Consequences of shoot density and stiffness for ecosystem engineering by benthic macrophytes in flow dominated areas: a hydrodynamic flume study
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ABSTRACT: Within intertidal areas of European Atlantic coasts the distribution of the small seagrass Zostera noltii and the halophyte Spartina anglica can partially overlap, despite numerous biomechanical, demographic and ecophysiological differences. Both species are known to be ecosystem engineers that modify their habitat by reducing hydrodynamic energy within their canopies. In this study we investigate the influence of biomechanical (i.e. shoot flexibility) and demographic (i.e. shoot density) characteristics of these intertidal plants on their interaction with unidirectional currents to (1) understand their differences in ecosystem engineering capacity and (2) identify which physical traits explain these differences. In a flume tank, hydrodynamic variables were measured within transplanted S. anglica and Z. noltii meadows, and their corresponding simplified mimics. The results revealed that stiff canopies had a larger potential capacity (relative to flexible ones) to trap sediment, as in these vegetations velocity reduction within the canopy combined with a sufficient volumetric flow rate to provide sediment for settling. Flexible canopies were most efficient at reducing erosion by reconfiguration of their leaves. Shoot density increased the magnitude of these effects when values were moderate. However, the capacity to increase sediment accretion disappeared when the maximum velocity attenuation was reached and the flow of water was relocated on top of the canopy. These habitat modifications may provide ecological benefits for saltmarsh and seagrass species. For saltmarsh plants, the rigid shoots allow lateral expansion of their populations via increased sedimentation. For seagrasses, the dense and flexible shoots typical of temperate intertidal populations provide efficient protection from erosive forces, while at the same time helping to avoid stresses, such as drag forces and high sedimentation rates.
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INTRODUCTION

Mudflat–salt marsh boundaries offer a hostile environment for plant growth. Stresses such as salinity, inundation, anoxic sediments and hydrodynamic forces determine the establishment, survival and expansion of plants in these sea–land boundaries (Bruno 2000, van Katwijk et al. 2000). To cope with these stresses, plants must be able to tolerate the conditions and/or to reduce stress levels by modifying the abiotic environment. Biologically mediated modifications of the abiotic environment have previously been called ecosystem engineering (Jones et al. 1994). Most plant species that dominate the stressful estuarine intertidal areas are ecosystem engineers, in that they modify their environment via the interaction of their shoots with hydrodynamics. Interestingly, coexisting plant species living at this frontier between terrestrial and
maritime ecosystems can have marked biomechanical and physiological differences with important implications for their engineering capacity and the resulting feedback effects (Bouma et al. 2005).

On European Atlantic coasts, the pioneer areas of the stiff salt marsh species Spartina anglica often overlap with the beginning of the distribution area of the highly flexible seagrass Zostera noltii (Hemminga et al. 1998), sharing habitats with similar hydrodynamic conditions. Both species are well recognized as ecosystem engineers that reduce hydrodynamic energy from currents (Gambi et al. 1990, Neumeier & Amos 2006) and waves (Möller et al. 2001, Bouma et al. 2005). The strong reduction of hydrodynamic energy by the stiff S. anglica canopies results in strongly enhanced particle accretion within the tussocks, causing S. anglica patches to become nutrient rich (Hemminga et al. 1998) and dome shaped (Castellanos et al. 1994, van Huizen et al. 2007). Reduction of inundation periods following sediment accretion is favourable to S. anglica, which is in essence a terrestrial species, photosynthesising during low tide and taking up nutrients predominantly via its roots (Bouma et al. 2001, 2002 and references therein). In contrast to S. anglica, Z. noltii is a true marine plant with submerged photosynthesis and nutrient uptake (Pérez-Lloréns & Niell 1993, Stapel et al. 1996) which uses the water column as its main source for carbon and inorganic nutrients (Touchette & Burkholder 2000a,b). Although trapping of nutrients via sediment accretion can be advantageous for nutrient supply to Z. noltii, too much sediment accumulation would destroy its habitat by increasing the elevation level above its habitat range (Marba & Duarte 1995, Vermaat et al. 1997). In line with these physiological requirements, sediment accretion can be considered a more favourable modification for S. anglica tussocks than for Z. noltii meadows.

Understanding the mechanisms by which Spartina anglica and Zostera noltii modify their habitat to different extents is important for the management and conservation of commonly threatened mudflat–salt marsh boundaries. The capacity of S. anglica to modify its abiotic habitat is considered to be the key strategy that enables this species to invade many intertidal areas around the world (Bruno 2000, Wang et al. 2006). On mudflats, the habitat modification by S. anglica invasion can have a large effect on how the ecosystem functions by causing the displacement, and even the decline, of Z. noltii populations (Lacambra et al. 2004). The negative effects of invasive engineers on local populations are not only found for S. anglica, but appear to be a general characteristic of such invasive species (reviewed by Crooks 2002), underlining the importance of understanding ecosystem engineering as a growth strategy.

