Mapping the diversity of spectral shapes discriminates between adjacent benthic biophonies
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ABSTRACT: Coastal soundscapes are dominated by broadband transient sounds primarily emitted by benthic invertebrates. These sounds are characterized by a very large dynamic of amplitude. The loudest ones propagate further and interfere with the detectability of benthic sounds by invading other more distant habitats. Acoustic diversity assessment is therefore biased when applying acoustic indices related to the signal’s power. Here, we propose new acoustic indices (IDSS: indices of the diversity of spectral shape) capable of extracting the diversity of the benthic invertebrate biophony (BIB) despite interference from loud and abundant sounds. A passive acoustic ecological survey was conducted in a shallow Mediterranean bay with a small-scale mosaic of biocenosis. The sound pressure level and spectrum of the BIB revealed that the rocky fringe had the most powerful biophony, propagating up to 3680 m, thus ‘invading’ other habitats. However, these power-based indices failed to depict BIB diversity. The IDSS allowed us to discriminate BIB diversity despite the interfering rocky fringe biophony, including low-power sounds not depicted by traditional power-based methods. Four main categories of benthic invertebrates sounds (BIS) spectra were found. Two categories (high-power, peak frequencies ~3 to 4 kHz) were mainly linked to the rocky fringe. Their contribution to the diversity (56\%) decreased with increasing distance to the fringe, where low-power BIS (peak frequencies above 15 kHz) predominantly contributed to the BIB (42\%) and may be specific to coralligenous reefs. The IDSS enables a better characterization and quantification of BIB diversity and soundscape structure with a fine spatial resolution (~200 m).
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INTRODUCTION

The underwater soundscape is a collection of sounds that emanates from a marine landscapes or passes through it (Krause 1987). It is composed of natural abiotic ambient sounds (geophony; Kinda et al. 2013, 2015, Mathias et al. 2016), anthropogenic sounds (anthrophony; Hildebrand 2009, Gervaise et al. 2012) and sounds from marine fauna (biophony).

The main contributors to biophony are cetaceans (Au & Hastings 2008), fishes (Amorim 2006, Rountree et al. 2006, Luczкович et al. 2008) and benthic invertebrates (Patek 2001, Popper et al. 2001, Coquereau et al. 2016a). Benthic invertebrates emit a variety of isolated transient sounds that eventually create mass phenomena such as choruses. Snapping shrimps (among the Alpheidae family) produce among the loudest sounds in marine coastal environments, and are known to dominate the soundscapes of coastal environments (Johnson et al. 1947, Au & Banks 1998,
Versluis et al. 2000, Chitre et al. 2012). However, the benthic invertebrate biophony goes beyond the contribution of snapping shrimps, since sea urchins (Radford et al. 2008), crustaceans (Popper et al. 2001, Coquereau et al. 2016b), bivalves (Di Iorio et al. 2012) and benthic populations in general (Coquereau et al. 2016a) are also known to produce audible and distinct acoustic signals (namely broadband transient signals) while feeding, moving, etc. Altogether, these sounds, in this study referred to as benthic invertebrate sounds (BIS), contribute to benthic invertebrate biophony (BIB).

During the last decade, it has been shown that underwater soundscapes are used by larvae of vertebrate and invertebrate marine organisms as a cue to choose a suitable habitat for their development during the transition from the pelagic to the coastal phase (Montgomery et al. 2006, Stanley et al. 2012, Parmentier et al. 2015, Lillis et al. 2015), and then used by adults to move towards feeding and breeding areas (Ellers 1995, Simpson et al. 2005, Huijbers et al. 2012). This new field of study (referred to as ecoacoustics, Sueur & Farina 2015; or soundscape ecology, Pijanowski et al. 2015, Simpson et al. 2005, Huijbers et al. 2012) and benthic populations in general (Coquereau et al. 2016a) are also known to produce audible and distinct acoustic signals (namely broadband transient signals) while feeding, moving, etc. Altogether, these acoustic signals (namely broadband transient signals) while feeding, moving, etc. Altogether, these sounds, in this study referred to as benthic invertebrate sounds (BIS), contribute to benthic invertebrate biophony (BIB).

The marine environment facilitates the propagation of acoustic waves (Urick 1967), and noise levels emitted by different sound sources such as bivalves, echinoderms or crustaceans can be very different (Ferguson & Cleary 2001, Coquereau et al. 2016a).

Describing a biophony at a sampling point based on its power or number of BIS per unit of time can mask low-power but ecologically relevant pulses. Consequently, this masking could significantly skew the results of PAES aimed at assessing the biodiversity of a site, as only high-energy BIS (such as those produced by snapping shrimps) are taken into account. This effect is very well illustrated and explained by McWilliam & Hawkins (2013), who studied the soundscape of a small bay (600 × 400 m) with a patchwork of 4 habitats. They showed that the variability of the acoustic indices was more related to the distance between the measurement points and the site hosting snapping shrimps than to the nature of the habitat at the sampling point itself.

However, with the exception of McWilliam & Hawkins (2013), these recent studies realize a proof-of-concept by choosing either sufficiently large spatial scales so that the sampling points are acoustically isolated from each other (i.e. the soundscapes recorded at each measurement point do not overlap) or very different, contrasting habitats. The indices used are mainly based on the acoustic power in a given frequency band (sound pressure level [SPL] in dB re 1 μPa), on the acoustic power as a function of the frequencies (power spectrum) and on the number of powerful biological sounds (BIS counts) (Table S1). These power-based indices are appropriate in the context of larval, juvenile and adult recruitment, where we suppose that the suitable habitats for larval development have a specific and louder acoustic signature.

