Effects of variation in egg energy and exogenous food on larval development in congeneric sea urchins
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ABSTRACT: Planktotrophic larvae of marine invertebrates develop and grow by utilizing a combination of endogenous materials contained in the egg and exogenous food consumed during development. In general, larger eggs contain more reserves for morphogenesis and metabolism than smaller eggs. Interspecific comparisons among planktotrophic echinoderms have generally found that increased maternal provisioning decreases the length of development in the plankton, leading to the widely held idea that large eggs are likely to be selectively favored in low-food or high-mortality environments. Despite long interest in these patterns, however, few studies have examined how exogenous and endogenous supplies interactively affect larval development in phylogenetically controlled and environmentally relevant contexts. We investigated the direct and interactive effects of both endogenous egg materials and exogenous food supply on larval performance of 3 closely related tropical sea urchin species (Echinometra spp.). We found that egg size was positively correlated with egg energy among these 3 species, and that larvae of species with larger (and more energy-rich) eggs developed more rapidly than those from smaller (and lower-energy) eggs. Likewise, across species, larvae fed higher rations grew more rapidly than those fed less. Length of development was most strongly affected by food level in the species with the smallest eggs. Compared to the lowest food treatment, satiating levels shortened development by 9, 7, and 4 d for E. vanbrunti, E. lucunter, and E. viridis, respectively (listed in order of increasing egg energy). Our study supports the hypothesis that the growth and development of larvae are more strongly affected by exogenous food availability when they develop from lower-energy eggs than when larvae develop from energy-rich eggs.
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INTRODUCTION

The life-history characteristic of free-spawning marine invertebrates that has received more attention than perhaps any other is the amount of energy mothers invest in individual eggs. Egg energy is most often not measured directly, but instead is inferred from egg size, which is a reasonable (though imperfect) estimator (Emlet et al. 1987, McEdward & Carson 1987, McEdward & Coulter 1987, Jaeckle 1995, McEdward & Morgan 2001, Sewell & Manahan 2001, Moran & McAlister 2009). Egg size, because of its relationship with energy, is correlated with a number of important life history traits including larval developmental mode (Thorson 1950, Strathmann 1985, Emlet et al. 1987, Levin & Bridges 1995), length of larval develop-

Most marine invertebrates fall into 1 of 2 developmental modes, lecithotrophy or planktotrophy (Strathmann & Vedder 1977, Turner & Lawrence 1979, McClintock & Pearse 1986, McEdward 1991, McEdward & Chia 1991, Eckelbarger 1994, Herrera et al. 1996, Wray 1996, McEdward & Janies 1997, Miner et al. 2005, Allen & Podolsky 2007). Larvae of lecithotrophic species develop from eggs containing all the energy and material that larvae need to reach metamorphosis. Planktotrophic larvae, in contrast, need to acquire at least some of their structural material and energy from exogenous sources, and there is considerable interspecific variation in the amount of energy contained in planktotrophic eggs (McEdward & Carson 1987, McEdward & Coulter 1987, McEdward & Morgan 2001). Both of these developmental modes, as well as intermediate types of development (e.g. facultative planktotrophy; Allen & Pernet 2007, Collin 2012, Knott & McHugh 2012) occur among the echi-noid echinoderms (sea urchins and their allies). Echi-noids, as a group, are thus particularly suited to examining the relationships between egg energy and larval development and growth, and they have been the focus of most previous work in these areas (Emlet et al. 1987).

Models of life history evolution have generally assumed that, all else being equal, the length of larval development is inversely correlated with the size of the egg from which a larva develops (Vance 1973a,b, Christiansen & Fenchel 1979, Caswell 1981, Perron & Carrier 1981, Grant 1983, Strathmann 1985, Roughgarden 1989, Havenhand 1995, McEdward 1997, Levitan 2000). Energy-rich eggs are thought to evolve in the context of selection to shorten development or alleviate starvation in dangerous, patchy, unpredictable, or characteristically food-poor environments (Vance 1973a, Strathmann 1985, Emlet et al. 1987, Lessios 1990, Herrera et al. 1996, McEdward 1996, 1997, Levitan 2000, Allen et al. 2006). More recent work supports the idea that instead of an inversely linear relationship between egg size and length of development, this relationship may instead be better described as inversely proportional (Levitan 2000, Allen 2012). Experimental or evolutionary changes in egg size may thus have a greater impact on the length of larval development for species with smaller eggs, whereas juvenile size may be affected more for species with larger eggs (Allen 2012). Broad phylogenetic comparisons and experimental embryology have thus contributed much to our understanding of this aspect of life history theory. Careful study of closely related species within a given developmental mode provides another way to examine the relationship between egg size and larval development.

