Carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus resource supply and utilisation for coastal planktonic heterotrophic bacteria in a gradient of nutrient loading
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ABSTRACT: Data from 7 mesocosms with a gradient in daily nutrient loading rate ($L_N$) and inverse modelling were used to estimate all C, N and P flows in an idealised food web. The gradient in $L_N$ created autotrophic production (AP) of 7 to 314 µg C l$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ (mean values over 18 d). The production of dissolved organic C (DOC) decreased from 240 to 40% of AP, and was dominated by heterotrophs (80 to 58%), with heterotrophic bacteria (BAC) producing 48 ± 8% (means ± SD) by excretion or lysis. The consumption of DOC by BAC was 133 to 86% of AP, and DOC accumulated when experimental $L_N$ ≥ natural $L_N$. C of BAC origin constituted 5.5 to 0.2% of the C consumed by copepods. The production of dissolved N and P was mainly by heterotrophs (92 ± 2% of DN, 92 ± 4% DP), but autotrophs produced 26 ± 7% of dissolved organic N (DON) and 21 ± 8% of dissolved organic P (DOP). For the production of inorganic N and P (DIN and DIP, respectively), BAC predators produced >50% of DIN and >70% of DIP at low or moderate $L_N$ (averages 37 ± 16% of DIN and 66 ± 14% of DIP). The contribution by BAC was low for DIN (12 ± 6%) and zero for DIP. For the re-mineralisation of N and P, the significance of BAC was as N and P rich food particles. DOP accumulated more than DOC, despite the high demand for P. Turnover time of dissolved organic matter (DOM) decreased from around 80 to 12 d with increasing $L_N$. These data provide new insights into the role of various functional groups of organisms for the cycling of DOM, and to the differences in C, N and P cycling.
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INTRODUCTION

Research in the last 3 decades has overthrown the paradigm of heterotrophic Bacteria and Archaea (hereafter called heterotrophic bacteria or BAC) as numerically insignificant, inactive, and ‘altruistic’ in terms of re-mineralisation of inorganic nutrients for autotrophs (Azam et al. 1983, Vadstein 2000, Sherr & Sherr 2008). However, this new paradigm has so far been applied mainly to inform our understanding of the cycling of carbon (C) and to a much lesser extent to the cycling of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), in spite of the fact that N and P often limit system productivity (Elser et al. 2007). For both marine and limnetic systems, a large number of studies have revealed the significance of planktonic heterotrophic bacteria as standing biomass, as producers that convert dissolved organic matter (DOM) to particles available for predators in the food web, and as a main contributor to community respiration (see e.g. Kirchman 2008). Furthermore, it seems that mineral nutrient limitation is as normal as carbon/energy limita-
tion, and bacteria have a fundamental role in the cycling of mineral nutrients both as competitor consumers and as prey (Vadstein 2000, Church 2008). It has moreover been shown that grazing and viral infections are dominating mortality factors, and that protozoa are the most important grazers in marine systems (Breibart et al. 2008, Jürgens & Massana 2008).

However, many key processes are poorly studied, such as the supply and utilisation of resources, biomass development and fate, and the functional role of heterotrophic bacteria in the planktonic food web. This is to a large extent because methods to undertake such studies either do not exist or are extremely time consuming. As a consequence, many systems are under-studied, and to obtain a more complete picture, the missing rates need to be calculated based on data from other sources. Normally, such calculations are done using fixed values found in the literature (e.g. Vadstein et al. 1989, 1993). However, characteristics such as growth yield and clearance rate are variables and not fixed parameters (Pirt 1982, Børshheim & Olsen 1984), and therefore the calculations may end up as products of our own misconceptions.

An alternative approach for handling the dilemma of under-studied systems is inverse modelling, where rates are calculated based on input data and constraints on unknown variable or parameter values (Vézina & Platt 1988). Thus, instead of setting fixed values for an unknown characteristic, a range constraining possible values is set, which may reflect the total range given in the literature (e.g. see Table 3). Thus, inverse modelling is a less biased method than traditional budget calculations and can end up challenging the investigator's prejudices (see ‘Results’). Inverse modelling has previously been applied with success in several studies of planktonic systems (e.g. Jackson & Eldridge 1992, Stone et al. 1993, Olsen et al. 2006, 2007, 2011, Kones et al. 2006, van Oevelen et al. 2010).

Examples of questions that have yet to be answered regarding the ecological role of planktonic heterotrophic bacteria are

- Which organisms supply the dissolved organic C (DOC) and organic and inorganic N and P resources that heterotrophic bacteria rely on for growth?
- How large a share of primary production ends up as DOC and how much of this is taken up by heterotrophic bacteria?
- What is the fate of the DOC taken up by bacteria and of the heterotrophic bacterial biomass, and to what extent do heterotrophic bacteria contribute to C flow up in the planktonic food web?
- How efficiently do heterotrophic bacteria utilise C, N and P taken up for the production of new biomass?
- To what extent do heterotrophic bacteria utilise inorganic versus organic N and P resources, and are they net re-mineralisers of N and P?
- Do DOC and dissolved organic N and P (DON and DOP, respectively) accumulate due to higher production than consumption, and what is the turnover time of these resources?
- Are C, N and P budgets proportionally identical, but differentially scaled representations, or do the 3 elements show specific characteristics?

There are likely no general answers to the above questions, as processes will be influenced by system characteristics. As a consequence, studies done in environmental gradients, either natural or experimental, may provide more general conclusions than studies at a single site.

The objective of the present paper was to address the above questions regarding resource supply and utilisation in an experimental gradient of nutrient inputs to coastal waters. The main focus was on biological flows that are currently hard or impossible to quantify directly. Data were derived from a comprehensive mesocosm experiment designed to provide data sets suitable for inverse modelling of C, N and P flows, covering a wide gradient of nutrient loadings. The food web components were assigned to 3 functional autotrophic groups based on size and 4 heterotroph groups based on trophic position and size, of which the heterotrophic bacteria (BAC) were one (Fig. 1). We used inverse modelling (i.e. Vézina & Platt 1988) to reconstruct 30 flows in the investigated coastal planktonic food web (Fig. 1). From this very comprehensive experiment, 4 previous papers have reported on zooplankton development (Gismervik et al. 2002), primary production, carbohydrate production and release (Børshheim et al. 2005), zooplankton-mediated flows of carbon (Olsen et al. 2007) and the limiting factors of heterotrophs (Olsen et al. 2011).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental design and operation**

Data for the estimation of plankton biomasses and major flows with variable nutrient input were obtained from 7 large mesocosms (12 m deep, volume 38 m³) suspended in a pristine lagoon on the west coast of central Norway (Hopavågen, 63° 35’ 38” N, 9° 32’ 48” E). The system has typical summer chloro-
phyll a concentrations of 1 to 3 µg l⁻¹ (unpubl.). Pelagic waters containing the natural plankton community were enclosed by sinking and carefully lifting the mesocosms from a depth of 12 m. The temperature in the mesocosms ranged from 15 to 16°C and the salinity was 31 ± 1 ppt (mean ± SD). The experiment was a single-factor experiment (variable nutrient dose, balanced N:P:Si) run for 18 d (19 August to 5 September, 1997). The nutrient doses added (Table 1) formed an exponential series, and the intention was that the highest dose should result in some degree of saturation of the autotrophic response. Mesocosm 3 was provided nutrients in amounts comparable to the estimated average natural supply to the lagoon system (unpubl.). The nutrient mixture was added daily after sampling with a method that secured efficient distribution and mixing of the nutrients.