Habitat modification by Spartina anglica and Zostera noltii is related to the reduction of hydrodynamic energy via their shoots. It is, however, not fully understood which organism traits are most important in determining different types of habitat modification. In a previous study, we demonstrated shoot stiffness causes contrasting levels of ecosystem engineering in wave-dominated environments (Bouma et al. 2005). However, tidal currents, rather than waves, dominate many sheltered intertidal mudflat–salt marsh systems. Hence, in the present study we focus on identifying which organism traits are most important for explaining the different capacities for ecosystem engineering between S. anglica and Z. noltii in flow-dominated intertidal ecosystems. Previous studies have demonstrated that submerged vegetations reduce the flow speed within the canopy (Gambi et al. 1990, Neumeier & Amos 2006). Such effects have been frequently related to stem or shoot density (Gambi et al. 1990, Nepf 1999). However, other characteristics of the canopy structure such as biomechanical properties also seem to be involved (Nepf 1999).

In the present study we assess the combined effects of biomechanical (i.e. shoot flexibility) and demographic (i.e. shoot density) characteristics on the interaction of submerged plant populations with unidirectional currents to acquire an in-depth understanding of (1) the differences in ecosystem engineering capacity between Spartina anglica and Zostera noltii and (2) the extent to which these differences can be explained by the plant traits, density and flexibility. To achieve our objectives, hydrodynamic parameters were measured within S. anglica and Z. noltii canopies, as well as on the corresponding models of these species consisting of simplified plant mimics (see ‘Materials and methods’ for further description). The mimics were useful because their identical morphology and morphometry made it possible to identify which hydrodynamic effects could be attributed to shoot flexibility and/or shoot density. The hydrodynamic variables (current velocity, vertical Reynolds stress and volumetric flow rate of water through the canopy) were selected to enable us to identify which biomechanical and demographic characteristics are most important for explaining patterns of sediment accretion and erosion for these submerged populations. The results are subsequently used to evaluate the role of these biological characteristics in the interactions between seagrass and salt marsh species on intertidal mudflats.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Natural and artificial canopies.** Hydrodynamic profiles were measured using Spartina anglica and Zostera noltii canopies with different densities (Table 1). To cover the large natural divergences between S. anglica and Z. noltii densities, mature dense canopies were col-
lected from the field (high density canopies). Low density canopies (still within the natural range of each species) were obtained by thinning (randomly selected shoots were cut off at the sediment surface) of the high density treatments. Due to practical constraints in providing light during the hydrodynamic measurements, *S. anglica* and *Z. noltii* plants were illuminated overnight, while the hydrodynamic experiments, which took place during the day, were conducted in darkness. For *S. anglica* plants, the flume tank was emptied during the light period, whereas for *Z. noltii* plants, it was kept flooded. At the end of the flume measurements, all plants were collected to estimate the number of stems per square meter. On a sub-sample, we also determined the number of leaves per stem and the height of leaf branching. For high density cases, the corresponding measurements were determined by counting sub-samples of the original vegetation.

To get a better understanding of the effects of shoot density and stiffness as variables affecting the hydrodynamic characteristics within submerged canopies, measurements within canopies of simplified plant mimics were also performed. The mimics had contrasting flexibility, but identical dimensions (0.1 m long, 0.005 m wide). We used this proxy to exclude the effects of morphology and morphometry (specifically surface area) when comparing natural submerged canopies of different species. Flexible artificial leaves were constructed by cutting plastic folders into strips, whereas plastic cable ties were used as mimics of *Spartina anglica* stems. Previous studies showed that these mimics proved to be quite comparable with respect to drag and wave attenuation (Bouma et al. 2005). Both flexible and stiff mimic canopies were constructed at low (615 structures m$^{-2}$) and high (2463 structures m$^{-2}$) densities (Table 1). Given that (1) the high densities typical for *Zostera noltii* meadows will be much higher than ever found for natural and artificial beds used were 1 m long × 0.6 m wide, for an area of 0.6 m$^2$. For each canopy, 2 types of measurement designs were performed: (1) a detailed grid measured in the middle of the homogeneous bed (‘homogeneous grid’), and (2) a second grid measured at the leading edge of the canopy, designed to study gradients in hydrodynamics (‘gradient grid’) (Fig. 1).

### Flume tank measurements

The effects of natural and artificial plant populations on hydrodynamic variables were studied in a unidirectional race-track flume tank with 0.4 m of depth in the water column (Fig. 1; for more details see the description of the Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO) flume in Jonsson et al. (2006). The flume was running at the given speed for at least 10 min for a stable hydrodynamic regime to develop. Within the flume tank, $x$-, $y$- and $z$-axes are respectively oriented to the main flow direction ($x$), perpendicular to the lateral flume tank walls ($y$) and vertically ($z$). Measurements were performed at low (0.045 m s$^{-1}$) and high (0.30 m s$^{-1}$) free stream velocities, respectively. The 3 components of the velocity ($u$, $v$ and $w$) were measured at 25 Hz with an acoustic Doppler velocimeter (ADV, Nortek field version; see Voulgaris & Trowbridge 1998 for principles of operation). The probe head of the ADV has a small drag surface and the active measuring cell is 5 cm below the probe head. Both conditions help to minimize the effects of the method in the corresponding measurements. The dimensions of the natural and artificial beds used were 1 m long × 0.6 m wide, for an area of 0.6 m$^2$. For each canopy, 2 types of measurement designs were performed: (1) a detailed grid measured in the middle of the homogeneous bed (‘homogeneous grid’), and (2) a second grid measured at the leading edge of the canopy, designed to study gradients in hydrodynamics (‘gradient grid’) (Fig. 1).