Furthermore, among feeding larvae, comparative studies are broadly consistent with the idea that larger (and presumably more energy-rich) eggs shorten development. In general, planktotrophic echinoids with larger eggs reach metamorphosis more quickly than species with smaller eggs (Emlet 1995), though there is no clear relationship between egg size and size at metamorphosis (such effects may be masked by other factors both phylogenetic and experimental; Emlet et al. 1987, Herrera et al. 1996). Intraspecific comparisons of whole- and half-sized larvae (the latter produced via blastomere separations) also generally find that larvae from larger eggs reach metamorphosis sooner (Sinervo & McEdward 1988, Levitan 2000, Alcorn & Allen 2009, Allen 2012; but see Hart 1995, Emlet & Hoegh-Guldberg 1997, Allen et al. 2006 for exceptions). Larvae of most planktotrophic echinoids also grow more rapidly and/or to larger sizes when food is abundant (Hart 1995, Miller & Emlet 1999, Allen et al. 2006). However, while the effects of egg energy and exogenous food have been separately described, much less is known about how the two interact (Alcorn & Allen 2009, Allen 2012). Thus, interspecific comparisons among closely related taxa can also shed light on whether there are interactive effects between egg energy and exogenous food availability that support the idea that large, energy-rich eggs act to reduce a larva’s reliance on exogenous food resources.

To test for both direct and interactive effects of egg energy and exogenous food on larval growth and development, we reared larvae from 3 gynemate species of echinoids in the genus *Echinometra* that differ in egg energy at a range of food levels. Gynemate species are sister taxa formed when previously continuous marine species were separated before or during the raising of the Panamanian Isthmus and coincident closure of the Central American Seaway (CAS) 2 to 4 million years ago (Mya) (Duque-Caro 1990, Keigwin 1982). Based on cytochrome oxidase I (COI) sequence data, divergence between the sympatric tropical western Atlantic (WA) members occurred 1.27 to 1.62 Mya (McCartney et al. 2000). Divergence between the most recent common ances-
tor of the WA species and *E. vanbrunti* (tropical eastern Pacific, EP) likely occurred around the time of final closure of the CAS ~3.2 Mya. Two of the species, *E. lucunter* and *E. viridis*, occur in the WA; the third, *E. vanbrunti*, is found in the EP. As found for several other geminate species pairs in different phyla, egg size is larger in species from the WA than the EP (Lessios 1990, Jackson & Herrera 1999, Moran 2004, McAlister 2008, McAlister & Moran 2012), and egg energy content is greater (McAlister & Moran 2012). The larger size of eggs of WA species has been attributed to selection for increased maternal investment in per-egg energy in the comparatively food-poor WA (Lessios 1990, Jackson & Herrera 1999, Moran 2004); therefore, we chose experimental food levels that mimicked the contrasting natural food levels in the 2 oceans. Adults of *Echinometra* are readily collected, their larvae are amenable to laboratory culture, and their natural history has been well studied (Pearse 1969, Lessios 1990, Lessios & Cunningham 1990, Palumbi & Metz 1992, McCartney et al. 2000, Geyer & Palumbi 2003, McAlister 2008, Geyer & Lessios 2009, McAlister & Moran 2012).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study taxa**

Members of each of the 3 species in the *Echinometra* geminate complex were collected in the Republic of Panama in July and August 2011. The 3 species in this study occur in coastal marine waters of the tropical EP (*E. vanbrunti*) or WA (*E. lucunter, E. viridis*) and are members of a geminate species group (Jordan 1908, Lessios 1990, McCartney et al. 2000). *E. viridis* and *E. lucunter* were collected from coral reef and rubble habitats near the Galeta Marine Laboratory of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute (STRI) near Colon, Panama; *E. vanbrunti* were collected using SCUBA from rocky subtidal marine habitats off Isla Taboguilla near the Naos Island Laboratories (STRI). All individuals were transported live to the Naos Island Laboratories where they were maintained in outdoor aquaria supplied with flow-through seawater.

