INTRODUCTION

Seagrass is an iconic biotope in coastal waterways around the world, with recognised ecologically significant roles in coastal food webs (Gillanders 2006), fisheries habitat (Jackson et al. 2001), and as a ‘blue carbon’ sink (Duarte et al. 2010). Increasing pressure on seagrass environments due to eutrophication, dredging, habitat destruction, and climate change (Orth et al. 2006, Waycott et al. 2009) prompt an urgent need for better qualitative and quantitative models of seagrass resilience that allow managers to identify site-specific problems and solutions. To date there have been numerous approaches of varying complexity employed to model seagrass, ranging from simple optical models based on light attenuation and minimum light requirements (Dennison et al. 1993) (Gallegos 1994, Cerco & Moore 2001), to more detailed models that couple temperature, light, and nutrient availability to estimates of productivity, respiration, and biomass fluxes (Harris 2006). Central to all of these models is the concept of minimum light requirements.
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requirements, which have been shown to be species-specific, and dependent on sediment conditions such as organic matter (OM) content (Krause-Jensen et al. 2011). The mechanistic reasons underpinning variation in light requirements are still unclear (Kenworthy et al. 2014), making their realistic inclusion in models difficult. In addition, the parameterisation of biomass models (e.g. the rate of transfer of resources between above- and below-ground biomass) is problematic given a likely dependence on environmental conditions (Zharova et al. 2001).

Developing a better understanding of the variation in the biology and physiology of seagrass species in response to environmental stressors is therefore central to improving current seagrass models. One aspect of this variation is the ability of seagrass to phenotypically alter morphological traits (i.e. above- and below-ground biomass [AGB and BGB, respectively], shoot density, and shoot length), known as ‘plasticity’ (Vermaat et al. 1996, Peralta et al. 2005, van der Heide et al. 2010). This morphological plasticity allows seagrasses to withstand seasonal changes in environmental stressors (e.g. light, temperature, and nutrient availability) and facilitates seagrass acclimation and persistence over a wide range of environmental conditions (Hackney & Durako 2004). As such, plasticity may be considered an important aspect of resilience, and an understanding of its limits helps interpret changes in seagrass communities and manage seagrass declines in the short and long term.

Morphological plasticity within a seagrass species is driven by many factors including light climate (Ralph et al. 2007), nutrient status (Perez et al. 1994, Clores & Santos Carandang 2013), physical stress (Hackney & Durako 2004), and sediment properties (de Boer 2007). Plants may alter AGB by modifying shoot density and length (with lesser variations due to width and number of leaves). Decreasing density and increasing length can allow acclimation to lower light climates (Bulthius 1983, Collier et al. 2009) by reducing self-shading effects while increasing leaf area index (LAI). The relative allocation of biomass between above-ground and below-ground tissue (given by the ratio of AGB to BGB) is a primary morphological response to a variety of environmental drivers and internal physiological demands. Plants may downregulate BGB (i.e. increase AGB:BGB) in response to adverse sediment conditions (Hemminga 1998) or upregulate root biomass (i.e. decrease AGB:BGB) to facilitate nutrient uptake in the rhizosphere (Lee & Dunton 2000b) and stabilize the plant in a hydrodynamically energetic environment (Schanz & Asmus 2003). Finally, the AGB:BGB ratio may also be controlled by an internal need for the plant to balance respiratory demands with photosynthetic production (Dennison 1987a). All of these phenotypic responses most likely allow seagrasses to extend their range; however, it is likely that there may be costs and limitations to phenotypic plasticity that will impose limits to plant responses and, ultimately, the extent of their range (DeWitt et al. 1998).

Zostera muelleri ssp. capricorni (Ascherson) S. W. L. Jacobs occurs in Australia across a wide latitudinal range of 30°, from tropical northern Queensland to cool temperate Tasmania. Within New South Wales at the centre of this range, Z. muelleri is abundant in 2 neighbouring coastal lakes, the oligotrophic Lake Macquarie and the mesotrophic Tuggerah Lakes. Zostera muelleri displays a wide range of morphologies in the 2 systems, providing an opportunity to explore the relationship between morphological plasticity and environmental conditions within a narrow latitudinal zone. The comparison of seagrass across depth gradients in adjacent lakes of differing nutrient status allows an analysis of natural morphological responses due to light, sediment properties, and nutrient enrichment that is distinct from studies that employ either ex situ or in situ experimental shading and fertilisation (Cayabyab & Enríquez 2007, Kelaher et al. 2013). In these studies, plants that are (genotypically) selected or (phenotypically) acclimated to one set of environmental conditions are subjected to artificially altered conditions. The resulting changes in morphology or biomass provide important insights into likely trajectories of response to disturbance; however, their ability to describe the morphological plasticity of a species as a whole is limited, as this is likely to arise over a much longer time scale than is commonly employed in such experimental work. Strategically stratified field surveys can complement experimental studies to provide insights into morphological plasticity (Perez et al. 1994, Miller et al. 2005).