The marine environment facilitates the propagation of acoustic waves (Urick 1967), and noise levels emitted by different sound sources such as bivalves, echinoderms or crustaceans can be very different (Ferguson & Cleary 2001, Coquereau et al. 2016a). Describing a biophony at a sampling point based on its power or number of BIS per unit of time can mask low-power but ecologically relevant pulses. Consequently, this masking could significantly skew the results of PAES aimed at assessing the biodiversity of a site, as only high-energy BIS (such as those produced by snapping shrimps) are taken into account. This effect is very well illustrated and explained by McWilliam & Hawkins (2013), who studied the soundscape of a small bay (600 × 400 m) with a patchwork of 4 habitats. They showed that the variability of the acoustic indices was more related to the distance between the measurement points and the site hosting snapping shrimps than to the nature of the habitat at the sampling point itself.

Working with indices of acoustic power also raises the question of spatial resolution in the acoustic characterization of a site. We suggest defining it as the propagation distance of the most powerful biological sound source in the environment studied. For example, if it is the snapping shrimp, then the propagation radius may be >3000 m (source level taken from Ferguson & Cleary 2001; propagation distances calculated as described in Coquereau et al. 2016a). In this propagation area (3 km), it would be impossible to distinguish the acoustic presence of feeding sea urchins Echinus spp. (propagation distance 12 m; Coquereau et al. 2016a) or decapod crustaceans Maja brachydactyla (feeding activity, propagation distance 42 m; Coquereau et al. 2016a) for instance. These simple ‘theoretical’ considerations are con-
firmed by in situ measurements. Lillis et al. (2014b) suggest that the propagation distance of the bio-
phony of an oyster reef set on a soft bottom is >500 m for a sea state <3 on the Beaufort scale. Piercy et al. (2014) and Radford et al. (2011) describe propagation distances >1500 m for coral and rocky reefs.

However, to be an efficient tool for ecological diag-
nosis, PAES should have a spatial resolution adapted to that of benthic communities between and within habitats. The spatial variability of benthic communities in coastal habitats is significant at small scales, beyond 1 km. This has been shown for the type of habitats investigated in the studies presented in Table S1, including hard-bottom substrates (Archambault & Bourget 1999, Terlizzi et al. 2007), rocky and coral reefs (Anderson & Millar 2004) and seagrass (Bell & Westoby 1986).

The aims of the present study were to (1) test the hypothesis that loud benthic sound sources such as snapping shrimps ‘invade’ the soundscape of other habitats and mask sounds from other organisms and (2) propose new acoustic indices that assess and quantify the indices of diversity of the spectral shapes (IDSS) and are capable of revealing the presence of transient sounds with low amplitudes that are potentially masked by loud sound sources if only power-based descriptors were used (SPL, power spectrum). By doing this, we aimed at improving the spatial res-
olution of PAES below the sub-km (~200 m) and thus to an ecologically relevant scale.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site

The study site was the bay of la Revellata, Calvi, Corsica, France (42° 34’49” N, 8° 43’27” E). Data collection occurred from 3 to 9 August 2014 at the oceanographic station STARESO thanks to its program STARECAPMED (Richir et al. 2014). The bay of la Revellata (~4 km²) hosts a mosaic of 4 habitats incl-
cluding subtidal rocky reef, seagrass, sand and mas-
sive coralligenous reefs (Fig. 1). Water depth ranges

Fig. 1. Bay of la Revellata showing habitat layers and deployment site with drifting sampling points (white circles) and fixed recording points (purple triangles) (QGIS Development Team 2009)
from 0 to 50 m. Subtidal rocky reefs constitute 0.307 km² (7.6% of the study area) and are confined to the 7.2 km coastline. This rocky fringe produces a very powerful biophony mainly consisting of snapping shrimp sounds. Seagrass *Posidonia oceanica* covers 1.525 km² (40% of the study area). It is present between 8 and about 37 m depth and stretches over a distance of 5 km at a width varying from 45 m to 1 km. The seagrass is well preserved in comparison to other places in the Mediterranean sea (Gobert et al. 2009, Lejeune et al. 2013, Holon et al. 2015), with the exception of a small area degraded by boat anchors moored in the bay during the summer months (Lejeune et al. 2013, Richir et al. 2014). Beyond a depth of 37 m, sand is the major habitat in terms of surface coverage (49.4% of the study area). Several massive coralligenous reefs emerge from the sandy habitat, comprising only 43 900 m² (1.1% of the overall study area). The site is described as 'in good ecological state' and hosts a rich biodiversity (Seytre & Francour 2009, Gobert et al. 2014).

