Population structure and individual movement of southern right whales around New Zealand and Australia
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ABSTRACT: During the last 2 centuries, southern right whales *Eubalaena australis* were hunted to near extinction, and an estimated 150 000 were killed by pre-industrial whaling in the 19th century and illegal Soviet whaling in the 20th century. Here we focus on the coastal calving grounds of Australia and New Zealand (NZ), where previous work suggests 2 genetically distinct stocks of southern right whales are recovering. Historical migration patterns and spatially variable patterns of recovery suggest each of these stocks are subdivided into 2 stocks: (1) NZ, comprising NZ subantarctic (NZSA) and mainland NZ (MNZ) stocks; and (2) Australia, comprising southwest and southeast stocks. We expand upon previous work to investigate population subdivision by analysing over 1000 samples collected at 6 locations across NZ and Australia, although sample sizes were small from some locations. Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) control region haplotypes (500 bp) and microsatellite genotypes (13 loci) were used to identify 707 individual whales and to test for genetic differentiation. For the first time, we documented the movement of 7 individual whales between the NZSA and MNZ based on the matching of multilocus genotypes. Given the current and historical evidence, we hypothesise that individuals from the NZ subantarctic are slowly recolonising MNZ, where a former calving ground was extirpated. We also suggest that southeast Australian right whales represent a remnant stock, distinct from the southwest Australian stock, based on significant differentiation in mtDNA haplotype frequencies \(F_{ST} = 0.15, p < 0.01; \Phi_{ST} = 0.12, p = 0.02\) and contrasting patterns of recovery. In comparison with significant differences in mtDNA haplotype frequencies found between the 3 proposed stocks (overall \(F_{ST} = 0.07, \Phi_{ST} = 0.12, p < 0.001\)), we found no significant differentiation in microsatellite loci (overall \(F_{ST} = 0.004, G'_{ST} = 0.019, p = 0.07\), suggesting ongoing or recent historical reproductive interchange.
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INTRODUCTION

The first documented hunting of southern right whales *Eubalaena australis* in the western South Pacific Ocean was in southeast Australia in 1805, although official records do not begin until the 1820s or later in most locations (Dawbin 1986). Hunting peaked in New Zealand and Australia in the 1830s and 1840s, resulting in the commercial extinction of southern right whales within 2 decades (Bannister 1986, Dawbin...
1986). It is estimated that at least 25 000 southern right whales were killed in New Zealand and southeast Australia between 1827 and 1930 (Dawbin 1986). Illegal Soviet whaling from 1951 to 1971 killed a further 300 southern right whales in the waters around New Zealand and Australia, in violation of international protection introduced in 1935 (Tormosov et al. 1998).

The historical patterns of distribution and seasonal migration of southern right whales around New Zealand and Australia are complex and not well understood. Historical records suggest there were 2 coastal whaling grounds in New Zealand: one around the North and South Islands of New Zealand (NZ) (hereafter referred to as mainland NZ, MNZ), and the other at the subantarctic Auckland and Campbell Islands (hereafter referred to as the NZ subantarctic, NZSA; see Fig. 1; IWC 2001). Analysis of historical texts and whaling ship logbooks indicates that southern right whales inhabited bays and inlets around MNZ during the austral winter (Bannister 1986, Dawbin 1986). MNZ was predominantly a winter calving ground as historical sources commented on the unsustainable nature of the hunt that targeted cows with young calves (e.g. Sherrin 1886). In the NZSA whaling ground, southern right whales arrived as early as February and it is unclear whether this habitat was historically a calving or feeding ground, or a combination of both (Richards 2002). Despite the differences in the timing of historical migrations to MNZ and NZSA, it is possible these 2 areas were linked by a large-scale, seasonal migration pattern that has been inferred from historical sources (Richards 2002).

