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19433</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>FV</td>
<td>Delfzijl, Ems catchment (Ems Channel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19439</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>FIV</td>
<td>Delfzijl, Ems catchment (Ems Channel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19442</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>FIII</td>
<td>Delfzijl, Ems catchment (Ems Channel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19450</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>37.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>FV</td>
<td>Delfzijl, Ems catchment (Ems Channel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19459</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>FV</td>
<td>Delfzijl, Ems catchment (Ems Channel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26488</td>
<td>1088</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>40.76</td>
<td>9.63</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>FV</td>
<td>Genk, Meuse catchment (Albert Channel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26491</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>FV</td>
<td>Genk, Meuse catchment (Albert Channel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28261</td>
<td>1229</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>34.85</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>FV</td>
<td>Genk, Meuse catchment (Albert Channel)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31877</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>38.60</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>FV</td>
<td>Boekhoute, Scheldt catchment (Zwartesluisbeek)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31877</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>38.60</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>FV</td>
<td>Boekhoute, Scheldt catchment (Zwartesluisbeek)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Between 25 October 2014 and 17 October 2015, 11 eels were detected at the marine acoustic network; 7 originating from the Ems catchment (6 from The Netherlands and 1 from Germany), 3 from the Meuse catchment (Belgium) and 1 from the Scheldt catchment (Belgium) (Fig. 2, Table 1). Of the 40 eels tagged in the polder area at Delfzijl (The Netherlands), 30 migrated successfully to sea as they were detected at an ALS on the seaside of the pumping station. Of these 30 eels, 6 (20%) were detected at the Dutch–Belgian coast and hence migrated southwards. In the Albert Channel (Belgium), 3 of the 60 eels migrated to sea and all 3 were detected (100%). Of the 73 eels tagged in the polder area at Boekhoute (Belgium), 2 migrated to sea, 1 of which (50%) was detected at the marine acoustic network. Although 93 of the 185 tagged eels in Einen (Germany)
reached the North Sea, only one (≤1%) was detected in the BPNS. The reason can only be speculated, but it is possible that some of these eels took the Nordic migration route (Westerberg et al. 2014) or resided in the Ems until conditions became more favourable to proceed their migration. Migratory eels have indeed been observed to undergo a resident stage in the lower part of rivers and estuaries (Aarestrup et al. 2008). Given the vast area of the North Sea, these detection rates are high and indicate a route that may well be chosen by a larger part of the eel population.

Our results are the first to show a southwest oriented migration route of silver eels emigrating from 3 different Western European catchments, heading to the English Channel in order to exit the North Sea on their way to the Sargasso Sea. This is in contrast with the Nordic migration route hypothesis, and demonstrates that at least some of the Western European eels take another route. Moreover, we present results on eels that were tagged in freshwater and released on-site, in contrast to previous studies (Tesch 1974, 1979, 1992, Aarestrup et al. 2009, Wysujack et al. 2015) in which eels were translocated from freshwater habitats to the sea. It is not clear if translocation affects eel behaviour, but allowing them to emigrate naturally avoids this potential bias.

Eels were only detected from mid-October until early February; no detections were obtained between March and October 2015. Ten eels were detected less than 20 km offshore, whereas only one was approximately 50 km offshore. It should be noted that 15 out of 21 ALSs in the BPNS are located within 30 km offshore, which could explain why the majority of eels was detected within this zone. Ten eels were detected at single ALSs only, but all of them at different locations in the BPNS. These eels were each detected less than 10 times by an ALS and always within a single hour, indicating that they were migrating through the detection field without residing there for longer periods. Only 1 eel was detected by 4 different ALSs, 3 of which were located at the mouth of the Scheldt Estuary and one in the BPNS.

Swim speed

Marine migration speed was calculated for the 6 eels from Delfzijl, 1 eel from Boekhoute and 1 eel from Genk that migrated via the Scheldt Estuary since these eels were detected at the channel mouths at sea (see Table S2 in the Supplement). The other 2 eels from Genk and one from Einen were not detected at the river mouths, since no ALSs were present in that area. As migration behaviour in freshwater and the marine environment might differ considerably (e.g. retention periods resulting in an over-estimation of swim speed), the swim speeds of the latter 3 eels will not be discussed. We found a mean (±SD) swim speed of 20 ± 4.5 km d⁻¹ (range: 12 to 25 km d⁻¹) for the eels from Delfzijl and 24 km d⁻¹ for the eel from Boekhoute. Such swim speeds are in accordance with Westerberg et al. (2014). The eel from Genk migrated at a speed of only 1 km d⁻¹, which is probably due to a retention period in the Scheldt Estuary (Aarestrup et al. 2008).

Diurnal activity and flow-related migration patterns

Seven eels were detected at night, 3 during daytime and 1 eel was detected during both day and night. This is roughly in accordance with the general assumption that eels migrate at night to avoid predators (Westerberg et al. 2007). However, a recent study documented eel migration during both day and night (Wysujack et al. 2015). Moreover, diurnal migratory behaviour in the North Sea may also be affected by high turbidity.

The tidal action in the North Sea results in a change of flow direction twice per day. As such, the southward ebb tidal flow is directed towards the English Channel twice per day, whereas the net residual flow over the tides is directed northwards. The majority of the eels (73%) were detected during a southward tidal flow (Table S2). This suggests that eels selectively use tidal flow in the North Sea to migrate in an energetically favourable way, a behaviour known as selective tidal stream transport: a fish ascends into the water column when the transporting tide is in the preferred direction and descends to the bottom to maintain its position in the opposing tidal current. Selective tidal stream transport has been documented for both the European eel (McCleave & Arnold 1999) and the American eel (Parker & McCleave 1997, Béguer-Pon et al. 2014). The application of PSATs in further research could provide more insight into this issue.

CONCLUSIONS

Our results provide strong evidence that at least part of the Western European population of silver eels migrate southwestward in the North Sea and use the English Channel as a migration route. Moreover,
we have shown that at least some eels from different catchments in Belgium, Germany and The Netherlands use this migration route. Our results also suggest that eels are predominantly active at night and selectively use tidal flow to migrate. This study highlights that acoustic telemetry is an effective technique to track eels over large distances, and in coastal marine environments. However, to answer questions about the migratory behaviour of European eel in the Atlantic Ocean, other techniques such as PSATs may be better suited. Further research on migration routes and behaviour could help resolve the question of how many of the silver eels escaping to sea actually reach the spawning ground and effectively contribute to recruitment. Furthermore, different migration routes may have different bio-energetic implications: some routes may be energetically more demanding, leaving less energy for spawning. As such, management might need to focus more on areas where eels take an energetically favourable route and contribute more to spawning. However, we do not have enough information yet to determine whether something significant is happening during marine migration and whether the inland management actions taken are effective. To further clarify migration behaviour of diadromous fish in the marine phase, transnational cooperation and investment in large-scale marine detection arrays (which enable the study of migration routes and behaviour) are needed, and could be instrumental in the conservation of diadromous fish species in general, and European eel in particular.
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