**Acoustic recordings**

PAES was performed using a drifting buoy and 2 fixed bottom moorings. The drifting buoy captured the spatial variability with short recordings in several positions, while the fixed mooring allowed us to estimate the source levels of the BIB emitted and validate the propagation model given by the drifting recording positions. The drifting buoy and fixed moorings were equipped with an autonomous recorder SDA 14 (RTSYS®) and a HTI-92-WB (High Tech Inc.®) hydrophone with a flat sensitivity equal to $-155 \pm 3$ dB re 1 V μPa$^{-1}$ between 5 and 50 kHz. Acoustic recordings were sampled at a rate of 156 kHz at a 24-bit resolution. The hydrophone was located 5 m below the sea surface on the drifting buoy and 1 m above the sea bottom on the fixed moorings (Fig. 1). The drifting buoy was deployed at 44 predefined positions from a 5 m long boat. After deployment, the boat moved 100 m away and stopped the engine in order to avoid noise interference. After 20 min of recording, the buoy was recovered. At each deployment and recovery, GPS time and position were noted. Daytime drifts were conducted on 5, 6, 7 and 9 August between 08:00 and 18:00 h. A total of 14, 10, 8 and 12 drifts were carried out respectively. The fixed hydrophones were deployed on 3, 4 and 8 August. Because of logistic constraints, fixed and drifting recordings could not be carried out on the same days. The fixed mooring was deployed and recovered by divers at 6 predefined positions for continuous 24 h recordings (Table 1). Because water depths exceed 30 m at the coralligenous reef sites, fixed recordings could not be carried out due to the limited license of the diver team. During the entire campaign, winds were limited and stable (3 ± 1.9 m s$^{-1}$), mean surface temperature was 24.2 ± 0.4°C and the temperature profile had a thermocline at 50 m with a corresponding temperature of 15 ± 0.1°C.

**Data processing**

**Data selection and grouping**

The recordings were divided into 10 s snapshots. For each snapshot, a spectrogram was calculated (Hanning window, 50% overlap, 2048 Pt. fast Fourier transform [FFT]) comprising 1524 spectra. The spectrograms were visually inspected and snapshots containing boat noise were rejected. Only daytime recordings (8:00 to 19:00 h) were used for the analyses. An overview of the samples we used is presented in Table 1. Summary of the measurement points, their relative partitioning by habitat and samples used for data analysis. A snapshot is a portion of 10 s recordings; each snapshot contains 1524 spectra of 6.56 μs. Only snapshots that could be used for the analysis (without boat noise), are considered in this table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>No. of measurement points</th>
<th>Mean distance to coast (m)</th>
<th>No. of 10 s snapshots</th>
<th>No. of spectra selected for analysis Per habitat Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drifting buoy</td>
<td>Rock</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seagrass</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>251.4</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>885.3</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coralligenous</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed mooring</td>
<td>Rock</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seagrass</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coralligenous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Summary of the measurement points, their relative partitioning by habitat and samples used for data analysis. A snapshot is a portion of 10 s recordings; each snapshot contains 1524 spectra of 6.56 μs. Only snapshots that could be used for the analysis (without boat noise), are considered in this table.
in Table 1. A total of 1921 snapshots of 10 s, corresponding to 2,929,128 spectra were selected. Overall, 58% of the measurements were made on seagrass, 29% on rocky habitats, 9% on sand and 2% on coralligenous reefs (Table 1). Given the findings of previous work (see Table S1 in the Supplement), we tested the hypothesis that the powerful biophony (i.e. snapping shrimps) emanating from subtidal rocky reef invades and dominates other, more distant habitats. For each measurement position (drifting or fixed recording), we measured the distance between the sampling point and the nearest point on the coastline. This distance varied between 62 and 1934 m. Since the rocky reef is concentrated along the coastline, the distance to the coastline is a reliable approximation of the distance to the nearest rocky habitat (i.e. snapping shrimp). We grouped the data into 4 quartiles of distance \( r \) between the measurement positions and the coastline (Q1: 0 m \( \leq r < 157 \) m; Q2: 157 m \( \leq r < 293 \) m; Q3: 293 m \( \leq r < 514 \) m; Q4: \( r \geq 514 \) m) (see Table S2 in the Supplement). The quartiles were separated this way in order to have as many measurement points as possible in each quartile (11 of 44). The 4 quartiles were acoustically sampled each day.

For data analysis, we used several partitioning scales (Tables 1 & S2, Fig. 2): the snapshot, the measurement point (multiple snapshots), the quartile or region (Q1 to Q4; several measurement points) and the whole database (several regions). Working at the snapshot scale allowed us to assess the variability of acoustic descriptors at higher partitioning levels (e.g. area) and to compute bootstrap analyses.

Data analysis consisted of the evaluation of 3 acoustic descriptors: SPL (dB re 1 μPa) of the impulsive broadband BIS (SPL\text{Imp}) in the band \([1.5–40 \text{ kHz}]\), and the power spectrum (dB re 1 μPa\(^2\) Hz\(^{-1}\)) in the band \([1.5–40 \text{ kHz}]\), (which represent the descriptors classically used by the community), and a new descriptor depicting and quantifying the diversity of spectral shapes of a snapshot of data based on principal component analysis (PCA), after normalizing for the power component.

---

**Fig. 2.** Schematic representation of the different partitioning levels of the acoustic data, by region (each quartile [Q1–Q4] includes 11 drifting sample-points; in Q3, 2 sample-points overlap)
SPL_{imp} of BIS [1.5–40 kHz] (dB re 1 μPa) used as relevant acoustic indices

After examination of the spectrograms (see Fig. 3) and percentile of the spectra (see Fig. 5), we selected the biological frequency band of [1.5–40 kHz], called ‘B_b’ (consistent with those used in previous works; cf. Table S1). Over the duration of the 2048 sample FFT windows, i.e. 13 ms, we computed a spectrogram and calculated the broadband SPL by integrating over the acoustic spectrum (dB re 1 μPa^2 Hz^-1) in the band B_b. The SPL (dB re 1 μPa) calculated here reflects the root mean square (RMS). Each 10 s snapshot provides 1524 SPL (RMS) values. We used the 95th percentile of these values as an indication of the power of the BIS (SPL_{imp}).