**Obtaining, measuring, and sampling gametes**

Gametes were obtained by injection with 0.5 M KCl. To obtain a broad genetic sample of the population, and because the biochemical analyses required large numbers of larvae, we collected gametes from multiple males and females: 12 females and 8 males of *Echinometra viridis*, 14 females and 7 males of *E. lucunter*, and 6 females and 6 males of *E. vanbrunti*. Spawning females were inverted separately over small cups filled with seawater. Concentrated sperm was collected from males and kept on ice in individual microcentrifuge tubes until needed (<2 h). Eggs of each female were placed in filtered seawater on glass slides under cover slips resting on clay feet to prevent flattening, and photographed under 100× magnification on a Wild M20 microscope outfitted with a Canon 7D DSLR camera. We used ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health) to collect the longest axis diameter and its perpendicular from 10 eggs from each female. Egg volume was calculated as for a prolate spheroid.

For biochemical sampling of each species, eggs from all females were combined and 9 replicate samples of known egg number (1500 to 5000 per sample) were taken: 3 samples each for protein and carbohydrate assays, and 3 samples for lipid profiling. Egg samples were frozen at −80°C at STRI and transported to Clemson University on dry ice for biochemical analysis (see ‘Egg biochemistry’ below). After samples were taken, the remaining eggs of each species were fertilized with a dilute suspension of conspecific sperm from all males combined. For each species, fertilized eggs were then subdivided into 3 large 20 l cultures and kept at ambient seawater temperature.

To ensure that only normally developing larvae were included in the feeding experiment, actively swimming larvae were collected from the top of all 3 cultures per species after 24 h and placed into 11 containers (9 per species) at a density of 1 larva ml⁻¹. Larvae were fed the unicellular alga *Dunaliella tertiolecta* at 1 of 3 food levels: 10 (high), 0.3 (medium), and 0.1 (low) cells µl⁻¹. Each food treatment was replicated 3 times for each species. The algal concentration of the high food treatment was chosen because it is a satiating level of food for *Echinometra* larvae (McAlister 2008) and therefore food availability would not limit growth or development in this treatment. The medium and low concentrations were selected based on average yearly concentrations of phytoplankton cells reported from the habitat of *E. vanbrunti* in the EP (medium) and the WA range of *E. viridis* and *E. lucunter* (low) (D’Croz & Robertson 1997). Although the food levels used in this study mimicked natural phytoplankton concentrations found in the 2 oceans, the natural diets of these lar-
vae are unknown, both in terms of their complexity and quality. While a monoculture diet is certainly unlikely to be the natural state, this diet allowed us to closely control the concentration of food particles in replicate cultures. Larvae were fed daily starting at 48 h post-fertilization. The water in all cultures was changed daily using filtered seawater (using 1 µm ‘absolute’ rated filters), and containers were constantly stirred at ~10 strokes per min with acrylic paddles to homogenize food within each culture and to keep larvae in suspension (Strathmann 1987). The 27 cultures were maintained at 28°C in a recirculating water bath and kept indoors at the Naos Island Laboratories.

**Larval growth**

To estimate growth of larvae, 10 larvae from each culture were immobilized with a dilute (<10%) solution of buffered formalin on Days 2, 5, 8, 11, 15, 18, 21, and 24 post-fertilization. Larvae were placed on glass microscope slides and covered with a glass slip supported on clay feet. To measure larval size, we used a camera lucida, digitizing tablet, and rotary encoder to obtain x, y, and z coordinates (after McEdward 1985) for estimating body length and rudiment size of 5 of the 10 larvae collected from each culture at each time point (10 larvae were sampled because not all larvae on the slide were likely to be in the correct orientation for measurement). Body length was measured from the posterior tip of the larva to the tip of the oral hood, and the longest axis of the juvenile rudiment (parallel with the larval anterior-posterior axis) was used to estimate rudiment size. Coordinates were entered into the geometric formula for determining the distance between 2 points in 3-dimensional space using MS Excel.