### Sampling, determination of biomass and analytical methods

Integrated water samples (0 to 10 m depth) were collected daily (06:00 to 08:30 h) with a Ramberg sampler (2 m length, 4.2 l volume), transferred to 25 l light-protected containers, and sub-sampled for analysis of plankton and chemical components. Separate integrated meso-zooplankton samples were collected from the mesocosms in the afternoon every second day, and concentrated on a 35 µm net before further treatment. Methods of preservation, counting and estimation of carbon biomass of the plankton organisms assigned to the functional groups are reviewed in Table 2 (details in Olsen et al. 2007). Particulate C, N and P in the fractions (<200, <20 and <1 µm) were measured by standard methods after successive size fractionation through 200 and 20 µm nylon screens and 1 µm Nuclepore filters (Olsen et al. 2007). Dissolved inorganic (nitrate, ammonium, phosphate and silica) and organic (DOC, DON and DOP) nutrients were measured in GF/F filtered water (Olsen et al. 2007). Detrital C, N and P (<200 µm) was calculated as the difference between measured particulate C, N and P and the sum of C, N and P in the biotic components. Estimation of N and P content in biomass of the functional components was based on measured C, N and P in the particulate fractions (<200, <20 and <1µm) and mass balance. The average estimated N:C (QN) and P:C (QP) are shown in Table 2. The QP value for BAC was based on the P concentration in the <1 µm fraction, with P in

### Table 1. Daily nutrient addition rates used in the experiment. Elemental ratios for N:Si:P were 16:16:1, and doses from Mesocosms 2 to 7 increased exponentially (factor 1.7). Mesocosm 1 received no additions (control). Mesocosm 3 received N and P doses believed to be similar to the natural dose of the system. N was added as NH₄NO₃ (50% N as NO₃⁻ and 50% N as NH₄⁺), P as Na₂HPO₄, and Si as SiO₂
Table 2. Principal methods for estimation of carbon biomass and estimated mean nutrient ratios (Q, i.e. N:C and P:C ratios) of food web components (functional groups). Samples were taken each second day (see text). FNC: flow network construction; BB: biovolume based carbon estimate; LB: length based carbon estimate; MS: obtained by microscopy or epifluorescence microscopy; IA: obtained with an image analysing system (Macintosh computer and the image processing program IP Lab); fc: final concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food web component (functional group)</th>
<th>Sedation, preserving, and staining of samples</th>
<th>Dominant taxonomic groups and method used for biomass determination</th>
<th>Q used for FNC, (µg mg C⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APP</td>
<td>0.5 mmol EDTA l⁻¹ (fc)</td>
<td>Pico-cyanobacteria, &lt;2 µm, BB-IA, 0.21 pg C µm⁻³ (Booth 1993)</td>
<td>N:C 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APP</td>
<td>Glutaraldehyde (1% fc)</td>
<td></td>
<td>P:C 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANP</td>
<td>Acid Lugol’s (1% fc)</td>
<td>Diatoms &lt;20 µm, autotrophic flagellates, small dinoflagellates &lt;20 µm, BB-MS, group-specific regressions (Strathmann 1967)</td>
<td>N:C 170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMP</td>
<td>Acid Lugol’s (1% fc)</td>
<td>Diatom colonies, dinoflagellates, autotrophic ciliates, BB-MS, group-specific regressions (Strathmann 1967)</td>
<td>N:C 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAC</td>
<td>0.5 mmol EDTA l⁻¹ (fc)</td>
<td>Bacteria (and Archaea), &lt;1 µm, BB-IA, 0.16 pg C µm⁻³ (Vadstein &amp; Olsen 1989)</td>
<td>N:C 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAC</td>
<td>Glutaraldehyde (1% fc)</td>
<td></td>
<td>P:C 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAC</td>
<td>Staining by DAPI (Verity &amp; Sieracki 1993)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNP</td>
<td>0.5 mmol EDTA l⁻¹ (fc)</td>
<td>Heterotrophic nanoflagellates (HNF) 2 to 8 µm, bacterivore ciliates, appendicularia, BB-IA, 0.22 pg C µm⁻³ (Børshøe &amp; Bratbak 1987, Gismervik et al. 2002)</td>
<td>N:C 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNP</td>
<td>Glutaraldehyde (1% fc)</td>
<td></td>
<td>P:C 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNP</td>
<td>Staining by DAPI (Verity &amp; Sieracki 1993)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIL</td>
<td>Acid Lugol’s (2% fc)</td>
<td>Ciliates, 20 to 50 µm, BB-MS, 0.20 pg C µm⁻³ (Putt &amp; Stoeker 1989).</td>
<td>N:C 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP</td>
<td>Acid Lugol’s (1% fc)</td>
<td>Calanoid and cyclopoid copepods, cladocera, LB-MS, group-specific regressions (Gismervik et al. 2002)</td>
<td>N:C 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P:C 31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

picocyanobacteria (APP) subtracted. The Qₚ of BAC was 2.5 times higher than the subsistence quota for bacteria and considerably below the saturation level (Vadstein 2000).

**Rate measurements**

Samples for the measurement of primary and bacterial production were taken from the container immediately after sampling. Primary production was measured by the ¹⁴C-method and bacterial production by the thymidine incorporation method. Radioactive bicarbonate (H¹⁴CO₃⁻, 3.6 µCi bottle⁻¹; International Agency for ¹⁴C Determination) was added to the mixed sample (0 to 10 m) from each mesocosm after transfer to replicate transparent bottles (63 ml, Nunc) and 1 dark bottle per mesocosm. Samples were incubated at a depth of 2 m in situ for 4 h at midday. After termination, water samples were successively fractionated (20–200 µm, 1–20 µm and 0.2–1 µm) using a vacuum filtration unit. The radioactivity was measured in a scintillation counter (Packard Tri-Carb 1900). Daily rates were estimated based on a light factor (photosynthetic active radiation [PAR] during 24 h divided by PAR during the incubation), and further converted to average volumetric rates based on independent control experiments. Control experiments revealed that there was a good correspondence between integrated daily production and estimates based on 4 h incubations and the light factor, and that incubations at 2 m depth were representative for the 10 m water column in the mesocosms (Børshøe et al. 2005). The standard error of the mean of the primary production determinations were 2.8%.

Bacterial production was measured according to Bell (1993) by adding 20 nM of methyl-³H-thymidine
to replicate mixed samples (20 ml). The incubations (1h, in situ temperature) were stopped by adding formaldehyde (final concentration 1%), whereas a control sample was stopped at time zero. Samples were filtered on 0.2 µm cellulose acetate membranes, and macromolecules were precipitated by adding 5 ml ice cold TCA (10%) 3 times. 3H activity was measured by liquid scintillation counting, and corrected for background in pre-fixed samples. Thymidine incorporation into cold TCA insoluble material was measured by epifluorescence microscopy and image analysis. The volume to C conversion factor used was 0.17 pg C µm−3 (Vadstein and Olsen, 1989).

Sedimentation rates of P were estimated as the difference between the accumulated daily added P (Table 1) and measured total P which accumulated in the water. This means that added P could either accumulate in the water or in the sediments. The sedimentation rates of C and N were estimated based on the P sedimentation rates and the elemental ratios (P:C and P:N) of material collected in sedimentation traps. The traps were suspended at 9 m depth and harvested every fourth day. The sedimentation rates obtained directly from the traps agreed well with those estimated by mass balance, but were more variable.