At the onset of whaling, southern right whales, in particular cows with calves, were found across the southern coast of Australia during the austral winter (IWC 1986). There was no real discontinuity in distribution or catch records to suggest subdivision of calving grounds in this region (IWC 1986). Based on the timing of catches at shore whaling stations during the 19th century, Dawbin (1986) proposed that southern right whales undertook 2 distinct patterns of migration along the southern coast of Australia during the austral winter. The southern right whales that migrated north along the east coast of Tasmania moved in a northeasterly direction up the coast of Victoria and New South Wales, while those that migrated north along the west coast of Tasmania moved from east to west along the southern coast of South and Western Australia. The latter pattern is still extant, based on the movement of photo-identified southern right whales and has been termed the ‘counter-clockwise’ migratory pattern (Kemper et al. 1997, Burnell 2001). Southern right whales from New Zealand and Australia move from these coastal winter calving grounds to off-shore, higher latitude summer feeding grounds in the austral spring. These areas are poorly described, but are known to include an area south of Western Australia (114 to 123° E and at least 60° S; Bannister et al. 1999). There is some evidence from the analyses of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) data that whales from distinct calving grounds intermix on these feeding grounds (Baker et al. 1999, Patenaude et al. 2007).

Southern right whales currently show a pattern of spatially variable recovery across New Zealand and Australia. No southern right whale was seen around MNZ for over 35 yr (1928 to 1963, Gaskin 1964), and as recently as 2003, it was estimated that there were less than a dozen reproductive females in this area (Patenaude 2003). In contrast, southern right whales are currently found in large numbers in the NZSA, which is now considered the primary calving ground of the species in New Zealand waters (Patenaude et al. 1998, Stewart & Todd 2001). The NZSA population was estimated to number 936 whales (95% CI, 740–1140) in 1998, based on a capture-recapture analysis of individually identified whales photographed during winter surveys from 1995 to 1998 (Patenaude 2002). Given this spatial variation in density, it remains uncertain whether the NZSA and MNZ calving areas represent 2 relatively isolated stocks with different histories of exploitation and recovery, or are a single stock with a poorly understood pattern of migratory habitat use.

The 2-stock hypothesis is consistent with the apparent difference in recovery between the regions (Patenaude 2002, 2003) and the differences in the timing of historical migratory arrivals at the different whaling grounds (Dawbin 1986, Richards 2002). In contrast, the one-stock hypothesis is consistent with the proposed large-scale migratory pattern. A third hypothesis, which proposes that the MNZ calving ground was extirpated and the region is being recolonised by a range expansion from the NZSA, is also plausible.

In Australia, the Western Australian and Head of the Bight (South Australia) calving grounds also show signs of recovery (Burnell 2001, Bannister 2009). There is a high degree of interchange between these grounds, as documented by photo-identification studies, and they are considered a single ‘southwest Australian’ population numbering approximately 3000 whales (Burnell 2001, 2008, Bannister 2009). In contrast, sightings in the southeast of Australia remain infrequent and the demography of this small population is not well understood (Kemper et al. 1997, Bannister 2009). The population was estimated to number 76 whales in 1993 and Warrnambool, Victoria, appears to be the only consistent calving area in southeast Australia (Kemper et al. 1997). Of the few sightings in New South Wales, one has been matched with photo-identification to this Victorian calving ground (Kemper et al. 1997). This differential recovery parallels the New Zealand situation,
with abundance in southwest Australia conceivably being an order of magnitude greater than that in southeast Australia, and supports the hypothesis that these 2 areas contain distinct stocks (Kemper et al. 1997, Bannerister 2009). Alternatively, there may be one stock across the southern coast of Australia with patchy distribution, consistent with the lack of population structure suggested by historical data (IWC 1986).

Here we address the current population structure of southern right whales on calving grounds across New Zealand and Australia using mtDNA control region haplotypes (500 bp) and microsatellite genotypes (13 loci). Previous genetic studies have shown evidence of population structure on calving grounds based on differences in mtDNA haplotype frequencies between southwest Australia, NZSA, Argentina and South Africa (overall $F_{ST} = 0.159$; Patenaude et al. 2007). Those authors attributed this genetic differentiation to maternal fidelity to calving grounds, a conclusion supported by behavioural data from long-term studies in South Africa, Argentina and southwest Australia (Best et al. 2001, 2005, Burnell 2001, Cooke et al. 2001, Patenaude et al. 2007).