**Developmental staging**

Echinoid pluteus larvae develop through a series of well described developmental stages (Pearse & Cameron 1991) defined by the emergence of successive pairs of skeletal arm rods followed by formation of a juvenile rudiment (Mortensen 1921). To estimate length of development, we staged the 10 larvae we sampled from each culture on each measurement day. Each larva was staged as either: 4-armed pluteus (4), 6-armed pluteus (6), 8-armed pluteus (8), 8-armed pluteus with a rudiment (R), or metamorphically competent (MC). A culture was determined to be at a particular stage when >50% of the individual larvae from that culture had reached that stage. Larvae were staged as R if the vestibule had contacted the hydrocoel. Within the temporal resolution (~3 d between samplings) of our study, most larvae in a culture staged as R had well developed rudiments. Larvae that were staged as MC had begun resorption of larval arms; pedicellariae were usually present at this stage. To confirm that larvae in a culture staged as MC were in fact capable of metamorphosis, we introduced natural reef rock into MC cultures and examined them after 24 h for metamorphosed larvae. Due to time constraints, the experiment was ended after 24 d; not all cultures from each species had reached metamorphic competence at this time, but all had reached the rudiment (R) stage. Therefore, in order to make statistical comparisons among all 3 species and food levels, we used the duration of development only to the R stage for analyses.

**Egg biochemistry**

To determine egg energy of each species, we measured protein, carbohydrate, and lipid content. These 3 biochemical constituents are the primary energetic reserves contained in the eggs of marine invertebrates (Holland & Gabbott 1971, Turner & Rutherford 1976, Turner & Lawrence 1979, McClintock & Pearse 1986, Wourms 1987, George et al. 1990, Jeaclle 1995, Thiyagarajan & Qian 2003, Moran & Manahan 2003, 2004, Sewell 2005, Meyer et al. 2007, Byrne et al. 2008, Prowse et al. 2008). Protein was assayed using a micro-modification of the Lowry Protein Assay (Lowry et al. 1951, McAlister & Moran 2012), the Micro BCA Protein Assay Kit (Pierce). Carbohydrate content was assayed using a potassium ferricyanide sodium carbonate/cyanide reducing reaction (Folin & Malmros 1929, Holland & Gabbott 1971, McAlister & Moran 2012). Lipid classes were separated via thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and the amounts of each type were determined using an IATROSCAN Mark-VI flame ionization detector (FID) (Moran & Manahan 2003, 2004).

For each species, we measured total protein and total carbohydrate. Like eggs of other planktotrophic echinoderms (Sewell 2005, Meyer et al. 2007, Byrne et al. 2008, Prowse et al. 2008), detectable lipid classes in eggs of *Echinometra* included phospholipids, triacylglycerols, and sterol lipids; we calculated total lipid by summing these lipid classes. To determine total biochemical content per egg
Statistical analysis

We tested for differences in egg size (volume) between species using 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post-hoc multiple comparisons (Tukey’s HSD) using IBM SPSS Statistics (v. 20). Egg volumes were natural log (ln) transformed prior to analysis to meet the assumptions of normality; normality was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk’s test. Based on the results of previous research (Lessios 1990, McAlister 2008, McAlister & Moran 2012), our a priori expectation was that the eggs of Echinometra viridis would be larger than those of E. lucunter, which would in turn be larger than those of E. vanbrunti, and thus our analyses were 1-tailed.

To assess differences in larval size at the R stage (reached on different days for different species-by-food combinations, see Fig. 1), we tested for the effect of Species, Food, and the interaction between them (Species × Food) on body length using a 2-tailed 2-way ANOVA (PROC MIXED, SAS Institute) (Littell et al. 1996) followed by post-hoc multiple comparisons (Tukey-Kramer) using SAS (v. 9.3). Midline body length values were used as the dependent variable and were ln-transformed before analysis to meet the assumptions of normality; normality was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk’s test in SAS (PROC UNIVARIATE). Species, Food, and Species × Food were coded as fixed effects. Culture replicate (Culture) was coded as a random effect and was nested within the interaction of Species × Food. Degrees of freedom were calculated using the DDFM = SATTERTH (Satterthwaite approximation) option in SAS. As we were specifically interested in the interactive effects of Species and Food on larval growth, we tested for differences in the least square means of the Species × Food interaction term.