### Homogeneous grid

The homogeneous grid values were measured in a volume of $1.84 \times 10^{-3}$ m$^3$ at 0.7 m downstream of the leading edge (see homogeneous grid in Fig. 1). For most canopies, the measuring grid had 1980 points regularly distributed in 11 steps of 0.01 m in $x$, 9 steps of 0.01 m in $y$ and 20 steps distributed between 0.01 and 0.24 m in $z$. For *Spartina anglica* canopies, the regular grid had 1287 points regularly distributed as previously described for $x$ and $y$, but with 13 steps of 0.02 m in $z$. In all cases, the ADV took measurements at each point for 5 s, yielding 125 temporal data sets per point (each data set had values

---

Table 1. Shoot density and canopy height for each experimental treatment. Every experimental treatment included the combination of the vegetation type, shoot density and the free stream velocity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation type, density treatment</th>
<th>Density (structures m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Canopy height (m)</th>
<th>Free stream velocity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flexible mimics, low</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.05 m s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible mimics, high</td>
<td>2463</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.30 m s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Zostera noltii</em>, low</td>
<td>4989</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Zostera noltii</em>, high</td>
<td>11,565</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiff mimics, low</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiff mimics, high</td>
<td>2463</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spartina anglica</em>, low</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Spartina anglica</em>, high</td>
<td>1396</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

that of the *Z. noltii* leaves, we chose the high density for the mimics to be approximately half of that of the low density *Z. noltii* vegetation (i.e. 2463 structures m$^{-2}$; Table 1). This equalled approximately twice the density of the high density *Spartina* vegetation. The low density mimic bed (i.e. 615 structures m$^{-2}$) is extremely low for *Z. noltii* vegetation, but still within a reasonable density range for *Spartina* (Table 1). Overall, the selected densities thus represent moderated values in the range covered by natural densities of *S. anglica* and *Z. noltii* (Table 1). Although the choice of these high and low densities for the flexible and stiff mimic canopies remains somewhat arbitrary, it did enable us to compare vegetations of contrasting shoot stiffness for identical densities and dimensions.
Each vertical profile was constructed by temporally averaging the data from each point (i.e. 125 data sets). Then, to account for horizontal variations in space, the 99 points of the \( x-y \) horizontal locations were newly averaged for each \( z \)-position (see the corresponding scheme of averaged points on Fig. 1B). Results are expressed as profiles of \( \langle u \rangle \) velocity component (the overbar indicates the temporal averaging on each measurement point and the brackets indicate the spatial averaging in the horizontal plane) and vertical Reynolds stress \( (\tau_z) \). See theoretical background section for further details.

**Gradient grids at the canopy leading edge.** To study the hydrodynamic effects of the plant leading edge, a second type of measuring grid was employed. The gradient grid was not as fine in the \( x \) direction as the previous type of grid. The gradient grid was measured within a volume of \( 5.52 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3 \) located around the leading edge (8.32 \( \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3 \) for *Spartina anglica*). For most cases, the measuring grid had 882 points regularly distributed in 7 steps of 0.05 m in \( x \), 9 steps of 0.01 m in \( y \) and 14 steps distributed between 0.01 and 0.24 m in \( z \). For *S. anglica* canopies, the gradient grid had 1215 points regularly distributed in 9 steps of 0.05 m in \( x \), 9 steps of 0.01 m in \( y \) and 15 steps distributed between 0.01 and 0.27 m in \( z \).