To study the ‘invasive’ nature of powerful BIS emitted from the rocky reef over other adjacent habitat types, we estimated the propagation distance of its biophony. We fitted a logarithmic regression law to the data using a linear least square minimization of SPL_{imp} with \log_{10}(r) assuming the following model:

\[ \text{SPL}_{\text{imp}}(r) = \text{SPL}_1 + k \times \log_{10}(r) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( r \) is the distance to the rocky reef, \( \text{SPL}_1 \) (dB re 1 μPa at 1 m) is the noise level emitted at 1 m from the coast and \( k \times \log_{10}(r) \) represents transmission losses (TL) at a distance of \( r \) m.

To estimate propagation distances of this biophony, we calculated the ambient noise level assuming a given wind speed by integrating Wenz’s empirical spectrum (Wenz 1962) over \( B_b \). We then found the distance, \( r \), for which \( \text{SPL}_{\text{imp}}(r) \) was equal to the ambient noise level.

Spectrum-based acoustic indices dB re 1 μPa^2 Hz^-1 in [1.5–40 kHz]

For this analysis, we used the spectra \( \gamma(f) \) dB re 1 μPa^2 Hz^-1 of the drifting recordings calculated with length of the FFT equal to 2048. For each snapshot of 10 s comprising 1524 spectra, we selected the spectra belonging to BIS (i.e. forming the 95th percentile or more, namely 76 spectra snapshot^-1). We worked at the scale of regions Q1, Q2, Q3 and Q4 (Fig. 2) by combining all selected spectra recorded on Q1, Q2, Q3 or Q4. For each frequency, we evaluated the mean and standard deviation of the spectra from a given region.

Then for each spectrum, we extracted 2 spectral indices. The first is the mean power spectrum over \( B_b \):

\( D1 : \gamma_{\text{imp}}(f) \) (dB re 1 μPa^2 Hz^-1), \( f \in [1.5–40 \text{ kHz}] \) \hspace{1cm} (2)

The second characterizes the shape of the spectral peaks due to the biophony independent of their amplitude:

\[ D2 : \gamma_{\text{norm}}(f) = \frac{(\gamma_{\text{imp}}(f) - \gamma_0)}{\sigma}, \quad f \in [1.5–40 \text{ kHz}] \]

with \( \gamma_0 = \frac{1}{B_b} \int_{1.5kHz}^{40kHz} \gamma_{\text{imp}}(f) df \) and \( \sigma_{\gamma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1524} \int_{1.5kHz}^{40kHz} (\gamma_{\text{imp}}(f) - \gamma_0)^2 df} \)

with \( \gamma_{\text{norm}}(f) \) expressed in arbitrary units.

For frequencies of \( B_b \), spectra were tested for normal distribution (1-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, \( H = 0, p > 0.5 \)) and homogeneity of variances of the 4 regions (Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4). We found a maximum relative error of 32% between the lowest standard deviation compared to the highest one of the descriptor \( D1 \) evaluated for each region, and 66% between the standard deviations of the descriptor \( D2 \) evaluated for each region. To test whether mean power spectral density (descriptor \( D1 \)) and spectral shape (descriptor \( D2 \)) from different regions shared the same mean, we applied an ANOVA for each frequency bin (given by the FFT window size) as described in Bertucci et al. (2015) but using the bootstrapping method (Efron & Tibshirani 1985). We randomly selected 100 values of \( D1 \) and \( D2 \) for each region and tested whether the descriptors shared the same means between \{Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4\}, \{Q1, Q2\}, \{Q1, Q3\} and between \{Q1, Q4\}. We repeated this operation 200 times. Although not strictly equal, the standard deviation of \( D1, D2 \) are of the same order across the regions Q1, Q2, Q3 and Q4, and ANOVA is known to be robust to deviations of the assumption of a strict homogeneity of variance for equal group sizes, which is the case for our bootstrapping method (Glass et al. 1972).

IDSS based on PCA as relevant acoustics indices

To determine the IDSS (indices of the diversity of the spectral shapes), we used the spectra \( \gamma(f) \) dB re 1 μPa^2 Hz^-1 as described in the above section. Because transient benthic sounds have a poor frequency resolution (Coquereau et al. 2016a) and to reduce the dimensions for the PCA, each spectrum was subsampled on 64 uniformly distributed frequency bins in the biological frequency band \( B_b \) after a smoothing by a moving average of \( \gamma(f) \) on a 2 kHz bandwidth.
For each snapshot of 10 s, consisting of 1524 spectra, we selected the spectra belonging to BIS (i.e. forming the 95th percentile or more, namely 76 spectra per snapshot).

First, these spectra were adjusted to have a normalized energy unit:

\[ \gamma_u(f) = \frac{\gamma_{\text{imp}}(f)}{\gamma_{\text{tot}}(f)} \int_{1.5 \text{kHz}}^{40 \text{kHz}} \gamma(u) du \]  

\[ f \in [1.5 - 40 \text{ kHz}] \text{ and } u \in [1.5 - 40 \text{ kHz}] \]  

This correction eliminates the notion of amplitude of the spectrum but retains its shape.