To determine whether food level or species had significant effects on growth, we conducted a repeated measures ANOVA (PROC MIXED, SAS Institute) across the 3 species and 3 food levels using the natural log-transformed midline body length as the dependent variable. We performed post-hoc multiple comparisons using Tukey-Kramer (in PROC MIXED) and tested for data normality using the Shapiro-Wilk’s test (in PROC UNIVARIATE) using SAS (v. 9.3). For each species and food combination, we utilized data from all measurement days up to and including the day at which rudiment presence was attained. We tested for significant effects of species (Species), development day post-fertilization (Day), food level (Food), and culture replicate (Culture), Species, Day, Food, and the 2- and 3-way interaction terms among these variables were coded as fixed effects. Culture was nested within the 2-way interaction term, Species × Food, and was coded as a random effect. Day was coded as a repeated measure with Culture as the subject. The covariance structure of the R matrix was specified as Compound Symmetry (CS) (constant variance and covariance) and degrees of freedom were calculated using DDFM = BW (Between-Within), the default option for repeated measures ANOVAs in SAS.

To determine the effect of food treatment and species on length of development to the R stage, we used log-linear analysis for 3-way contingency tables (Cords 1986, Brunkow & Collins 1996, Ebert et al. 1999, Barnes & Crook 2001; calculator available online at http://vassarstats.net/abc.html). Loglinear analysis is based on individual counts and can thus test for dependent effects among variables using data that are categorical (Fienberg 1977). We constructed separate contingency tables for each species on Days 11 and 15 post-fertilization, the 2 days on which there were R-stage larvae collected from every Species × Food combination. In the contingency tables, columns were designated as the 3 food treatments (high, medium, low) and rows were designated as stage (presence or absence of the R stage) in larvae from each Species × Food combination; the number of larvae with rudiments present were entered into one row and the number without in another. For each day, G-test statistics ($G^2 = \chi^2$) were calculated for the 3-way (Species × Food × Stage) and 2-way (Species × Stage and Food × Stage) interactive effects.

RESULTS

Initial mean (±1 SE) egg volumes were 0.44 (0.01) for Echinometra viridis, 0.30 (0.01) for E. lucunter, and 0.21 (0.01) nl for E. vanbrunti. One-way ANOVA of ln-transformed egg volumes found significant differences (all p-values < 0.001) in egg volume among species and for each between-species comparison in post-hoc tests. Transformed (ln) egg volumes were normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk’s W-statistic =
Mean measures of egg biochemistry and calculations of egg energy corresponded with egg size in this study; larger eggs contained more biochemical constituents and more energy than smaller eggs. Mean measures of egg volume, egg biochemistry, and calculations of per egg total energy are given in Table 1.

We assayed larval development and growth in our experiment through 2 measures: larval size (midline body length) at, and length of development (time in d) to, the R stage. We found significant differences in larval size at the R stage that were due to the main effects of species (egg size), food level, and the interaction between the two. We found similar results using both an ANOVA model that contained larval size data only from the development day at which the R stage was attained (Table 2), and a repeated measures ANOVA that incorporated measures of larval size from all developmental days up to and including the R stage (Table 3).