Flow network characteristics and construction

The methods of inverse modelling used are presented and discussed by Olsen et al. (2006, 2007, 2011). Our simplified planktonic food web that formed the framework for the flow network construction in the mesocosm communities (Fig. 1) included 3 functional autotrophic components, 4 heterotrophic, and 30 inter-compartmental C flows. All components and flows had an analogous N and P term (Fig. 1). The input data for the flow network construction was time series data (7 mesocosms, 10 sampling days) of carbon biomass of the 7 functional groups, the corresponding N and P in the biomass, concentrations of inorganic N and P, concentrations of dissolved organic C, N and P, concentrations of detrital particulate C, N and P, primary production in 3 size fractions corresponding to the 3 autotrophic functional components, bacterial production, and sedimentation rate of C, N and P (Fig. 1). A total of 4410 measured or estimated concentrations and 490 measured flows were included in the calculations. In addition, fairly broad constraining rules were used in the calculations (Table 3).

The flow network construction process generated a complete set of food web flows representing mean flows for the 18 d period of each mesocosm, with independent calculations for each unit. The uptake of N and P (or ingestion) by heterotrophs was linked to the C uptake flows. The constraining factors involved no other strong link between the C flows and the flows of N and P. The estimated flows are means of 1000 Monte Carlo simulations for each of the following conditions: ‘Only-C’, ‘C and N’ and ‘C and P’. The Monte Carlo simulations included all measured variability of the input data (concentrations, biomasses, flow measurements), and allowed for an estimation of confidence limits for the flows. The estimated C flows were almost identical for only-C and with N and P linked to C (r² = 0.9999), and full rank solutions were obtained for all mesocosms. More systematic uncertainty was related to the relevance of the basic structure of the flow network, the quality of the input data and the constraining windows (Table 3). Consult Olsen et al. (2007, 2011) for a general discussion of the inverse solutions of the experiment.

Calculations based on constructed flow-networks

Maximum and minimum estimates were obtained for growth efficiency (GE) for BAC. The maximum estimate assumed that the flux of C from BAC to DOC was entirely due to lysis by viruses (this organic C was part of the production), whereas the minimum estimate assumed that the flux from BAC to DOC was wholly excretion (this organic C was a loss and treated similarly to respiration). Percent re-mineralisation of N and P, defined as the net release of DIN and DIP normalised to consumed DON and DOP, respectively, was calculated as the difference between release and uptake of DIN and DIP divided by the uptake of DON and DOP, respectively, and multiplied by 100. The production by BAC can be channelled up the food web and reach meso-heterotrophs (COP) via nano-heterotrophs (HNP) and micro-heterotrophs (CIL) (see Table 2 for further explanation of functional group abbreviations) through 2 (BAC → HNP → COP; Fig. 1) or 3 trophic transfers (BAC → HNP → CIL → COP; Fig. 1). The indirect importance of BAC production for the ingestion by COP can be calculated as a sum of C channelled through the ‘short route’ and the ‘long route’. For the ‘short route’, the fraction of C of BAC origin ingested by COP was calculated as the fraction of BAC of the total
ingestion by HNP multiplied by the fraction of HNP of the total ingestion by COP. The importance of BAC C for COP through the ‘long route’ was calculated in a similar way as a product of 3 entities. The trophic positions on the C-basis of DOC and heterotrophic components were estimated as the column sum of the Leontief inverse (Ulanowicz, 1986). Calculations of trophic positions on an N- and P-basis were based on 2 assumptions: (1) DON and DOP released from 1 functional group have the same trophic position as the C-based trophic position of that group, and the trophic position of DON and DOP is calculated as a weighted average based on all sources. (2) DIP and DIN released from any functional group has a trophic position of zero, as for CO2, and therefore make a zero contribution to the trophic position of dissolved N and P consumed by heterotrophs. The trophic position of BAC on a N- and a P-basis was therefore calculated as the trophic position of material consumed + 1, for example for N: [trophic position of DON multiplied by the share of DON in the total N-uptake] + 1.

RESULTS

Measured pools and rates

No response in DIN and DIP concentrations was recorded for the 4 to 5 lowest nutrient loading rates, whereas an increase in concentration peaking at Day 5 was observed for the 2 highest loading rates (Børsheim et al. 2005, Olsen et al. 2007). From Day 9 onwards the concentrations were down to the low initial concentrations.

A clear dose-response relationship was observed in autotrophic production (Fig. 2), but with a time delay of ~5 d from start of the experiment and until the production doubled. The maximum rate of production was observed after ~9 d, with a >20-fold range in production rates along the nutrient loading gradient. For the 2 highest nutrient loading rates, primary production declined to approximately half the recorded maximum by the end of the experiment, whereas production was sustained throughout the experimen-
increased nutrient loading, whereas for the heterotrophs, no comparable change was observed in the percent contribution of the different functional groups. CIL responded slightly more and COP slightly less than the other heterotrophic groups. BAC biomass as a percentage of heterotrophic biomass changed little within the gradient and averaged 29 ± 2% (mean ± SD). The biomass of BAC as a percentage of autotrophic and total planktonic biomass decreased inversely with the loading rate, (from 21 to 5% and 13 to 4%, respectively), and with means ±

The average biomass of autotrophs increased linearly and 9-fold within the loading gradient, whereas the increase in the biomass of heterotrophs showed some evidence of saturation at higher nutrient loadings and spanned only a factor of 2 (Fig. 3). This resulted in a 4-fold change in the ratio between autotrophic and heterotrophic biomass (range 1.6 to 6.6). For the autotrophs, the nanoplankton (ANP) increased considerably in the percentage of autotrophs with increased nutrient loading, whereas for the heterotrophs, no comparable change was observed in the percent contribution of the different functional groups. CIL responded slightly more and COP slightly less than the other heterotrophic groups. BAC biomass as a percentage of heterotrophic biomass changed little within the gradient and averaged 29 ± 2% (mean ± SD). The biomass of BAC as a percentage of autotrophic and total planktonic biomass decreased inversely with the loading rate, (from 21 to 5% and 13 to 4%, respectively), and with means ±

![Fig. 2. Development in autotrophic production, and heterotrophic bacterial production and biomass as a function of N loading rate (L_N) and time. Contour plots are based on estimates sampled on 11 d and at 7 different nutrient loadings](image-url)

![Fig. 3. Average biomass of the different functional groups (see Fig. 1) as a function of N loading rate (L_N), shown as stacked bars for heterotrophs (left) and autotrophs (right). Note the 6-fold difference in the 2 biomass scales. See Table 2 for an explanation of functional group abbreviations](image-url)
Table 4. Biomass of nano-heterotrophs (HNP) feeding on heterotrophic bacteria (BAC) as a function of N loading rate \( (L_N) \) (µg N l\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)). Average biomasses (µg C l\(^{-1}\)) of the different mesocosms, based on 10 samplings during the 18 d experiment, are shown for: Craspedophyceae, heterotrophic nanoflagellates (HNF), bacterivorous ciliates (Bact Ciliats), the appendicularian Oikopleura, and total HNP (mean ± SD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( L_N )</th>
<th>Craspedophyceae</th>
<th>HNF</th>
<th>Bact Ciliats</th>
<th>Oikopleura</th>
<th>Sum HNP ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.1 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>9.6 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9.2 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>10.8 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>12.0 ± 7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>11.2 ± 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>11.5 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD of 11 ± 6% and 8 ± 3%, respectively. For 4 of the mesocosms, time course development in biomass of autotrophs and BAC is presented in Børsheim et al. (2005) and for CIL and COP in Gismervik et al. (2002), whereas contour plots for HNP, CIL and COP are presented in Olsen et al. (2007).