To detect the spatial divergences when moving from outside (i.e. the bare floor) to inside the plant populations (living plant or mimics), the measurements corresponding to each \( x \)-location were treated as independent vertical velocity profiles (i.e. 7 vertical profiles for most cases, except for *S. anglica*, which had 9 vertical profiles; compare solid and dashed black lines, respectively, in the...
Spatially averaged (99 measurements in the \(x-y\) plane for the homogeneous grid and 9 in the \(y\)-plane for the gradient grid) flow velocity (\(<u>\)) and vertical Reynolds stress (\(\tau_R\)) at each depth (\(z\)) interval are graphically represented with their respective 95% confidence intervals (CI). Differences in \(<u>\) were considered significant (\(p < 0.05\)) if the 95% CI did not overlap (cf. Schenker & Gentleman 2001). Detailed statistical comparisons of \(\tau_R\) near the sediment surface (\(z = 0.02\) m) measured in the homogeneous grids (i.e. approximately 99 measurements in the \(x-y\) plane) were conducted using 1-way ANOVA. Post hoc Tukey’s unequal N HSD test was used to determine significant differences between group means within the ANOVA setting. The difference/effect was considered significant at the \(p < 0.05\) level. In nearly all statistical comparisons the variances of \(\tau_R\) at each depth interval were found to be heterogeneous (and transformations of the data did improve this problem). However, as the sample size of each mean value was ‘relatively large’ and the experimental design ‘relatively balanced’ the validity of the test and the probabilities associated with the F-ratio distribution are not affected much by violations of this assumption (Underwood 1997, p 192). Regression lines and their corresponding coefficients (\(r^2\)) were calculated for canopy volumetric flow rate (\%) versus canopy velocity reduction (\%) for use in Fig. 5.

### A brief theoretical background

To evaluate the effects of shoot flexibility and shoot density of submerged plant populations on the corresponding effects on the local hydrodynamics, we determined the following hydrodynamic variables: (1) \(<u>\) profiles, which show the canopy’s capacity for modifying current velocity, (2) vertical Reynolds stress (\(\tau_R\)) near the bed, which provides information on the erosive forces, and (3) the volumetric flow rate crossing the canopy (\(Q_c\)), which is used as a proxy for the capacity to increase sediment accretion, since this variable quantifies the volume of water (where the sediment particles are suspended) that actively crosses through the plants and, therefore, indirectly quantifies the magnitude of the number of particles affected by the velocity reduction. The combination of these variables accurately describes the effects of submerged plant populations on the local hydrodynamics and provides the most relevant information to understand associated feedback effects.

ADVs provide detailed information on the 3 velocity components separately (\(u, v, w\)) as described in Eqs. (1) through (3):

\[
\begin{align*}
    u &= \bar{u} + u' \\
    v &= \bar{v} + v' \\
    w &= \bar{w} + w'
\end{align*}
\]

These components allow the estimation of the vertical Reynolds stress for each individual point (\(\tau_R\)):

\[
\tau_R = -\rho u'w'
\]

And subsequently the average Reynolds stress for the given surface area (\(\tau_d\)) is:

\[
\tau_d = \frac{-\rho u'w'}{A}
\]

where \(\tau_d\) = vertical Reynolds stress (Pa), \(u', v', w'\) are the fluctuations from the averaged value of the 3 velocity components, respectively, and \(\rho\) = seawater density (1025 kg m\(^{-3}\)). The sign of \(\tau_d\) indicates the direction of the momentum transfer (i.e. positive values imply momentum transfer towards the bed and vice versa). In the present study, \(\tau_d\) was calculated at 0.02 m from the sediment–water interface and used to evaluate the generation or attenuation of erosive forces by comparison with bare areas.

Finally, the velocity gradients were used to calculate the volumetric flow rate crossing the canopy (\(Q_c\)) and the velocity reduction within the canopy (\% \(U_{\text{reduction}}\)). For this study, \(Q_c\) was expressed as percent of the flume tank volumetric flow rate (\(Q_{\text{flume}}\)) according to Eqs. (5) through (8):

\[
\begin{align*}
    Q_{\text{flume}} &= Q_c / Q_T \\
    Q_c &= \sum_{i=0}^{h_c} Q_i \\
    Q_T &= \sum_{i=0}^{h_w} Q_i \\
    Q_i &= y \times (z_i - z_{i-1}) \times \Pi_{z_i}
\end{align*}
\]

where:

- \(Q_{\text{flume}}\) = canopy volumetric flow rate (%); \(Q_c\) = canopy volumetric flow rate (m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\)); \(Q_T\) = flume tank volumetric flow rate (m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\)); \(h_c\) = canopy height (m); \(h_w\) = water column height (0.40 m); \(Q_i\) = volumetric
flow rate through the layer \((z_i \times z_{i-1}) \times y\); \(y\) = width of the flume tank (0.60 m); \(\bar{u}_i\) = averaged \(u\) component of the velocity at depth \(z_i\).

The canopy velocity reduction (\% \(U_{\text{reduction}}\)) was also expressed as percentage and calculated according to Eq. (9):

\[
\% U_{\text{reduction}} = \left( \frac{\bar{u}_{C,\text{control}} - \bar{u}_{C,\text{treatment}}}{\bar{u}_{C,\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

where \(\bar{u}_{C,\text{treatment}}\) = averaged value of \(\bar{u}\) within the canopy height \((h_C)\), and \(\bar{u}_{C,\text{control}}\) = averaged value of \(\bar{u}\) at the bare bed, but within the water layer with the same height as \(h_C\).