We conducted a PCA on all spectra of BIS. PCA identified a basis of 64 orthonormal vectors and each initial spectrum was then characterized by 64 scores \( \alpha_i \) (from 1 to 64) as the coordinates of the spectrum on the orthonormal basis of the PCA. The linear combination of the first 2 components of the PCA defines a smoothed approximation of the true spectra. This approximation is fully described by the 2 scores (smoothed approximation of the true spectra. This approximation of the first 2 components of the PCA defines a basis of 64 orthonormal vectors and each initial spectrum was then characterized by 64 scores \( \alpha_i \) (from 1 to 64) as the coordinates of the spectrum on the orthonormal basis of the PCA. The linear combination of the first 2 components of the PCA defines a smoothed approximation of the true spectra. This approximation is fully described by the 2 scores (smoothed approximation of the true spectra. This approximation of the first 2 components of the PCA defines a

For the entire drifting dataset (873 252 spectra), we conducted a bootstrap ANOVA and MANOVA were conducted using 1000 times 50 randomly chosen snapshots by region.

**RESULTS**

**Overview of the data with typical spectrograms**

Fig. 3 presents typical spectrograms of measurements from different habitats as well as from anthropogenic noises omitted from any analyses. The 2 spectrograms illustrating noise due to boats show very specific records that are used to unambiguously reject the polluted data. The habitat-related spectrograms clearly show the powerful vertical lines between 1.5 and 40 kHz representing the transient sounds produced by benthic invertebrates.

**Data analysis with SPL_{\text{Imp}} [1.5–40 kHz] as relevant acoustics indices**

The SPL_{\text{Imp}} (dB re 1 μPa) significantly decreased as a function of distance \( r \) (\( R^2 = 0.55, p < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 4). The best linear regression, explaining 55% of the variance was expressed by:

\[ \text{SPL}_{\text{Imp}}(r) = 147 – 14\log_{10}(r) \]  

The regression model fits to the SPL_{\text{Imp}} measurements. This is compatible with the hypothesis of a strong sound source present on coastal rocky reefs that propagates offshore. The value SPL_{\text{L1}} (147 dB re 1 μPa at 1 m) quantifies the source level of the biphony of the rocky reef, and \( k \) (14 dB decade\(^{-1} \)) quantifies the transmission losses. The propagation distance of the bentic biophony of the rocky reef is 3680 m at a wind speed of 3 m s\(^{-1} \) (mean wind speed during the PAES Revellata-2014 campaign), 1371 m for 6 m s\(^{-1} \) wind speed and 511 m for 12 m s\(^{-1} \) wind speed. Fixed and drifting measurements both produced data in agreement with the regression. This implies that despite the difference in the distance between the sensor and the seafloor, the 2 recording systems show equivalent sound level values.
Fig. 3. Typical spectrograms showing visual representations of the spectrum of frequencies of sound, with the time on the x-axis and frequencies on the y-axis. (a) Rocky reef in region Q1; (b) seagrass in region Q2; (c) sandy habitat in region Q3; and (d) coralligenous reefs in region Q4. Scale bar: dB re 1 μPa² Hz⁻¹

Fig. 4. Sound pressure level of impulsive broadband sounds (SPL_imp) as a function of distance r (in meters) from the coastline. Triangles: fixed measurement points; circles: drifting buoys on rocky reef, seagrass, sand and coralligenous reefs; solid line: best fit; dashed line: limit of region Q1 (r < 158 m), Q2 (158 m < r < 316 m), Q3 (316 m < r < 514 m) and Q4 (r > 514 m)
Data analysis with spectrum-based acoustics
indices $\gamma_{imp}(f) \ [1.5 \ kHz < f < 40 \ kHz]$

Mean spectra of the regions Q1, Q2, Q3 and Q4 correspond to the upper limit of Wenz’s model for frequencies below 1000 Hz (Fig. 5). We believe this large level is not created by shipping in the bay of la Revellata, but rather by the sound of breaking waves (nearshore surf noise) and the chorus of several fish species (Buscaino et al. 2016). Beyond 1500 Hz, the spectra deviate positively from Wenz’s model by at least 20 dB with respect to the average wind regime during data collection ($\delta$ in Fig. 5).

The region corresponding to the quartile of the data recorded near rocky reef, Q1, produced the loudest biophony compared to the other regions ($5.3 \pm 1.7 \ dB$ more than Q2, $9.2 \pm 2.5 \ dB$ more than Q3 and $11.7 \pm 2.8 \ dB$ more than Q4 (Fig. 6a). Mean spectral shapes for the regions Q1, Q2, Q3 and Q4 are very similar (Fig. 6b). Standard deviation spectra varied little with frequency in the band $B_b$. Descriptor $D1$ showed significant differences for all frequencies tested (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$, $F = 41$, df = 19; Fig. S1 in the Supplement). In the case of descriptor $D2$, for frequencies greater than 2000 Hz, the normalized spectra share the same average shape (ANOVA, $p > 0.65$, $F = 0.14$, df = 19, Fig. S1).

Data analysis with IDSS

The first 2 eigenvalues of the PCA capture 55% of the total variance of the spectra. The first component explains 33% of the variance and the second one explains 22%. Beyond this, each additional eigenvalue explains very little variance: an additional 3% is provided by the 3rd eigenvalue, explaining 58% of the total variance; 42 of the 64 eigenvalues are necessary to capture 98% of the variance of the normalized spectra (see Fig. S2 in the Supplement).