We extend these previous analyses with more comprehensive geographic sampling, a larger sample size and longer mtDNA control region sequence to investigate the structuring of maternal lineages on a regional scale. We also present the first analysis of population subdivision using microsatellite loci in southern right whales and use microsatellite genotypes to document the movement of individual whales between calving grounds. In addition, we specifically address the following stock structure hypotheses based on historical and current descriptions of distribution and migration of whales: that MNZ and NZSA represent 2 distinct stocks and that the Australian coast is subdivided into southeastern and southwestern calving grounds.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Biopsy sample collection, DNA extraction and sex identification.** Skin biopsy samples were collected with a small, stainless steel, biopsy dart fired from a modified veterinary capture rifle (Krützen et al. 2002) or deployed from a crossbow (Lambertsen 1987). Around the NZSA calving ground, field surveys collected biopsies during the austral winters of 1995 to 1998 and 2006 to 2008 ($n = 934$; Table 1, Fig. 1). Around MNZ, samples were collected opportunistically by New Zealand Department of Conservation staff between 2003 and 2009 ($n = 61$). Samples were also collected from Bremer Bay/Doubtful Island Bay, West Australia (WA) in 1995 ($n = 17$, as described by...
Baker et al. 1999), and Cape Jervis/Encounter Bay, South Australia (SA, n = 24), Warrnambool, Victoria (VIC, n = 11) and along the coast of New South Wales (NSW), Australia (n = 4) between 2001 and 2009. Samples were stored in 70% ethanol in the field and transferred to −20°C storage at the University of Auckland until further analyses. All sampling sites are considered to be calving grounds, except for SA, which is considered to be a migratory corridor.

Total genomic DNA was extracted from skin biopsy samples by means of standard Proteinase K digestion and phenol/chloroform methods (Sambrook et al. 1989) as modified by Baker et al. (1994) for small tissue samples. The sex of sampled whales was identified by amplification of the male-specific SRY gene, multiplexed with an amplification of the ZFY/ZFX region as positive control (Aasen & Medrano 1990, Gilson et al. 1998). The number of unique genotypes (N genotypes) is the number of mtDNA haplotypes (N mtDNA) and Western Australia (WA) were pooled for southwest Australia (SEA); South Australia (SA; migratory corridor) and Tasmania (SWA). The number of mtDNA haplotypes (N mtDNA) from southern right whales on calving grounds and one migratory corridor (SA) around New Zealand (NZ) and Australia. The number of unique genotypes (N genotypes) is the number of mtDNA haplotypes (N mtDNA) identified from a 275 bp consensus region with haplotype sequence differences described in Tables S1 & S2 in the Supplement (www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m432p257_supp.pdf).

### Mitochondrial DNA control region haplotype sequencing and analyses.

The mtDNA control region of all samples (approximately 950 bp) was amplified by PCR by means of standard protocols (Oremus et al. 2007) with the primers dlp1.5 (Baker et al. 1998) and tphe (ANN CAT TTT CAG TGY WTT GCT TT; C. S. Baker unpubl.), both modified with a 5′-M13 primer extension (5′-TGT AAA ACG ACA GCC AGT-3′) to facilitate subsequent sequencing reactions. PCR products were purified for sequencing with ExoSAP-IT (USB) and sequenced with BigDye™ Dye Terminator Chemistry (Applied Biosystems) on a genetic analyser (ABI 3730 or an ABI 3130, Applied Biosystems).

Sequences were aligned and edited in either of the programs, Sequencer v. 4.2™ (Gene Codes) or Geneious v. 2.5 (Drummond et al. 2006). Haplotypes were identified from a 275 bp consensus region with haplotype codes established by Patenaude et al. (2007), with revisions described in Tables S1 & S2 in the supplement at www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m432p257_supp.pdf.

Haplotype (h) and nucleotide (π) diversity were estimated using Arlequin v. 3.1 (Excoffier et al. 2005). Differentiation between sampling locations was estimated with pairwise F-statistics (FST), ΦST and an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA; Wright 1949, Weir & Cockerham 1984), calculated in Arlequin v. 3.1. The significance of these differences was tested with a permutation procedure in Arlequin v. 3.1 (10 000 permutations, with significance set at α = 0.05). Given the small size of some of the samples, we also carried out comparisons using an exact test of differentiation (1 000 000 Markov chain steps; 1 000 000 dememorization steps, with significance set at α = 0.05; Raymond & Rousset 1995). Given the potential for Type II error when using the simple Bonferroni correction (Narum 2006), we report the p-values of these tests, with and without the sequential Bonferroni correction (Holm 1979, Rice 1989).