Grazers on BAC (HNP) included 4 dominant plankton groups (Table 4). Except for the zero dose treatment, heterotrophic nanoflagellates (HNF) dominated in terms of biomass, and constituted on average more than 60% of the grazer biomass. The other 3 groups were similar in terms of biomass (averages 11 to 14%). No dose response was observed for Craspedophyceae and Oikopleura. The biomass of HNF in general increased with time, whereas bacterivorous ciliates and Craspedophyceae were at their maximum biomass after about 1 wk (data not shown). The highest biomass of Oikopleura was observed at the beginning and towards the end of the experiment.

For the 4 mesocosms with the lowest nutrient loading rate there were no clear trends in DOC as a function of time, but for the 3 highest loading rates DOC accumulated during the second half of the experiment and nearly doubled in the 2 mesocosms with the highest loading rates. Time series for DOC for 4 mesocosms are presented in Børsheim et al. (2005), DON concentration in general decreased slightly with time, but for the mesocosms with the highest nutrient loading rates the lowest concentrations were measured after ~1wk. Within the nutrient loading gradient DOP concentrations changed from decreasing with time to strong accumulation at the highest nutrient loadings (35 to 70% increase). Average DON and DOP concentrations for each of the mesocosms are presented in Olsen et al. (2011).

Flow network construction

The first flow network describing the framework for inverse modelling of the food web was with a unidirectional C flow from DOC to BAC. This, however, resulted in solutions without full rank and a clear under- and over-estimation of bacterial production and biomass, respectively. By introducing the BAC → DOC flow, we obtained full rank solutions and good correlation between measured and estimated variables. The slope (mean ± SD) for estimated versus measured rates was 0.972 ± 0.014, \( r^2 = 0.993 \) (Olsen et al. 2007). The BAC → DOC flow can be interpreted as excretion or viral induced lysis, and is discussed further below. The uncertainty of the estimated mean C, N and P flows ranged from 0.1 to 7%, with the highest relative uncertainty for small flows (derived from 3000 Monte Carlo simulations for each element; Olsen et al. 2007, 2011).

Estimated bacterial mediated C, N and P flows

The C uptake by BAC showed evidence of saturation with increasing rates of nutrient loading, and with a total 5-fold variation (Fig. 4). The N uptake was >99% DON at the 3 highest loading rates, and averaged 69% for the 3 lowest. DIN uptake was higher than DON uptake only for the zero loading rate. Thus, with increasing loading rate of DIN to the system the significance of DIN in the total N uptake by BAC decreased. The uptake of both DIP and DOP showed strong saturation tendencies with increasing nutrient loading rates. DIP and DOP uptake were comparable (DIP uptake was 45 ± 4% of total, means ± SD). Thus, the balance in the uptake of inorganic and organic N and P were completely different within the gradient.

The release of inorganic C (Fig. 4) must be considered differently from that of inorganic N and P, as DIC release is respiration, which is a resource only for the autotrophs and was present in surplus. Rates of respiration and DOC release by BAC were comparable at the lowest loading rates, but at the 3 highest loadings, DOC release exceeded respiration by approximately one third. Of the C uptake that was not respired, on average 83 ± 5% was released as DOC. For N, releases of DIN and DON were comparable at high loadings (average %DIN was 47%), whereas DON release became more and more important at the 3 lowest loadings (average %DIN was 23%). The release of P was almost exclusively in the form of DOP, as DIP release was insignificant over the whole loading gradient.
Net bacterial production on a C, N and P basis, i.e. the production that was available for grazers, spanned a factor of 4 to 5 within the loading gradient. The C response within the gradient was positively correlated to those of N and P (Pearson’s r = 0.693 and 0.699, respectively). The heterotrophic bacterial production available for grazers and the consumption by grazers was in balance on a C basis, whereas on an N and P basis, some deviations were observed at the highest loading rates (Fig. 4).

The specific uptake of C (normalised to biomass of BAC) showed a weak tendency to saturation with increasing loading (from 1.3 to 2.8 d⁻¹, data not shown). The specific respiration rates increased similarly (from 0.51 to 1.13 d⁻¹), whereas the net specific production rate reached saturation at natural loading and was within the 0.08 to 0.22 d⁻¹ range. The lower variation in absolute (Fig. 4) versus specific C uptake, release and net production rates was due to a 2-fold increase in the average biomass of BAC within the loading gradient (Fig. 3).

Identification of organisms producing dissolved matter for bacteria

The production of DOC by autotrophs increased almost linearly with nutrient loading rate (Fig. 5A). Release by heterotrophs showed a weak tendency to saturation, and they were the most significant producers of DOC at all nutrient loading rates. The percent contribution of autotrophs increased linearly with loading rate, from 20 to 43% within the gradient (Fig. 5B). Even though heterotrophs were the main producers of DOC at all nutrient loading rates (Fig. 5A), the contribution by the 4 groups of heterotrophs varied within the gradient (Fig. 5B). In general, BAC contributed around 45% of the DOC production in most mesocosms, with a maximum of 66% in the mesocosm with zero nutrient loading (overall mean ± SD = 48 ± 8%). HNP had its highest contribution at low nutrient loading rates (18%), decreasing to <2% at the higher (8 ± 8%). CIL and COP were comparable in significance, and were most important
at moderate nutrient loading rates (7 ± 4 % and 11 ± 4 %, respectively). A ranking of the average contribution of heterotrophs to the production of DOC is: BAC >> COP = HNP = CIL.

For the production of dissolved N and P, the picture was more complex as both inorganic and organic forms are resources for heterotrophic bacteria, and the dominance of the heterotrophs is even stronger than for C as a priori (Fig. 1) the autotrophs did not release inorganic N and P (Fig. 6A,D). For autotrophs, the release of DON and DOP increased linearly with nutrient loading rate (Fig. 6A,D), amounting to 19 to 41 % of the total DON produced and 10 to 36 % of the total DOP produced (Fig. 6B,E). The average contribution of autotrophs to the production of DON and DOP was 26 ± 7 % and 21 ± 8 %, respectively.

The relative significance of the 4 heterotrophic groups to DON and DOP production showed similarities to DOC production. In general, the contribution by BAC was high, and was highest at the zero nutrient loading rate, amounting overall to 45 ± 5 % of total DON and 30 ± 9 % of total DOP produced. The importance of HNP was high at low to moderate nutrient loading (average 21 % of DON and 38 % of DOP), decreasing to <5 % at higher loading rates. The overall averages were 11 ± 10 % of DON and 23 ± 19 % of DOP produced. Both CIL and COP were more significant producers of dissolved organic nutrients at moderate to high nutrient loadings, where typical CIL and COP releases amounted to 9 and 14 % of total DON and 11 and 28 % of total DOP produced, respectively. The average release for CIL and COP, respectively, was 7 ± 3 % and 12 ± 4 % of DON, and 8 ± 6 % and 19 ± 12 % of DOP produced. Thus a ranking of the average contribution of heterotrophs to the production of DON is: BAC >> COP = HNP > CIL, and for DOP: BAC > HNP > COP > CIL.

For the production of inorganic N and P, the HNP group had the most significant role (Fig. 6C,F). Its contribution at low and moderate nutrient loading rates was >50 % of total DIN and >70 % of total DIP produced, and with 37 ± 16 % and 66 ± 14 %, respectively. The contribution by BAC to DIN production was moderate (12 ± 6 % of total) and zero for DIP. Both the CIL and the COP groups made moderate contributions, and in contrast to the HNP, their significance increased at the higher nutrient loading rates. Overall contributions were 20 ± 8 % of total DIN and 8 ± 7 % of total DIP produced for CIL, and 31 ± 4 % of total DIN and 26 ± 7 % of total DIP produced for COP. The ranking of the average contribution of heterotrophs to the total release of DIN is: HNP = COP > CIL > BAC, and for DIP: HNP >> COP >> CIL >> BAC.