Fig. 7a shows the density of probability of the scores ($\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$) for all the BIS spectra. Overall, 12% (P1) of the BIS belong to the category S1, 29% of the BIS (P2) belong to S2, 33% of the BIS (P3) belong to S3 and 26% of the BIS (P4) belong to S4. Category S1 (cf. Fig. 7b) contains high energetic spectra with a marked maximum at 3 kHz and a bandwidth of about 2 kHz. Category S2 (cf. Fig. 7b) contains high energetic spectra with a marked maximum at 4 kHz, with a bandwidth of about 2 kHz and a slight rise at 10 kHz. These 2 categories have similar characteristics, and together represent 41% of all spectra. Category S3 (cf. Fig. 7b) contains mid-amplitude spectra and a wide frequency band between 3 and 15 kHz. Category S4 (cf. Fig. 7b) contains low amplitude spectra with an increase at frequencies above 15 kHz.

The intra- and inter-region variabilities are quantified by the distribution [P1, P2, P3, P4] of the spectra
of the snapshots of each region (Fig. 8). Regions Q1 to Q4 show significant differences in the combinations of the proportions of the spectral categories (Table 2), as shown by the results of the bootstrap MANOVA calculated on the proportions \{P_1, P_2, P_3\} between all regions (Table 3). Proportions \(P_1\) and \(P_2\) decrease, while \(P_4\) increases with increasing distance to the coast (from Q1 to Q4, cf. Figs. 7c–f & 8).

Each proportion also differed between regions, as shown by the significant bootstrap ANOVA results (Table 3). Table 3 shows, for each proportion \(P_1\), \(P_2\), \(P_3\) and \(P_4\), the p-values of the ANOVA run on each couple of regions tested. This result implies that the benthic acoustic communities (Farina & James 2016) between the regions differ, and is greater between region Q1 and Q4.

Evaluation of the proportions \{\(P_1\), \(P_2\), \(P_3\), \(P_4\)\} at the scale of a point of measurement (cf. Fig. 2) allows us to map these proportions over the regions Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4 and to compare these maps with that of \(\text{SPL}_{\text{Imp}}\) (cf. Fig. 9). The map of \(P_1+P_2\) is similar to the map of \(\text{SPL}_{\text{Imp}}\), with maximum values within the region Q1 close to the nearshore rocky reef, and a decrease from region Q1 to Q4. These 2 maps image the production of the loud impulses at the rocky reef and its propagation offshore. The higher values of \(P_3\) are more spread out over the entire study area, although some appear at the seagrass region. Contrary to \(P_1+P_2\), \(P_4\) has its higher values offshore mainly above the coralligenous reefs.

**DISCUSSION**

The high-energy nature of benthic sound production in rocky reefs has regularly been underlined and described by the use of acoustic indices related to the signal’s power (Radford et al. 2010, McWilliam & Hawkins 2013, Lillis et al. 2014b, Butler et al. 2016, Rossi et al. 2016a,b). It has been hypothesized that at small spatial scales (below a few km) these BIS (>2 kHz) produce a loud biophony that propagates...
offshore, invading and potentially masking low-power benthic emissions of other habitats (McWilliam & Hawkins 2013). Assuming that larvae have hearing abilities, the propagation distance of this high-energetic biophony defines the large distance of potential larval attraction from offshore to nearshore, but also degrades the spatial resolution of PAES in terms of biodiversity assessment through passive acoustics (Radford et al. 2011, McWilliam & Hawkins 2013, Piercy et al. 2014). Here, we estimated the propagation distances of rocky reef BIS, tested the hypothesis that it masks the biophony of other adjacent habitats, and proposed IDSS indices based on the diversity of the spectral shape that allows us to highlight and quantify differences in benthic sound productions, including low-power ones. We evaluated whether the use of IDSS improves the spatial resolution of the PAES at a sub-km scale (~200 m) compared to ‘classical’ acoustic indices based on sound pressure levels and power spectra.

Thanks to a regression on distance-based SPLs, the source level of the biophony of rocky reefs was estimated to be 147 dB re 1 μPa at 1 m. Lillis et al. (2014a) reported source levels ranging from 127 to 136 dB re 1 μPa at 1 m for a single oyster reef positioned within a large soft-bottom area. By extrapolating the source levels of coral reefs from the data reported in Piercy et al. (2014) (who used a parameterized geometrical spreading model as in the present study), source levels ranged from 120 to 144 dB re 1 μPa at 1 m, depending on the ecological status of the reef. The source level found in the present study is greater than but consistent with those reported elsewhere. This positive difference may be related to substrate type differences (rocky reef compared to oyster and coral reefs), animal densities, and the good environmental status of the bay of la Revellata. From the slope of the linear regression, TL was estimated to be −14 dB decade⁻¹. This value is intermediate between