**RESULTS**

**Canopy reconfiguration**

A primary and important difference between flexible and stiff plants (both living and mimics) is the pronounced capacity for reconfiguration (i.e. streamlining by bending) of the flexible canopies at high velocity. Such reconfiguration is negligible in stiff plants. In still water (0 m s\(^{-1}\)), the canopy height was 0.10 m for artificial meadows, 0.13 m for \(Zostera noltii\) and 0.25 m for \(Spartina anglica\) (Table 1). The height remained similar at a low velocity (0.045 m s\(^{-1}\)). However, at high velocity (0.30 m s\(^{-1}\)) both living and mimic flexible canopies were considerably deflected (Table 1), reducing the canopy volume in most cases by more than 50%.

**Velocity profiles**

Living and mimic populations significantly affected the vertical velocity profile when compared with the bare floor (\(p < 0.05\), 95% CI of measurements with plant canopies rarely overlapping those of the control, Fig. 2). While the bare bed exhibited a typical logarithmic <\(\bar{u}\)>-profile (solid line, Fig. 2), in most cases relatively homogeneous <\(\bar{u}\)> values were observed within the plant canopies, with values clearly reduced with respect to the bare sediment, and velocities accelerated above the canopy.

The use of mimics demonstrated that velocity attenuation within the submerged canopies is predominantly affected by shoot density rather than by shoot flexibility. That is, the stiff and flexible mimics with the same density had comparable current velocities within their canopy, whereas large significant differences were found for identical mimics with contrasting densities (Fig. 2A–D). However, flexibility strongly affected the height of the canopy at high velocity, and, thus, the volume occupied by the plants.

![Vertical profiles of \(<\bar{u}>\) velocity component at 0.70 m within the artificial and natural canopies at low and high free stream velocities. (A & B) Flexible mimic beds, (C & D) stiff mimic beds, (E & F) Zostera noltii meadows, (G & H) Spartina anglica tussocks. Open symbols represent low density vegetation and closed symbols represent high density vegetation (see Table 1 for corresponding densities). On each figure, the \(\bar{u}\)-profile corresponding to the bare floor is represented as a black line. The grey area represents the corresponding canopy height. In (B), the white dashed line within the grey area represents the canopy height at low density. Error bars correspond to the 95% CI.](image-url)
As a result, flexibility strongly affected the volume above the canopy and thereby the magnitude of water acceleration above the canopy (see Fig. 2B,D).

The effects of shoot density demonstrated with mimic canopies explain the strong velocity reduction observed within the 2 densities of *Zostera noltii* canopies (see Fig. 2E,F). In the opposite situation, the effects associated with shoot density could also partly explain the linear increase observed within the low density *Spartina anglica* canopy (Fig. 2G,H). However, in this particular case, differences in canopy height probably played a major role, since the long and stiff stems of *S. anglica* occupied approximately 60% of the entire water column, forcing the water to cross through the canopy instead of establishing a skimming flow.

**Vertical Reynolds stress (τR)**

The vertical Reynolds stress ($τ_R$) (Fig. 3) was estimated at 0.02 m from the sediment–water interface to evaluate the influence of unidirectional currents on sediment stability within vegetated beds. $τ_R$ was significantly affected by the presence of mimic canopies at low (1-way ANOVA, $F_{4,424} = 52.5, p < 0.005$, Fig. 3A) and high (1-way ANOVA, $F_{4,424} = 96.54, p < 0.005$, Fig. 3B) velocities. Post hoc examination revealed that at both velocities high density flexible mimic canopies significantly reduced $τ_R$ values when compared with both stiff mimics and bare areas (post hoc Tukey's unequal N HSD test, $p < 0.05$, Fig. 3A,B). At high velocity this effect became more pronounced, resulting in significant reduction in $τ_R$ within the low density canopy also ($p < 0.05$, Fig. 3B). The influence of stiff canopies on $τ_R$ was more complicated than for flexible vegetation, as the effect depended upon current velocity. At low velocity, the 2 stiff mimic canopies had $τ_R$ values significantly higher than the bare floor ($p < 0.05$, Fig. 3A). At high velocity the stiff mimic canopies (both densities) had $τ_R$ values significantly lower than those for the bare floor ($p < 0.05$, Fig. 3B), although they were only significantly higher than the flexible mimic canopies at high density ($p < 0.05$, Fig. 3B).

Also, $τ_R$ was significantly affected by the presence of natural canopies at low (1-way ANOVA, $F_{4,407} = 13.7, p < 0.001$, Fig. 3C) and high (1-way ANOVA, $F_{4,410} = 359, p < 0.005$, Fig. 3D) velocities. Post hoc examination revealed that in all the treatments investigated, natural plant canopies significantly reduced $τ_R$ values when compared with measurements above bare sediment. At low density, significant differences were detected only between the low density *Spartina anglica* popu-

![Fig. 3. Effects of submerged vegetation on the vertical Reynolds stress ($τ_R$, Pa) in the first 0.02 m of the water column versus shoot density at low and high free stream velocities. (A,B) mimic canopies; (C,D) natural canopies of *Zostera noltii* and *Spartina anglica*. Dashed lines represent bare bed $τ_R$ averaged values, and corresponding 95% CI. Error bars correspond to the 95% CI. Lower case letters inside the graphs refer to significantly different groups as indicated by the post hoc analysis](image)
lation and the high density population of *Zostera noltii* (p < 0.05, Fig. 3C). At high velocity, the presence of plants had the same effect for each species at both densities (post hoc Tukey’s unequal N HSD test, p < 0.05, Fig. 3D). The morphological and morphometric differences between the stiff mimic and *S. anglica* canopies were much larger than those between the flexible mimic and *Z. noltii*. Therefore, it was not surprising that the τq pattern described at low velocity also diverged qualitatively between the stiff mimic and *S. anglica* canopies.