### Microsatellite genotyping and analyses.

Thirteen microsatellite loci were amplified in individual 10 μl PCR reactions under conditions and reaction mixtures described in Table S3 in the Supplement (EV1, EV37 and EV14: Valsecchi & Amos 1996; GATA28 and GATA98: Palsbøll et al. 1997; RW18, RW31, RW410 and RW48: Waldick et al. 1999; GT23: Bérubé et al. 2000; TR3G1, TR3G2 and TR3F4: Frasier et al. 2006). Each 96-well tray included a set of 7 standard samples as an internal control to ensure consistent allele sizing and a negative control to detect contamination. Amplicons from 4 to 6 loci were co-loaded for capillary electrophoresis with an ABI 3730 or an ABI 3130.

Alleles were sized with Genemapper v. 4.0 (Applied Biosystems) and all automated calling was confirmed visually (Bonin et al. 2004). We tested for linkage disequilibrium and deviations from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium with GENEPOP v. 4.0 (Raymond & Rousset 1995). To detect large allele dropout, null alleles and evidence of stutter we used Micro-Checker (van Oosterhout et al. 2004). Observed and expected heterozygosities were calculated in CERVUS v. 3.0 (Kalinowski et al. 2007) and allelic richness was calculated with FSTAT (Goudet 2001). We used the program DROPOUT.
were calculated in GENEPOP v. 4.0 (Rousset 2008) and was used to estimate true K (Evanno et al. 2005). A total of 939 samples were genotyped at between 9 DNA, not all samples were genotyped at all 13 loci, but a total of 939 samples were genotyped at between 9 and 13 loci (average, 11.8 loci). An initial review with the program DROPOUT showed that a minimum of 7 loci were sufficient to identify replicate samples, as the PID was sufficiently small to preclude matching genotypes by chance (PID ≤2.09E−08). In practice, the replicate samples matched at an average of 11 loci, in addition to mtDNA haplotype and sex. The identification and removal of matching samples within each region resulted in a total sample of 707 unique individuals. This total included 50 dependent calves (see Table 1), which were included when identifying replicates between regions, but excluded from all other analyses. Sex was identified for 640 of the 657 non-calf whales. There was no significant deviation from a 1:1 sex ratio at any sampling location, with the exception of VIC (binomial test result, p = 0.003).

Comparison of microsatellite genotypes between sampling locations resulted in 7 matches (5 females and 2 males), all between the NZSA and MNZ data sets. These 7 replicate samples matched at all loci compared, and were supported by a PID ≤1.10E−12 and identical mtDNA haplotypes and genetically identified sex (see Table S4 in the Supplement). These replicates (i.e. genotypic recaptures) were retained in both data sets for direct comparisons where appropriate. No between-sampling location matches were found in the Australian data set, or between NZ and Australia.

Of the 13 loci, 12 were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in all sampling locations. The exception was TR3G1, which deviated from HWE and showed evidence of null alleles in the NZSA calving ground but at no other sampling site, and so was retained. In addition, no pair of loci showed significant linkage disequilibrium. Internal control samples were successfully amplified 2152 times, including 14 single-allele errors, giving a per allele error rate of 0.65% (Pompanon et al. 2005). This is consistent with previously reported studies (Bonin et al. 2004).

**RESULTS**

**Individual identification and movement between regions**

Given some variation in the quality and quantity of DNA, not all samples were genotyped at all 13 loci, but a total of 939 samples were genotyped at between 9 and 13 loci. A total of 939 samples were genotyped at between 9 and 13 loci (average, 11.8 loci). An initial review with the program DROPOUT showed that a minimum of 7 loci were sufficient to identify replicate samples, as the PID was sufficiently small to preclude matching genotypes by chance (PID ≤2.09E−08). In practice, the replicate samples matched at an average of 11 loci, in addition to mtDNA haplotype and sex. The identification and removal of matching samples within each region resulted in a total sample of 707 unique individuals. This total included 50 dependent calves (see Table 1), which were included when identifying replicates between regions, but excluded from all other analyses. Sex was identified for 640 of the 657 non-calf whales. There was no significant deviation from a 1:1 sex ratio at any sampling location, with the exception of VIC (binomial test result, p = 0.003).