---

Fig. 7. (a) Probability density scores \( \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \) of the spectra of all measurement points. The numbers 1 to 4 identify the shape families (S1, S2, S3, S4). (b) Median spectrum for each category (black: S1; red: S2; blue: S3; green: S4). (c–f) Probability density scores \( \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \) for regions Q1 to Q4. Warm (cold) colors correspond to high (low) probabilities.
spherical (−20 dB decade⁻¹) and cylindrical (−10 dB decade⁻¹) spreading losses. In the case of our study site, depth increases with distance to the coast, and the expected model is the spherical spreading loss model (Medwin & Clay 1997). This discrepancy (14 instead of 20 dB decade⁻¹) is likely a consequence of the so-called ‘acoustic reef effect’ (Radford et al. 2011). The rocky reef of the bay of la Revellata is not a punctual sound source, but rather produces a set of sounds that spread along 7 km of coast, thus creating an ‘acoustic reef’. Radford et al. (2011) showed that this acoustic reef effect tends to compensate the TL, reducing the theoretical TL coefficient by a few dB. Compared to other studies that reported a TL of −8 dB decade⁻¹ (Lillis et al. 2014a, Piercy et al. 2014), the TL coefficient found in this work is higher. In contrast to our study site, the bathymetry reported in the other study areas varied little and the theoretical model of expected TL was the cylindrical spreading loss model compensated by 2 to 3 dB of the acoustic reef effect rather than the spherical spreading loss model. Propagation distances of the biophony of the rocky reef of la Revellata ranged from 3680 m considering a wind speed of 3 m s⁻¹ to 500 m with wind speeds attaining 12 m s⁻¹. These orders of magnitude are consistent with the findings reported by the scientific community. Lillis et al. (2014b) suggested that the propagation distance of the biophony of an oyster reef on a soft bottom habitat is greater than 500 m for sea states below 3 on Beaufort scale. Piercy et al. (2014) and Radford et al. (2011) reported propagation distances greater than 1500 m for coral and rocky reefs. The results presented here, based on the SPL_imp descriptor, support the hypothesis that a strong biophony emanating from the rocky reef propagates offshore and invades other habitats within 500 to 3600 m depending on the wind regime. This also defines the spatial resolution of a PAES using SPL_imp in terms of biodiversity assessment using passive acoustics: at a given position of measurement, the distant but loud impulses from the rocky reef propagate toward the hydrophone, are loud enough to mask the quieter impulses produced by benthic fauna adjacent to the hydrophone, and decrease the contrast on the map of acoustics indices.

By introducing the frequency dimension, soundscape description is richer and the spatial resolution may be enhanced. We tested whether any of the regions {Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4} produced a spectrum different than another region. The 4 regions, corresponding to different distances from the coast but also to different habitat types, differed significantly in terms

![Figure 8](image_url)

Fig. 8. Proportion {P1, P2, P3, P4} evaluated on 10 s snapshots for each region: (a) Q1, (b) Q2, (c) Q3 and (d) Q4. Boxplot limits — red bar: median (q2); box: 25th (q1) to 75th (q3) percentiles; crosses: outliers; notch extremes: q2 ± [1.57(q3 – q1)/sqrt(n)], where n = no. of observations.
Fig. 9. Top left panel: 95th percentile of the wide-band sound pressure level (SPL_{imp}) with light colors indicating low (high) sound pressure levels. Top right and bottom panels: proportions of the 4 benthic invertebrate sounds (BIS) categories (P1, P2, P3, P4) on the 44 sampling sites combined into regions Q1 to Q4. Light (dark) colors indicate small (large) proportions of each category. P1 and P2 are combined because their spectral shapes are similar (Fig. 7b).
of mean power spectra. This difference was only due to power differences and not the shape of the spectrum, with the amplitudes of the spectra decreasing from region Q1 to Q4 (Fig. 6a). In fact, no significant differences in shape were found after amplitude normalization of the spectra. Consequently, the 4 regions shared the same average spectral shapes (Fig. 6b). This finding is in line with that obtained by the SPLImp analysis. It is noteworthy that for region Q4 (distance to the nearest rocky reef: 514 to 1934 m), the spectra in the biogenic frequency band were still 20 dB above Wenz’s model (for a wind speed of 3 m s\(^{-1}\)). Combined with the lack of spectral shape differences after amplitude normalization, our findings again support the hypothesis that a strong biophony emitted by the rocky reef propagates offshore, invading other habitats.