**Canopy volumetric flow rate**

The volumetric flow rate of water through the canopy (Qc) was calculated by combining velocity profiles measured within the canopy with the height occupied by the plants, thus providing an indication of the rate of sediment supply within the population. To account for slight variations in experimental velocity treatments and facilitate comparisons between plant types, Qc was further normalised to the total flow rate of water passing through the entire flume section and thus, expressed as a percentage (QC%). Thus, horizontal gradients in both vertical velocity profiles and/or canopy height combine to determine the corresponding horizontal gradients in QC% (Fig. 4).

The results using mimics (with identical dimensions) show that in non-deflected populations (i.e. stiff and flexible mimics at low velocity) shoot density decreased mean water velocity within the canopy and QC% (Fig. 4). Thus, the magnitude of the spatial changes in QC%, and canopy velocity, were solely dependent upon the shoot density, resulting in a similar pattern at both densities. The role of shoot density is, however, not so clear for deflected canopies, as the spatial pattern of canopy velocity spatial pattern, explained the horizontal increase in QC%, instead of the expected decrease. At high velocity, the deflection of *Z. noltii* shoots was at its maximum, forcing the canopy height to be constant and leading to a decrease in QC% downstream.

In contrast to *Zostera noltii* shoots, those of *Spartina anglica* were resistant to bending. Both at low and high velocities, *S. anglica* showed a negative effect of shoot density on the canopy volumetric flow rate, which became more pronounced with increasing distances downstream (Fig. 4). However, *S. anglica* also had extremely high values of QC%, when compared with mimics or *Z. noltii* (note difference in scale for QC% in *S. anglica*). This is a consequence of the long and stiff stems of this species, which occupied approximately 60% of the water column, forcing the water to cross through the canopy instead of establishing a skimming flow.

**Implications for ecosystem engineering capacity by combining QC%, and %Ureduction**

The effects of shoot density and stiffness on the potential for sediment accretion of each species are summarized by plotting QC% against the percent reduction in mean velocity within the canopy relative to the control (%Ureduction) during the highest velocity treatment (Fig. 5), when flexible canopies are deflected and stiff ones remain upright. A large potential for sediment accretion within a submerged plant canopy is related to a high QC% (i.e. a high sediment supply), moderate to strong velocity reduction within the canopy (i.e. high
sediment retention) and relatively low values of near-bed $\tau_R$. We know that, at high velocity, near-bed $\tau_R$ was small, when compared with that for bare sediment, within all the submersed populations examined (i.e. living and mimic canopies Fig. 3B,D). An increase in shoot density increases velocity reduction within the canopy, but also decreases $Q_{C%}$ (Fig. 5). This negative relation between $Q_{C%}$ and velocity reduction limits the capacity of a canopy to favour sediment accretion at very high shoot densities, when the unidirectional flow is essentially relocated over both flexible and stiff canopies (i.e. skimming flow is favoured and $Q_{C%}$ approaches zero, see bottom right side of Fig. 5). However, at moderate shoot densities, when the velocity reduction still allows a reasonably high mean velocity within the canopy, the role of shoot stiffness in determining the potential for sediment accretion became evident. For densities with similar values of velocity reduction, flexible plants had strongly reduced $Q_{C%}$. This reduction was a consequence of the velocity and density dependent deflec-
tion of the flexible canopy. The grey area highlighted in Fig. 5 indicates the magnitude of the reduction in $Q_{C\%}$ associated with plant bending.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this study highlight the main differences in habitat modification between 2 key species (Zostera noltii meadows and Spartina anglica tussocks) occurring in soft sediment pioneer areas of European Atlantic tidal salt marshes. Whereas we previously focussed on wave-dominated habitats (Bouma et al. 2005), we now explain why the stiff stems of S. anglica are also efficient in promoting sediment accretion within its tussocks in flow-dominated environments. Spartina anglica is able to reduce current velocity, which is important for sediment accretion, whilst simultaneously allowing a relatively high volumetric flow rate through its undeflected canopies, thus providing a source for sediment accretion. Upon exposure to currents, the bending of the flexible shoots of Z. noltii is an effective mechanism to prevent bed floor erosion by efficiently reducing $\tau_R$ but without increasing the chance for habitat burial as sediment is deflected with the current above the meadow. To our knowledge, the present work is the first to highlight the importance of volumetric flow rate when examining the hydrodynamic effects of the density and flexibility of shoots, which directly affect sediment accretion and erosion.