Comparison of microsatellite genotypes between sampling locations resulted in 7 matches (5 females and 2 males), all between the NZSA and MNZ data sets. These 7 replicate samples matched at all loci compared, and were supported by a PID ≤1.10E−12 and identical mtDNA haplotypes and genetically identified sex (see Table S4 in the Supplement). These replicates (i.e. genotypic recaptures) were retained in both data sets for direct comparisons where appropriate. No between-sampling location matches were found in the Australian data set, or between NZ and Australia.

Of the 13 loci, 12 were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in all sampling locations. The exception was TR3G1, which deviated from HWE and showed evidence of null alleles in the NZSA calving ground but at no other sampling site, and so was retained. In addition, no pair of loci showed significant linkage disequilibrium. Internal control samples were successfully amplified 2152 times, including 14 single-allele errors, giving a per allele error rate of 0.65% (Pompanon et al. 2005). This is consistent with previously reported studies (Bonin et al. 2004).

**mtDNA diversity and differentiation**

Sequences of the mtDNA control region (500 bp consensus) were available for 637 of the 657 unique individuals after the removal of calves and replicate samples (Table 1). The 500 bp consensus sequence revealed 31 variable sites that defined 13 haplotypes (Table 2). The NZSA sample (n = 551) included 11 haplotypes, compared with 4 found in previous analyses (n = 42, Patenaude et al. 2007). All haplotypes were shared between at least 2 regions, with the exception of BakHapF, which was unique to WA, and PatMalHapB, unique to NZSA in this study. Diversity indices are reported for 500 bp, the sequence length used for
analyses in this study, and at 275 bp to facilitate comparison with previous studies (Table 3).

Significant differentiation in mtDNA haplotype frequencies was found among the sampling locations (overall $F_{ST} = 0.037$, $p = 0.002$; $\Phi_{ST} = 0.066$, $p < 0.001$; exact test result, $p < 0.001$). The greatest differentiation was found when NZSA or MNZ were compared with SA or WA (Table 4). Furthermore, VIC was significantly different from WA based on mtDNA haplotype frequencies (Table 4).

Microsatellite diversity and differentiation

Microsatellite loci showed relatively high levels of observed heterozygosity ($H_o$) and number of alleles ($k$) per loci at all sampling locations (Table 5; for this information categorised by sampling site and stock see Table S5 in the Supplement). However, direct comparisons with other studies should be considered with caution as there is an ascertainment bias in this data set; the microsatellite loci used in this study were selected for the purposes of individual identification and as such were selected owing to high variability.

In contrast to the differentiation seen in mtDNA haplotype frequency data, there was no significant difference in microsatellite allele frequencies overall ($F_{ST} = 0.001$, exact $G$-test, $p = 0.19$) or in most pairwise comparisons (Table 4). A significant pairwise difference was only found between the VIC and WA calving grounds (Table 4).

Testing stock hypotheses and sex-biased dispersal

Based on the pairwise comparisons of the MNZ and NZSA samples, we could discount the 2-stock hypothesis for NZ (Table 4). Accordingly, MNZ and NZ were pooled to form a single ‘NZ’ stock data set. SA and WA were pooled into a southwest Australian (SWA) data set as the comparison showed no significant differentiation in either mtDNA or microsatellite allele frequencies (Table 4). The NSW and VIC samples were pooled to form a southeast Australian data set (SEA). Unfortunately the NSW sample was very small, but it was combined with the VIC sample owing to the geographic proximity, photo-identification match between the 2 areas (Burnell 2001) and lack of differentiation in mtDNA (F$_{ST} = 0.00$, $p = 0.37$; $\Phi_{ST} = 0.00$, $p = 0.57$).

After pooling there was significant overall ($F_{ST} = 0.07$, $\Phi_{ST} = 0.12$, $p < 0.001$) and pairwise differentiation between all 3 putative stocks, based on mtDNA haplotype data (with the exception of the $\Phi_{ST}$ between NZ and SEA; Table 4). In addition, a small but significant differentiation was found between NZ and SWA in the microsatellite allele frequency data (Table 4), but the overall value was non-significant (overall $F_{ST} = 0.004$, $G'_ST = 0.019$, $p = 0.069$).