The present study describes biomass and morphology in Z. muelleri across environmental gradients of light, sediment grain size, sediment OM content, and nutrient enrichment within 2 temperate coastal lakes on the east coast of Australia. We expect that Z. muelleri will display morphological plasticity across these gradients; however, interactions between different stressors may result in complex responses in plant morphology. For example, a decrease in AGB:BGB may be expected in nutrient-limited environments (Lee & Dunton 2000b); however, light limitation across the depth gradient will cause an
upward pressure on AGB:BGB (Dennison 1987a). Interactions between co-occurring drivers may obscure generalised trends observed in morphology across environmental gradients, giving rise to the need for more complex models to explain morphological plasticity. We also hypothesise that morphological plasticity in *Z. muelleri* may facilitate the colonisation of environments towards the limits of its range.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study area**

Lake Macquarie (mean depth 8 m; mean flushing time 227 d) and Tuggerah Lakes (mean depth 2.8 m; mean flushing time 100 d) are located on the New South Wales central coast, approximately 85 km north of Sydney (Fig. 1) (Watterson et al. 2010). The region experiences a warm temperate climate, with most rainfall occurring in late summer and autumn. Both lakes are connected to the ocean via narrow entrances that are maintained permanently open. *Zostera muelleri* is restricted to shallow lake margins (<4 m depth) in both systems. Lake Macquarie has maintained stable and slightly increasing seagrass populations over the last few decades, with seagrass coverage of 13.4, 14.7, and 15.3 km² surveyed in 1981, 1997, and 2009, respectively (Creese et al. 2009). Due to its shallow mean depth, Tuggerah Lakes historically had high levels of seagrass coverage: up to 42% of the lake area covered by seagrass between 1963 and 1966 (King & Hodgson 1995). By 1985, this coverage had fallen to 19.1 km², or 22% of the lake, and the latest survey in 2009 showed coverage at 17.3 km² (King & Hodgson 1995, Creese et al. 2009). These declines are documented to have occurred at the seagrass lower depth limit (King & Hodgson 1995).

**Water quality**

Water quality was monitored monthly at 3 and 6 locations in Tuggerah Lakes and Lake Macquarie, respectively, between April 2009 and April 2014 (Fig. 1). These sites were chosen to represent the primary water-quality gradients within the lakes based on an analysis of spatially intensive water quality data taken over the previous 2 yr (A. J. P. Ferguson unpubl. data). In Tuggerah Lakes, there is commonly a decoupling between nearshore (0–500 m offshore) and lake basin water quality due to the sheltering effects of nearshore macrophytes on mixing. This was not observed in Lake Macquarie. Accordingly, water-quality measurements in Tuggerah Lakes included an additional set of nearshore water quality
sites at each of the shallow seagrass biomass sites. At each site, physico-chemical profiles (temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, turbidity) were taken using a datalogging sonde (Yellow Springs Instruments Model 6920V2). Triplicate integrated samples of the upper 1 m of the water column were collected for nutrient and chlorophyll \( a \) (chl \( a \)) analysis. Samples for nutrient (total nitrogen and phosphorus) analysis were placed in sample-rinsed polyethylene vials and frozen immediately until analysis (≤1 mo). Chl \( a \) samples were placed in the dark, filtered (Whatman GF/F 0.7 µm) upon return to the laboratory, and filters were then frozen until analysis (≤1 mo) by extraction and fluorometry (Strickland & Parsons 1968). All nutrient analyses were carried out colourimetrically using automated flow-injection (LACHAT Quick Chem 8000). Analytical accuracy for nutrient analysis was maintained using standard additions of certified laboratory standards in both Milli-Q and low-nutrient seawater.

**Light climate estimation**

Photosynthetic active radiation (PAR; mol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) was estimated from solar exposure data (MJ d\(^{-1}\); source: Australian Bureau of Meterology) using the equation:

\[
\text{PAR} = \text{sol} \times 0.42/217.316 \times 1000 \quad (1)
\]

assuming that the visible fraction (400–700 nm band) is 42% of total solar energy (Sokolik 2008). The factor 217.316 represents the molar ratio of photons to energy for 550 nm, being the mid-point of the visible band. The light climate at the seagrass canopy of each site for the years preceding and during sampling was estimated using estimated PAR, depth, and a light attenuation coefficient \( K_d \) from the following equation:

\[
\text{Light climate (site, depth)} = \text{incident PAR (2 year mean)} \times \exp(K_d \times \text{depth}) \quad (2)
\]

where depth was the mean depth at the top of the seagrass canopy at site, and \( K_d \) was estimated from the median Secchi depth (based on 2 yr of monthly measurements) using the equation:

\[
K_d = 1.42 / \text{Secchi depth} \quad (3)
\]