The present work agrees with previous studies regarding the positive effects that shoot density has on the capacity of submerged plants to attenuate current velocity (Fonseca et al. 1982, Gambi et al. 1990, Nepf 1999, Neumeier & Ciavola 2004). However, this effect reaches a limit when water velocity is completely attenuated within the canopy, explaining the marginal differences between the 2 relatively high Zostera noltii densities studied here. Widdows & Brinsley (2002) also described the existence of such a limit for Spartina anglica by reporting a threshold of 400 stems m$^{-2}$ for a 75% velocity reduction within S. anglica populations. Once maximum velocity attenuation is reached, the velocity within the canopy becomes almost zero, while a skimming flow develops above the canopy.

Role of density and flexibility in the hydrodynamic properties of vegetated benthos

Enhanced sediment accretion and/or reduced erosion associated with water velocity reduction are key factors in the modification of coastal habitats. Numerous studies have previously demonstrated that submerged plants modify their habitat via reduction of water velocity within their canopies (Gambi et al. 1990, Koch 1996, Widdows & Brinsley 2002, Neumeier & Ciavola 2004). The present work carries this one step further by discriminating between the particular hydrodynamic effects of the density and flexibility of shoots, which directly affect sediment accretion and erosion.

The present work agrees with previous studies regarding the positive effects that shoot density has on the capacity of submerged plants to attenuate current velocity (Fonseca et al. 1982, Gambi et al. 1990, Nepf 1999, Neumeier & Ciavola 2004). However, this effect reaches a limit when water velocity is completely attenuated within the canopy, explaining the marginal differences between the 2 relatively high Zostera noltii densities studied here. Widdows & Brinsley (2002) also described the existence of such a limit for Spartina anglica by reporting a threshold of 400 stems m$^{-2}$ for a 75% velocity reduction within S. anglica populations. Once maximum velocity attenuation is reached, the velocity within the canopy becomes almost zero, while a skimming flow develops above the canopy.

Literature data and results from this study using mimics and natural canopies suggest that shoot density is an excellent proxy to determine differences in the capacity for water velocity attenuation, provided that the populations being compared (1) are not extremely dense, (2) have a comparable shoot size and (3) have comparable stiffness. However, shoot density is not
very useful for explaining differences in attenuation of current velocity for species that typically develop high density populations, like temperate small seagrasses such as *Zostera noltii* (Brun et al. 2003, Peralta et al. 2005). Shoot density should also not be considered a good proxy to determine differences in current velocity within canopies with strongly dissimilar shoot morphology, as changes in the projected surface due to morphological differences can be associated with divergences in the drag forces and the corresponding capacity for velocity reduction (Denny 1988, Vogel 1994).

Shoot flexibility clearly modifies the effects associated with shoot density by spatial reconfiguration of the canopy through bending (i.e. by altering the spatial pattern in canopy height). On the one hand, canopy deflection may increase the density of bent leaves at the top of the canopy, increasing the chance for the establishment of skimming flow (Neumeier & Amos 2006). On the other hand, the spatial differences in canopy height alter $Q_{C%}$ and canopy velocity, which may increase, not decrease, with distance from the leading edge.

Interpreting the consequences of flexibility and density for sediment dynamics

The effects of shoot density and flexibility on velocity profiles may also affect the capacity of the submersed plant populations to favour sediment deposition. Sediment accretion associated with vegetated areas generally requires the combination of 3 conditions: (1) a sufficient load of material suspended in the water column, (2) sufficient flow of water to carry the sediment load through the canopy and (3) a reduction in water velocity within the canopy (and low $t_b$ to ensure no resuspension) to enhance accretion of the supplied sediment. Assuming (1) to be present, concluding (3) to be true based on both present results as well as those from published literature, and excluding extremely sparse vegetation that enhances turbulence (Bouma et al. 2007), our results suggest that the capacity for trapping sediment by submerged plant populations is positively influenced by the volumetric flow rate crossing the canopy. The present study clearly shows that $Q_{C%}$ strongly depends on canopy height (i.e. depends on shoot length and/or shoot flexibility) and on the mean velocity within the canopy (i.e. depends on shoot density) (Hendriks et al. 2008).

Canopy height is determined by shoot length for stiff plants (Nepf & Vivoni 2000), and by a set of mechanical properties that determine the resistance against bending in flexible ones. The resistance against bending can be estimated by calculating the overall flexural stiffness of a shoot, by multiplying the second moment of area ($I$, measure of how the biomass is distributed within the stem, m$^4$) with the elasticity modulus ($E$, measure for the resistance of material to deformation, N m$^{-2}$) (Wainwright et al. 1976). A few simple measurements show that the second moment of area for *Spartina anglica* and *Zostera noltii* is around $3 \times 10^{-11}$ and $1 \times 10^{-15}$, respectively (Bouma et al. 2005). This implies that even if both species were constructed from material with a similar resistance to deformation (i.e. similar $E$), the overall flexural stiffness will be much larger in *S. anglica* than in *Z. noltii* due to the shape of the structures. In our study we saw that even though the shoot length was longer for *Z. noltii* than for the flexible mimics, the canopy height was lower, indicating that *Z. noltii* was more flexible than the flexible mimic (Fig. 4).