Analysis of microsatellite genotypes with the Bayesian clustering method in program STRUCTURE provided no evidence of cryptic population structure. Although the $\Delta K$ method of Evanno et al. (2005) favoured K = 2 (Fig. 2), on closer inspection all individ-

### Table 2. *Eubalaena australis*. Variable sites defining 13 haplotypes (GenBank accession numbers JN097593 to JN097605) in the 500 bp consensus region of mtDNA control region of southern right whales. The frequencies of haplotypes are shown for each of the 6 sampling regions across New Zealand (NZ) and Australia, including NZ subantarctic (NZSA), mainland NZ (MNZ), New South Wales (NSW), Victoria (VIC), South Australia (SA; migratory corridor) and Western Australia (WA). Position 1 corresponds to position 1 in Baker et al. (1999) and Patenaude et al. (2007) and shaded area shows variable sites used to define haplotypes in those studies. For region codes see Table 1 and for haplotype synonyms see supplementary material (www.int-res.com/articles/suppl/m432p257_supp.pdf)
uals were admixed and assignment values were close to 0.5. This indicates the program is assigning individuals randomly to K populations owing to the lack of underlying population structure (Latch et al. 2006, Martien et al. 2007, 2008).

Analysis of genotypes in FSTAT also failed to detect significant sex-biased dispersal between NZ and SWA (SEA sample was all females and was not included in the test). Neither sex-specific $F_{ST}$ nor $vAIC$ values were significantly different between males and females (Table 6).

### Table 3. *Eubalaena australis*. Diversity of mtDNA control region of southern right whale calving grounds and one migratory corridor from New Zealand (NZ) and Australia compared with other southern right whale populations (Patenaude et al. 2007) and the North Atlantic right whale *Eubalaena glacialis* (Malik et al. 2000, Rosenbaum et al. 2000) and bowhead whale *Balaena mysticetus* (Rooney et al. 2001), including the sample size (N), number of mitochondrial control region haplotypes ($N_h$) and nucleotide ($\pi$) and haplotype ($h$) diversity. NZ subantarctic (NZSA) and mainland NZ (MNZ) were pooled for NZ, New South Wales (NSW) and Victoria (VIC) were pooled for southeast Australia (SEA), and South Australia (SA, migratory corridor) and Western Australia (WA) were pooled for southwest Australia (SWA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region or population</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Length (bp)</th>
<th>$h$ ± SD</th>
<th>$\pi$ (%)</th>
<th>$N_h$</th>
<th>Length (bp)</th>
<th>$h$ ± SD</th>
<th>$\pi$ (%)</th>
<th>$N_h$</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Southern right whale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZSA</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.93 ± 1.03</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.07</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNZ</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.95</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.06</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ (total)</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.91 ± 1.02</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.74</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.22</td>
<td>2.48 ± 1.77</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.63 ± 1.15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIC</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.11</td>
<td>2.61 ± 1.53</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.11</td>
<td>2.07 ± 1.19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA (total)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.11</td>
<td>2.51 ± 1.42</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.55 ± 1.39</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.09</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.09</td>
<td>2.31 ± 1.32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWA (total)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.40 ± 1.29</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.82</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.82 ± 1.53</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Patenaude et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.43 ± 1.30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Patenaude et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North Atlantic right whale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western North</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rosenbaum et al. (2000), Malik et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.30</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rosenbaum et al. (2000), Malik et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bowhead whale</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bering–Chukchi–Beaufort Seas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenia</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.09</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rooney et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. *Eubalaena australis*. Genetic differentiation of southern right whale calving grounds and migratory corridor of New Zealand (NZ) and Australia. For testing of putative stocks, regions were pooled as follows: NZ subantarctic (NZSA) and mainland NZ (MNZ) were pooled for NZ; New South Wales (NSW) and Victoria (VIC) were pooled for southeast Australia (SEA); South Australia (SA; migratory corridor) and Western Australia (WA) were pooled for southwest Australia (SWA). (A) Pairwise mtDNA control region haplotype $F_{ST}$ (top left quadrant) and $\Phi_{ST}$ (top right quadrant) with sample size N. (B) Pairwise $F_{ST}$ (bottom left quadrant) and $G_{ST}$ (bottom right quadrant) calculated from microsatellite allele frequencies based on an average sample size of 2 N per locus. NSW was omitted owing to the small sample size (n = 4). *p < 0.05; **significance after sequential Bonferroni correction
DISCUSSION