Secchi depth data were taken from the nearest water-quality station for each site. In the case of Tuggerah Lakes, \( K_d \) for shallow sites was estimated based on turbidity measures made at nearshore stations using the equation:

\[
K_d = 0.15 \times \text{turbidity} \quad (4)
\]

\( K_d \) for the mid-Tuggerah Lakes sites was taken to be the mean of nearshore and lake basin \( K_d \), while \( K_d \) at the deep sites were estimated from the nearest lake basin site. The coefficients 1.42 and 0.15 were derived from the means of 25 parallel measurements of \( K_d \) (the attenuation rate of PAR within the water column), turbidity and Secchi depth in a range of nearby estuaries (A. J. P. Ferguson unpubl. data). Profiles of PAR were measured using a high-resolution profiling reflectance radiometer (Biospherical Instruments PRR 800) and a 2pi Licor underwater quantum sensor (LI-192).

**Seagrass biomass and morphometrics**

Monitoring of \( Z. \) muelleri populations was conducted at 4 sites in Tuggerah Lakes, and 8 sites in Lake Macquarie (Fig. 1), which were sampled seasonally during 2010–2012. Sites were chosen to represent a broad range of environmental conditions present in each lake, with consideration made for depth limit of seagrass occurrence, direction of significant fetch, sediment type, proximity to rivers, and proximity to the lake entrance. Within each site, 3 sub-sites (‘shallow’, ‘middle’, and ‘deep’) were selected based on depth extent of seagrass colonization. Shallow sub-sites were 10–20 m offshore from the shallowest occurrence of seagrass (typically the shoreline), while deep sub-sites were 10–20 m shoreward of the deepest occurrence of seagrass. Middle sub-sites were evenly spaced between these extremes. Therefore, sub-sites were variable in depth and distance from neighbouring sub-sites, but were similar in representing the thresholds of seagrass tolerance across depth gradients. Absolute depths at each sub-site were estimated based on direct measures using a sounding line corrected to mean lake level.

Samples were collected in early spring, late spring, mid-summer, and late autumn. Three haphazard seagrass samples were collected by divers from within a circular sampling quadrat (15.5 cm diameter). All biomass was removed from within the quadrat; below-ground material was sampled by cutting along the ring perimeter and removing material to ~20 cm depth. All biomass was placed in a fine mesh bag while underwater; at the surface, samples were rinsed to remove sediment from below-ground material and placed in plastic bags. Upon return to the laboratory, seagrass samples were refrigerated (4°C) until processing (2–5 d later).
Thawed samples were rinsed in freshwater to remove salt, fauna, and residual sediment. Epiphytic algae were removed with gentle scraping. Material was then separated into above- and below-ground categories, and dead biomass (detached and blackened) was removed. The number of shoots present in each sample was counted to determine shoot density. Five random shoots were then chosen for measurement of length and width of the second-oldest leaf. The second-oldest leaf was used for standardisation as it accurately represented canopy height but was less likely to be broken or damaged than the oldest leaf. AGB and BGB were oven-dried (60°C) for 3−5 d and then weighed. Leaf area index (LAI) was estimated as:

\[ \text{LAI} = \frac{\text{leaf surface area}}{\text{shoot} \times \text{shoot density}} \]  

\[ (5) \]

**Sediment properties**

Sediment properties (grain size and OM content) were measured at each seagrass site over the depth gradient. Sediment sampling was conducted once during spring 2010, as it was assumed sediment properties would not change radically over the study period. At each sub-site, 6 samples of the uppermost 1 cm of sediment were collected haphazardly using a Perspex cylinder (7 cm diameter). Samples were stored refrigerated (4°C) until processing (≤2 wk later). Triplicate samples were used to determine OM content through loss on ignition (550°C for 4 h). Sediment grain size was determined using dry and wet sieving. Wet sieving was used to separate the silt/clay fraction (<63 µm grain size), and the remaining material was oven-dried (60°C) and sieved to measure sand (63−2000 µm) and gravel fractions (>2000 µm).

**Statistical analysis**

Water-quality data were pooled according to lake, and differences between lakes were tested by ANOVA. Differences among depths and lakes for sediment properties, seagrass biomass, and morphometrics were tested using a 2-way ANOVA. Heterogeneity of variances and normality of data were tested and data were transformed where necessary. If differences between depths were found, Bonferroni and Tamhane post hoc tests (SPSS v.10) were used to identify which means differed. Relationships between light, sediment properties, seagrass biomass, and morphometrics were assessed using principal components analysis (SPSS v.10) with Varimax rotation, and scores from the first 3 components were classified by k-means cluster analysis (SPSS v.10). Differences in cluster attributes were tested by ANOVA.