**DOC production and utilisation as a function of autotrophic production**

The total production and consumption of DOC as a function of net autotrophic production (total CO₂ fixation minus autotrophic respiration) increased linearly with nutrient loading rate, but with a tendency for saturation at an autotrophic production > 200 µg C l⁻¹ d⁻¹ (Fig 7A). For DOC production, the slope of the relationship was 0.419 (dimensionless) with an intercept of 22.4 µg C l⁻¹ d⁻¹ (R² = 0.974), and for DOC consumption, the slope and intercept of the relationship were 0.333 (dimensionless) and 25.0 µg C l⁻¹ d⁻¹, respectively (R² = 0.975). The production of DOC was higher than consumption at a net autotrophic production of >50 to 100 µg C l⁻¹ d⁻¹. This occurred at an autotrophic production comparable to, or slightly higher than the level in the mesocosm with natural loading (see methods), and resulted in an accumulation of DOC (see below). A consequence of the posi-
tive intercepts in Fig. 7A is that the production and consumption of DOC as a percentage of net autotrophic production decreased hyperbolically from 240 to 40% and from 320 to 34%, respectively (Fig. 7B), and with a DOC production and BAC consumption of 91 ± 69% and 99 ± 101% of the autotrophic production (means ± SD), respectively. The uptake of DOC by BAC as a percent of DOC produced varied considerably less (range 86 to 133%, 98 ± 17%; Fig. 7B).

**Fate of DOC uptake and importance for copepods**

The fate of the DOC consumed by BAC varied only slightly within the primary production gradient (Fig. 7C). The percentage of the consumption respired or ending up as DOC again varied little (means ± SD were 41 ± 1% and 49 ± 3%, respectively). It must be noted that DOC production is averaged for the 18 d of the study, and that there was a considerable lag time between consumption and fate (see ‘Discussion’). A low fraction of the BAC production was available for grazers (average 10 ± 4%), but it varied by almost a factor of 3 and was highest at a net autotrophic production typical for moderate, close to natural, nutrient loading rates (50 µg C l−1 d−1).

The contribution of BAC to the flow of carbon up the food web can be illustrated by calculating the percent indirect contribution to the total ingestion by COP. In our flow network, there are 2 possible routes involving 1 or 2 trophic transfers before ingestion by COP (Fig. 1). When the net autotrophic production was low (<40 µg C l−1 d−1), the contribution of BAC carbon to the total ingestion by COP was 5.5%, but decreased inversely with autotrophic production above this threshold and was <0.5% at an autotrophic production >120 µg C l−1 d−1 (Fig. 8). On average, C originating from BAC was 2.34 ± 2.26% of the C ingested by COP. Counter intuitively, the ‘longer route’ via CIL contributed three-quarters of the C with BAC origin ingested by COP (Fig. 8), at all levels of autotrophic production except >300 µg C l−1 d−1.

**Growth efficiency of C, N and P**

GE may be defined as the percentage of a resource taken up which is converted into biomass. Thus, excretion of a resource will entail reduced GE, whereas mortality does not influence GE. This complicates estimates of GE in the present study, as the flux from heterotrophic bacteria to DOC may be due to excretion or to virus-induced mortality and lysis. We have
accordingly calculated minimum and maximum estimates assuming, respectively, that all DOC released was either by excretion or as a result of viral lysis. As a minor fraction of cellular N and P is inorganic (Vadasstein 2000), the same assumptions may be applied for N and P release. Considerable variation was observed in GE between C, N and P (hereafter GE_C, GE_N and GE_P respectively), and at least for GE_N the variation was a function of the nutrient loading rate (Fig. 9). Overall minimum and maximum GE_C estimates (means ± SD) were 10 ± 3% and 51 ± 3%, respectively, and these were highest for the nutrient loading rate that was comparable to the natural (16 and 56%, respectively). Estimated GE_N was much higher and more variable, with minimum and maximum averages of 35 ± 14% and 76 ± 13%, respectively, and with lower GE_N at higher loadings. The highest minimum and maximum estimates for GE_P were 57 and 100%, respectively. GE_P was even higher, with average minimum and maximum estimates of 82 ± 5% and 100 ± 0%, respectively. As BAC released no DIP, the estimated maximum GEP was 100% at all loadings. The minimum estimates of GE were highly correlated, with R² = 0.942 and R² = 0.859 for GE_P versus GE_C and GE_N versus GE_C, respectively.

Fig. 8. The contribution of heterotrophic bacteria (BAC) to the carbon flow up the food web as a function of net autotrophic production (gross C fixation minus autotrophic respiration). ●: significance of C of BAC origin to the total ingestion of copepods (COP); ○: percent of this C going through the ‘long’ route via heterotrophic ciliates (CIL)

Importance of inorganic nutrients, competition with autotrophs and re-mineralisation

The percent inorganic nutrient uptake of total N and P taken up by BAC was quite different (Fig. 10A). Whereas DIP varied only between 38 and 52% of total P uptake (average 45 ± 4%), the range for DIN was 74 to 0% (average 14 ± 25%). Thus, inorganic P was 3 times more significant than inorganic N in the total uptake of P and N by BAC, and the variability was 6 times less. The strength of the competition between BAC and autotrophs can be expressed
as the percent contribution of BAC in the total uptake of inorganic nutrients by BAC and autotrophs. For both DIN and DIP, the uptake by BAC was around one third of the total uptake at zero loading, decreasing to 0 and 8%, respectively, at the highest rates of nutrient loading (Fig. 10A). On average, the contribution of BAC to the total uptake of inorganic N and P was 6 ± 11% and 18 ± 9%, respectively. Thus BAC were more significant competitors with autotrophs for DIP, as on average the DIP uptake was 3-fold higher than that of DIN and was always >8% of the total uptake.

The role of BAC as re-mineralisers of N and P, reflected by their net release of DIN and DIP as a percentage of consumed DON and DOP, was calculated from the data. Excluding the mesocosm where nutrients were not added (with 74% DIN uptake), BAC were net re-mineralisers of N, with a net release of DIN (release minus uptake) which was 24 ± 13% of consumed DON (Fig. 10B). In contrast BAC were net consumers of DIP at all loadings and accordingly did not re-mineralise this nutrient. For every 100 molecules of P taken up as DOP, they had on average to consume 84 DIP molecules to cover their requirements (net release of −84 ± 13%). For both N and P it seemed that the percent uptake of inorganic nutrient of the total had to be below 15 to 25% for BAC to act as re-mineralisers (Fig. 10B).

**DOM accumulation and turnover time**

Both DOC and DOP accumulated at the higher nutrient loading rates, whereas DON was consumed at a specific rate of 0.3 ± 0.2% d⁻¹ (negative accumulation) when excluding the mesocosm without the addition of nutrients (Fig. 11A). At the higher nutrient loading rates, DOP accumulated more rapidly than DOC. The change to positive accumulation occurred at low nutrient loading rates for both DOP and

---

**Fig. 9.** Growth efficiency (GE) in utilisation of C, N and P taken up by heterotrophic bacteria (BAC) as a function of N and P loading rates (L_N and L_P, respectively). Minimum and maximum estimates assume DOC release (Fig. 7C) to be wholly excretion or wholly due to viral lysis, respectively.