Maternal philopatry and sex-biased gene flow

Our comparison of mtDNA haplotype frequencies showed significant structuring of maternal lineages on southern right whale calving grounds across NZ and Australia. This confirms previous work (Baker et al. 1999, Patenaude et al. 2007) and extends it to a larger geographic range. In contrast to differentiation of mtDNA, we found only weak differentiation in allele frequencies of 13 microsatellite loci, with only the SWA and NZ comparison showing statistical significance. This weak difference was not reflected in the results of the STRUCTURE analysis, which is not surprising as the program does not generally detect weak population structure ($F_{ST} < 0.02$; Latch et al. 2006). Although we believe this may be preliminary evidence for a difference in microsatellite allele frequencies between the 2 stocks, further work needs to be conducted with an increased SWA sample size in future.

The observed pattern of strong mtDNA structuring with limited differentiation in microsatellite loci is consistent with the expectation of female philopatry and male

Table 5. Eubalaena australis. Microsatellite diversity of southern right whales sampled on calving grounds and one migratory corridor of New Zealand (NZ) and Australia (13 loci). Mainland NZ (MNZ) and NZ subantarctic (NZSA) were pooled for NZ, New South Wales and Victoria (VIC) were pooled to form southeast Australia (SEA), and South Australia (SA) and Western Australia (WA) were pooled for southwest Australia (SWA). 2N: average sample size per loci; $k$: mean number of alleles; AR: allelic richness; $H_o$: observed heterozygosity; $H_e$: expected heterozygosity. NSW was omitted owing to the small sample size (2N = 8)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>2N</th>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>$H_o$</th>
<th>$H_e$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NZSA</td>
<td>1046</td>
<td>12.15</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNZ</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIC</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stock</th>
<th>2N</th>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>$H_o$</th>
<th>$H_e$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEA</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWA</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NZ</td>
<td>1108</td>
<td>12.07</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Eubalaena australis. Sex-biased dispersal test results based 13 microsatellite loci of southern right whales sampled from New Zealand (NZ) and southwest Australia (SWA). Differences in sex-specific $F_{ST}$ values and variance of corrected assignment index (vAIc) were tested for significance using 10 000 permutations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>$F_{ST}$</th>
<th>vAIc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>15.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>13.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Eubalaena australis. Inference of southern right whale population structure based on microsatellite allele frequencies (13 loci) and using program STRUCTURE. (A) Mean log likelihood averaged over 6 iterations for K = 1 to 6. (B) Second order rate of change constant ($\Delta K$) for K = 1 to 6. (C) An example of the percentage of assignment of each individual to each population when K = 2; see Fig. 1 for definition of location abbreviations
dispersal, a common life history pattern seen in mammals (Greenwood 1980), including other cetaceans (Baker et al. 1998, Pimper et al. 2010). Although our tests of sex-biased dispersal were not significant, this does not rule out sex-biased gene flow at some point during seasonal migration. It is unclear where and when mating occurs between southern right whales from different calving grounds or stocks, so it is difficult to put these results in context. As the southern right whale calves during the austral winter, and the estimated gestation period for southern right whales is from 10 to 13 mo (Lockyer 1984, Best 1994), it seems most likely that mating would also occur during this season. Indeed, mating behaviour is seen in several calving grounds (e.g. NZSA; Patenaude et al. 1998) in the form of surface-active groups (SAGs), where a focus animal is the subject of courtship displays (Payne 1986, Best et al. 2003). However, behavioural studies in South Africa and Argentina have shown much of this behaviour focuses on primiparous or juvenile females and only a small number of females are seen on the calving grounds the year before they calve (Payne 1986, Best et al. 2003). These findings indicate mating may be occurring outside of the calving grounds, perhaps during mixing on feeding grounds or by the undetected movement of whales between calving grounds.

The potential for mating between members of different stocks on feeding grounds is indicated by the apparent mixing of maternal lineages from distinct calving grounds, on feeding grounds in both the South Atlantic and South Pacific oceans (Baker et al. 1999, Patenaude et al. 2007). However, social and courtship behaviours are seen less frequently in high latitude feeding grounds (south of 40°S) compared with winter calving grounds (Best et al. 2003), and the gestation period would have to be different from the expected 10 to 13 mo if mating was occurring on summer feeding grounds.