**RESULTS**

**Water quality**

A larger catchment area to lake volume ratio meant that Tuggerah Lakes freshened more than Lake Macquarie in response to runoff events, with salinity typically remaining depressed throughout winter (Table 1A). In contrast, salinity in Lake Macquarie recovered to near seawater levels rapidly due to the relatively smaller freshwater inputs and more efficient oceanic exchange. Concentrations of total nitrogen were significantly greater in Tuggerah Lakes (p < 0.0001), whereas there was no significant difference between the lakes for total phosphorus. As such, the total nitrogen to total phosphorus ratios were consistently higher in Tuggerah Lakes, especially during

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(A)</th>
<th>Lake Macquarie</th>
<th>Tuggerah Lakes</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salinity (PSU)</td>
<td>32.26 ± 3.70</td>
<td>28.31 ± 4.97</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN (µg l⁻¹)</td>
<td>193.45 ± 72.8</td>
<td>341.18 ± 67.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP (µg l⁻¹)</td>
<td>19.95 ± 8.22</td>
<td>16.90 ± 8.40</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN:TP</td>
<td>34.8 ± 22.2</td>
<td>37.6 ± 19.5</td>
<td>46.1 ± 20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl a (µg l⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.11 ± 1.45</td>
<td>4.01 ± 2.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity (NTU)</td>
<td>2.24 ± 2.63</td>
<td>5.03 ± 4.63</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secchi depth (m)</td>
<td>3.52 ± 1.61</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.59</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(B)</th>
<th>Tumbi</th>
<th>Chittaway</th>
<th>The Entrance</th>
<th>Canton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salinity (PSU)</td>
<td>25.7 ± 3.8</td>
<td>24.6 ± 3.6</td>
<td>25.4 ± 4.3</td>
<td>23.8 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN (µg l⁻¹)</td>
<td>511.7 ± 128</td>
<td>779.9 ± 399</td>
<td>388.5 ± 61</td>
<td>548.7 ± 96.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP (µg l⁻¹)</td>
<td>32.6 ± 12.8</td>
<td>45.9 ± 45.4</td>
<td>18.7 ± 6.7</td>
<td>28.7 ± 14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN:TP</td>
<td>34.8 ± 22.2</td>
<td>37.6 ± 19.5</td>
<td>46.1 ± 20.3</td>
<td>42.4 ± 15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl a (µg l⁻¹)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 2.7</td>
<td>4.5 ± 4.6</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.4</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity (NTU)</td>
<td>12.7 ± 5.0</td>
<td>7.3 ± 10.7</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>4.2 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO (% saturation)</td>
<td>92.6 ± 17.4</td>
<td>91.7 ± 35.8</td>
<td>102.9 ± 28.3</td>
<td>89.2 ± 16.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
winter and spring. A prominent feature of Tuggerah Lakes was organic and nutrient enrichment of the nearshore zone (within 100 m of the shoreline) due to the trapping of localised stormwater and groundwater inputs by macroalgae and seagrass growth (King & Hodgson 1995). This was reflected by the lower salinities and higher nutrient concentrations at the nearshore Tuggerah Lakes sites (Table 1B). Chl a concentrations were significantly higher in Tuggerah Lakes, further confirming greater nutrient enrichment relative to Lake Macquarie. As a result of reduced turbidity and chl a levels, light penetration (as indicated by Secchi depths) was significantly greater in Lake Macquarie (mean $K_d = 0.43$ m$^{-1}$) than Tuggerah Lakes (mean $K_d = 0.82$ m$^{-1}$).

### Sediment quality

The fine sediment (silt/clay) fraction was significantly greater in Tuggerah Lakes, with no significant differences among depths in either lake (Fig. 2, Table 2). There was no overall significant difference in OM content between the 2 lakes.

### Seagrass biomass and morphometrics

AGB was significantly greater in Tuggerah Lakes compared with Lake Macquarie (Fig. 3, Table 2). Tuggerah Lakes showed differences along the depth gradient, with shallow and middle-depth sites having significantly greater AGB than deep sites (Fig. 3A). BGB was significantly greater in Lake Macquarie (Fig. 3B). There was a significant interaction between lake and depth for BGB, with a significant reduction in BGB along the depth gradient in Lake Macquarie. This pattern was strongly related ($r^2 = 0.68$) to light level (Table 3). The seagrass communities of Tuggerah Lakes displayed no differences in BGB among depths.