**Fig. 10.** (A) Percent contribution of DIN and DIP uptake by heterotrophic bacteria (BAC) to the total uptake of inorganic nutrients by BAC plus autotrophs (%BAC of DIX) and to total DN and DP uptake by BAC (%DIX of DX) as a function of N loading rate (L_N). (B) Percent re-mineralisation of N and P as a function of percent inorganic uptake by BAC.
The same pattern was also evident for the absolute accumulation rates and for measured changes in the concentration of DOC, DON and DOP (data not shown, but see Børsheim et al. 2005 for DOC). The turnover time of dissolved organic C, N and P decreased by a factor of 7.1, 4.3 and 6.6, respectively, with increasing nutrient loading rate (Fig. 11B). In general, the turnover times of DOC and DOP were similar, whereas the turnover times of DON were considerably longer at the medium to high nutrient loading rates.

Trophic position of bacteria

The trophic position of BAC was calculated on a C-, N- and P-basis (Fig. 12). BAC relies solely on DOC for C, whereas for N and P, the resource can be a mixture of inorganic (trophic position zero) and organic matter (trophic position dependent on the source). In general, the trophic position of BAC peaked at a low nutrient loading, but the variation as a function of the nutrient loading rate was low. The differences in trophic position on a C-, N- and P-basis were more striking than the dependence of loading rate, and values averaged $4.7 \pm 0.5$, $3.7 \pm 0.8$ and $2.7 \pm 0.5$, respectively ($\pm$ SD). They were all significantly different ($p < 0.05$). Interestingly, on a C-basis, BAC are at the top of the food web we studied, as their trophic position was 2 times higher than that for COP ($2.4 \pm 0.5$). The trophic position of COP was significantly lower than the C- and N-based average values of BAC ($p < 0.005$), but not different from the P-based estimate ($p = 0.32$).

DISCUSSION

Methodological aspects

The methodological aspects of the inverse solutions of the flow networks for C, N and P of this study have already been thoroughly discussed and the results seem to be robust (Olsen et al. 2006, 2007, 2011). The accuracy of the estimated rates was higher than those for conventional methods, and was in good agreement with the measured data. The fact that we had to include the BAC-to-DOC flow to obtain full rank solutions and agreement with measured variables is an example of the strength of inverse modelling compared to traditional budget calculations. The same is indicated by the fact that we obtained variable growth yields dependent on the nutrient loading rate. In a similar experiment conducted in the Baltic
Sea (Olsen et al. 2006), we also had to include the BAC-to-DOC flow to obtain full rank solutions, which again points to the robustness of the method. The BAC-to-DOC flow can be interpreted as a mixture of excretion which is known to occur under excess C conditions (Kawasaki & Benner 2006, Madigan et al. 2009) and virus-induced mortality which operationally results in the production of dissolved organic matter (Weinbauer 2004), and is discussed further below. Future studies should investigate the implications of using thymidine-based production measurement in systems where viral-induced mortality seem to dominate, and to what extent the thymidine method measures phage production. In conclusion, range-constrained inverse modelling as done in the present experiment seems to be less influenced by the ‘delusions’ of the investigator and are therefore likely less biased and less investigator-dependent.

In the subsequent discussion, it is important to remember that the rates presented are averages for the entire 18 d period of the experiment. Thus, the time dynamics which occurred (e.g. Fig. 2) were lost, and the correlations between rates should be interpreted with caution as they do not cover the time resolution of these processes (see Lønborg et al. 2009). However, the use of averages for the whole experimental period results in more conservative conclusions and they should therefore be more robust.

Which organisms supply DOC and organic and inorganic N and P resources that heterotrophic bacteria rely on for growth?

Traditionally, it has been assumed that autotrophs (phytoplankton) are the main producers of DOC (Dafner & Wagersky 2002), but except for at extreme nutrient loading rates, their contribution was only 20 to 30% in the present study. Thus, heterotrophs were the main contributors, with heterotrophic bacteria as the clear number one contributor accounting for approximately 50% of the total. This makes heterotrophic bacteria a spinning wheel for consuming and producing DOC, even though it may be argued that viral lysis is not a host-driven process. Their huge impact was mainly due to a short turnover time and not high biomass. Some studies indicating that a large share of DOC in marine systems is of bacterial origin (McCarthy et al. 1998, Ogawa et al. 2001, Lønborg et al. 2009) provide support to our findings. However, the above and similar studies investigated bulk characteristics of DOC and the net rate of change, and our study is likely the first to present absolute rates. Whereas the production of DOC by protozoans is little studied (Sherr et al. 1982), several studies point to metazoans as important producers of DOC (Olsen et al. 1986, Strom et al. 1997, Olsen et al. 2002). However, few studies have estimated complete budgets of DOC production, even though some have pointed out the importance of heterotrophs relative to autotrophs (e.g. Vadstein et al. 1993).

The pattern in production of DON and DOP showed similarities with DOC production. This is interesting, as it has been assumed that phytoplankton produce mainly carbon-rich compounds (e.g. Granum et al. 2002, Børsheim et al. 2005). The almost complete dominance of protozoa in the production of DIN (mean 57%), and in particular of DIP (mean 74%), is striking, and implies that heterotrophic bacteria are insignificant re-mineralisers (see below). The fact that protozoa and not heterotrophic bacteria account for the bulk of re-mineralisation was previously stated by Johannes (1968) and re-stated regarding P by Vadstein et al. (1993). So far, it has not been generally accepted, even though mechanistic explanations exist (Vadstein 2000).

How large a share of primary production ends up as DOC and how much of this is taken up by heterotrophic bacteria?

It has generally been considered that 10 to 50% of autotrophic production ends up as DOC that is available for heterotrophic bacteria (e.g. Azam et al. 1983). However, in the present study, the amount of DOC relative to primary production was close to this range (40 to 58%) only at high nutrient loadings, whereas at low to moderate loadings, DOC production was relatively greater and comparable to primary production (85 to 240%). The divergence between our results and those previously published (e.g. Conan et al. 2007, Lønborg et al. 2009) may be due to the fact that we quantified gross production of DOM whereas others quantified net production (production minus consumption). The high proportion of autotrophic production ending up as DOC is due to 2 factors: (1) all organisms released a considerable fraction of consumed or fixed C as DOC, and (2) there is recycling of DOC within the food web because organic C leaves the food web only by respiration and export. DOC may, for example, be released by phytoplankton, consumed by bacteria, egested by grazers and consumed by bacteria again. This second point (recycling) was given some attention in the late 1980s. It was claimed that DOC available to het-
erotrophic bacteria was dependent on the food web structure and GE, and may reach >100% of autotrophic production on a seasonal basis (Strayer 1988, Vadstein et al. 1989). This phenomenon has not been much studied since then, and does not seem to have taken root in mainstream thinking.