In agreement with previous studies, this work suggests that flexibility plays a major role in the capacity that submerged canopies have to trap sediment (Koch 2001, Clarke 2002, Garcia et al. 2003). As plants bend the volumetric flow rate crossing the canopy is reduced (Fig. 5), which explains previous observations describing the lack of significant sedimentation in small seagrass populations, or the reduction in flow rate when compared with larger species (Heiss et al. 2000, Mellers et al. 2002). Submerged flexible canopies do, however, protect the coastal bed by reducing friction forces near the water–sediment interface (i.e. vertical Reynolds stress), thus, directly protecting the bed from erosive processes at both high and low velocities.

Benefits of ecosystem engineering: hydrodynamic feedbacks for submerged vegetation

Despite the numerous physiological and biomechanical differences between *Spartina anglica* and *Zostera noltii*, both species can coexist on intertidal mudflats. In this transitional terrestrial–marine area, *S. anglica* depends upon trapping sediments and seston to expand its habitat (Castellanos et al. 1994, Hemminga et al. 1998, van Huizlen et al. 2007). Our present study on unidirectional currents is in agreement with our previous studies on waves (Bouma et al. 2005), suggesting that the capacity of *S. anglica* for trapping particles (i.e. sediment and seston) is positively controlled by the density of stems, which determines the efficiency of velocity reduction within the nondeflected canopy at high water velocity. Similar to that of *S. anglica*, the success of seagrasses also depends on acquiring resources and avoiding stresses (Koch 2001).

In the intertidal zone, seagrass populations may benefit from high supplies of resources (i.e. light, carbon and nutrients), but have to cope with important stresses, such as emersion periods, hydrodynamic
forces and burial by sediment (Marba et al. 1994, Vermaat et al. 1997, van Katwijk et al. 2000, Peralta et al. 2005). Thus, it is not surprising that temperate seagrasses that typically succeed on intertidal mudflats are small and fast growing species, which tend to form dense populations (Heiss et al. 2000, van Katwijk et al. 2000, Peralta et al. 2005). Together with the resistance to desiccation associated with small and dense seagrass populations (Hemminga & Duarte 2000), the present results support the hypothesis that the biomechanical (i.e. shoot flexibility) and demographic (i.e. shoot density) characteristics of these small species help them to cope with the physical stresses of intertidal areas and efficiently protect their habitat. With flexible, short and dense canopies, intertidal seagrass populations may (1) have access to the water column resources by flapping the leaves within the mixing layer formed at the top of the canopy (Ghisalberti & Nepf 2002), (2) protect the habitat from sedimentation by keeping a low canopy volumetric flow rate (Fig. 4) and (3) protect the habitat from erosion by efficiently reducing the stresses near the bottom floor (Fig. 3).

Besides the autoecology of these species, the ecological consequences of the existence of adjacent habitats are also important. The presence of small seagrasses occurring in high intertidal areas, such as Zostera noltii, may provide the initial sediment stabilization necessary for the establishment of saltmarsh pioneer species (Langlois et al. 2003). Similar to previous descriptions of extreme ecosystems, the protection of seagrass canopies may ‘facilitate’ the settlement of S. anglica seedlings in the littoral zone by preventing their detachment during tidal movements (Bruno 2000). The high productivity associated with seagrass communities must supply an important source of seston for the development of adjacent S. anglica tussocks (Hemminga et al. 1998). Once S. anglica is established, sediment trapping, as explained by this study, will allow lateral expansion. This may eventually result in this species taking over of the habitat of the more sedimentary neutral seagrass. The inherent difference in how Z. noltii and S. anglica affect hydrodynamics by their contrasting shoot stiffness, thus explains why S. anglica is able to trap more sediment and thereby invade and occupy Z. noltii habitats with important consequences for ecosystem functioning.

CONCLUSIONS

This work identifies shoot density and, particularly, flexibility as important variables in determining the physical functions of submerged plant populations in relation to sediment accretion and bed stability of intertidal areas. In general terms, it can be concluded that stiff canopies have a larger capacity to trap sediment than do flexible ones by combining velocity reduction with a large supply of sediment, which is provided by the volumetric flow of water crossing the canopy. On the other hand flexible plants are most efficient at reducing stresses near the bottom via a reconfiguration of their leaves. Shoot density increases the magnitude of these effects when density values are moderate. However, once the maximum velocity attenuation is reached, submerged canopies seem to essentially affect the water flow as if they were solid obstacles, resulting in a substantial relocation of the water flow above the canopy (i.e. skimming flow is favoured).
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