Shoot density was significantly greater in Lake Macquarie than Tuggerah Lakes, and a reduction in density with depth was observed in both lakes (Table 2, Fig. 3E). In contrast, shoot length was much greater in Tuggerah Lakes, with a significant increase in length with depth in Lake Macquarie only (Fig. 3F). There was a significant increase in leaf

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lake Depth</th>
<th>Lake × Depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGB</td>
<td>66.1 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGB</td>
<td>50.1 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td>19.8 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGB:BGB</td>
<td>226.0 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoot density</td>
<td>58.7 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoot length</td>
<td>418.1 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf width</td>
<td>0.8 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAI</td>
<td>75.5 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM</td>
<td>3.4 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines</td>
<td>95.2 &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Summary of ANOVA results. AGB: above-ground biomass; BGB: below-ground biomass; TB: total biomass; LAI: leaf area index; OM: organic matter content; fines: fine sediment fraction; ns: not significant

![Fig. 2. Mean percent organic matter content and fine sediment (<63 µm) fraction at seagrass sampling sites in Lake Macquarie and Tuggerah Lakes. Lowercase letters indicate significant differences among depths within each lake. Asterisk at the top of the plot indicates a significant difference between lakes within each depth. The lower and upper boundaries of the boxes equal the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively, the narrow and thick lines within the box equal the median and mean, respectively, and the lower and upper whiskers equal the 10th and 90th percentiles, respectively.](image-url)
Fig. 3. Seagrass biomass and morphology across the depth gradient in Lake Macquarie and Tuggerah Lakes: (A) above-ground biomass (AGB); (B) below-ground biomass (BGB); (C) total biomass (TB); (D) AGB:BGB ratio; (E) shoot density; (F) shoot length; (G) leaf width; and (H) leaf area index (LAI). Lowercase letters indicate significant differences among depths within each lake. Asterisk at the top of the plot indicates a significant difference between lakes within each depth. See Fig. 2 for explanation of box and whisker plots.
width with depth, but no differences between lakes (Fig. 3G). There were no trends in the number of leaves per shoot (data not shown). LAI was significantly greater in Tuggerah Lakes, and there were no depth-related trends in LAI at the lake level (Fig. 3H). There were, however, site-specific depth-dependent trends in LAI, which varied as a function of the fine sediment fraction: LAI was highest, and the decrease in LAI with depth was greatest in association with high fine sediment fractions (Fig. 4).

The mean (±SD) ratio of AGB to BGB was significantly higher in Tuggerah Lakes (1.15 ± 0.81) compared to Lake Macquarie (0.31 ± 0.29) (Fig. 3D). There was a significant interaction between lake and depth for AGB:BGB, with significantly higher ratios at deep sites in Lake Macquarie and no significant differences among depths in Tuggerah Lakes (Fig. 3C). There was a tight coupling between AGB and BGB in Tuggerah Lakes ($y = 0.79x; r^2 = 0.72$), with a much poorer relationship observed for Lake Macquarie ($y = 0.18x; r^2 = 0.07$). Shoot length was positively correlated with AGB:BGB in both lakes ($r^2 = 0.76$; Table 3). Interestingly, there were opposing relationships between light and AGB:BGB in the 2 lakes, with AGB:BGB negatively related to light in Lake Macquarie and positively related to light in Tuggerah Lakes. There was a decrease in BGB with increasing fine sediment fraction observed across the 2 lakes (Table 3), which drove a strong relationship between AGB:BGB and fine sedi-
ment fraction (Table 3). Considering the Lake Macquarie sites in isolation revealed site-specific slopes in the relationship between light and AGB:BGB (Fig. 5A), with the different regression slopes explained by variation in the fine sediment fraction between sites (Fig. 5B).

**Factor analysis**

A PCA loading plot was used to examine the relationships between light, sediment properties, seagrass biomass, and morphometrics (Fig. 6). Three components accounted for 86% of the variance: the first (48%) was strongly associated with positive relationships among light, BGB, and shoot density; the second (23%) was associated with positive relationships among AGB, AGB:BGB, shoot length, and LAI; and the third (15%) was associated with sediment fine fraction, sand fraction, and OM content. Cluster analysis of factor scores yielded 3 clusters. Cluster membership for depth and lake indicate that Cluster 1 represents deep sites within both lakes, Cluster 2 represents the shallow and intermediate sites in Tuggerah Lakes, and Cluster 3 represents shallow and intermediate sites in Lake Macquarie (Table 4). The fine sediment fraction, sediment OM content, seagrass biomass, and morphometric properties were significantly different among clusters (Table 5).