Adding the frequency dimension by comparing a single power spectrum is not sufficient to characterize areas with a small-scale mosaic of habitats because useful information about spectral diversity is not addressed. The here-proposed IDSS use of the first 2 components of the PCA based on normalized BIS spectra can depict this diversity and overcome the masking effect of loud ‘acoustically invasive’ sources such as snapping shrimps. The first 2 components of the PCA captured 55% of the total variance of the BIS. Given this strong descriptive power, the projection of the spectra on the first 2 eigenvectors of the PCA represents a good estimator of spectral shapes. In underwater acoustics, this approach has been used by Simard et al. (2016) to describe the variability of average spectra radiating from ships (74% of the variance explained) and to connect this variability to vessel characteristics (Simard et al. 2016). Kennedy et al. (2010) described the variability of the average spectra of biophony of coral reefs (73% of the variance captured) and linked them to their ecological characteristics. We enhanced the approach proposed in these 2 studies, accounting for the diversity of spectral shapes by working on the 76 highest spectra (highest 5% of spectra which are the nearest benthic pulses) contained in a 10 s segment. The distribution of the first 2 coordinates of the PCA of the normalized spectrums (cf. Fig. 7a) is continuous and does not present well-separated modes that may indicate and define obvious acoustics families. By inspecting this distribution as a function of the index \(i\) of the region \(Q_i\), the proportions of the first 2 coordinates \(\{a_1, a_2\}\) of the PCA in the 4 quarters (P1: \(a_1 > 0, a_2 > 0\); P2: \(a_1 > 0, a_2 < 0\); P3: \(a_1 < 0, a_2 < 0\); P4: \(a_1 < 0, a_2 > 0\)) clearly change with the index \(i\) of the region \(Q_i\). The 4 mean spectral shapes (Fig. 7b) of each of the 4 quarters have ecological relevance since they are similar to ones associated with benthic species (Au et Banks 1998, Radford et al. 2008, Coquereau et al. 2016a,b). Relying on these 2 ascertainment, we propose to split the spectral shapes into 4 families, 1 for each of the 4 quarters. These 4 families of spectral shapes can describe the acoustic diversity of a mosaic of habitats and can serve to attempt a quantification of the invasive nature of the rocky reef’s BIB of the bay of la Revellata. Overall, 12% of the BIS belong to category S1 with a marked maximum around 3 kHz and a 2 kHz
bandwidth; 29% belong to the category S2, with a marked maximum around 4 kHz, an increase around 10 kHz and a 2 kHz bandwidth; 33% belong to the spectral shape category S3, characterized by broadband pulses [8–20 kHz]; and 26% of all BIS spectra belong to category S4, defined by a spectral increase at frequencies above 15 kHz. Families S1 and S2 are similar, consist of high-energy spectra and together represent 41% of the BIS. Category S3 contains intermediate amplitude spectra and category S4, low-power spectra. Regions Q1 to Q4 shared the same acoustics dictionary because each hosted members of the families S1 to S4, but they showed significant differences in the proportions of these spectral families (Fig. 8). Families S1 and S2 dominate the biophony of region Q1 (56% of all BIS). The proportion of BIS belonging to these 2 families decreased with increasing distance to the coast: 50% of the BIS of region Q2, 31% of region Q3 and 30% of region Q4. This variability of the proportions of S1 and S2 depending on the distance to the coast suggests that the rocky reef produces low frequency, high-energetic transient sounds that propagate offshore. This pattern is consistent with the results of the power-based descriptors. In addition, the IDSS of S1 and S2 allow us to quantify these dominant rocky benthic sound sources (Fig. 9). Category S3 was uniformly distributed across regions (30 ± 1%), while the proportion of category S4 increased from Q1 to Q4, from 14 to 42%. In contrast to regions Q1 and Q2, Q4 is dominated by low-energy, high-frequency BIS. This result probably best emphasizes the power of the descriptor proposed here in depicting soundscape differences despite the presence of a loud masking sound source. These findings also show that the introduction of the diversity of spectral shapes of BIS allows refining the characterization of the structure of the soundscape in the bay of la Revellata. The spectral shape descriptors are mapped, allowing us to link acoustic information to other habitats or ecological features (Fig. 9). In fact, the proportions of the different acoustics families can also reveal habitat-specific features or signatures. Coralligenous reefs largely characterize region Q4, and the predominant presence of high-frequency sounds of category S4 suggests the presence of a specific coralligenous reef fauna. Currently, for our database, it is premature to associate each spectral category or their proportions to assemblages of benthic species or their behaviors. Nevertheless, families S1 and S2 are compatible with sounds emitted by snapping shrimp (Knowlton & Moulton 1963, A & Banks 1998) and feeding sea urchins (Radford et al. 2008). However, Coquereau et al. (2016a) also showed that spectral characteristics (peak frequency, bandwidth, level) show wide variations within and between species of the same category. The nutrition of common sea urchins Echinus esculentus, for instance, produces peak frequencies ranging from 9 to 60 kHz and the peak frequencies of snapping shrimp snaps Athanas nitescens have 2 modes, with peaks at 9 and at 33 kHz. Although additional effort is needed to link spectral shapes to benthic communities or species, the 4 families reported here represent acoustic benthic communities and their diversities. Their proportions describe the richness at each sampling point. The sources of the spectral families could be identified using tank-based experiments (Di Iorio et al. 2012, Coquereau 2016a) or semi-natural in situ enclosures (Watanabe et al. 2002, Radford et al. 2008). When a ‘dictionary of BIS’ is established, then the diversity of the benthic biophony will provide information on the diversity of the soniferous species in situ.

It remains to be investigated whether (1) IDSS provides similar results in other areas with different or similar small-scale habitat mosaics, as for instance the one described by McWilliam & Hawkins (2013) in the North Atlantic; and (2) the eigenvector database from the PCA for a given site could be used to describe the diversity of spectral forms at other sites.

The diversity of spectral shapes provides new and more explanatory information to that extracted from ‘classically used’ power descriptors or BIS counts. It enriches our understanding of the spatial structure of a soundscape by providing access to less powerful phenomena despite the presence of a high-energy sound source. Furthermore, our results show that the use of PCA eigenvalues to describe spectral shapes can be used to quantify their diversity and thus the diversity of the benthic acoustic community of a site. In this work, characterizing the diversity of spectral shapes allowed us to reduce the spatial resolution to about 200 m, a more relevant ecological scale for the study of benthic communities (Archambault & Bourget 1996).

This may also have implications for larval recruitment, as diversity of spectral shapes can provide an additional cue. Power-based acoustic features appear to play a role in the detection of suitable habitats at long distances during the passage of a larvae from the pelagic to the coastal phase of development (Tolimieri et al. 2000, Montgomery et al. 2006, Stanley et al. 2012, Eggleston et al. 2016). To our knowledge, it is unknown whether larvae are capable of perceiving spectral shape diversity or are able to use this information as an additional cue for habitat
selection at smaller distances. Finally, the here-proposed descriptor shows promise for use in habitat quality/degradation assessment, and more generally PAES of vulnerable marine areas.
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