Growth over time and size (in midline body length) at the R stage of each species at the 3 food levels is shown in Fig. 1. The ANOVA testing for differences in larval size at the R stage showed significant effects of Species and Food, as well as a significant Species × Food interaction. In-transformed body length data were normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk’s W-statistic = 0.9892; p-value = 0.4666). Testing for differences of the least square means of the Species × Food interaction showed significant effects of Food on body length for *Echinometra vanbrunti* (Table 2); at the R stage, high-food larvae were larger than medium-food and low-food larvae. There was also a significant difference (p-value = 0.0455) between *E. vanbrunti* and *E. viridis* larvae in the high-level food treatments (Table 2). High-food *E. vanbrunti* attained greater body length than *E. viridis*, despite having started from much smaller eggs (Table 1). However, greater length in *E. vanbrunti* was attained only after 4 additional days of development; R stage was reached on Day 15 for *E. vanbrunti* vs. Day 11 for *E. viridis*. All other multiple com-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Egg volume (nl)</th>
<th>Triacyl. Sterols (ng egg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Phospho. (ng egg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total lipid (ng egg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total protein (ng egg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total carbohydrate (ng egg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total energy (mJ egg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Summed Total (ng egg⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>E. viridis</em></td>
<td>0.44 (0.01)</td>
<td>8.3 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.1)</td>
<td>8.4 (1.4)</td>
<td>17.5 (2.1)</td>
<td>53.9 (0.0)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>74.4 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. lucunter</em></td>
<td>0.30 (0.01)</td>
<td>7.5 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.0)</td>
<td>6.9 (0.5)</td>
<td>15.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>40.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>56.1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. vanbrunti</em></td>
<td>0.21 (0.01)</td>
<td>3.4 (0.1)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.0)</td>
<td>4.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>8.3 (0.6)</td>
<td>27.5 (0.0)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>37.8 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** *Echinometra viridis*, *E. lucunter*, and *E. vanbrunti*. Egg physical, biochemical, and energetic characteristics (±1 SE): volume (nl), biochemical constituent contents (ng egg⁻¹) and energy (mJ egg⁻¹). Triacyl.: triacylglycerols; Phospho.: phospholipids.
The repeated-measures ANOVA showed similar significant main effects of Species and Food, as well as Day on growth (dependent variable was natural log-transformed midline body length; Table 3). These data were normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk’s test statistic = 0.9846; p-value = 0.3263). All 2-way and 3-way main effect interaction terms were also significant (Adj. Pr > |t|) are listed. Values in bold are statistically significant (p < 0.05). Comparisons were not significant (adjusted p-values ranged from 0.1614 to 1.0000).

The repeated-measures ANOVA described above (Table 4) revealed patterns of interaction between these variables that were species-specific. In the 2 species with larger eggs, *Echinometra viridis* and *E. lucunter*, we found no significant differences in size at the R stage among the 3 food treatments (Fig. 1a,b, Tables 2 & 3). However, in the species with the smallest eggs, *E. vanbrunti*, we found that larvae fed high levels of food were significantly larger than those fed medium or low levels (Fig. 1c, Tables 2 & 3).

Log-linear analysis of 3-way contingency tables found significant differences in length of development to the R stage due to both food treatment and species, and differences were found for both Days 11 and 15. Rudiments were present in some larvae from all 3 species and in some food treatments by Days 11 and/or 15 post-fertilization. There were significant differences in the proportions of larvae that had reached the R stage on both Days 11 and 15 that were associated with species (egg size), food treatment, and their interaction (Table 4). Results of the G-tests for 3-way and 2-way interactive effects are given in Table 4. Fig. 1a–c shows development time to the R stage for each Species × Food combination. For *Echinometra viridis*, the species with the largest egg, high-food cultures reached the R stage on Day 11, whereas
medium- and low-food cultures reached R on Day 15 (Fig. 1a). High- and medium-food cultures of *E. lucunter* reached the R stage on the same days as their *E. viridis* counterparts, Days 11 and 15, respectively. However, larvae of low-food *E. lucunter* did not reach the R stage until Day 18 (Fig. 1b), and development was even longer in *E. vanbrunti*, the species with the smallest egg. High-food *E. vanbrunti* cultures reached the R stage on Day 15, whereas medium- and low-food cultures did not reach R until Days 18 and 24, respectively (Fig. 1c). Both within and across food regimes, we found that length of development increased with decreasing egg energy among *Echinometra* (see Fig. 1d for low-food larvae of all species).

**DISCUSSION**

Among 3 congeneric planktotrophic sea urchins with differing egg sizes, both the size of R-stage larvae and the length of development to the R stage were associated with the amount of maternally provisioned energy in the egg and exogenous food availability. Likewise, we found a significant interaction between species and food availability, such that the growth and development of larvae from the species with the lowest-energy egg was the most strongly affected by food level. While not all cultures reached MC in the time frame of our experiments, for those that did (all except for medium- and low-food *Echinometra vanbrunti*), the effects of egg size and exogenous food were qualitatively similar (Fig. 1a,b). The relationship between egg energy and larval duration results fit with predictions stemming from life history models of the trade-off between egg energy (size) and length of development (Vance 1973a,b, Christiansen & Fenchel 1979, Caswell 1981, Perron & Carrier 1981, Grant 1983, Strathmann 1985, Roughgarden 1989, Havenhand 1995, Podolsky & Strathmann 1996, McEdward 1997, Levitan 2000), and supports the idea that larger eggs may have evolved in part to buffer the developmental effects of low environmental food availability.