An alternate hypothesis, that the NZ and Australian populations diverged too recently for significant microsatellite differentiation to occur, is also possible. However, there are some examples of movement of individuals between putative stocks (e.g. NZSA to SA; Pirzl et al. 2009), which implies there is ongoing gene flow rather than recent divergence. Testing for paternity may help differentiate between the proximate and evolutionary hypotheses for the weak differentiation in nuclear markers.

**Maternal lineages and population structure**

**One current New Zealand stock**

The relationship between the 2 NZ calving grounds, NZSA and MNZ, has been the subject of some speculation since the era of 19th century whaling. Results presented here indicate that right whales visiting these 2 areas show no significant differentiation in either mtDNA haplotype or microsatellite allele frequencies. In addition, we have shown the first direct matches between the 2 areas based on microsatellite genotype matching (5 females and 2 males). We believe this is sufficient evidence for these 2 areas to be considered a single NZ stock. Further evidence of the link between the 2 areas comes from recent satellite tagging work; one tagged whale moved from the NZSA to the South Island of New Zealand during the austral winter of 2009 (Childerhouse et al. 2010).

While there is good evidence to indicate these 2 areas currently represent a single stock, it is equivocal whether this was true throughout recent history. Given the low numbers and disappearance along the mainland coast compared with the NZSA, it is possible the species was extirpated from MNZ. If so, the links we see between the 2 areas today could be the result of recolonisation from NZSA to MNZ rather than the remnants of a single stock. Analyses of historical samples from both NZ calving grounds would be needed to comprehensively investigate this hypothesis and determine whether the 2 grounds were genetically or demographically isolated before whaling.

**Two Australian stocks**

The WA and SA sites appear to represent a single SWA stock based on the absence of difference in mtDNA haplotype and microsatellite allele frequency data. It is interesting that the sample from the SA migratory corridor sample is genetically closer to the WA calving ground than the VIC calving ground, despite being approximately 3 times farther away. This is consistent with the proposed large-scale migration pattern (counter-clockwise pattern). We also consider it likely that VIC and NSW form a single SEA stock based on available photo-identification data (Burnell 2001) and lack of genetic differentiation.

The comparison of the SEA and SWA stocks showed the highest degree of genetic differentiation based on mtDNA data (Table 4). Although the confidence in the genetic distinctiveness of the SEA calving ground is limited by the small sample size, this is inevitable in a remnant population. However, our proposal for 2 stocks is also supported by stark differences in recovery between SWA and SEA (Kemper et al. 1997, Bishop 2008, Burnell 2008) and is consistent with the majority of photo-identification studies, which have not documented movements between VIC or NSW and WA (Kemper et al. 1997, Burnell 2001, Pirzl et al. 2009).

As additional samples become available, isolation by distance along the coast and potential for complex
migratory structure should be investigated. Tasmania would be a good site to include in future studies, as the number of sightings has increased since the 1980s; 70 individuals were sighted between 1993 and 2008 (Anonymous 2009). Such analyses will require a much larger and more systematic collection of samples than those currently available.

‘Migratory memory’ and units of conservation

Fidelity to calving grounds can be viewed as a type of cultural memory, and it seems the memory of the suitable calving ground can be lost along with the whales that formerly inhabited such areas (Clapham et al. 2008). A loss of this cultural memory is thought to be a contributing factor to the absence of recovery in some southern right whale (e.g. Chile–Peru subpopulation; Reilly et al. 2008) and humpback whale Megaptera novaeangliae calving grounds (e.g. Fiji; Gibbs et al. 2006). While southern right whales exhibit some plasticity in their philopatric behaviour (e.g. Best et al. 1993, Rowntree et al. 2001), it appears rare and it is unlikely that such novel behaviour will enable calving grounds to recover in a time frame relevant to management. Clapham et al. (2008, p. 195) argue that management units for whales should be based upon any unit that, if extirpated, would not recover by any mechanism within a management (decadal) time frame. Given the historical pattern of depletion and the current differentiation of mtDNA and microsatellite loci, there is strong evidence to consider NZ and SWA as distinct management units. Furthermore, we believe the results presented here should be considered preliminary evidence of a distinct SEA stock. We urge this precautionary approach owing to the small size of the SEA stock and encourage further investigation of stock identity and anthropogenic impacts on the southeast Australian southern right whale calving ground.
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