**Depth ranges**

There was a reasonably strong relationship between mean annual Secchi depth and seagrass depth range...
However, there was considerable variation in the estimated light climate at the depth limit across the sites, with generally deeper limits for a given light climate in Lake Macquarie. Multiple linear regression analysis indicated that inclusion of Secchi depth, fine sediment fraction, and OM content greatly improved the prediction of depth limits ($r^2 = 0.92, p = 0.0001$; Table 6, Fig. 7B). There was a significant reduction in AGB:BGB with increasing depth range ($r^2 = 0.60, p = 0.0018$; Fig. 7C). There was also a reduction in LAI with increasing depth range; however, this relationship was not significant (Fig. 7D).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study provided an opportunity to observe variation in biomass and morphometrics of *Zostera muelleri* populations occurring across a wide range of light, sediment quality, and nutrient conditions. Significant differences among depths indicated that light gradients were the primary control over total biomass, BGB, and shoot density across the study area (Fig. 3, Table 3). Although no general trends between light and AGB were found in this study, lake- and site-specific relationships between light, AGB:BGB, and LAI were seen to vary along gradients in nutrient and sediment quality.

**Factors influencing morphology**

Variation in LAI is commonly held to be a mechanism for minimising...

Table 5. Attributes of each cluster yielded from a $k$-means cluster analysis of principle components analysis scores. Values with the same superscript letters indicate no significant difference among clusters for each attribute. See Fig. 6 for abbreviations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Cluster 1</th>
<th>Cluster 2</th>
<th>Cluster 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGB</td>
<td>58 ± 7$^a$</td>
<td>114 ± 11$^b$</td>
<td>103 ± 12$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGB</td>
<td>157 ± 20$^a$</td>
<td>85 ± 10$^a$</td>
<td>475 ± 34$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td>215 ± 24$^a$</td>
<td>199 ± 17$^a$</td>
<td>578 ± 41$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGB:BGB</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.07$^a$</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.19$^b$</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.03$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1002 ± 101$^a$</td>
<td>1163 ± 92$^a$</td>
<td>2897 ± 171$^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>236 ± 22$^a$</td>
<td>372 ± 30$^b$</td>
<td>105 ± 11$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAI</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.6$^a$</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.9$^b$</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.6$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines</td>
<td>22.7 ± 4$^a$</td>
<td>49.5 ± 5$^b$</td>
<td>8.4 ± 1$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.6$^ab$</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.8$^b$</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.3$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>271 ± 50$^a$</td>
<td>413 ± 30$^b$</td>
<td>522 ± 35$^c$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6. Results of multiple regression analysis for predictors of seagrass depth ranges.** Secchi depth is the annual mean for each site. OM: organic matter; fines: fine sediment fraction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secchi</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM</td>
<td>−0.44</td>
<td>−2.89</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$(r^2 = 0.66, p = 0.001$; Fig. 7A). However, there was considerable variation in the estimated light climate at the depth limit across the sites, with generally deeper limits for a given light climate in Lake Macquarie. Multiple linear regression analysis indicated that inclusion of Secchi depth, fine sediment fraction, and OM content greatly improved the prediction of depth limits ($r^2 = 0.92, p = 0.0001$; Table 6, Fig. 7B). There was a significant reduction in AGB:BGB with increasing depth range ($r^2 = 0.60, p = 0.0018$; Fig. 7C). There was also a reduction in LAI with increasing depth range; however, this relationship was not significant (Fig. 7D).

![Fig. 7. Relationships between (A) mean annual Secchi depth and depth range at the study sites in both lakes; (B) the predicted standardised value from the multilinear regression model, including Secchi depth, fine sediment fraction and OM (see Table 5 for regression results), and depth range; (C) depth range and the mean annual AGB:BGB value at the depth limit (annual mean); and (D) depth range and LAI at the depth limit (annual mean). See Fig. 6 for abbreviations.](image-url)
self-shading and photo-damage (Enríquez & Pantoja-Reyes 2005), and is usually observed to decrease in response to decreases in light across the depth gradient (Collier et al. 2007). There were no consistent reductions in LAI with depth or light detected for our dataset as a whole (Table 3); however, within sites there were varying reductions in LAI with depth (Fig. 4A). Both the slope and intercept of these depth-dependent relationships were closely related to the fine sediment fraction (Fig. 4B,C), indicating that light-dependent variation in LAI was significantly influenced by sediment conditions. As such, variation in LAI across the entire study area was more closely related to the fine sediment fraction (Table 3), and was significantly greater in the more nutrient-enriched areas of Tuggerah Lakes (Table 5). These trends suggest 2 main drivers: (1) the upregulation of areal O₂ production and pumping to the rhizosphere in order to minimise sulphide exposure (Holmer & Nielsen 1997); and (2) luxury growth of AGB in the presence of higher nutrient availability. This latter mechanism is supported by various experimental studies, which have shown an increase in AGB in response to fertilisation (Lee & Dunton 2000b) and greater sediment OM content (Wicks et al. 2009).