**Effects of egg energy on larval growth and development**

Previous tests of the relationships among egg energy and larval growth and development employed either broad phylogenetic comparisons among species with different egg sizes (similar to ours with
Echinometra, but using more distantly related taxa), or an intraspecific, manipulative approach using blastomere separations and fusions (experimental embryology). The evidence for a negative relationship between egg size and developmental time from comparative studies using laboratory-reared larvae is not always strong (Emlet et al. 1987); however, there are many factors such as inconsistent rearing conditions, interspecific differences in size at metamorphosis, and other phylogenetic effects that could mask this relationship (Emlet et al. 1987, Herrera et al. 1996). When Emlet (1995) compared egg size with developmental time among 28 species of regular echinoids and corrected for different rearing temperatures, he found a significant relationship, suggesting that consistency in rearing conditions is important. Likewise, when the relationship between egg size and egg energy (energy density) varies among taxa (e.g. McEdward & Carson 1987, McEdward & Coulter 1987, McEdward & Morgan 2001, McAlister & Moran 2012), any underlying correlation between egg energy and length of development will be further obscured. Selection for increased fertilization success can also drive the evolution of large eggs independently of selection for shortened development (Levitan 2000).

We also found a significant effect of species on larval size at the R stage; the species with the lowest-energy (and smallest) eggs attained the largest sizes at R when averaged across all food treatments (Fig. 1, Table 2). Unlike developmental time, interspecific comparisons do not support the idea that egg size is related to size at metamorphosis in echinoids (Emlet et al. 1987). This is perhaps not surprising because the selective factors that govern success in the juvenile habitat are not likely to be the same as those in the plankton (Marshall 2008). In Echinometra, it is possible that because E. vanbrunti, the species with the smallest egg, occurs in the highly productive EP, its larvae have a greater capacity to assimilate high levels of phytoplankton; alternatively, the juvenile habitat in the EP might select for larger size, perhaps because of differences in food availability or predation.

One experimental method that has been employed in echinoids to isolate the effects of egg energy from phylogenetic, maternal, and methodological effects is blastomere manipulation. In most echinoderms, because of their regulative development, half-, quarter-, and even double-sized eggs can develop normally (McEdward 1996). While these tests seem ideal in some respects, results are not always consistent: larvae from larger eggs develop more rapidly than their half-sized siblings in many studies (Sinervo & McEdward 1988, Levitan 2000, Alcorn & Allen 2009, Allen 2012) but not all (Hart 1995, Emlet & Hoegh-Guldberg 1997, Allen et al. 2006), and larvae from half-sized eggs resulted in smaller juveniles in some species (Hart 1995, Allen et al. 2006, Alcorn & Allen 2009, Allen 2012) but not others (Sinervo & McEdward 1988, Allen 2012). Differences among blastomere separation studies may be due in part to the characteristic egg size of a particular species. Under the assumption of an inversely proportional relationship between egg size and development time, length of development increases exponentially as egg energy decreases (Levitan 2000), a pattern which has been generally found in blastomere reduction experiments (Allen 2012). Thus, given the low temporal resolution and high noise in many larval studies, the effect of blastomere reductions on the length of development may be most readily detectable in species whose eggs are on the small end of the planktotrophic spectrum (Allen 2012). Likewise, the responses of species to the halving of egg energy may be modulated by species-specific differences in the maternal, genetic, and epigenetic background of regulation of larval development.

**Interactive effects of food and egg energy on development and size**

In addition to species-dependent effects on the length of larval development and size at the R stage, we also found a significant interaction between species and food level that was associated with egg energy: across species, larvae receiving the highest food ration grew more and developed more rapidly than larvae receiving lower food rations, but this effect was more pronounced for the species with smaller eggs (Fig. 1, Tables 2, 3, & 4). Echinometra vanbrunti, the species with the smallest egg, was the only species for which food level significantly affected size at R; for the other 2 species, there was no effect (Table 2). Larvae of E. vanbrunti also extended their development relative to the other 2 species much more in the low than in the high and medium food treatments. In the high and medium treatments, the development of E. vanbrunti was delayed relative to the other 2 species by 3 or 4 d, while in the low treatment, development was delayed by 6 d compared to E. lucunter and by 9 d relative to E. viridis (Fig. 1d).