**What is the fate of the DOC taken up by bacteria and of the heterotrophic bacterial biomass, and to what extent do heterotrophic bacteria contribute to the C flow up the planktonic food web?**

A large number of studies have stated that the microbial loop may contribute to production at higher trophic levels, which is not strange taking into consideration the high proportion of autotrophic production which heterotrophic bacteria consume (in this study 34 to 320%). However, their role will depend on how efficiently consumed DOC is converted to biomass and on the number of trophic transfers required to reach (for example) copepods. In the present study, only 7 to 16% (average 10%) of the DOC consumed was available for phagotrophs, which translates to 3 to 12% of the autotrophic production. Excretion or viral lysis and respiration were of comparable importance in reducing the fraction of DOC consumption by heterotrophic bacteria available for predators. In contrast to most other studies where viral lysis and predation are considered to cause comparable loss rates (see Weinbauer 2004), our study suggests that the sum of viral lysis and excretion was between 74 and 89% of the C loss by heterotrophic bacteria (average 83%). This provides indirect support for the hypothesis that viruses and not resource competition are the main factor structuring community composition (Thingstad 2000, Vadstein 2011). As far as we know, the study by De Laender et al. (2010) is the only other example in the literature that addresses the significance of heterotrophic bacterial production for ingestion by marine copepods. They concluded that during spring and summer, 20 to 80% and 80 to 90%, respectively, of the copepod diet was protozoa. This far exceeds our estimates, even though in our study a considerably higher fraction of autotrophic production ended up as DOC. The discrepancy may be due to the fact that De Laender et al. (2010) based their study on literature values from a variety of sources and allowed all DOC produced to be consumed by heterotrophic bacteria. The latter procedure is not in accordance with observations (Thingstad et al. 1997), nor the fact that heterotrophic bacteria may be limited by mineral nutrients (Vadstein 2000, 2011, Olsen et al. 2011). Although the average contribution of heterotrophic bacterial production to the diet of copepods was low (2%) in our study, it is interesting to note that it varied 10-fold and may thus be critical under oligotrophic or summer situations. This is in accordance with the findings of De Laender et al. (2010). Bacterial biomass requires between 2 and 3 trophic transfers to reach copepods, and the counterintuitive result of our study was that the longer path contributed three-quarters of the total bacterial production to copepods.

As both respiration by heterotrophic bacteria (Robinson 2008) and rates of viral induced lysis (Breitbart et al. 2008) are generally accepted to be high, our findings on the fate of consumed DOC may reveal a general pattern in marine systems. This is supported by tracer experiments in mesocosms (Ducklow et al. 1986). Limnetic systems where daphnids dominate the metazoan zooplankton may be different, as daphnids are efficient grazers of heterotrophic bacteria (Børshiem & Olsen 1984, Vadstein et al. 1993).

**How efficiently do heterotrophic bacteria utilise C, N and P taken up for the production of new biomass?**

The differences in GE for the different elements reflect differences in their role in metabolism, the chemical form of the element (e.g. DON versus DIN), and the balance between the elements taken up in comparison to the demands. Uptake of C, N and P can be linked when the resource is organic, but uncoupled when N and P uptake is inorganic and when C uptake is carbohydrates and lipids (excluding phospholipids). The link between C and P uptake, unlike that between C and N, is weak as in general P is taken up as PO$_4^{3-}$ after extracellular hydrolysis (Vadstein 2000, Madigan et al. 2009). One could hypothesise that GE$_C$ will be lower than GE$_N$ especially GE$_P$, as C is strongly linked to the generation of energy whereas P is loosely linked. This was in fact what we observed for both the maximum and minimum GE estimates. Also, the differences in the loading dependence of GE for C, N and P were striking, with higher dependence for N and C than for P. This suggests that the utilisation of N resources are more dynamic, and that in eutrophic systems heterotrophic bacteria fulfil their N requirements through uptake of organic matter (Thingstad & Lignell 1997), and further that P is an element always in strong demand (Vadstein 2000).
The GEC values obtained indicate that the quality of the DOM changed at high productivity in a way which reduced its quality on a C-basis. As a consequence, GEC went down, as less of the N content in DOM was required for biomass production. This observation could be related to the doubling in the contribution of autotrophs to DOC and DON production at high nutrient loading, which increased from 20 to 40%. Moreover, it suggests that for nutrient loading with a relatively balanced N:P ratio, extracellular production by phytoplankton is not dominated by N-poor substrates like polysaccharides, as proposed by several authors (e.g. Biddanda & Benner 1997, Granum et al. 2002).

The value of GE_C varied considerably, and our maximum and minimum estimates almost spanned the range given in the literature. The fact that most recent GE_C values published for natural bacterio-plankton (del Giorgio & Cole 2000, Smith & Prairie 2004) are between our maximum and minimum estimates based on the extreme assumptions that all DOM is produced by excretion or lysis due to viruses, respectively, may suggest that both virus-induced lysis and excretion were involved in the flux of C from bacteria to the DOC pool. This is supported by the fact that heterotrophic bacteria were most likely P-limited (Olsen et al. 2011), as the excretion of DOC is mainly a C-surplus phenomenon (Kawasaki & Benner 2006, Madigan et al. 2009). So far, little attention has been given to active excretion of DOC by heterotrophic bacteria in natural systems, which is likely a consequence of the old carbon/energy limitation paradigm. However, it has been shown recently that heterotrophic bacteria may excrete 14 to 31% of the C taken up during active growth (Kawasaki & Benner 2006), and bacteria produce a variety of compounds with variable bioavailability (Ogawa et al. 2001, Lønborg et al. 2009).

To what extent do heterotrophic bacteria utilise inorganic versus organic N and P resources, and are they net re-mineralisers of N and P?

For heterotrophic bacteria, DIP was approximately half of the P uptake throughout the nutrient loading gradient, whereas the significance of DIN as an N source decreased rapidly from three-quarters to zero with increasing loading rate. This reflects the difference between organic N and P resources; whereas P is bound as phosphate esterified to organic constituents and has to be hydrolysed before uptake (Vadstein 2000, Madigan et al. 2009), N is bound in constituents like amino acids and nucleotides that can be assimilated directly (Church 2008, Madigan et al. 2009). A consequence of this is a larger niche overlap between autotrophs and heterotrophic bacteria for P than for N, and accordingly a stronger competition for DIP than for DIN. This difference in competition is also reflected by the fact that, on average, the percent uptake of the total by heterotrophic bacteria was 3 times higher for DIP than for DIN.

Tracer studies with radioactive phosphate have shown that the fraction taken up by bacteria is typically 60 to 90% (see Vadstein 2000), which is 3 to 10 times higher than the percent contribution reported in the present study. It must be noted that the uptake of DIN and DIP presented here is the net uptake, and the above discrepancy gives support to the existence of a bidirectional flux between DIN and DIP, and osmotrophs. This process is thought to be significant in the competition for P (Lean & Nalewajko 1976, Olsen 1989, Vadstein 2000), and is verified in physiological (Olsen 1989, Vadstein 1998) and competition studies (Olsen et al. 1989), but its ecological implications have received little attention.

The difference in the significance of heterotrophic bacteria in the re-mineralisation of N and P was striking, with a role as net consumers of DIN and as net re-mineralisers of N under all conditions except for the mesocosm with a severely nutrient starved community (zero nutrient loading). For P, this is in accordance with mass balance considerations (Vadstein et al. 1993, Vadstein 2000), and the more complicated picture for N is discussed by Thingstad & Lignell (1997). To our knowledge, this is the first time this difference has been documented for natural communities, and it supports the emerging conclusion that P- and C-limitation is more common than N-limitation for limnetic and marine heterotrophic planktonic bacteria (Vadstein 2000, Church 2008, Vadstein 2011). Re-mineralisation by heterotrophic bacteria is hard to quantify, but the negative linear relationship between percent re-mineralisation and percent inorganic uptake has the potential to be used for indirect estimation.

Do DOC, DON and DOP accumulate due to higher production than consumption, and what is the turnover time of these resources?