The strong relationship between light and BGB (Table 3) suggests a morphological response to 2 opposing environmental forcing factors across the depth gradient: (1) nutrient limitation induced by higher potential rates of gross primary production in non-light-limited shallow environments (Cluster 3; Table 5); and (2) reductions in shoot density induced by light limitation and sulphide exposure approaching the depth limits (Cluster 1). In oligotrophic systems, seagrasses must satisfy a substantial portion of their nutrient requirements via the remineralisation of particulate nutrients in the rhizosphere and sediments (Gacia et al. 2002). In nutrient-limited environments this can cause a relative increase in BGB, which may reflect the dominance of nutrient uptake in the rhizosphere (Perez et al. 1994). A linkage between BGB and nutrient limitation also helps to explain the significantly higher BGB in the oligotrophic Lake Macquarie relative to the mesotrophic Tuggerah Lakes, although this result is also likely to be influenced by negative feedbacks associated with fine sediments (see below).

The negative relationship between sediment quality (OM content and fine sediment fraction) and BGB (Table 3) most likely indicates negative feedbacks associated with sulphide exposure (Fig. 7B). Exposure to sulphides in the rhizosphere is broadly controlled by: (1) the production of reduced sulphur resulting from sulphate reduction and (2) the supply of oxygen and/or oxides for re-oxidation of these reduced compounds. Sulphate reduction is stimulated by OM inputs in excess of the rate of aerobic respiration (Jørgensen 1982), and as such may be expected to increase with OM supply within the rhizosphere (Holmer et al. 2001). The re-oxidation of reduced sulphides is influenced by the rate of O₂ pumping to the rhizosphere via roots (Lee & Dunton 2000a), and also the rate of O₂ diffusion within sediments, which is directly related to the fine sediment fraction. Our data suggest that this negative feedback on BGB may be significant once the fraction of sediments <63 µm exceeds 20 %. Working in concert with this mechanism is a need to minimise the respiratory costs of BGB to balance reduced gross primary production at depth (Hemminga 1998, Collier et al. 2007, 2008).

The AGB:BGB ratio is a useful morphological metric since it relates directly to the relative allocation of resources between photosynthetic organs with high respiratory demands and non-photosynthetic BGB with relatively low respiratory demands in response to environmental conditions (Fourquean & Zieman 1991). The AGB:BGB ratio integrates the plant response to all of the factors discussed above, and has more physiological meaning at the plant scale than stocks of biomass alone. The higher mean AGB:BGB ratios recorded for Tuggerah Lakes in the present study (0.6−1.2; Fig. 3) are in line with values for other northern hemisphere Zostera species (Duarte & Chiscano 1999). AGB:BGB ratios in Lake Macquarie were well below this but generally in line with values reported for Z. capricorni in New Zealand harbours with similar sediment fine fraction contents (Matheson & Schwarz 2007). Zostera meulleri in Tuggerah Lakes therefore represents a morph of Zostera that is similar to stands elsewhere in the world, whereas the Lake Macquarie morph (cluster 3; Table 5), along with the New Zealand Z. capricorni examples, represents a departure from the more widely studied variants. We suggest that the significant difference in AGB:BGB between lakes in the present study was primarily driven by the nutrient status and nutrient supply pathways of the 2 systems: higher AGB:BGB ratios in Tuggerah Lakes reflect higher nutrient availability in the water column and negative feedbacks associated with sediment quality, while low AGB:BGB ratios in Lake Macquarie reflect an oligotrophic pelagic environment with nutrient supply most likely dominated by the remineralisation of OM within the rhizosphere.
The significant increase in AGB:BGB with decreases in light over depth gradients in Lake Macquarie is consistent with a previous experimental study (Abal et al. 1994) and observations for other species (Kraemer & Mazzella 1996, Collier et al. 2007), fitting with the general theory that as light is reduced, seagrasses will invest in photosynthetic organs (AGB) and reduce the relative liability of heterotrophic BGB in order to maintain a favourable carbon balance (Hemminga 1998). Although AGB:BGB in Lake Macquarie varied across depth gradients primarily due to light (Fig. 5A), the slope of this relationship was controlled by sediment quality (Fig. 5B). The increase in AGB with greater proportions of fine sediment could be explained by a need to offset the pressure associated with sulphide exposure (Holmer & Nielsen 1997), suggesting that variation in AGB:BGB is linked to balancing the total community (i.e. plant + rhizosphere sediment) biogeochemical oxygen demands.