While the effects of egg energy on the length of larval development and larval growth have received
considerable attention, only a handful of studies have sought evidence for an interaction between egg energy and exogenous food availability, and none have, to our knowledge, used an interspecific approach with sister taxa. Alcorn & Allen (2009) and Allen (2012) tested for interactions between food level and egg size on size and age at metamorphosis using blastomere separations in 5 species of echinoids, with variable results: 2 species showed significant interactions for both size and age at metamorphosis (Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis and Echinarachnius parma), 1 showed significant interactions for size but not age (Arbacia punctulata), 1 showed significant interactions for age with no data for size (S. purpuratus), and for 1 species, Dendraster excentricus, egg size and food ration did not significantly interact for age or size at metamorphosis. One likely explanation for these differences is that interactions could be masked by species-specific differences in the maternal, genetic, and epigenetic background of regulation of larval food resources, making it difficult to compare across distantly related taxa if egg energy does not evolve in isolation from other life-history traits. Another explanation might be that in order to have the statistical power to detect interactions, food levels must be carefully selected such that there are large differences in physiological response. In the present study, our low and medium food level treatments were chosen to match phytoplankton concentrations in the EP and WA, and the low food treatment, in particular, was 5 to 10× lower than the lowest food treatments commonly used in other studies (e.g. McAlister 2007, 2008, Alcorn & Allen 2009, Allen 2012).

Both previous studies with blastomere separations (Alcorn & Allen 2009, Allen 2012) and our work with Echinometra suggest that among planktrophs, large, energy-rich eggs act to reduce a larva’s reliance on exogenous food resources. However, selection for increased egg energy to offset a poor larval feeding environment or high larval mortality will also act on other aspects of larval physiology and morphology, so it is essential to have some understanding of the larval environment. In the present study, we compared 3 closely related species with different egg sizes that occur in distinctly different food environments. Egg size is consistently larger in the WA member of geminate species in multiple phyla, including echinoderms (Lessios 1990, Jackson & Herrera 1999, Moran 2004), and egg energetic content among geminate Echinometra spp. follows a similar pattern (McAlister & Moran 2012). These differences have been attributed to contrasting larval feeding environment between the WA and the EP (Lessios 1990, Moran 2004). The EP is characterized by strong, seasonal upwelling that produces variable yet predictably high phytoplankton levels, whereas the WA experiences little upwelling, has low primary production, and is thus constantly nutrient poor and low in phytoplankton (Glynn 1982, Keigwin 1982).

Among the 3 species of Echinometra, the EP species had the lowest-energy eggs and was also the most strongly affected by food level. This provides support for other work with geminate species (Lessios 1990, Moran 2004, McAlister 2008, McAlister & Moran 2012) suggesting that the larger eggs of WA species function to buffer the length of larval development and the size of competent larvae against the comparatively low food availability in that ocean. An additional insight provided by our feeding experiment is that growth of larvae from the 2 WA species appeared to be a relatively canalized process in which body and feeding structure sizes were regulated such that increasing levels of external food decreased length of development, but had little impact on larval size up to the R stage. Interestingly, E. lucunter grew to larger sizes after the R stage than E. viridis in all food treatments, which may indicate either that E. lucunter is better able to utilize external food, or that in the face of trade-offs, E. viridis makes different choices about when and/or where to invest food-derived energy, e.g. increasing the size of the juvenile rudiment at the expense of increasing larval body size upon reaching R. In E. vanbrunti, the EP species, larvae in the high food treatment showed both more rapid development to the R stage, and also greater size at that stage. These results suggest that larvae of E. vanbrunti, which develop from eggs comparable in size to some of the smallest eggs known among echinoids (McEdward & Morgan 2001), may have a greater physiological capacity to rapidly utilize external food resources when present. The mechanisms driving this pattern of rapid growth may involve increased efficiency in food capture, digestion, and/or assimilation, and may serve an adaptive function in the highly variable phytoplankton food environment of the EP.
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