It has been claimed that, during summer, DOC in marine waters may accumulate due to ‘a malfunctioning microbial loop’ in the restricted sense that not all degradable DOC released from the food web can
be consumed (Thingstad et al. 1997). The observed accumulation rates of DOC at moderate to high loadings of nutrients is in accordance with the observation that DOC concentrations may double during the summer period, and our data support the suggestion that there is a threshold nutrient loading to the system above which DOC accumulates (Thingstad et al. 1997). As we see it, the expression ‘malfunctioning microbial loop’ may be misleading, as DOC accumulation is probably a normal phenomenon reflecting an imbalance in C:N:P ratios, due to an imbalance between resources consumed and required by the phagotrophic heterotrophs (Olsen et al. 2011).

The fact that DOP accumulates in the water at even higher rates than DOC at the 2 highest nutrient loadings is notable, as P is a nutrient in high demand (Olsen et al. 2011; and see ‘Results’). It may be speculated that the cost of production of exoenzymes like alkaline phosphatase is high, or that enzymatic breakdown of DOP is low due to poor accessibility of enzymes to the substrate. The latter may be due to the tertiary structure of the substrate or complexion with other molecules, such as proteins. Conan et al. (2007) found low production of DOP under P-limited conditions, whereas more than three-quarters of the assimilated DIP were converted to DOP under surplus DIP conditions. Our result that DON did not accumulate replicates previous findings (Conan et al. 2007), and could be interpreted as signifying that N is a limiting nutrient. However, in this study, this was contradicted by the fact that the heterotrophic bacteria acted as mineralisers of N. An alternative explanation may be that C in the DON was of highest value than the N, either as building blocks or as energy, and this resulted in an overconsumption of N (Thingstad & Lignell 1997).

It was striking that turnover time of DOC varied by a maximum factor of 7 and with an overall range of 11 to 85 d. This is in contrast to the 14C-based dating of DOC, which indicate an average age of >1000 yr for this chemical mixture. Williams & Druffel (1987) calculated that approximately one half was young (<30 yr) and the other old (>6000 yr), and Wang et al. (2006) found that the age varied by orders of magnitude in the chemical fraction from modern to >25 000 yr. These datings are in contrast to previous chemical measurements showing turnover of >2 mo (Kirchman et al. 1991, Olsen et al. 2002, Vadstein et al. 2003). This discrepancy may be methodological or related to the presence of some very old DOC. In contrast to our data, Kirchman et al. (1991) found indications of slow turnover of DON but, as in the present study, that DON had a longer turnover time than DOC (and DOP). Our data and the chemical-based studies cited above provide strong evidence of DOC, DON and DOP as highly dynamic pools with turnover rates ranging from a few weeks to a few months.

Are C, N and P budgets proportionally identical representations, or do the 3 elements show element specific characteristics?

This question may seem paradoxical, but the proportional identity of C, N and P budgets is an assumption used in many situations including conversion from one element to another (e.g. C to N) and in numeric modelling. Our data suggest that the C, N and P budgets have strong similarities, but also elementspecific characteristics. Moreover, the N budget seems to be intermediary between the C and the P budgets, and a classification according to mineral nutrients versus energy/carbon is therefore not possible.

Heterotrophic bacteria were important re-mineralisers of C (average 35% of total respiration), with some contribution to the re-mineralisation of N (12%) and none to the re-mineralisation of P. In contrast, heterotrophic bacteria competed strongly with autotrophs for DIP, and their uptake was ~8 to 34% (mean 18%) of the total DIP uptake in spite the fact that the biomass of heterotrophic bacteria as a percentage of autotrophic biomass spanned only 5 to 21% (mean 11%) within the nutrient loading gradient. The competition with autotrophs for DIN was of significance only under oligotrophic (zero nutrient loading) conditions. As food particles, the significance of heterotrophic bacteria was small for overall productivity on a C-basis. However, as N- and especially P-rich food particles for protozoa, heterotrophic bacteria had a strong impact on the re-mineralisation on N and P, since on average grazers of bacteria were responsible for one-third of the DIN and two-thirds of the DIP produced. The consequences of the fact that heterotrophic bacteria are food particles rich in N (Gismervik et al. 1996) and P (Vadstein 2000) is only recognised to a limited extent in plankton ecology, in spite of the fact that this was established for P almost 20 yr ago (Vadstein et al. 1993).

The differences in the roles of heterotrophic bacteria in the cycling of C, N and P is also illustrated in the calculations of their trophic position on a C-, N- and P-basis, with significant differences in the averages for all three. The fact that heterotrophic bacteria were more than 2 trophic levels above the copepods is striking. In some previous studies, heterotrophic
bacteria have, arbitrarily, been given a fixed trophic position of 2 (e.g. Azam et al. 1983), which can give a misleading impression of their functional role in the C cycle. The fact that, compared to the C-based estimates, the estimates on a N- and P-basis are on average 1 and 2 trophic levels lower, respectively, clearly demonstrates the limited impact of heterotrophic bacteria in re-mineralisation and their role as competitors for inorganic N and especially P.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study is a first attempt to make a complete estimation of C, N and P fluxes of relevance for heterotrophic bacteria within a nutrient loading gradient, and to evaluate the functional consequences for the planktonic food web. The inverse modelling approach seems to be a strong tool for estimating fluxes that today are impossible to quantify. Moreover, it seems less vulnerable to bias than traditional budget calculations.

Heterotrophic bacteria had a low impact on productivity at higher trophic levels, in spite the fact that DOC consumption by heterotrophic bacteria was between 40 and 240% of autotrophic production (mean 91%), for which bacteria themselves produced approximately half of the DOC. Whether the DOC produced from bacteria was due to excretion or viral lysis was not clarified, but likely both mechanisms contributed. The most significant function of heterotrophic bacteria in the C cycle seemed to be re-mineralisation (CO₂ production), which is in accordance with the old paradigm. The turnover of DOC seems to be orders of magnitude faster than indicated by ¹⁴C dating, and DOC accumulated at all except the lowest nutrient loadings.

Heterotrophic bacteria have completely different roles in the cycling of N and P, with a role in N cycling intermediary to C and P. Heterotrophic bacteria were a major competitor with the autotrophs for DIP, and the DIP and DOP uptake was similar. Heterotrophic bacteria never acted as re-mineralisers of P, and were likely P-limited in spite the fact that DOP accumulated more than DOC at high nutrient loading. Thus, their role in P cycling was not in accordance with the old paradigm, and their major role as re-mineralisers of P is an indirect one as P-rich food particles for protozoa, which acted as the main re-mineralisers. Strangely, the significance of DIN as an N source decreased with increasing DIN load, and was insignificant at the 3 highest nutrient loadings. Thus, heterotrophic bacteria competed significantly with autotrophs for DIN only with zero nutrient loading. Conversely, heterotrophic bacteria were in general re-mineralisers of N, but contributed on average only 12% to the total production of DIN (range 0 to 17%). As in the case of P, the main role of heterotrophic bacteria for re-mineralisation of N was an indirect one as N-rich food particles for protozoa that were the main mineralisers. DON did not accumulate, which was probably due to the high C-value of N-rich DOM. The role of heterotrophic bacteria in N cycling did not contradict the old paradigm, but their significance as re-mineralisers was minor. The differences in the role of heterotrophic bacteria in the cycling of N and P were likely due to the differences between how these 2 elements are linked to DOC, and to the relatively high P requirements compared to N requirements of heterotrophic bacteria.

The above conclusions were obtained under conditions with a balanced N:P ratio in the nutrient supply, and should therefore be of relevance for most full salinity areas. The responses are likely to be modified under skewed N:P ratios, as observed in most freshwater influenced systems.
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