The highest AGB:BGB ratio was observed at the shallow and intermediate depths in Tuggerah Lakes (Cluster 2; Tables 4, 5). There were a number of interacting factors that most likely influenced this result. The Cluster 2 sites were found in low energy environments subject to high stormwater nutrient inputs, and this combination of positive pressures on AGB and negative pressures on BGB resulted in high AGB:BGB ratios. In addition, it is likely that reduced wave energy and bed shear stress allowed longer shoot development (Schanz & Asmus 2003). Higher AGB:BGB ratios in association with fine sediment fraction was also observed in some cases in Raglan Harbour (Matheson & Schwarz 2007) and experimentally (Wicks et al. 2009), and was consistent with our Cluster 2 sites (Table 5). However, there appear to be limits to this morphological response to sediment conditions; AGB:BGB rarely exceeded 2.5, even in sediments with very high fine and OM contents. The reasons for this were unclear, but may indicate a combination of physiological and morphological limitations to plasticity in Z. muelleri.

Factors influencing seagrass depth range

Our survey of morphological plasticity in response to environmental stressors described variation within the tolerable (i.e. sub-lethal) light range for Z. muelleri. In contrast, the depth limits of meadows provide an indication of thresholds in environmental conditions beyond which seagrass no longer survives. Seagrass depth range is a commonly employed measure of seagrass health, with variations in the lower limits of meadows primarily generally controlled by light attenuation (Dennison & Alberte 1985, Dennison 1987b, Abal & Dennison 1996, Greve & Krause-Jensen 2005). The slope of the relationship between mean annual Secchi depth and depth range during our study (slope = 0.81; Fig. 7A) is consistent with a study of Zostera marina from a range of sites in Danish coastal waters (slope = 0.74; Krause-Jensen et al. 2011); however, there was still considerable unexplained variation in our data. Krause-Jensen et al. (2011) found that the residuals in the relationship between depth range and Secchi depth were partially explained by sediment properties (e.g. fine sediment fraction and sulphide concentrations). Similarly, we found that depth range was best explained by taking into account Secchi depth and the OM content of sediments (Table 6). This suggests that sulphide exposure associated with high OM and fine sediment contents increases the minimum light required by the plant in order to maintain a tolerable community oxygen balance. Interestingly, OM content had a stronger bearing on depth limits than fine sediments (Table 6), indicating that it is most likely the rate of sulphate reduction rather than sediment porosity that controls sulphide exposure at low light. This helps explain the wide scale loss of seagrass at its lower depth limit in Tuggerah Lakes that accompanied the enrichment of the lake basin with nutrients, OM and fine sediments during the 20th century.

A key question is the extent to which morphological plasticity (i.e. changes to LAI and AGB:BGB) helps moderate the negative impacts of sediment quality on the minimum light requirements of Z. muelleri. In the absence of limits to phenotypic plasticity, it would be expected that plants could acclimate such that varying sediment conditions would have no bearing on minimum light requirements (DeWitt et al. 1998). Our results clearly show that plant morphology responds to interactions between light and sediment quality gradients (e.g. Fig. 5); however, these responses are insufficient to completely compensate for the negative impacts of sediment quality on minimum light requirements (as indicated by Fig. 7B). It is notable that AGB:BGB did not exceed 1 at the depth limit (Fig. 7C), even at sites where higher values were recorded at shallower depths, suggesting a limit to morphology under light-limited conditions. These limits may relate to self-shading impacts due to increases in LAI (Collier et al. 2007).
CONCLUSIONS

Our results suggest that morphological plasticity may help Zostera muelleri acclimate across gradients of light, sediment quality, and nutrient enrichment in order to colonise a range of different environments within the estuarine ecological niche. While light gradients are primary regulators of seagrass biomass and morphology, these relationships are strongly dependent on sediment and nutrient gradients. Variations in LAI and AGB:BGB appear to be primary mechanisms facilitating acclimation to environmental conditions, and there is evidence that these variations occur not only to maintain favourable plant carbon balances, but also to minimise sulphide exposure in the rhizosphere. The largest variations in LAI and AGB:BGB occurred between the 2 lakes, hence variation in morphology across the study was dominated by interactions between nutrient status and sediment quality, thereby obscuring light-dependent trends in morphology at this scale. Sediment properties and nutrient status play key roles in modulating the minimum light requirements of Z. muelleri at its depth limit, supporting similar findings for other species (Krause-Jensen et al. 2011, Kenworthy et al. 2014) and highlighting the need to account for these environmental drivers in the interpretation of seagrass depth range data. Our results indicate that, while morphological plasticity may play a role in extending the range of this species, there are apparent limits to this plasticity at the lower depth limit. The description of limits to morphological plasticity of Z. muelleri in response to environmental stressor gradients (as summarised in Table 5) provides crucial information needed to parameterise biomass flux models for this species.
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